

Poster: Obfuscation Revealed - Using Electromagnetic Emanation to Identify and Classify Malware

Duy-Phuc Pham, Damien Marion, Annelie Heuser

▶ To cite this version:

Duy-Phuc Pham, Damien Marion, Annelie Heuser. Poster: Obfuscation Revealed - Using Electromagnetic Emanation to Identify and Classify Malware. EuroS&P 2021 - 6th IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy, Sep 2021, online, Austria. pp.1-1, 2021, 10.1109/eurosp51992.2021.00055. hal-03458819

HAL Id: hal-03458819 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03458819

Submitted on 2 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Poster: Obfuscation Revealed - Using Electromagnetic Emanation to Identify and Classify Malware

S | R | S A

step

the

Duy-Phuc Pham¹, Damien Marion¹, Annelie Heuser¹ ¹ Univ Rennes, CNRS, IRISA, France

- Abstract

In this poster we present a novel approach of using side channel information to identify the kinds of malware threats that are targeting IoT devices. Although in the presence of obfuscation techniques that can prevent static or symbolic binary analysis, a malware researcher may obtain detailed information about malware type and identification using our method. It operates by leveraging side channel by electromagnetism rather than software-layer malware analysis. By capturing 96,000 measurement traces from an IoT system infected with different malware samples, we can obtain this information without altering the actual hardware. As a result, it can be implemented without any overhead, regardless of the resources available. Furthermore, our method has the advantage of non-trivial for malware writers to avoid. By collecting EM traces and later analyzing them for patterns, we were able to extract information from IoT devices. We were able to distinguish malware families based on side-channel knowledge without being able to see what exact hardware was involved. We were able to predict three generic malware forms (and one benign class) with a 99.89% percent accuracy in our tests. Even more, our results show that we are able to classify altered malware samples with unseen obfuscation techniques during the training phase, and to determine what kind of obfuscations were applied to the binary, which makes our approach particularly useful for malware analysts. keywords: Malware analyst, side-channel analysis, obfuscated malware classification, electromagnetic leakage, deep-learning, machine-learning.



I	Virtualization294.8294.1994.7096.20	96.42 95.61 89.63 89.00 88.89 89.45 88.65 88.78	Features Selection Spectrogram SIFI
I	Packer292.5092.2692.2592.63	92.80 95.60 78.87 78.40 78.18 79.07 78.51 78.38	
I	Obfuscation 7 71.18 70.09 70.15 81.62	81.01 80.97 60.71 59.5 59.56 61.06 60.44 60.58	
I	Executable 35 72.76 73.04 74.08 81.73	82.73 82.84 69.55 70.84 70.58 69.85 70.33 70.37	Malware Classification
I	Novelty (family) 5 92.42 94.79 94.32 99.38	99.57 99.43 98.90 99.09 98.96 98.86 99.02 99.03	
	The accuracy (AC), recall (RC) and precision (PR) obtained with MLP, CNN, LDA + NB and LDA +	
	SVM applied on several scenarios. Bold numbers in	dicate the highest accuracy $(\%)$ on the testing set per	NB NB
	scenario.		
	Type classification	Family classification	$\rightarrow \longrightarrow \longrightarrow$
	This scenario gives us a 4-classes classification prob-	In this scenario we classify into the malware fam-	MLP
	lem: ransomware, rootkit, DDoS and benign. All of	ily plus benign class, which gives 6 classes: bash-	Proposed malware elassification framewor
	the models are very efficient for this problem (> 97%	<i>lite, mirai, gonnacry, keysniffer, maK_it, and be-</i>	I TOPOSEU Marware classification framewor
	accuracy). We can observe that CNN is slightly more	nign. CNN gives the highest accuracy with 99.32%,	
	accurate than MLP, NB, and SVM.	but also MLP and ML provide results $> 96\%$.	
I	Executable classification	Virtualization & packer identification	To and the production
I	This is a straightforward executable recognition situ-	We evaluate whether the binary is covered with	ESC III III CO
I	ation in which the model is attempting to profile the	virtualization or packed which results in two-class	
	binary exactly. It translates to a 35-class classifica-	detection problems. We see that virtualization is	
I	tion problem (including benign samples). We dis-	slightly easier to detect than packing, with CNN hav-	
	tinguish the family, variants, and obfuscation where	ing the best accuracy $(96.20\% \text{ vs. } 92.63\%)$	
	CNN is the most efficient at 81.73 % (vs a random		
	guess of only 3.23%).		
	guess of only 3.23%). Obfuscation classification	Novelty (family)	
	guess of only 3.23%). Obfuscation classification CNN is more efficient in classifying between obfus-	Novelty (family) It is very common in real-world malware detection to	
	guess of only 3.23%). Obfuscation classification CNN is more efficient in classifying between obfus- cation techniques, it achieves 81.62% (vs a random	Novelty (family) It is very common in real-world malware detection to come across unknown variants. Even if the models	The herdrone set on a false data with
	guess of only 3.23%). Obfuscation classification CNN is more efficient in classifying between obfus- cation techniques, it achieves 81.62% (vs a random guess of 14.29%). This result indicates again that	Novelty (family) It is very common in real-world malware detection to come across unknown variants. Even if the models are projecting unknown (obfuscated) variants, CNN	The hardware setup of the data acquisition
	guess of only 3.23%). Obfuscation classification CNN is more efficient in classifying between obfus- cation techniques, it achieves 81.62% (vs a random guess of 14.29%). This result indicates again that our methodology is able to extract and compare ma-	Novelty (family) It is very common in real-world malware detection to come across unknown variants. Even if the models are projecting unknown (obfuscated) variants, CNN reach 99.38%. LDA+NB and LDA+SVM also per-	The hardware setup of the data acquisition consists of a H-Field probe placed 45°above

5 - Conclusion

- Our method involves no changes to the target system, with no software tracking, exact triggering, or extra device overhead. To be applicable to practical IoT systems in the wild, we use real world malware and multi-processor hardware running a functional Linux OS in our tests.
- Our approach consists of preprocessing the measured electromagnetic emanation of the device by selecting the most relevant features (frequency bands) over time, followed by classification using neural network and machine learning models.
- We put together a number of scenarios, each of which represents a real-world malware detection: malware classification by type and family, exact malware executable profiling, virtualization and packer discovery, obfuscation classification, and classification of unseen obfuscated variants.