Authoring Consistent Landscapes with Flora and Fauna

PIERRE ECORMIER-NOCCA, LIX, Ecole Polytechnique/CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, France GUILLAUME CORDONNIER, ETH Zürich, Switzerland and Inria, Université Côte d'Azur, France PHILIPPE CARREZ, Immersion Tools, France

ANNE-MARIE MOIGNE, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle - UMR 7194, HnHp, MNHN, UPVD, CNRS, France

POORAN MEMARI, LIX, CNRS/Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, France

BEDRICH BENES, Purdue University, USA

MARIE-PAULE CANI, LIX, Ecole Polytechnique/CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, France

ACM Reference Format:

Table 2. Animal parameters showing [*min*; *max*] for each category.

Pierre Ecormier-Nocca, Guillaume Cordonnier, Philippe Carrez, Anne-Marie Moigne, Pooran Memari, Bedrich Benes, and Marie-Paule Cani. 2021. Authoring Consistent Landscapes with Flora and Fauna. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 40, 4, Article 105 (August 2021), 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3450626.3459952

1 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

This supplementary material provides a list of notations used in our paper, a detailed list of species parameters that are used in our ecosystem analysis as well as detailed results of the user studies.

	Group size Mass		Presence in		
			Ecosystem 1	Ecosystem 2	
Bison Priscus	[10; 35]	[700; 1000]	1.16%	0.88%	
Cervus Elaphus	[30; 45]	[70; 250]	41.28%	10.62%	
Dama Roberti	[5; 14]	[30; 80]	34.30%	1.77%	
Equus Mosbachensis	[6; 20]	[227; 900]	1.74%	0.88%	
Ĥermitragus Bonali	[2; 23]	[36; 90]	2.91%	0.88%	
Ovis Ammon	[2, 100]	[130; 160]	5.81%	7.08%	
Rangifer Tarandus	[50; 150]	[100; 300]	2.33%	69.03%	
Ursus Arctos	[80; 600]	1		1.77%	
Ursus Spelaeus	[200; 500]	1	1.16%		
Lynx Spelaeus	[11; 15]	1	1.16%	0.88%	
Canis Mosbachensis	[23; 80]	[5;9]	1.74%	0.88%	
Vulpes Vulpes	[3; 14]	1	1.16%	0.88%	
Total			94.75%	95.55%	

Table 1. User study results with expert paleontologists.

Expert	Level of expertise	Completeness	User control	Realism
1	5	5	5	5
2	4	4	5	5
3	4	5	4	5
4	2	3	4	4
5	5	4	4	3
6	2	3	3	4
7	2	3	3	3
8	4	4	4	4
9	4	4	5	3

Authors' addresses: Pierre Ecormier-Nocca, LIX, Ecole Polytechnique/CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France, pierre.ecormier@polytechnique.edu; Guillaume Cordonnier, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland, Inria, Université Cóté d'Azur, Sophia Antipolis, France, guillaume.cordonnier@inria.fr; Philippe Carrez, Immersion Tools, Saint-Brieuc, France, philippe.carrez@immersion.tools; Anne-Marie Moigne, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle – UMR 7194, HnHp, MNHN, UPVD, CNRS, Paris, France, anne-marie.moigne@cerptautavel.com; Pooran Memari, LIX, CNRS/Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France, memari@ lix.polytechnique.fr; Bedrich Benes, Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA, bbenes@ purdue.edu; Marie-Paule Cani, LIX, Ecole Polytechnique/CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France, marie-paule.cani@polytechnique.edu.

^{© 2021} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in ACM Transactions on Graphics, https://doi.org/10.1145/3450626.3459952.

Notation	Meaning
FCL	Food Chain Level
K	Number of $FCL - 1$
S^i	Set of species in <i>FCLⁱ</i>
S	Species
С	Confinement region
R(s)	Set of resources in a region accessible by species s
r	Resource
c(s, r)	Consumed resource for species s and resource r
$[r_{min}, r_{max}]$	Temporal variation of resource r
$\mathcal{F}(s,r)$	Fitness ranges $[\mathcal{F}_{\min}(s, r); \mathcal{F}_{\max}(s, r)]$ for species <i>s</i> and resource <i>r</i>
fit(s, R)	Fitness of species s in region R
q(r, C)	Quantity of resource r available in the region C
RAG	Resource Access Graph
v, e, h	Vertices, spatial edges and hierarchical edges of the RAG
$t(v_1, v_2)$	Traveling time between vertices v_1 and v_2
s	Number of specimen of species s
m_s	Average mass of species s
$P_s(v)$	Probability of presence of an animal of species s on the RAG node v
$P_s(v' \mid v)$	Probability of species s to go to node v' if it is currently in node v
$w_{\upsilon\upsilon'}$	Weight of the RAG edge between nodes v and v'
t(s, v)	Average time spent by species s on a vertex v
Planning(h)	List of visited nodes and their timing for a specific herd h

Table 3. Mathematical notations used in the paper.

Table 4. Plant parameters. Triplets denote (*min*, *max*, *consumption*) and geological viability represents specific viability of a species per soil type (limestone, water, marl, fallen rocks, alluvium)

	Illumination	Temperature	Moisture	Texture	Geological viability
Cupressaceae	(7, 9, 2)	(2, 9, 0)	(2, 4, 2)	(2, 8, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Pinus	(7, 9, 5)	(6, 9, 0)	(2, 5, 3)	(1, 7, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Pistacia	(7, 9, 2)	(7, 9, 0)	(3, 4, 2)	(3, 4, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Alnus	(7, 9, 5)	(2, 8, 0)	(4, 8, 5)	(1, 4, 0)	(0, 0.8, 0, 0, 1)
Apiaceae	(3, 9, .2)	(1, 9, 0)	(2, 6, .2)	(1, 7, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Cichorium	(7, 9, .2)	(6, 9, 0)	(4, 6, .2)	(3, 4, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Poaceae	(5, 9, .2)	(1, 9, 0)	(1, 8, .2)	(1, 9, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Asteraceae	(4, 9, .2)	(3, 9, 0)	(1, 7, .2)	(1, 8, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Quercus	(7, 9, 5)	(5, 8, 0)	(3, 6, 5)	(2, 5, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Artemisia	(7, 9, .2)	(2, 5, 0)	(2, 8, .2)	(2, 6, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Betula	(7, 9, 3)	(3, 5, 0)	(4, 7, 3)	(1, 6, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Carpinus	(6, 7, 5)	(6, 7, 0)	(4, 5, 4)	(3, 4, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Corylus	(5, 6, 4)	(5, 6, 0)	(4, 5, 4)	(3, 4, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Plantago	(5, 9, .2)	(1, 9, 0)	(2, 7, .2)	(2, 5, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Pinus mugo	(7, 9, 3)	(2, 4, 0)	(3, 7, 3)	(2, 7, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Quercus ilex	(7, 9, 4)	(7, 9, 0)	(4, 7, 4)	(2, 6, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Rubiaceae	(3, 9, .2)	(2, 9, 0)	(2, 6, .2)	(1, 9, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)
Fabaceae	(6, 9, .2)	(2, 9, 0)	(2, 6, .2)	(2, 8, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1)

Table 5. User study results with end users

User	Gender	Age	Domain of expertise	Career	Level of expertise	Completeness	User control	Realism
1	Male	18-25	Video games, animation	Industry	5	4	4	4
2	Male	18-25	Computer graphics (CG)	Academic	4	4	5	4
3	Female	18-25	CG	Academic	3	5	4	4
4	Male	18-25	CG	Academic	3	4	5	4
5	Female	26-39	CG	Academic	4	4	4	5
6	Male	26-39	Animation, cinematography	Industry	3	5	3	3
7	N/A	40-65	Museography	Industry	5	5	5	5
8	Female	18-25	Animation	Industry	3	4	3	5
9	Male	18-25	CG	Academic	3	5	5	5
10	Female	18-25	CG, Animation, cinematography	Industry	4	4	4	4

ACM Trans. Graph., Vol. 40, No. 4, Article 105. Publication date: August 2021.