

Stochastic modeling of mesoscale eddies in barotropic wind-driven circulation

Long Li, Werner Bauer, Etienne Mémin

To cite this version:

Long Li, Werner Bauer, Etienne Mémin. Stochastic modeling of mesoscale eddies in barotropic winddriven circulation. Workshop on Stochastic Parameterizations and Their Use in Data Assimilation, Jul 2019, London, United Kingdom. hal-02712112

HAL Id: hal-02712112 <https://inria.hal.science/hal-02712112v1>

Submitted on 1 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Stochastic modeling of mesoscale eddies in barotropic wind–driven circulation **IRMAR**

Long Li¹, Werner Bauer¹, Etienne Mémin¹

1 INRIA/IRMAR, Fluminance Group, Campus Universitaire de Beaulieu, F–35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Abstract

where the mesoscale eddy is represented by a time– uncorrelated noise with $\xi_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ i.i.d., yet cor-

We propose to follow a recent stochastic quasi–geostrophic model [4] derived from a decomposition of the flow into a resolved component and a time–uncorrelated uncertainty [3]. One important characteristic of this random model is that it conserves the total energy along each realization. Such a stochastic principle has been tested for the numerical simulation of the wind-driven circulation in a shallow ocean basin [1]. The numerical assement shows that the proposed random model can well capture on a coarse mesh the correct four–gyre time–averaged circulation structure, as predicted by a direct numerical simulation at a much finer resolution.

 $\mathbf{Q}_t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum \lambda_k \mathbf{\Phi}_k(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{\Phi}_k^T(\mathbf{y})$ (2) k

where $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \triangleq \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{2}$ $\frac{d}{d}^t\boldsymbol{Q}_t(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x})$ is defined as a one–point one–time variance tensor and the eddies are assumed to be incompressible, i.e. $\nabla \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t = 0$. 3. Derivation of QG Model.

Model

1. Decomposition of Eddy–Mean Flow.

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{X}_t}{\mathrm{d}t} = \boldsymbol{u} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k(\boldsymbol{x}) \xi_k \quad (1)
$$

related in space with its covariance operator defined as:

where $q = Ro\nabla^2\psi + y$ is the potential vorticity in the beta plane with Ro the Rossby number, ψ is the mean velocity streamfunction, J is the Jacobian operator, $F = \sin(\pi y)$ is the symmetric double–gyre forcing, and D is an enstrophy-dissipation operator which can be given by $(\frac{\delta_2}{L})$ L $\int^3 \nabla^4 \psi \, \text{ or } \left(\frac{\delta_4}{L} \right)$ L $\int_0^5 \nabla^6 \psi$, with δ_2, δ_4 the width of the Munk boundary layer. More detais on the physical mechanism is referred to [1]. We denote that, without any forcing and dissipation, SBVE conserves the mean kinetic energy along each realization:

> d dt Z Ω 1 2 $\|\nabla \psi\|^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 0.$ (7)

2. Stochastic Reynolds Transport Theorem (SRRT). Following the framework of [3], the rate of change of a scalar process θ within a volume, transported by the random flow (1) is given by

2) Apply singular value decomposition (SVD) of fluctuations to get a set of empirical orthogonal functions (\textrm{EOFs}) : $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t^{(L)}$ $\mathcal{E}_t^{(L)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_o-1} \sqrt{\lambda_k} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k^{(L)}$ √ $_{k}^{(L)}\xi_{k};$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \theta \mathrm{d}V = \int_{\mathcal{V}(t)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{D}\theta}{\mathrm{D}t} + \theta \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{a}) \right) \mathrm{d}V \tag{3}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\mathrm{D}\theta}{\mathrm{D}t} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} + \dot{\eta}_t) \cdot \nabla \theta - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij}\theta) \tag{4}
$$

3) Adapt variance to grid scale (l) using a turbulence power–law [2]: $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t^{(l)}$ $\binom{l}{t} = \bigl(\frac{l}{L}$ L $)^{1/3}\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t^{(L)}$ $\frac{(L)}{t}$.

 $K_{0.03}$ 0.04

4. Stochastic Barotropic Vorticity Equation (SBVE). The governing equations for SBVE can be written in dimensionless form as:

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + J(\psi, q) = F + D + M \qquad (5)
$$

$$
M = \mathbf{e}_3 \cdot \nabla \times \left((\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \partial_{ij}^2 (a_{ij} \boldsymbol{u}) \right) + \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_t \quad (6)
$$

Figure 1: Test of convergences for the stationary states – From left to right: time serie of kinetic energy; RMSEs of statistics for streamfunction by perturbation of time intervals; RMSEs of statistics for potential vorticity.

On–line learning of eddy's EOFs from mean flow

Method: 1) Sliding window to draw N_o pseudo– observations at each grid point, removing average to substract fluctuations within each window size (L) : \boldsymbol{u}_1' $L' = \boldsymbol{u}_L - \langle \boldsymbol{u}_L \rangle;$

Benefits: parameter–free, no need of data, time– dependent EOFs, scaling auto–adapted.

Figure 2: Comparison of contour plots for different statistics: left top three – time–averaged streamfunctions; right top three – time–averaged potential vorticity; left bottom three – eddy kinetic energy (EKE); right top three – eddy potential enstrophy (EPE).

Time–statistical nature of double–gyre circulation

0.05

0.06

DNS SBVE LES DNS SBVE LES

Conclusion

 $\overline{0}$

 0.01

 \leq 0.02

The proposed random model has an explicit eddy representation which respects a set of physical consistency laws, such as SRRT (3) and energy conservation (7). From the numerical results of the time–statistics, we understand that the random model helps us to correct the wrong numerical dissipation of energy due to the Laplacian/Hyper–viscosity. It results that the physical four–gyre pattern in time average are recovered on a coarse–grid computation. In another work, the performance of our random model has been evaluated and analyzed in terms of uncertainty quantification and ensemble forecasting. Therein, results confirm that the spread is more accurate compared to a deterministic model with a pertubation of the initial condition. This ability is in particular essential for data assimilation applications. For future work, we attempt to move on to a multilayer model including baroclinicity. The objective will be to understand more about the isopycnal mixing through a specific parametrization.

References

- [1] D.D. Holm, B.T. Nadiga: Modeling mesoscale turbulence in the barotropic double–gyre circulation, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 2355–2365 (2003)
- [2] S. Kadri Harouna, E. Mémin: Stochastic representation of the Reynolds transport theorem: revisiting large–scale modeling, Computers and Fluids, 456–469 (2017)
- [3] E. Mémin: Fluid flow dynamics under location uncertainty, Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics, 119–146 (2014)
- V. Resseguier, E. Mémin, B. Chapron: Geophysical flows under location uncertainty, Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics, 177–208 (2017)