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Abstract—It has become a common requirement for testbeds
to provide a service in charge of collecting and exposing met-
rics, thus assisting experimenters with the central task of data
collection. This paper describes Kwollect, a service designed and
developed in the context of the Grid’5000, to collect infrastructure
metrics (including high-frequency wattmeters) and expose them
to experimenters. Kwollect scales to high frequencies of metrics
collection for hundreds of nodes. It can also be leveraged by the
experimenter to collect custom metrics.

Index Terms—testbeds; experiments; metrics collection; mea-
surement; monitoring

I. INTRODUCTION

Most testbed experiments require collecting metrics about
the system, service or application under test, and its sur-
rounding environment. This encompasses different kinds of
metrics, such as (1) metrics about the experimental environ-
ment, reflecting aspects that can influence the experiment – for
example, environmental metrics (temperature, humidity) can
influence performance, as a hotter machine limits the ability
of its CPU to temporarily increase its performance; (2) metrics
that are part of the output of experiments, such as power
consumption of the system under test, measured outside the
node, or inside it, using interfaces such as Intel RAPL; (3)
metrics that help understand the experiment behavior, such as
network metrics as seen from network equipments.

Whether testbeds address experiments on IoT, 5G, HPC or
clouds, it has thus become a common requirement for them to
provide services supporting users through the process of ac-
cessing and collecting those metrics, by providing interfaces to
infrastructure metrics and facilitating data collection. However,
as we will detail later, those services are often limited in terms
of features, and in terms of their ability to scale up to large
number of metrics collected at high frequency.

This paper describes Kwollect, a service to provide access
to infrastructure metrics (including high-frequency wattmeters,
here the name) and facilitate data collection from all nodes
involved in an experiment. It has been developed in the context
of the Grid’5000 testbed, but it is designed to be used on any
infrastructure. Kwollect can collect metrics at high frequency
(sub-second), and then expose them to the experimenter either
through a REST API, or through a web interface that provides
interactive visualization. In addition to providing access to a
wide range of infrastructure metrics, Kwollect can also be
extended by the experimenter to collect additional custom
metrics. Kwollect is also built from the lessons learned while
operating a first version of a monitoring service, Kwapi [1].

The paper is organized as follows. Section II provides
background about Grid’5000 (II-A), and analyzes related work
(II-B). Section III details Kwollect’s design. Its deployment
on Grid’5000, along with an example use case, is presented
in Section IV. Performance is evaluated in Section V.

II. BACKGROUND

A. The Grid’5000 testbed

Grid’5000 [2] focuses on all areas of distributed systems re-
search, including High Performance Computing (HPC), cloud
and edge computing, and AI. It can be seen as an European
equivalent to testbeds like Chameleon and CloudLab.

In the research areas addressed by Grid’5000, the ability to
experiment at scale is crucial, and the testbed optimizes for this
use case, for example by encouraging rather short reservations
of many simultaneous resources. The testbed is composed of
sites, with each site gathering up to 374 nodes (servers), for
a total of 806 nodes. It is not unusual to see experiments
running on hundreds of nodes, which stresses correspondingly
the monitoring service. Also, in those research areas (and
especially in HPC), experimenters are often interested in subtle
behavior that is only visible when metrics are collected at a
high frequency (1 Hz or higher).

The main historical motivation for providing a measurement
service to Grid’5000 users was the very active national re-
search community around energy efficiency. Their experiments
rely heavily on specific hardware (wattmeters) for precise
power measurements, able to uncover the impact of application
behavior on power consumption.

B. Related work

There are three types of solutions that serve similar, yet
different purposes.

Testbed infrastructure monitoring services (TIMS) are ser-
vices that are used by testbed operators to monitor the health
of the testbed, using solutions such as Munin, Nagios, Gan-
glia [3] or more recently Prometheus[4]. Given they serve this
purpose very well, it might be tempting to design a service
exposed to experimenters on top of such solutions. However
those services are generally designed to provide coarse-grained
metrics at low frequency (one measurement every few seconds,
or more), and the design of their metrics storage solution
makes them hardly compatible with the requirements of most
experiments, that need high frequency measurements to understand subtle system or application behavior. In addition, these solutions are primarily designed to monitor metrics collected by nodes’ operating systems. Their ability to monitor external devices, such as Power Delivery Unit (PDU) or server’s Baseboard Management Controller (BMC, such as Dell’s iDRAC) is often provided as a second-class extension, whereas it is a primary objective of Kwollect.

Testbed-provided measurement services (TPMS) are services, like Kwollect, that are responsible for collecting data and exposing it. They can cover infrastructure data (from network equipment, power distribution equipment, baseboard management cards), data from environmental sensors, and also sometimes experimenter-provided data (by running an agent on nodes). A common such service on wireless testbeds, deployed for example on the COSMOS testbed [5], is OML [6, 7] (ORBIT Measurement Library), which is part of the Orbit Management Framework (OMF) [8]. On the Chameleon Cloud testbed, the OpenStack Gnocchi service [9] is used for the same purpose, relying on collectd as the agent running on each node (with data retrieved every 10s), and completing it with power data retrieved over IPMI [10]. On the Grid’5000 testbed, Kwapi [1] was used before Kwollect replaced it. In the past, PlanetLab provided COMon [11] to expose statistical information about testbed nodes and slices allocated to users.

Experimenter-managed measurement services (EMMS) are services installed and managed by the experimenter, as part of the experiment setup, that are responsible for collecting data. They range from basic tracing scripts and system tracing tools (strace, eBPF, etc.) to more complex solutions such as Monex [12], that combines off-the-shelf solutions such as Prometheus, InfluxDB and Grafana.

While TIMS are out of scope for this work, TPMS and EMMS both provide complementary perspectives. With an EMMS, experimenters are fully in charge of designing how data will be extracted from their experiments, which brings a lot of power and flexibility at the expense of the corresponding engineering burden. With a TPMS, the experimenter has to fit into the provided model for metrics collection, but is freed from most of the corresponding engineering burden. A compelling advantage of TPMS over EMMS is that they can provide access to metrics that are not normally accessible to users (data from infrastructure components such as network equipment, power distribution equipment, servers management cards, sensors, etc.) while limiting the impact on the security of the infrastructure, because the necessary filters can be implemented inside the TPMS if needed.

III. KWollect DESIGN

This section describes Kwollect’s design and explain choices that were made. Figure 1 gives an overview of Kwollect components that will be presented here. At its core, Kwollect leverages TimescaleDB (a PostgreSQL extension) to provide efficient metrics storage. Its REST API is directly provided through another PostgreSQL extension, maximizing performance. Metrics are collected by a set of Kwollectors, that are autonomous programs and can run in parallel, to ensure scalability when polling a large number of targets. Finally, a graphical user interface is also provided for interactive visualization. Kwollect is free software. Its source code and installation instructions are available online.

A. Metrics storage

Kwollect uses a PostgreSQL database with its TimescaleDB extension for metric storage. Using a well established relational database such as PostgreSQL delivers many benefits: it allows to associate metrics with other data available to PostgreSQL (made available using PostgreSQL’s foreign data wrappers) using standard SQL joins. It also takes advantage of PostgreSQL’s maturity and rich ecosystem.

However, by default, PostgreSQL do not offer strong performance when managing large timeseries-oriented datasets. A naive approach consisting in inserting data into a single table would not scale, as the table would keep growing which would eventually cause performance problems: table’s indexes would not fit in system memory, causing intensive input/output operations each time data are accessed.

TimescaleDB solves this problem by performing automatic partitioning of tables according to the timestamps of the data being inserted: It assumes that for most workloads, it is likely that data being inserted or accessed relate to a recent time period. Slicing tables such as the most recent partition would always fit in system memory, and would ensure consistent performance over time, even if large amount of data is being inserted.

TimescaleDB also comes with other useful features for timeseries, such as compression, used by Kwollect to decrease disk usage by a factor of 100, continuous data aggregation and customizable retention policy.

B. Metrics format

Kwollect stores every metrics it collects inside a database table named metrics, using the schema described in Table I. This format is largely inspired by Prometheus and its derived standard named OpenMetrics.

Each metric data is associated with this information:

1. https://gitlab.inria.fr/grid5000/kwollect
### C. Collecting metrics

**Kwollectors** are in charge of reading metrics from devices and storing resulting values into the database. Kwollectors are autonomous processes: they only need to access the database and the devices they monitor. Therefore there can be several Kwollectors running to monitor different devices and their deployment can be distributed over additional machines. This design allows reading and storing metrics task to easily scale with a very high number of monitored devices.

The implementation we propose for the main Kwollector currently supports reading metrics from Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), Intelligent Platform Management Interface (IPMI) and Prometheus export protocols. It is written in Python, using the asyncio library to exploit the high concurrency of I/O operations performed by the monitoring task. A single core from a standard server is enough to run a Kwollector that take cares of hundreds of devices with hundreds of metrics each. Kwollectors accept configuration files describing devices and metrics to fetch. An example is provided in Figure 2.

Some Kwollectors dedicated to particular devices have been implemented to address their specificities, such as a Kwollector for high frequency **wattmeters** available in Grid’5000.

#### Table I: Kwollector metrics format used in database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>timestamp</td>
<td>timestamp with time zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>device_id</td>
<td>text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metric_id</td>
<td>text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value</td>
<td>double precision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>labels</td>
<td>jsonb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **name**: bmc_power_watt
  - **device_id**: node-1
  - **url**: snmp://public@node-1-admin.domain.com
  - **update_every**: 5000

- **name**: prom_node_procs_running
  - **device_id**: node-2
  - **url**: prometheus://node-2.domain.com:9100/
  - **update_every**: 15000

**Fig. 2**: Kwollector configuration example

- **timestamp**: The time of the measurement, with micro-second precision;
- **device_id**: The identifier of the device that is being measured;
- **metric_id**: The identifier of the metric being measured. The name should also follow Prometheus guidelines\(^2\), e.g. metric name suffix should indicate metric’s unit;
- **value**: The value of the metric at measurement time, as a floating point number;
- **labels**: Some additional arbitrary values, as JSON (e.g. an alternative name for the device, additional properties that cannot be represented by value field, etc.).

#### D. User API

Kwollect provides a REST API for users to retrieve metrics values. The API accepts parameters such as devices list from which to retrieve values, the start and the end of the time period, or to filter by metric name. It returns results in JSON format. An example of result is provided in Figure 3.

The REST API is provided by PostgREST\(^3\), that provides a REST API over a PostgreSQL database. Existence of PostgREST highlights the benefits of using mainstream storage solution such PostgreSQL: it allows Kwollect to provide an API without any need of in-house software development.

Pushing values to the database is also possible for authenticated users (access control is based on user privileges defined inside the database).

#### E. Graphical interface

Using a PostgreSQL-based storage allows using existing visualization solutions, such as Grafana\(^4\). Kwollect provides a preconfigured Grafana dashboard to display metrics values. An example of such dashboard is given in Figure 4.

As Grid’5000 has specific needs conflicting with what Grafana offers (i.e. we require a more constrained interface as we are facing untrusted users), we also developed an alternative dashboard\(^5\) using Jupyter notebooks and IPywidgets\(^6\), and Voila\(^7\) to convert the notebook to a standard Web application.

---

\(^2\)[https://prometheus.io/docs/practices/naming/]
\(^3\)[https://postgrest.org/]
\(^4\)[https://grafana.com/]
\(^5\)[https://gitlab.inria.fr/grid5000/kwollect-dashboard]
\(^6\)[http://ipython.org/]
\(^7\)[https://github.com/voila-dashboards/voila]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine Name</th>
<th>CPUs</th>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Database Storage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dahu</td>
<td>2x Intel Xeon Gold 6130 (2.10GHz, 16 cores/CPU)</td>
<td>192 GB</td>
<td>HDD (Seagate ST4000NM0265-2DC, 41TB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taurus</td>
<td>2x Intel Xeon E5-2630 (2.30GHz, 6 cores/CPU)</td>
<td>32 GB</td>
<td>SSD NVME (Seagate ST3300657SS RAID0, 598GB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>troll</td>
<td>2x Intel Xeon Gold 5218 (2.30GHz, 16 cores/CPU)</td>
<td>384 GB + 1.5 TiB Intel Optane DC PMEM</td>
<td>SSD NVME (Dell PM1725, 1.6TB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yeti</td>
<td>4x Intel Xeon Gold 6130 (2.10GHz, 16 cores/CPU)</td>
<td>768 GB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II: Hardware characteristics of each server hosting Kwollect in the experimentation setup**

(a) Maximum number of metrics which can be ingested each second, according to the number of workers performing insertions in parallel.

(b) Time needed to retrieve metrics values, according to the number of devices involved (over a period of 10 minutes).

(c) Time needed to retrieve metrics values, according to the length of the time period (requesting values for 10 devices).

Fig. 5: Performance results of the experimentation setup where devices have 100 different metrics monitored every 5 seconds. Each result is the average of 5 measurements (dispersion is negligible).

F. Specific features

The versatility of PostgreSQL allows to implement specific features required by a testbed-oriented monitoring solution directly at the database definition level, without requiring any other development, as illustrated by the following examples.

1) Job scheduler integration: Kwollect provides its users an easy way of retrieving metrics from an experiment. In Grid’5000, experiments are orchestrated by OAR, a job scheduling system (an experiment being represented by a job).

Integrating metrics information with a job scheduler information is performed by PostgreSQL using JOIN operation between metrics table and data imported from OAR. As a result, metrics can be selected according to a job identification number from a PostgreSQL VIEW, which is in turn automatically available through the REST API for users.

2) On demand metrics: To avoid unnecessary disk space and resource consumption, Kwollect allows some metrics not to be collected by default but only on demand. On-demand metrics monitoring must be enabled by filling a dedicated database table, listing devices and on-demand metrics to enable. The table is exposed to Kwollectors to inform them of the on-demand metrics to retrieve on the devices they monitor.

In Grid’5000, not every possible metrics are activated by default, but we allow Kwollect users to enable them by specifying appropriate options to their job submission command. Internally, as information about OAR job scheduler is available to Kwollect’s PostgreSQL, it is straightforward to fill the on-demand metrics table with metrics requested by users for their jobs.

IV. Grid’5000 DEPLOYMENT AND USE CASE SCENARIO

In this section, we present Kwollect deployment on Grid’5000 and give an example scenario demonstrating the use of Kwollect by users.

Grid’5000 is distributed over 9 sites and each of them has an independent Kwollect instance deployed on a virtual machine hosting the database and a single Kwollector to monitor the site’s nodes and devices.

The following metrics are monitored by default:

- Energy consumption measured at the power outlet, every second, for nodes connected to a monitorable PDU or a dedicated wattmeter using SNMP protocol.
- Most important metrics from nodes’ BMC sensors, every 5 seconds using SNMP or IPMI protocols, depending on what the device supports.
- Network equipments traffic, every second using SNMP.
- Most important metrics from Prometheus exporters (including some GPU metrics), every 15 seconds.

In Grid’5000, not every possible metrics are activated by default, but we allow Kwollect users to enable them by specifying appropriate options to their job submission command. Internally, as information about OAR job scheduler is available to Kwollect’s PostgreSQL, it is straightforward to fill the on-demand metrics table with metrics requested by users for their jobs.

We now consider an example use case consisting in an investigation of causes of energy consumed by a server. Using Kwollect, a user is able to visualize energy consumed by a Grid’5000 node over time, using values reported by dedicated wattmeters and node BMC (Figure 6).
After an idle period, the node is rebooted. Power consumption increases as the node is being busy and operating system energy saving mechanisms are missing. Once boot is completed at step 3, node goes back to an idle state.

Measurements performed by the two devices seem sound: they follow the same global evolution, BMC values being shifted by a few seconds in time and a few Watts less.

A remarkable observation is consumption spikes reported by wattmeter devices which are absent from values reported by BMC. One might wonder if they correspond to noise or to an actual consumption which cannot be seen by only looking at consumption reported by BMC, being not precise enough. It appears that some of the spikes are caused by the Prometheus Exporter installed on the node, which is queried every 15 seconds. After stopping it (step 4), the spikes disappear.

This example shows that Kwollect can be used to analyze power consumption, including comparing data from several sources and at different resolutions to analyze spikes. By averaging the values provided by Kwollect during idle steps with or without Prometheus running, we can estimate the power cost of running this service to be 0.35 W.

V. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

In this section, we present Kwollect performance results from two contexts: an experimental setup and the real-world deployment of Kwollect in Grid’5000.

A. Experimentation Setup

We evaluate Kwollect in an experimental setup where 1000 devices are monitored. Each device has 100 different metrics collected every 5 seconds. Database is filled in advance with data representing two days of monitoring (using a longer period would not have any effect, as database partitioning is set to a 2-hour period and compression is enabled for 24-hour-old data). Evaluation is performed with Kwollect installed on a single server. Different server characteristics, described in Table II, are compared to exhibit performance that can be achieved according to hardware available.

We measure maximum metrics ingestion rate using parallel SQL COPY statements (similarly to what Kwollector does) according to the number of parallel workers performing COPYs. We also measure the time needed to retrieve metrics values using the API, using different parameters where the number of devices involved or the time period requested vary. Results are presented in Figure 5.

Maximum ingestion rate is achieved when several workers perform insertions in parallel (16 in our case). Using too many workers is inefficient as ingestion will be limited by storage performance and parallelization overhead. We found that a single instance of Kwollect is able to ingest more than 350000 metrics each second if SSD storage is used.

In our measurement, we observe that requests response time scales linearly with the size of the workload, except for taurus configuration. For the others, we did not get significant differences between the different machines as requested workload were small enough to fit in system memory and not to be limited by storage, but by the CPU. On this kind of hardware, Kwollect is able to serve 500000 metrics values in about 10 seconds. The taurus configuration has less memory and performance degrade once the request size becomes important.

This analysis confirms that, with appropriate hardware, Kwollect can manage several hundreds of thousands of metrics per second and can deliver millions of metrics values to users in less than a minute.

B. Grid’5000 Deployment

We now present some performance results from Kwollect deployment in Grid’5000, from two Grid’5000 sites: Nancy, which is the largest (by default and for results presented in this section, 1350 distinct devices are monitored, for an average of 4000 metrics per seconds) and Lyon, which is of average size, but has many devices monitored by high-frequency wattmeters (by default, 250 devices are monitored giving 850 metrics per second, and in addition for results presented here, 20 high frequency wattmeters performing 50 measures per second each, have been activated on demand). Virtual machines
hosting Kwollect have 4 virtual CPUs, 16 GB or memory, 500GB of storage space (mechanical disks at Lyon, SSD at Nancy). The CPU load of Nancy Kwollect virtual machine under normal service conditions with or without user queries being performed is presented in Figure 7.

Results show that in the absence of requests, less than one unit of CPU is required to run Kwollect, used by the Kwollector to get data from devices and ingest it: Kwollect does not require more than a single CPU to monitor hundred of devices. We also find that one request keeps approximately one CPU busy, indicating CPU requirement to handle more requests in parallel (even if storage performance would become another bottleneck).

Request latency is presented in Figure 8, where users ask Kwollect to get metrics associated to a 5-minute-long job, a two-hour-long job and to this same job, but 24 hours after it has ended (they are requested as soon as the job finishes otherwise). Different job configurations are considered: they might include 2 or 20 nodes (thus including 10 times more metrics) or be located at Nancy or Lyon (thus including 50 wattmeter metrics per nodes and per second of job). The approximate number of metrics corresponding to each request is also indicated on the figure.

Results show that, even on modest hardware in used for Grid’5000 deployment, Kwollect can deliver tens of thousands of values in few seconds and millions of values in few minutes. These durations are largely compatible with the experimentation needs of Grid’5000 users.

VI. Conclusions

In this article, we presented the design, performance evaluation and use cases for Kwollect, a testbed service to collect and expose metrics in the context of experiments. Kwollect has been successfully deployed in the Grid’5000 testbed, met user expectations, and overcame all limitations of our previous solution. We will further extend Kwollect to monitoring more metrics and improve its integration with experiment control tools and libraries. We also now look forward to porting Kwollect to other environments, such as wireless or IoT testbeds.
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