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## Motivation

ZK-STARK protocol is expected to be deployed on top of the Ethereum blockchain within the next year
$\rightarrow$ its security and performance highly depend on the underlying hash function.

Performance. SFH are specified as sequences of low-degree polynomials or low-degree rational maps over a finite field.

## Security.

- algebraic attacks based on Gröbner basis [Albrecht et al. 19]...
- all other cryptanalytic techniques.


## MPC-friendly, Snark-friendly and Stark-friendly primitives

## Objectives:

- minimize the number of multiplications in large fields
- minimize the size of the polynomial relations representing the execution trace over a finite field.


## Examples:

- Cradic [Knudsen Nyberg 92], Misty [Matsui 97]
- MiMC [Albrecht et al. 16]


## SFH contenders

StarkWare challenges https://starkware.co/hash-challenge/

Three families of sponges with different permutations

- SPN with large blocks: Vision $\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{2}^{n}}\right)$ and Rescue $\left(\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right)$ [Aly et al. 19]
- HadesMiMC permutation: Starkad $\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{n}\right)$ and Poseidon $(\mathbb{F} \boldsymbol{p})$ [Grassi et al. 19]
- GMiMC i.e. $\mathrm{GMiMC}_{\text {erf }}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ [Albrecht et al. 19]


## Sponge construction

All candidates follow the same sponge construction with blocksize $\boldsymbol{t}$ and capacity $\boldsymbol{c}$.


## Parameters of the sponge

| security level | $\log _{\boldsymbol{2}} \boldsymbol{q}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 bits | 64 | 4 | 12 | variant 128-d |
|  | 128 | 2 | 4 | variant 128-a |
|  | 128 | 2 | 12 | variant 128-c |
|  | 256 | 1 | 3 | variant 128-b |
|  | 256 | 1 | 11 | variant 128-e |
| 256 bits | 128 | 4 | 8 | variant 256-a |
|  | 128 | 4 | 14 | variant 256-b |

## Performance for 128-bit security

## Best candidate:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Variant 128-d: } \\
t=12 \text { and } c=4 \text { over } \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
\boldsymbol{q}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2^{63} \\
2^{61}+20 \times 2^{32}+1
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

## Compared performance for these parameters

prime fields are more STARK-friendly than binary fields

## Prime field:

1. GMiMC
2. Rescue
3. Poseidon

Binary field:

1. Vision
2. Starkad

## GMiMC

GMiMC with 101 rounds


## A differential distinguisher



## Original analysis:

best attack with a characteristic over $(t+1)$ rounds with probability $\left(2 q^{-1}\right)^{2}$.

## A better differential:

$$
\left(0 \ldots 0, \alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}^{t-2}}\left(\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, 0 \ldots 0\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta, \beta \ldots \beta, \alpha\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}}\left(\beta+\beta^{\prime} \ldots \beta+\beta^{\prime}, \alpha+\beta^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}+\beta\right)
$$

For $\beta^{\prime}=-\boldsymbol{\beta}$, we get an iterative differential

$$
\left(0 \ldots 0, \alpha, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}^{t}}\left(0, \ldots, 0, \alpha+\beta, \alpha^{\prime}+\beta\right) \text { with probability } 2 q^{-1}
$$

## A differential distinguisher

With this $t$-round differential with proba $2 q^{-1}$

- A differential characteristic over the 101 rounds with probability $2^{-480}$ for a $\mathbf{7 3 2}$-bit blocksize.
- With structures, we get valid pairs with complexity $2^{359}$ (full permutation) and valid pairs with complexity less than $2^{128}$ for 58 rounds.
- With a rebound-like technique, we expect to get valid pairs conforming with the differential over 58 rounds with complexity close to $2^{64}$ (on-going work).


## Impossible differentials

Original analysis: best impossible differential over $(2 t-2)$ rounds

A better impossible differential over $(3 t-4)$ :

$$
(0, \ldots, 0, \alpha) \stackrel{\mathcal{R}_{\leftrightarrow}^{3 t-4}}{\leftrightarrow}(\beta, 0, \ldots, 0)
$$

for any nonzero $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \neq \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

## Integral attacks over $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$

When $q=2^{n}$.
For any (affine) subspace $\boldsymbol{V} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ with $\operatorname{dim} \boldsymbol{V}>\operatorname{deg} \boldsymbol{F}$,

$$
\sum_{x \in V} F(x)=0
$$
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Because, for $\boldsymbol{V}=\boldsymbol{b}+\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\rangle$,

$$
D_{a_{1}} D_{a_{2}} \ldots D_{a_{v}} F(b)=\sum_{x \in V} F(x)
$$

Not valid in odd characteric.

But for any $q$

For any exponent $\boldsymbol{k}$ with $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{q}-\mathbf{2}$,

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} x^{k}=0
$$

## General result.

For any $\boldsymbol{F}: \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{F}) \leq \boldsymbol{q}-2$,
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## Less general than the property over $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{n}$ :

For any (affine) subspace $V \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} V>\operatorname{deg} \boldsymbol{F}$,

$$
\sum_{x \in V} F(x)=0
$$

## Integral distinguisher on GMiMC


polynomial in $x$
of degree $\leq q-2$

$$
Q(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_{i}^{t}-(t-2) x_{0}^{t}
$$

## Until the degree does not exceed ( $q-2$ )

Input set.

$$
\mathcal{X}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{t-2}, x\right), x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

After ( $t-1$ ) rounds.

$$
\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}\right), x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

After $r$ rounds, the degree in $x$ of each branch is at most $3^{r}$.
$\Rightarrow$ all branches are balanced if $\mathbf{3}^{r} \leq \boldsymbol{q} \mathbf{- 2}$.

Adding ( $t-2$ ) rounds


The inputs and outputs of Round $\ell$ satisfy

$$
x_{i}^{\ell}-x_{i+1}^{\ell}=x_{i+1}^{\ell-1}-x_{i+2}^{\ell-1}, \quad \forall i \leq t-3
$$

Over $(t-2)$ rounds,

$$
x_{0}^{t-1}-x_{1}^{t-1}=x_{t-2}^{1}-x_{t-1}^{1}
$$

is a polynomial in $x$ of degree $\leq(\boldsymbol{q}-2)$.
$\Rightarrow$ Distinguisher with complexity $q$ on $\left(2 t-3+\left\lfloor\log _{3}(q-2)\right\rfloor\right)$ rounds (59 rounds)

## Adding one more round



The inputs and outputs of Round $\ell$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}^{\ell}=x_{i+1}^{\ell-1}+\left(x_{j}^{\ell}-x_{j+1}^{\ell-1}\right) \text { and } x_{t-1}^{\ell}=x_{0}^{\ell-1} \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{i-0}^{t-1} x_{i}^{\ell-1}-(t-1) x_{j}^{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} x_{i}^{\ell}-(t-1) x_{j-1}^{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

Over $(t-1)$ rounds,

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} x_{i}^{1}-(t-1) x_{t-1}^{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} x_{i}^{t}-(t-1) x_{0}^{t}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Distinguisher with complexity $q$ on $\left(2 t-2+\left\lfloor\log _{3}(q-2)\right\rfloor\right)$ rounds (60 rounds)
A few more rounds with two active branches (on-going work).

Computing backwards

$$
y_{0}^{t} \quad y_{1}^{t} \quad y_{t-2}^{t} y_{t-1}^{t} \quad Q^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{t-2} \boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{t}-(\boldsymbol{t}-2) \boldsymbol{y}_{t-1}^{t}
$$


$\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$

Zero-sum partition on GMiMC on $\left(3 t-3+2\left\lfloor\log _{3}(q-2)\right\rfloor\right)$ rounds (109)

$$
\ell\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{t-1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} x_{i}-(t-2) x_{0} \text { sum to } 0
$$



$$
\ell^{\prime}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{t-1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{t-2} y_{i}-(t-2) y_{t-1} \text { sum to } 0
$$

## HadesMiMC

## HadesMiMC


$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=8$ full rounds and $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{P}}=43$ (binary) and $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{P}}=40$ (prime)

## Resistance against statistical attacks

Analysed without the partial rounds.

## Differential cryptanalysis:

$\boldsymbol{x}^{3}$ has differential uniformity 2 over $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$.
The best differential characteristic satisfies

$$
\mathrm{EDP} \leq\left(\frac{2}{q}\right)^{(t+1) R_{f} / 2}
$$

$\rightarrow R_{f}=6$ are enough.

## Degree of the permutation over $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$

Each coordinate is seen as a multivariate polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$
After $r$ rounds:

$$
\sum_{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right)} \lambda_{u}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} x_{i}^{u_{i}}\right) \text { where } u_{i} \leq 3^{r}
$$

$\Rightarrow 39$ rounds are enough for Poseidon (40 for Starkad) to reach degree $(\boldsymbol{q}-\mathbf{1})$ in each variable
$\Rightarrow\left\lceil\log _{3}(t)\right\rceil$ more rounds are enough to get total degree $(q-1) t$.

Remark: StarkWare challenges with $q \simeq 2^{256}$ and 96 rounds have degree at most $\mathbf{2}^{152}$ in each variable.

## Zero-sum partition over $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$

State after the last full Sbox layer before the partial rounds.

$$
\mathcal{X}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{t-2}, x\right), x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

After 38 rounds forwards.
each coordinate has degree at most $(\boldsymbol{q}-2)$.
Computing backwards.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad S^{-1}: x \mapsto x^{s} \text { with } s=\frac{2 q-1}{3} \\
& \left.\Rightarrow \text { Zero-sum for } R_{f}=2+4 \text { and } \boldsymbol{R}_{P}=34 \text { (35 for Starkad }\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Zero-sum partition over $\mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$



## Improvement when $q=2^{n}$

Each Boolean coordinate is seen as a multivariate polynomial in $\boldsymbol{n t}$ variables over $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Degree over $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{n}$ vs. binary degree.

$$
P(x)=\sum_{u \leq 2^{n}-1} \lambda_{u} x^{u}
$$

has binary degree

$$
\max \left\{w t(u): 0 \leq u<2^{n} \text { and } \lambda_{u} \neq 0\right\}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The inverse Sbox has binary degree $\frac{n+1}{2}$.
Several rounds backwards [Boura, C. 13].

- Two rounds backwards have binary degree $\leq 684$
- Three rounds backwards have binary degree $\leq 748$


## Zero-sum partition over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=3+4$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{P}}=35$

$$
V=\left\{\left(0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t-1}\right), x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}\right\}
$$



When the MDS matrix has a small order

How to propagate a subspace through all partial rounds?
Choose $\boldsymbol{V}$ such that all elements in each coset of $\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{V})$ have the same value on the first coordinate.

$$
L(V) \subset H_{0}=\left\{\left(0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t-1}\right), x_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\boldsymbol{V} \subset\left\langle M_{0}\right\rangle^{\perp}
$$

We can iterate this $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$ times if

$$
\mathcal{V}=H_{0} \cap \bigcap_{r=0}^{R_{P}-1} L^{r}\left(\left\langle M_{0}\right\rangle^{\perp}\right) \neq\{0\}
$$

This holds if $\boldsymbol{L}^{\boldsymbol{r}}=\mathbf{I d}$ for some $\boldsymbol{r} \leq \boldsymbol{t}-\mathbf{2}$.

## When the MDS matrix is an involution

The internal states after each partial layer form a coset of $\boldsymbol{V}$ or of $\boldsymbol{W}=\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{V})$. Special choice for $V$.

$$
\boldsymbol{V}=\left\{\left(x \boldsymbol{v}_{0}, \ldots, x \boldsymbol{v}_{t-1}\right), x \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\right\}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{V}$.
$\Rightarrow$ The outputs of the partial rounds vary in a coset of

$$
\left\{\left(x \boldsymbol{w}_{0}, \ldots, x \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1}\right), x \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\right\}
$$

Forward direction.
Each output coordinate is a polynomial in $x$ of degree at most $3^{R_{f} / 2} \leq q-2$.
$\Rightarrow$ The output coordinates sum to zero.

Zero-sum partition with $R_{f}=2+4$ and any $R_{P}$ with complexity $q$


## Open question on the complexity of algebraic attacks

Input: $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t-k}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{t - k}}$ and $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$
Find $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$ such that

$$
\pi\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t-k}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots y_{t-k}\right) \text { for some } y_{1}, \ldots y_{t-k}
$$

Degree of the univariate polynomial of the lexicographical Gröbner basis [FaugèrePerret].

$$
D=3^{k R_{f}+R_{P}-2 k+1}
$$

Complexity for solving the system $=D^{2}$.
Variants aiming at 256 -bit security have $D \simeq 2^{170}$.

# Vision and Rescue 

Vision (20 rounds)


Rescue (20 rounds)


## Degree of Rescue

Activate one input coordinate $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$
After one round.

$$
\lambda x^{1 / 3}+\mu
$$

$\Rightarrow$ degree $\frac{2 p-1}{3}$

## After the second Sbox layer.

$$
\left(\lambda x^{1 / 3}+\mu\right)^{3}
$$

which contains only monomials $x^{1 / 3}, x^{2 / 3}, x$ and a constant term.
$x^{2 / 3}$ has degree $\frac{p+1}{3}$.
$\Rightarrow$ The degree does not increase between the first and second round.
But even by activiting more inputs, we cannot find an integral attack on more than 4 rounds.

## Conclusions

We need to find the right tools for analyzing symmetric primitives over non-binary fields:

- linear attacks and their variants?
- more general integral attacks?


## Open question:

Does the form of $q$ affect the security?
For instance, if $p=2^{2^{n}}+1$ ?

