

Stochastic modeling of the oceanic mesoscale eddies

Long Li, Bruno Deremble, Noé Lahaye, Etienne Mémin

▶ To cite this version:

Long Li, Bruno Deremble, Noé Lahaye, Etienne Mémin. Stochastic modeling of the oceanic mesoscale eddies. STUOD 2021 - 6th Sandbox Stochastic Transport in Upper Ocean Dynamics Workshop, Feb 2021, London, United Kingdom. pp.1-24, 10.5194/egusphere-egu21-15010 . hal-03140513

HAL Id: hal-03140513 https://inria.hal.science/hal-03140513

Submitted on 15 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Stochastic modeling of the oceanic mesoscale eddies The 6th STUDO Sandbox Workshop — 12 February 2021

Long Li¹, Bruno Deremble², Noé Lahaye¹ and Etienne Mémin¹

Inria Rennes Bretagne Atlantique, France

² Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement, France





I. Can LU model better represent the mesoscale eddies effect on the large-scale circulation?

ocean models?



II. Can LU model improve the internal variability of coarse-resolution







Dynamical core

Quasi-Geostrophic Coupled Model (Q-GCM) [http://q-gcm.org]



• Evolution of k-th layer potential vorticity (PV)

$$\partial_t q_k = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{u}_k q_k) + \frac{A_2}{f_0} \nabla^4 p_k - \frac{A_4}{f_0} \nabla^6 p_k$$

• Update k-th layer pressure, height and velocity

$$q_{k} = \frac{1}{f_{0}} \nabla^{4} p_{k} + \beta (y - y_{0}) + \frac{f_{0}}{H_{k}} (\eta_{k} - \eta_{k-1})$$
$$\eta_{k} = \frac{p_{k+1} - p_{k}}{g'_{k}}, \quad g'_{k} = \frac{g(\rho_{k+1} - \rho_{k})}{\rho_{0}}$$
$$u_{k} = \frac{1}{f_{0}} \nabla^{\perp} p_{k}$$

Evolution of mixed layer temperature (or SST)

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{u}_m &= \boldsymbol{u}_1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\perp}}{f_0 H_m} \\ \partial_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} T_m &= -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \left(\boldsymbol{u}_m T_m \right) + K_0 \nabla^2 T_m - K_4 \nabla^4 \boldsymbol{\tau} \end{split}$$



Eddy-resolving simulations

Year = 100.0







Upper-layer PV ($\Delta x = 5 \text{ km}, L_d = [39,22] \text{ km}$) of Exp.1 (without SST)



Eddy-resolving simulations

Year = 100.0





Upper-layer PV ($\Delta x = 5 \text{ km}, L_d = [39,22] \text{ km}$) of Exp.2 (with SST)



Stochastic QG equations

- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \boldsymbol{B}_t$ • k-th layer eddy velocity noise (m/s)
- k-th layer variance tensor (m²/s²)
- $\partial_t q_k = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{u}_k)$ • Evolution of *k*-th layer PV

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{k} = \left[-\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k}\dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_{t} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\right)q_{k} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\boldsymbol{\nabla}q_{k} - \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\perp}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k}\dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_{t} + \boldsymbol{a}_{k}\boldsymbol{\nabla}f\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1,2}\partial_{x_{i}}^{\perp}\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\boldsymbol{\nabla}u_{k}^{i}\right]$$
Advection flux Diffusion flux Sources flux Sinks flux

Evolution of SST

$$\partial_t T_m = -\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{u}_m T_m) + K_2 \nabla^2 T_m - K_4 \nabla^4 T_m + w_0 \frac{T_1 + T_m}{2H_m} - \mathbf{F}_m + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_m$$
$$\boldsymbol{F}_m = -\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t - \frac{1}{2} \,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_1\right) T_m + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{a}_1 \boldsymbol{\nabla} T_m$$

$$= -\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{u}_m T_m) + K_2 \nabla^2 T_m - K_4 \nabla^4 T_m + w_0 \frac{T_1 + T_m}{2H_m} - \mathbf{F}_m + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F}_m$$
$$\boldsymbol{F}_m = \boxed{-\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_1\right) T_m} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{a}_1 \nabla T_m$$

 $\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_k}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbb{E}\Big[\big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t]\big)\big(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t]\big)^T\Big]$

$$(x_k q_k) + \frac{A_2}{f_0} \nabla^4 p_k - \frac{A_4}{f_0} \nabla^6 p_k - \frac{f_0}{H_k} (w_k - w_{k-1}) + \nabla q_k$$



Data-driven modeling



$$-\mathcal{F})\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{HR}} - \overline{\mathcal{F}}\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{HR}} + (1 - \mathcal{F})\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{HR}}$$

$$+ (1 - \mathcal{F})\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{HR}} - \overline{(1 - \mathcal{F})}\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{HR}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\star} \neq 0 \text{ if } \mathcal{F}^{2} \neq \mathcal{F} \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\star} = -\mathcal{F}\overline{(1 - \mathcal{F})}\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{HR}} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{k}^{\star} = -\mathcal{F}\overline{(1 - \mathcal{F})}\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{HR}}$$

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{k}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{n=M_{0}}^{M_{1}} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k,n} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{k,n}^{\mathrm{T}}$$



Data-driven modeling

(ii) LU-POD-P

$$\mathbf{P}_{k} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta_{k}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta_{k})^{T}}{\|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta_{k}\|^{2}}, \quad \theta_{k} = \frac{f_{0}}{H_{k}}(\eta_{k} - \eta_{k-1})$$

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_k \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t = \mathbf{P}_k \overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_k \dot{\boldsymbol{B}}_t, \quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_k = \mathbf{P}_k \, \boldsymbol{a}_k \, \mathbf{P}_k^T$$
LU-POD





Illustration of noises



Coarse-resolution simulations



Year = 100.0

LU-POD

Year = 100.0



Upper-layer PV ($\Delta x = 80$ km, $L_d = [39,22]$ km) of Exp.1 (without SST)

LU-POD-P

Year = 100.0





Comparison of jet velocity magnitude

] - 		-							
	_							-	-
-	-	÷	 		ŝ	-	-		_
-	-		 	-	.1	-	-	-	-
_	_		 	-		_	_	_	
					ł				
			Ĵ		2	Ĩ	Ĵ	_	-
					1				
-	-		 		ť,	-	-	-	1
-	-	- 1	 	-		-	-	-	-
-	-	÷	 	-	÷	-	-	-	
-	_		 		2		_		
							_		
					ł				
-	-	1		-	2		-		-
-	-		 		7	-	-	-	-
 -	-	1	 	-	ť,	-	-		
 -	-	- 1		-		-	-	-	-
 	-	-	 		5		-	-	
-	-	- 1	 	-	÷.		-	-	-

Coarse-resolution simulations

LR

Year = 100.0

LU-POD

Year = 100.0



Upper-layer PV ($\Delta x = 80$ km, $L_d = [39,22]$ km) of Exp.2 (with SST)

LU-POD-P

Year = 100.0





Statistical prediction



-0.60-0.45-0.30-0.15 0.00 0.15 0.30 0.45 0.60

Comparison of mean (60-180 yrs) contour of pressure for coarse-model (120 km) simulations (Exp.1)

-0.60-0.45-0.30-0.150.000.150.300.450.60

13

Statistical prediction



 $-0.90 \ -0.60 \ -0.30 \ 0.00 \ 0.30 \ 0.60 \ 0.90$

-0.90 -0.60 -0.30 0.00 0.30 0.60 0.90

-0.90 -0.60 -0.30 0.00 0.30 0.60 0.90

 $-0.90 \ -0.60 \ -0.30 \ 0.00 \ 0.30 \ 0.60 \ 0.90$

Comparison of mean (60-180 yrs) contour of pressure for coarse-model (120 km) simulations (Exp.2)

14

Measures of statistics



 $||f||_A = \left(\frac{1}{|A|} \int \int f^2 \, \mathrm{d}A\right)^{1/2}$



Comparison of statistical measures (integrated vertically) for pressures models (Exp.2)







Statistical prediction



Energy analysis



Comparison of KE spectral density averaged in time (60-75 yrs) and in layers for different coarse models





KE decomposition

LR

POD

POD-P

1000

800

600





Energy analysis

Comparison of EKE (integrated over layers) for different coarse models (Solid lines – standing EKE, Dashed lines transient EKE)





• KE contributions



Energy analysis

$\langle f,g\rangle_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \int \int fg \,\mathrm{d}A$



Energy analysis



Comparison of contributions to the rate of KE for different coarse models (Exp.1)



		_	_	_	٦
					I
					I
					1
					I
-					ł
					I
					1
					I
					ł
					I
					I
					1
					I
-		-			ł
					I
	i.				I
					1
					I
					ł
					I
					I
					1
					I
					1
					I
					I
					1
					I
1	٨			-	ł
,	1	∽		Г	١
		-		,	I
		۰.	ā.	-	1
			N	1	I
١	1	V		- \	
	y				1
					I
					i
					I
				_	
1					1
					I
		-	-		ţ
					1

Energy analysis



Comparison of contributions to the rate of KE for different coarse models (Exp.2)



- jet on coarse mesh.
- improve the low-frequency variability of the large-scale circulation.

• The correction drift is found to be important in reproducing the meandering

The non-stationary noise based on the projection method enables us to







Week = 52



10

5

0

-5

-10

 s^{-1}

 $q (10^{-5};$



Working on ...









