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Abstract:

**Objectives and background:** Myotonic Dystrophies (DM) are hereditary, multisystem, slowly progressive myopathies that also affect the central nervous system. In contrast to the well-established cognitive profile of Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 (DM1), only few studies investigated cognitive dysfunction in Myotonic Dystrophy Type 2 (DM2), and their findings are inconsistent. We have therefore performed a formal comprehensive review of available studies in DM2 to identify the most commonly affected cognitive domains. **Methods:** An advanced search was conducted on PubMed with the terms “myotonic dystrophy type 2” AND “cognitive deficits”, “cognitive”, “cognition”, “neuropsychological”, “neurocognitive”, “neurobehavioral” in all fields. All available original research articles (13) and 1 case study, 14 in total, were included and thoroughly studied. **Results:** Most research studies indicate primary cognitive deficits in executive functions (dysexecutive syndrome), memory (short term nonverbal, verbal episodic memory), visuo-spatial/constructive-motor functions and attention while language is rarely reported to be affected. Cognitive profile is associated with brain abnormalities in several secondary and high-order cortical and subcortical regions and associative white matter tracts based on the few neuroimaging and/or multimodal studies. The limited sample size of DM2 patients is the most prominent limitation on current literature. **Conclusions:** The multifaceted profile of cognitive deficits highlights the need for routine neuropsychological evaluation in DM2 that could unveil patients’ strengths and impairments at baseline and to be carefully monitored over time.
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Introduction

Myotonic Dystrophy (DM) is a hereditary, autosomal dominant, slowly progressive myopathy that involves multiple systems and significantly affects the nervous system (Peric et al., 2017b). There are two known types of DM, Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 (DM1 or Steinert’s disease) and Myotonic Dystrophy Type 2 (DM2) or, as it was originally named, proximal myotonic myopathy (Newman et al., 1999) or proximal myotonic dystrophy (Udd et al., 1997).

Patients with DM demonstrate various clinical symptoms due to muscular, cardiac, hormonal and neurological involvement. The range of the clinical symptoms varies considerably from muscle weakness/myotonia and early-onset cataract to cardiac arrhythmia and cognitive changes/deficits (Udd and Krahe, 2012). Genetically, DM1 is caused by trinucleotide CTG repeats expansion in the 3’ non-coding region of the DMPK gene on chromosome 19q13.3, whereas DM2 is caused by the mutation in the intron of CNBP gene on chromosome 3q21 (Meola et al., 2017,Peric et al., 2019). DM2-related symptoms are considered to be milder than DM1-related symptoms, with better prognosis and later onset (Wenninger et al., 2018).

DM1 has been systemically and thoroughly examined with regards to CNS dysfunction and patients’ cognitive dysfunctions (Bajrami et al., 2017,Cabada et al., 2017). The patients’ cognitive deficits seem to be dependent of the symptoms onset; patients with congenital DM1 show mental retardation. In case of childhood onset of DM1, learning disabilities, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, autistic behavior, lack of interest-inhibition, and visuo-spatial impairment are commonly reported. If DM1, onset is in early adulthood when patients often exhibit dysexecutive syndrome, visuospatial deficits, verbal and visual memory difficulties. When the onset is at late adulthood the difficulties are mostly recognized in memory (Baldanzi et al., 2016,Okkersen et al., 2017,Zalonis et al., 2010). On the other hand, there is not a clear view on DM2 with only few available studies and inconsistent findings regarding DM2 neuropsychological profile (Meola, et al., 2017,Meola et al., 2003,Peric, et al., 2017b). In addition to that, clinicians seem to experience difficulties in successfully diagnosing DM2 patients because of unfamiliarity or inexperience with that specific disorder (Meola, et al., 2017).

Based on the disproportionate number of studies in DM1 and DM2 in the literature, the aim of this article is to examine the cognitive deficits of the DM2 patients by identifying, evaluating, and integrating research observations from recent research papers. Even though and the literature of DM2-associated cognitive deficits is seemingly limited, there has been considerable progress in the field and this narrative review aims to highlight these advances.

Methods

There are only a few dedicated research studies characterizing cognitive deficits of DM2 patients. Accordingly, we aimed to comprehensively review all available research studies on DM2 neuropsychological profile, which were published during the last twenty years, approximately, in peer-reviewed journals.

[insert Figure 1 approximately here]
PubMed is considered to be among the largest publically available databases for published scientific, medicine as well as neuropsychology and neurosciences-related scientific articles. We conducted multiple advanced searches during the last 12 months (the last search took place on 28th of May, 2020) including the following terms in all fields; “myotonic dystrophy type 2” AND “cognitive deficits” (92 results in total-8 for our review), “cognitive” (264 results in total-4 for our review), “cognition” (264 results in total-1 for our review), “neuropsychological” (118 results-1 for our review), “neurocognitive” (18 results in total-none for our review) and “neurobehavioral” (3 results in total-none for our review). By the aforementioned searches we excluded all papers that they focused only on DM1 patients or did not follow a methodological approach towards the evaluation of DM2 cognitive functions. Lastly, we should note that there was no need to contact the study authors to identify possible additional studies as we included every single one on that database, which were congruent with our aforementioned inclusion criteria.

In total, 14 articles, 13 research studies and 1 case study, were thoroughly studied for our narrative review. In each article we identified the cognitive domains that are primarily and secondarily affected. Moreover, we highlight specific study limitations, especially with regards to sample sizes, as well as methodology such as choice of neuropsychological instruments. Lastly, we identified common observations across multiple studies and integrate research findings to discuss the most common cognitive deficits experienced by DM2 patients.

Results

Cognitive impairment in DM2

Identifying cognitive deficits in DM2 patients is a task that takes into consideration multiple cognitive functions, including but not limited to executive functions (Peric et al., 2017a) and memory (Peric, et al., 2017b, Schneider-Gold et al., 2015, Weber et al., 2010). We should highlight here that cognitive impairment was determined based on group comparisons between DM2 patients and healthy controls [e.g. (Gliem et al., 2019, Meola et al., 2003)] and/or specific cut-off scores that differ between studies [e.g. 1SD (Ates et al., 2019, Krogias et al., 2015, Peric et al., 2017a, Peric et al., 2015, Schneider-Gold et al., 2015) or 2 SDs (Peric et al., 2017b) below norm]. In this section we review the spectrum of cognitive functions that are affected in DM2 (Table 1).

[insert Table 1 approximately here]
Executive functions

The executive functions are the most cited facet of cognitive impairment in DM2. The frontostriatal dysexecutive syndrome is considered to be a core cognitive feature of DM2 patients (Peric et al., 2015, Peric et al., 2017b). DM2 patients typically exhibit impaired performance on neuropsychological tests of executive functions, including the copy form of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure test, the Wisconsin Sorting Card test, the Stroop Neuropsychological Screening, Semantic and Phonemic Fluency tasks, the Tower of London test, the Conditional Associative-Learning measure, the Iowa Gambling task, the Trail Making Test and executive subtests of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery. This outcome is consistent with other studies that demonstrate deficits specific to frontal lobe dysfunction, such as difficulties in conditional-associative learning, decision making, planning, divided attention and attentional control, interference, alertness with and without warning signals, verbal fluency with change of categories, conceptual reasoning and set shifting (Ates et al., 2019, Meola, et al., 2003, Peric, et al., 2015, Romeo et al., 2010, Sansone et al., 2007, Sansone et al., 2006, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015, Weber, et al., 2010).

Executive deficits have been linked to structural and metabolic brain abnormalities in a few available studies. Considerable frontal and corpus callosum white matter changes were identified and reduced fractional anisotropy in the associative fibers (i.e. bilateral superior longitudinal fasciculus) have been linked to executive dysfunction in DM2 (Minnerop et al., 2011). Moreover, tract-based spatial statistics analysis on diffusion tensor imaging data demonstrated widespread and ubiquitous white matter reduction and, interestingly, that decrease at follow-up exceeded that observed at baseline (Gliem et al., 2019). In a case study with a patient who was diagnosed both with Parkinson’s disease and DM2, her executive dysfunctions were consistent with MRI findings such as diffuse white matter vascular hypodensity as well as cortical atrophy (Sansone, et al., 2006). Furthermore, brain positron emission tomography highlights a prominent impairment of glucose metabolism in prefrontal, temporal and pericentral regions, insula and subcortical grey matter, including basal ganglia (Peric, et al., 2017a). Of note, profound executive dysfunction has been reported in patients with hypometabolism in bilateral prefrontal and insular cortices, right parietotemporal and frontotemporal areas, as well as left striatum (Peric, et al., 2017a). Lastly, diffusion tensor imaging analyses are congruent with demonstrated executive dysfunction as they showcase a fractional anisotropy reduction in the body, isthmus and genu of corpus callosum, forceps minor, left inferior longitudinal and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, as well as a radial diffusivity increase in the callosal body (Gliem, et al., 2019).

Memory

Memory is a cognitive domain that is also commonly affected in DM2 (Krogias et al., 2015, Peric, et al., 2017b, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015). Memory-related deficits are especially pronounced on tests tapping onto nonverbal (Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015, Weber, et al., 2010) and verbal (Peric, et al., 2015, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015) episodic memory, as well as verbal and nonverbal short-term memory in general (Ates, et al., 2019). Moreover, Sansone and colleagues are the first who indicate a spatial long-term memory impairment in their case study possibly due to cortical atrophy and reduction of glucose metabolism in the parietal operculum and posterior
thalamus (Sansone, et al., 2006). Weber and colleagues used the recall form of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure test and the Wechsler Memory Scale and observed nonverbal episodic memory deficits most probably due to a pronounced reduction of the grey matter in bilateral hippocampal formation (Weber, et al., 2010). In another study, Peric and colleagues detected abnormal performance on verbal episodic memory, using the recognition form of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning test (Peric, et al., 2015).

**Language**

Language impairment is not classically associated with DM2. In their study, Peric and colleagues used the Boston Naming Test and the Category Fluency in order to identify language deficits (Peric, et al., 2017b). The only language impairments appeared at severe clinical phenotypes. Reduced temporoparietal metabolic activity as measured using 18F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography has been related to DM2 naming deficits (Peric, et al., 2017a).

**Visuo-spatial functions**

Visuo-spatial dysfunctions are usually observed when visuo-spatial functions are part of the DM2 neuropsychological evaluation. Apart from executive dysfunction, Peric and colleagues described visuo-spatial dysfunctions as one of the main cognitive deficit in DM2 patients based on Wechsler Intelligence Scale Block Design subtest and the copy form of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure test (Peric, et al., 2017b). Schneider-Gold and colleagues examined the visuo-spatial functions using the intelligence test Leistung - sprüfungssystem subtest 7, which evaluates the spatial visualization ability, finding out impaired performance (Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015). Likewise, Sansone and colleagues indicated visuo-spatial dysfunctions using visual-spatial recall and visual-spatial construction tests (Sansone, et al., 2007). Hypoperfusion in posterior parietal lobes (Romeo, et al., 2010) might partially account for the observed visuo-spatial dysfunction. Lastly, Gliem and colleagues identified visuo-spatial dysfunctions at the Block Design Test of the HAWIE-R scale, which was linked to widespread white matter pathology, even though the authors did not find statistically significant correlations (Gliem, et al., 2019).

**Attention and psychomotor speed**

The above-mentioned frontal dysfunction and the involvement of white matter have been considered as one of the underlying causes for DM2 attentional deficits. The latter have been reported in different studies (Ates, et al., 2019, Gliem, et al., 2019, Meola, et al., 2003, Minnerop, et al., 2011, Sansone, et al., 2007, Sansone, et al., 2006, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015, Weber, et al., 2010). Sansone and colleagues found both baseline and longitudinal attentional deficits that worsen over time (Sansone, et al., 2007). This progressive deterioration in frontal cognitive (attentional) impairment over time was not accompanied by deficits and/or further changes in other cognitive areas. Apparent attentional deficits have been observed in other studies as well and attributed to structural brain changes in frontal white matter, particularly association fibers, limbic system fiber tracts, callosal body and projection fibers (Minnerop, et al., 2011). Similarly, Schneider-Gold and colleagues revealed major attentional deficits in association with grey matter volume in the periaqueductal grey matter, midbrain, the bilateral thalamus (pulvinar), the bilateral parahippocampal gyrus and the anterior cingulate (Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015). Likewise, Minnerop and colleagues found reduced performance in an interference task which was
further associated with poor motor performance in a group of DM2 patients with severe frontal white matter abnormalities as well as prominent fractional anisotropy reduction in association fibers, including the bilateral superior longitudinal fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus, cingulum, fornix and anterior corpus callosum (Minnerop, et al., 2011). Reduced psychomotor speed as measured by Trail Making Test has been associated with white matter lesions mainly in centrum semiovale and subcortical frontal regions, as well as in temporal and parietal areas but to a lesser extent (Weber, et al., 2010). Furthermore, Ates and colleagues found divided attention (reaction time), tonic and phasic alertness deficits and the impaired tonic alertness, in particular, was related with significantly higher transverse relaxation time ($R2^*$) or susceptibility in the subthalamic nucleus (Ates, et al., 2019).

**Associations with patients’ sociodemographic and clinical data**

Few studies have examined and/or reported associations between patients’ cognitive performance and sociodemographic and clinical variables. Increased age was correlated with poorer processing speed (TMT-A) (Peric, et al., 2015, Weber et al., 2010a), difficulties in set-shifting and alternation (TMT-B) (Peric, et al., 2015), errors in spatial working memory (Peric, et al., 2015) and worse immediate verbal memory (RAVLT) (Peric, et al., 2015). Longer disease duration has been related to decreased alertness (Weber et al., 2010b) and worse set shifting and alternation (TMT-B) (Peric, et al., 2015). Worse clinical status was correlated with impaired executive functions (Wisconsin Card Sorting Test) (Weber, et al., 2010b) whereas muscle strength was correlated with immediate verbal memory (Peric, et al., 2015).

**Mood**

Altered mood in DM2 patients is typically related to depressive symptoms which have been considered either a reactive adjustment disorder or the clinical phenotype of underlying brain abnormalities. Specifically, Minnerop and colleagues reported depression on their DM2 patients but due to the fact that it was identified in patients with less white matter pathology, they concluded that depression might be a reactive adjustment disorder often seen in the early stages of the disease and not an actual consequence of structural brain damage (Minnerop, et al., 2011). Likewise, mild and severe depressive symptoms as measured using the Beck Depression Inventory were not related to transcranial sonography findings in another more recent study (Krogias, et al., 2015). On the other hand, depression in DM2 has been associated with brainstem atrophy and the involvement of cerebellar peduncles (Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015). Lastly, a recent study demonstrates the associations of white matter changes in DM2 with clinical parameters, including depression (Gliem, et al., 2019).

**Discussion**

The present narrative review sought to characterize the nature of cognitive deficits of DM2 patients which are strikingly understudied compared to DM1 and are inconsistent, due to small sample sizes and the diverse methodological approaches utilized to evaluate cognitive functions. Cognitive dysfunction is apparently recognized in the majority of available studies and is often associated with brain abnormalities in several
secondary and high-order cortical and subcortical regions and associative white matter tracts, highlighting the clinical significance of neuropsychological evaluation in DM2 patients.

**Cognitive dysfunctions and associated brain abnormalities**

Based on few research studies that are available in regard to the neuropsychological profile of DM2 patients, we concluded that most researchers agree on the existence of cognitive deficits on the domains of executive functions, particularly set shifting, flexibility of thinking and conceptual reasoning, planning and decision making (Minnerop, et al., 2011, Peric, et al., 2017a, Peric, et al., 2015, Peric, et al., 2017b, Romeo, et al., 2010). Additional cognitive impairments were recognized in visuo-spatial/constructional dexterities (Gaul et al., 2006, Peric, et al., 2015, Peric, et al., 2017b, Romeo, et al., 2010, Sansone, et al., 2007, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015), memory (in particular, verbal and non-verbal short-term and long-term episodic memory, as well as working memory) (Krogias, et al., 2015, Peric, et al., 2017b, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015, Weber, et al., 2010) and attention (Minnerop, et al., 2011, Sansone, et al., 2007, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015). Depressive mood is also commonly observed in DM2 patients (Krogias, et al., 2015, Minnerop, et al., 2011, Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015), though it is still unclear whether it is a reactive adjustment disorder (Minnerop, et al., 2011) or an actual consequence of structural brain damage (Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015).

Even though there are few multimodal studies assessing both cognitive functions and brain alterations, it seems that DM2-related cognitive impairment is associated with patients’ cortical and subcortical gray matter alterations and white matter changes. The dysexecutive syndrome is associated with hypometabolism in bilateral prefrontal and insular regions, right parietotemporal and frontotemporal areas, left striatum (Peric, et al., 2017a) and with reduced fractional anisotropy in the body, isthmus and genu of corpus callosum and several frontal associative white matter tracts (Gliem, et al., 2019). In memory, the most characteristic association is that of the nonverbal episodic memory with the reduction of the grey matter in bilateral hippocampal formation (Weber, et al., 2010). As far as the language domain is concerned, it seems that reduced temporoparietal metabolic activity is correlated with naming dysfunction (Peric, et al., 2017a). Moreover, visuo-spatial dysfunctions have been linked with widespread microstructural white matter alterations (Gliem, et al., 2019). Furthermore, attentional deficits are associated with frontal white matter, particularly association fibers, limbic system fiber tracts, callosal body and projection fibers (Minnerop, et al., 2011), as well as with grey matter volume in periaqueductal grey matter, bilateral thalamus, bilateral parahippocampal gyrus and the anterior cingulate (Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015). Of note, depressive symptoms have been associated with brainstem atrophy and the involvement of cerebellar peduncles (Schneider-Gold, et al., 2015).

**Clinical implications of DM2 cognitive impairment**

The identification of cognitive dysfunction in DM2 may have implications for patients’ daily living and caregivers’ support. Most DM2 patients show executive dysfunctions which could affect planning, reasoning and/or problem solving, as everyday life requires to manage multiple different tasks. On top of that the reported attention deficits may affect concentration on discussions that take place in social interactions, as well as other daily activities that require selective attention and shift of attention between different tasks. Memory dysfunction may pose difficulties in patients’ complex everyday activities, interfere with their compliance with medication
and engagement in rehabilitation efforts. Furthermore, the characterization of cognitive dysfunction in DM2 is of utmost importance for early interventions that may allow patients and their families to deal with any cognitive impairments by participating in specific cognitive rehabilitation programs. Such endeavors are lacking not only in DM2 but also in DM1. However, most DM2 patients are fully capable of participating in rehabilitation interventions in contrast to DM1 patients who often show severe physical deficits and significant cognitive impairments. Of note, paper-and-pencil and computerized cognitive rehabilitation programs have been applied in other neurodegenerative conditions with profound motor disabilities [e.g. advanced forms of multiple sclerosis (Klein et al., 2017), such as primary (Prouskas, 2019) or secondary progressive (Messinis et al., 2020) multiple sclerosis]. Thus, cognitive rehabilitation could be applied both in DM2 and DM1 patients.

Screening for cognitive deficits in DM2 is highly relevant clinically, and from an academic standpoint, the detailed characterization of DM2-associated neuropsychological changes may have implications for guideline development, planning of multidisciplinary interventions, and generating best practice recommendations. A similar transition took place in the field of ALS a few years ago when the extra-motor profile of ALS was gradually recognized (Christidi et al., 2018), increasingly supported by neuroimaging (Bede et al., 2018, Omer et al., 2017) and disease-specific cognitive instruments were eventually developed (Abrahams et al., 2014, Elamin et al., 2017). As detailed neuropsychological evaluation by a qualified neuropsychologist is time-consuming, brief multi-domain screening tests should at least be included in the evaluation of DM2 patients at baseline and ideally on follow-up assessments. It is noteworthy, that short screening instruments such as the Mini-Mental State Examination might fail to detect mild cognitive deficits and lack the sensitivity and specificity to unveil executive dysfunction. It is also imperative to use, population-based, local, age, and education matched normative data for the interpretation of scores and account for practice effects. Other screening scales such as the Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment may be sensitive to detect subtle cognitive deficits. Multidomain screening instruments have been successfully validated in other patient cohorts with severe motor disability, such as the Edinburgh Cognitive and Behavioral ALS Screen in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. These existing instruments could therefore be piloted in future DM2 studies and may unveil impairment in cognitive domains not yet explored, such as social cognition.

**Limitations**

Our narrative review is not without limitations. Firstly, it is possible that different papers published by the same group (Peric, et al., 2019, Peric, et al., 2015, Peric, et al., 2017b) reported results on the same cohort. Another limitation is that DM2 is not usually easily recognized due to the clinicians’ inexperience with the disease, so patients that ask for help and thus patients tested in cognitive studies could possibly not be the most representative clinical group, as they usually are the most severe patients from the whole cohort of affected patients. Moreover, the association between sociodemographic factors and cognitive performance has not been studied. Additional measures that examine patients’ everyday functional performance in association with their cognitive performance would be of utmost importance considering that the majority of commonly used neuropsychological measures show moderate association to individual’s everyday performance due to the issue of ecological validity of neuropsychological measures (Chaytor and Schmitter-Edgecombe, 2003).
Conclusions

In summary, DM2 is an inherited, multidimensional disease that affects the human brain. There is not a specific DM2 neuropsychological profile, as there are only a few research studies about DM2 cognitive deficits, offering vague, even controversial, and limited information. This narrative review demonstrated the most commonly affected cognitive domains in DM2 (especially executive functions, visuo-spatial/constructive functions, attention and episodic memory) and recommended future directions, such as use of larger sample sizes, development of novel and thorough methodology so as to identify the DM2 cognitive deficits, conduction of research studies that investigate cognitive functions and brain changes, as well as identification of domains that were not previously assessed (i.e. social cognition). There is great room for research that will form the DM2 neuropsychological profile, leading also to a great contribution to how experts should use that knowledge on the cognitive rehabilitation domain of those patients. The multifaceted profile of cognitive deficits highlights the need of neuropsychological evaluation in DM2 clinical practice that could unveil patients’ strengths and impairments at baseline and serve as a possible prognostic factor for monitoring disease progression over time.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Selection of relevant research articles for review
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Sample size (DM2/HC)</th>
<th>Cognitive domains examined</th>
<th>Neuropsychological measures</th>
<th>Supportive Neuroimaging data</th>
<th>Main DM2-associated cognitive deficits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Meola et al. (2003)</td>
<td>19 DM2 / 20 HC</td>
<td>Global cognitive status, Frontal functions; serial ordering, alternation, concept formation, set shifting, planning and problem solving, lexical retrieval, attention; attentional control and response inhibition</td>
<td>MMSE, WCST, SNST, TMT, Lexical retrieval in response to phonological and semantic cues, TLT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Executive functions (planning, conceptual reasoning, set shifting), attentional control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Gaul et al. (2006)</td>
<td>21 DM2 / 21 HC</td>
<td>Mood, frontal functions, visuo-spatial functions, spatial memory, attention, verbal fluency</td>
<td>Profile of Mood States, ROCF, unforewarned recall procedures, symbol digit, oral word association, CAL, IGT</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Executive functions (decision making, verbal fluency), conditional-associative learning, visuo-spatial-constructional ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Study Reference</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Outcome Measures</td>
<td>Control Measures</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Additional Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Sansone et al. (2006)</td>
<td>1 DM2 / 0 HC</td>
<td>Nonverbal reasoning, auditory language comprehension, verbal fluency with phonemic and semantic cues, verbal and spatial short-term memory, verbal and spatial long-term memory, constructional abilities, alertness and divided attention, executive functions, dementia screening</td>
<td>MMSE, RSPM, Token test, Digit span forward, Spatial span, Story recall, Rey recall, Rey’s complex copy, TMT-A &amp; B, TLT, WCST</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Spatial long-term memory, attention, executive functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>Sansone et al. (2007)</td>
<td>17 (baseline) and 11 (follow-up) DM2 / 0 HC</td>
<td>Global cognitive status, nonverbal reasoning, auditory language comprehension, verbal fluency, verbal and spatial short term memory, verbal and spatial long term memory, constructional abilities, attention and executive function</td>
<td>MMSE, RSPM, Token test, Verbal fluency with phonemic and semantic cues, Digit span forward, Spatial span, Story recall, Rey recall, Rey’s complex</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Executive functions, alertness, divided attention, visuospatial recall, constructional ability, auditory language comprehension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>Romeo et al. (2010)</td>
<td>14 DM2 / 44 HC</td>
<td>Nonverbal intelligence, frontal executive functions, memory, learning functions, visuospatial abilities</td>
<td>RSPM, SCWT, Fluency tests, Wechsler memory scale, Corsi’s block tests, ROCF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Executive functions, visuo-spatial-constructional ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>Weber et al. (2010)</td>
<td>9 DM2 / 31 HC</td>
<td>Global cognitive status, crystalline intelligence, visuospatial abilities, dementia screening, memory, constructive abilities, planning, executive functions, verbal and nonverbal working memory, nonverbal episodic memory, learning functions, abstract reasoning and problem-solving ability, verbal short term and long term memory, alertness,</td>
<td>MMSE, SANFT, Vocabulary test, Clock, ROCF (copy and recall), Wechsler memory scale, Corsi block span, WCST, Digit span, Verbal fluency, Verbal learn and memory test (first and long-term recall), Alertness test (with</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Global cognitive status, executive functions, nonverbal episodic memory, alertness, psychomotor speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>Minnerop et al. (2011)</td>
<td>22 DM2 / 22 HC</td>
<td>Focused attention, interference, motor function, psychomotor speed, attention shift and mental flexibility, naming, phonemic and semantic fluency, visuospatial/Visuoconstructive abilities, reaction time, choice reaction time, verbal memory-recognition, figural memory-recognition, mood; depression, fatigue, daytime sleepiness</td>
<td>c.I.T.S, c.I.T.I, Pegboard Puzzle, TMT A &amp; B, BNT, Phonemic and semantic fluency tasks, Block test, NeurocogFX, BDI, KFSS, PSQI, Daytime Sleepiness Scale</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Focused attention, interference, psychomotor speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Test Battery Details</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Other Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Krogias et al.</td>
<td>14 DM2 / 31 HC</td>
<td>Selective and divided attention, simple reaction ability/alertness, nonverbal intellectual ability, flexibility of thinking, verbal shortterm memory, nonverbal shortterm memory, spatial visualization ability, nonverbal memory, dementia screening, mood; depression, daytime sleepiness</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Nonverbal short-term memory, depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Peric et al.</td>
<td>34 DM2 / 0 HC</td>
<td>Global cognitive status, general intellectual level, verbal memory; immediate and delayed, visuo-spatial-constructional ability and visual memory, speed and attention, executive functions, verbal fluency; phonetic and semantic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Executive functions, verbal episodic memory, visuo-spatial-constructional ability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Tests Administered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>Schneider-Gold et al. (2015)</td>
<td>16 DM2 / 33 HC</td>
<td>Selective and divided attention, simple reaction ability, nonverbal intellectual ability, flexibility of thinking, verbal short-term memory, nonverbal short term memory, long term verbal memory, spatial visualization ability, general intelligence, dementia screening, daytime sleepiness, mood; depression</td>
<td>WCST, BNT, PF and SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D-2 test, TAP, LPS subtest 3, RWT, Digit span forward and backward, Block-tapping forward and backward, RALVT, Multiple choice vocabulary, Clock, ESS, BDI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Memory (verbal and nonverbal short-term), attention, executive functions, visuo-spatial-constructional ability, depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#11</td>
<td>Peric et al. (2017)</td>
<td>46 DM2 / 0 HC</td>
<td>General intellectual level, global cognitive status, visuo-spatial functions, executive functions, attention, memory, language</td>
<td>WCST, BNT, PF and SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Visuo-spatial-constructional ability, executive functions, verbal memory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RSPM, MMSE, ACE-R, WAIS-R; Digit span and Block design, RAVLT, ROCF, TMT-A &amp; B, WCST, BNT, SF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#12</td>
<td>Peric et al. (2017)</td>
<td>13 DM2 / 0 HC</td>
<td>Global cognitive status, verbal memory; immediate and delayed, visuo-spatial-constructional functions, visual memory, speed and attention, executive functions, language</td>
<td>MMSE, RAVLT, ROCF, TMT-A &amp; B, WCST, BNT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Executive functions, naming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#13</td>
<td>Ates et al. (2019)</td>
<td>12 DM2 / 29 HC</td>
<td>Selective and divided attention, tonic and phasic attention; simple reaction ability, nonverbal intellectual ability, executive functions; flexibility of thinking, verbal short-term memory, nonverbal short-term memory, verbal memory, spatial visualization ability, general intelligence, dementia screening, mood; depression, daytime sleepiness</td>
<td>ESS, d2-Test, Go/no-Go test (TAP), LPS-Subtest 3, RWT, WMS-R, RAVLT, LPS-Subtest 7, Multiple choice vocabulary, CDT, BDI-II</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Attention, executive functions, memory (verbal and nonverbal short-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#14</td>
<td>Gliem et al. (2019)</td>
<td>16 DM2 / 17 HC</td>
<td>Verbal and figural memory, semantic memory, reaction and processing speed, selective attention and reaction time, executive functions, visuo-construc-tive abilities, phonemic verbal word fluency, semantic verbal word fluency, mood; depression, daytime sleepiness, sleep quality, fatigue, narcolepsy</td>
<td>NeuroCogFX, BNT, c.I.T.I, Block design test (HAWIE-R), reaction time test, choice reaction time test, LPS-Subtest 6, BDI, PSQI, ESS, DSS, KFSS, UNS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Executive functions (interference), visuo-spatial-constructional ability, focused attention, depressive symptoms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. DM2 = Myotonic Dystrophy Type 2; HC = Healthy controls; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; SNST = Stroop Neuropsychological Screening Test; TMT = Trail Making Test; TLT = Tower of London Test; ROCF = Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure; CAL = Conditional-Associative Learning; IGT = Iowa Gambling Test; RSPM = Raven Standard Progressive Matrices; TEA = Test of Everyday Attention; SCWT = Stroop Color and Word Test; SANFT = world test for the German word “sanft”; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; PSQI = Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; ESS = Excessive Daytime Sleepiness; c.I.T.S. = Cerebral Insuffizienztest (symbol counting); c.I.T.I. = Cerebral Insuffizienztest (response inhibition); BNT = Boston Naming Test; KFSS = Krupp’s Fatigue Severity Scale; LPS = Leistung-sprüfsystem; RWT = Regensburger Wortflüssigkeits Test; RAVLT = Rey Auditory-Verbal Learning Test; CANTAB = Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery; MOT = Motor Screening Test; PRM = Pattern Recognition Memory; SRM = Spatial Recognition Memory; IED = Intra/Extradimensional Set Shift; SOC = Stockings of Cambridge; SSP = Spatial Span; SWM = Spatial Working Memory; ACE-R = Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination-Revised; WAIS-R = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised; PF = Phonemic Fluency; SF = Semantic Fluency; DSSDSS = Daytime
Sleepiness Scale; HAWIE-R = Hamburg-Weschler Intelligenztest für Erwachsene-Revision; UNS = Ullanlinna-Narcolepsy Scale; TAP = Test for Attentional Performance; CDT = Clock Drawing Test; WMS-R = Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised
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