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Robust stability analysis and implementation of Persidskii systems

D. Efimov, A. Yu. Aleksandrov

Abstract—A class of generalized nonlinear Persidskii systems is considered in the paper. The conditions of input-to-state and integral input-to-state stability are established, which can be checked using linear matrix inequalities. The issues of discretization of this class of dynamics are analyzed using the Euler methods. The proposed theory is applied to a Lotka–Volterra model.

Analysis of stability of nonlinear dynamical systems is a complex problem, that is why there are various notions and concepts used in the theory of control and systems [1]. The main approach for verification of stability in the nonlinear framework is based on the Lyapunov function method [2]–[4] and its extensions [5]–[8]. The drawback of this approach is that there is no technique assigning a corresponding Lyapunov function to a generic nonlinear system. There are solutions for different canonical forms of nonlinear dynamics: Lurie systems, Lipschitz dynamics, homogeneous systems, Persidskii systems, etc.

In this note the attention is focused on a class of Persidskii systems, which have been first studied in [9] with the Lyapunov functions being a linear combination of the integrals of the nonlinearities, and next by Persidskii in [10], where he extended the previous work by introducing in Lyapunov function a combination of the absolute values of the states. This class of systems has been widely investigated in the context of diagonal stability [11], neural networks [12]–[14] and in other applications [15]. In this paper we are going to further extend these results.

The stability properties, which are studied in this work, are formulated in the framework of input-to-state stable systems [16]. These concepts allow the robust stability of nonlinear systems to be assessed with respect to external inputs, which is important and appreciated in the applications, where presence of different sources of uncertainty is inevitable.

The principal goal in this work is to introduce a class of generalized Persidskii systems and to analyze their robust stability. To this end a special structure of Lyapunov function is proposed, and it is shown that its properties, as well as for its derivative, can be investigated by solving linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). Next, it is demonstrated that for discretization of this class of nonlinear dynamical systems, the Euler method has an advantage to preserve the stability of trajectories under mild restrictions (for nonlinear systems it is not always the case [17], [18]). Finally, a Lotka–Volterra system is considered as an example of application of the proposed theory demonstrating its efficiency.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Preliminary results are introduced in Section I. The problem statement is given in Section II. Stability conditions are established in Section III. The implementation issues are studied in Section IV. Simple illustrating application is considered in Section V.

Notation

- $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0\}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real number.
- $\left|\cdot\right|$ denotes the absolute value in $\mathbb{R}$, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- For a (Lebesgue) measurable function $d : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^m$ define the norm $\|d\|_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}} = \sup_{t_0 \leq t < t_1} \|d(t)\|$, then $\|d\|_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}} = \|d\|_{\mathbb{R}_+} \leq +\infty$ we further denote as $\mathcal{L}^{m}_{\infty}$ (the set of essentially bounded measurable functions).
- A continuous function $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}$ if $\alpha(0) = 0$ and the function is strictly increasing. The function $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_\infty$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ and it is increasing to infinity. A continuous function $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{KL}$ if $\beta(s, t) \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ for each fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \beta(s, t) = 0$ for each fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- The notation $DV(x)f(x)$ stands for the directional derivative of a continuously differentiable function $V$ with respect to the vector field $f$ evaluated at point $x$.
- Denote the identity matrix of dimension $n \times n$ by $I_n$, the vector of dimension $n$ with all elements equal 1 by $1_n$, and $\text{diag}\{g\}$ represents a diagonal matrix of dimension $n \times n$ with a vector $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on the main diagonal.
- A series of integers $1, 2, ..., n$ is denoted by $\overline{1, n}$.

I. Preliminaries

Consider a nonlinear system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), d(t)), \; t \geq 0,$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state, $d(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the external input, $d \in \mathcal{L}^{m}_{\infty}$, and $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally Lipschitz (or Hölder) continuous function. $f(0, 0) = 0$. In some cases the system (1) is equipped with an output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$:

$$y = h(x),$$

where $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ is a continuous function. For an initial condition $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and input $d \in \mathcal{L}^{m}_{\infty}$, define the corresponding solutions by $x(t, x_0, d)$ for any $t \geq 0$ for which the solution exists.

In this work we will be interested in the following stability properties [19]:

Definition 1. The system (1) is called input-to-state practically stable (ISpS), if for any input $d \in \mathcal{L}^{m}_{\infty}$ and any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there are some functions $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$ and a constant $c \geq 0$ such that $||x(t, x_0, d)|| \leq \beta(||x_0||, t) + \gamma(||d||_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}}) + c \; \forall t \geq 0$. 
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The function $\gamma$ is called nonlinear asymptotic gain. The system is called input-to-state stable (ISS) if $c = 0$.

**Definition 2.** The system (1) is called iISS, if there are some functions $\alpha \in K_\infty$, $\gamma \in K$ and $\beta \in KL$ such that for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $d \in C^0_{\text{loc}}$ the estimate holds:

$$\alpha(||x(t, x_0, d)||) \leq \beta(||x_0||, t) + \int_0^t \gamma(||d(s)||) \, ds \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

**Definition 3.** The system (1), (2) with $d = 0$ is called Output-to-State Stable (OSS), if there are functions $\beta \in KL$ and $\gamma \in K$ such that for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$||x(t, x_0)|| \leq \beta(||x_0||, t) + \gamma(||y||_{0, t}) \quad \forall t \in [0, t_{\text{max}}(x_0)).$$

In this definition $t_{\text{max}}(x_0) \leq +\infty$ determines the interval of solutions existence for the system (1), (2); $y(t) = h(x(t, x_0, 0))$ is the output solution.

**Definition 4.** The system (1), (2) is called input-output-to-state stable (IOSS) if there are functions $\beta \in KL$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in K$ such that for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d \in \mathcal{L}^\infty_{\text{loc}}$ and all $t \in [0, t_{\text{max}}(x_0, d))$,

$$||x(t, x_0, d)|| \leq \beta(||x_0||, t) + \gamma_1(||d||_{0, t}) + \gamma_2(||y||_{0, t}).$$

Where again $t_{\text{max}}(x_0, d) \leq +\infty$ defines the interval of existence of the system (1), (2) solutions, $y(t) = h(x(t, x_0, d))$ is the corresponding output trajectory.

These properties have the following Lyapunov function characterizations:

**Definition 5.** A smooth function $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called ISpS Lyapunov function for the system (1) if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and some $r \geq 0$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in K_\infty$ and $\theta \in K$:

$$\alpha_1(||x||) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(||x||),$$

$$DV(x)f(x, d) \leq r + \theta(||d||) - \alpha_3(||x||).$$

Such a function $V$ is called ISS Lyapunov function if $r = 0$, and it is iISS Lyapunov function if instead $\alpha_3 : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a positive definite function.

Note that an ISS Lyapunov function can also satisfy the following equivalent condition for some $\chi \in K$:

$$||x|| > \chi(||d||) \Rightarrow DV(x)f(x, d) \leq -\alpha_3(||x||).$$

**Definition 6.** A smooth function $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called OSS Lyapunov function for the system (1), (2) with $d = 0$ if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there are functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in K_\infty$, $\sigma \in K$ such that:

$$\alpha_1(||x||) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(||x||),$$

$$DV(x)f(x, 0) \leq -\alpha_3(||x||) + \sigma(||y||).$$

**Definition 7.** A smooth function $V$ is called IOSS Lyapunov function for the system (1), (2) if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and some functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in K_\infty$, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K$ the inequalities hold:

$$\alpha_1(||x||) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(||x||),$$

$$DV(x)f(x, d) \leq -\alpha_3(||x||) + \sigma_1(||d||) + \sigma_2(||y||).$$

**Theorem 1.** The system (1) is ISS (ISpS, iISS) iff it admits an ISS (ISpS, iISS) Lyapunov function.

**Theorem 2.** The system (1), (2) is IOSS (OSS for $d = 0$) iff it admits an IOSS (OSS) Lyapunov function.

Note that, if the system (1) is ISS, then it is also iISS.

**II. PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Consider the following class of extended Persidskii systems:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_jf_j(x(t)) + d(t), \ t \geq 0, \ (3)$$

$$y(t) = h(x(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} C_0x(t) \\ C_1f_1(x(t)) \\ \vdots \\ C_Mf_M(x(t)) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $x(t) = [x_1(t) \ldots x_n(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector, $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the output signal, $p = \sum_{k=0}^{M} p_k$ and $C_k \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ for $k = 0, \ldots, M$ (some $p_k$ can be zero that implies independence of the output on $f^k(x)$); and $d(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the external perturbation, $d \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$. $f^j(x) = [f^j_1(x_1) \ldots f^j_n(x_n)]^T$, $j = 1, \ldots, M$ are the functions ensuring existence of solutions of the system (3) in the forward time at least locally, the matrices $A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for $k = 0, \ldots, M$.

**Assumption 1.** For any $i = \overline{1, n}$, $\overline{j = 1, M}$:

$$s_{f_i^j}(s) > 0 \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\};$$

there exists $m \in \{0, \ldots, M\}$ such that for all $i = \overline{1, n}$, $z = \overline{1, m}$:

$$\lim_{s \to \pm \infty} f_i^j(s) = \pm \infty;$$

there exists $\mu \in \{m, \ldots, M\}$ such that for all $i = \overline{1, n}$, $z = \overline{1, \mu}$:

$$\lim_{s \to \pm \infty} \int_0^s f_i^j(s) \, ds = +\infty.$$

In the assumption above, first, it is stated that all nonlinearities belong to a sector and may take zero values at zero only. Second, it is supposed that some of the nonlinearities are radially unbounded, and $m = 0$ corresponds to the case when all nonlinearities are bounded (at least for negative or positive argument). Finally, it is stated that some of these nonlinearities have unbounded integral, and clearly if $m > 0$, then all radially unbounded nonlinearities also have unbounded integrals, thus $\mu \geq m$ due to the introduced sector condition. Such an ordering of $f^{j}$ can be obtained after a proper re-indexing and decomposition of a nonlinear system dynamics, and the principal restriction is the sector condition.

If $A_r = 0$ for all $r = \overline{0, M-1}$ and $\mu = m = M$, then we recover the system studied by Persidskii in the conventional framework [10].

Our goal is to propose constructive conditions to check for (3) on possessiveness of ISS, iISS, OSS and IOSS properties.

**III. STABILITY CONDITIONS**

In this section, for two diagonal matrices $\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, their maximum $\max_{x=1,2} \Lambda^2$ is understood element-wise. The main result of this paper is as follows:

**Theorem 3.** Let Assumption 1 be satisfied and there exist $P = P^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$; $\Xi^k = \text{diag}(\xi^k)$ with $\xi^k = [\xi^k_1 \ldots \xi^k_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $k = 0, \ldots, M$; $\Lambda^1 = \text{diag}(\lambda^1)$ with $\lambda^1 = [\lambda^1_1 \ldots \lambda^1_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $j = \overline{1, M}$; $\Upsilon_{s,j} = \text{diag}(\upsilon^{s,j})$ with $\upsilon^{s,j} = [\upsilon^{s,j}_1 \ldots \upsilon^{s,j}_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n$
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $z = \Gamma \mu$, then the corresponding LMIs in (4) can be replaced with the following ones:

$$\Lambda^j \geq 0, \quad j = \Gamma, \bar{M}; \quad \Xi^k \geq 0, \quad k = 0, \bar{M};$$

$$P + \sum_{s=1}^{\mu} \kappa_z \min_{i=1}^{\bar{M}} \lambda_i^k I_n > 0;$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M} \Xi^k + 2 \sum_{j=s+1}^{\bar{M}} \sum_{k=0}^{M} \Upsilon_{s,j} > 0; \quad Q \leq 0,$$

where

$$Q = \begin{cases}
Q_{1,1} & Q_{1,2} & Q_{1,3} & \cdots & Q_{1,M+1} & P \\
Q_{1,2} & Q_{2,2} & Q_{2,3} & \cdots & Q_{2,M+1} & \Lambda^1 \\
Q_{1,3} & Q_{2,3} & Q_{3,3} & \cdots & Q_{3,M+1} & \Lambda^2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
Q_{1,M+1} & Q_{2,M+1} & Q_{3,M+1} & \cdots & Q_{M,M+1} & \Lambda^M \\
P & \Lambda^1 & \Lambda^2 & \cdots & \Lambda^M & -\Gamma
\end{cases}$$

Then the system (3) is

- iISS for $\chi = 0$;
- ISS provided that $m \geq 1$, $\chi = 0$ and the last condition in (4) is strengthened to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M} \Xi^k + 2 \sum_{s=0}^{M} \sum_{j=s+1}^{\bar{M}} \Upsilon_{s,j} > 0;$$

- IOSS if the conditions of ISS are verified with some $\chi > 0$.

All proofs are skipped due to space limitations. The proof of this theorem is based on analysis of Lyapunov function

$$V(x) = x^T P x + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^j \int_0^s f_i^j(s) ds,$$

whose desired properties follow the introduced hypotheses and (4).

In this result, the matrices $P$ and $\Lambda^j$ for $j = \Gamma, \bar{M}$ serve as solutions of the Lyapunov equation for the matrices $A_0$ and $A_j$ for $j = \Gamma, \bar{M}$, respectively. The matrices $\Xi^k$ for $k = 0, \bar{M}$ are used in $Q$ in order to relax the stability requirements for each matrix $A_k$ for $k = 0, \bar{M}$ by looking for their total influence on the system performance (i.e. the condition $\sum_{k=0}^{M} \Xi^k > 0$). The matrices $\Upsilon_{s,j}$ for $s = 0, \bar{M}$ and $j = s+1, \bar{M}$ are introduced in order to reduce the conservatism of the condition $Q \leq 0$, since all cross-terms of the form $x_i f_i^j(x_i)$ or $f_i^j(x_i) f_i^j(x_i)$ with $i = \Gamma, \bar{M}$, which appear in the off-diagonal blocks of $Q$, should not be considered as “perturbations” in verification of the restriction $Q \leq 0$ provided that they have a non-positive multiplier due to the sector properties of the functions $f_i^j$.

Remark 1. We can also relax the conditions on positiveness of the matrices $\Lambda^j$ for $z = \Gamma, \mu$ if we assume, for example, that there exist $\kappa_z > 0$ such that

$$\|f^z(x)\|^2 \geq \kappa_z \|x\|^2$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $z = \Gamma \mu$, then each corresponding system (3) can be written as

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

with $P = 0$, whose derivative can be rewritten as follows (denote $\text{sign}(x) = [\text{sign}(x_1) \ldots \text{sign}(x_n)]^T$ and $\Lambda = \text{diag}([\lambda])$ with $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$):

$$\dot{V} = x^T \Lambda \text{sign}(x) = (A_0 x + \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_j f^j(x) + d)^T \Lambda \text{sign}(x).$$

Thus, if the following linear programming problem with respect to $\lambda$ and $\xi^k = [\xi^1_k \ldots \xi^\mu_k]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n, k = 0, \bar{M}$ is satisfied:

$$A_k \lambda = -\xi^k \leq 0, \quad k = 0, \bar{M}; \quad \sum_{k=0}^{M} \xi^k > 0,$$

then

$$\dot{V} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_0^i |x_i| - \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi^j_i |f_i^j(x_i)| + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i |d_i|,$$
and the same conclusions can be deduced. The shortage of this
approach is that in such a case all matrices $A_k$ for $k = 0, M$ have
to possess a common Lyapunov function.

The statement of Theorem 3 and the remarks above describe the
basic ideas of the approach and various relaxations or auxiliary
results that can be obtained around (these developments are left for
future research). Let us also formulate the OSS case, which can also
be useful for stability analysis:

**Corollary 1.** Let all conditions of Theorem 3 be satisfied for $\Gamma = 0$
and

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix}
    Q_{1,1} & \cdots & Q_{1,M+1} \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    Q_{(M+1),1} & \cdots & Q_{(M+1),(M+1)}
\end{bmatrix},$$

where the elements of the matrix $Q$ are given in Theorem 3. Then
the system (3) with $\eta(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$
- globally asymptotically stable with $\chi = 0$;
- OSS provided that $\gamma \geq 1, \chi > 0$ and the last condition in (4)
is strengthened to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \Xi^k + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{j=s+1}^{m} \gamma x, j > 0.$$

**IV. IMPLEMENTATION WITH THE USE OF EULER METHOD**

For the conventional Persidskii system, the issues of application
for discretization of solutions of the explicit Euler method have been
analyzed in [20, 21], and these results have been developed to the
Persidskii systems with delays in [22]. Application of the explicit
and the implicit Euler methods to the extended class of Persidskii
systems (3) with $\eta(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ is considered in this section.

Denote by

$$F(x) = A_0 x + \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_j f_j(x)$$

the right-hand side of the system (3) in this case, and assume that
the system (3) admits the zero solution to be asymptotically stable.
We will look for conditions of the preservation of the asymptotic
stability after discretization of (3) using the Lyapunov function $V(x)$
constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.

First, consider an application of the explicit Euler method:

$$z(k + 1) = z(k) + h F(z(k)), \quad z(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (5)$$

where $z(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an estimate of the solution $x(hk, x_0, 0)$ of the
system (3), $h$ is a digitization step and $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ is the iteration
number.

**Theorem 4.** Let the functions $f_j(x)$, $j = 1, M$ be locally Lipschitz
continuous. If the conditions of Corollary 1 are fulfilled with $\chi = 0$
and $\Xi^0 > 0$, then for any $H > 0$ there exists a constant $h_0 > 0$
such that the zero solution of (5) is asymptotically stable for all
$h \in (0, h_0)$ and all initial conditions $x_0 \in \Omega_H = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : V(x) < H \}$.

Next, consider an application of the implicit Euler method:

$$z(k + 1) = z(k) + h F(z(k + 1)), \quad z(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6)$$

**Theorem 5.** Let the functions $f_j(x)$ be continuously differentiable
and $\frac{\partial f_j(x)}{\partial x} \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, j = 1, M$. If the conditions of
Corollary 1 are fulfilled with $\chi = 0$, then for any $H > 0$ there
exists a constant $h_0 > 0$ such that for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and all initial
conditions $x_0 \in \Omega_H$:

(i) the solution $z(k)$ of (6) is defined for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots$;
(ii) the zero solution of (6) is asymptotically stable.

Comparing the results of theorems 4 and 5 it is worth to stress
that the limit $h_0$ on the admissible discretization step $h$ for the
implicit method comes form the conditions of existence of $z(k + 1)$
in (6) (this scheme is always stable under the hypotheses of Theorem 5),
while for the explicit method (5) the discretization may loose its
stability if the step $h$ is not sufficiently small. Theorem 5 also
imposes additional conditions on monotonicity of the nonlinearities
(i.e. $\frac{\partial f_j(x)}{\partial x} \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$), but under less restrictive stability
conditions (the requirement $\Xi^0 > 0$ is not introduced).

**V. ANALYSIS OF A MODIFIED GENERALIZED LOTKA–VOLterra DYNAMICS**

The generalized Lotka–Volterra equations are frequently utilized
to investigate competition and trophic relationships between an
arbitrary number of species [23] (in biology or finance, for example).
However, this model does not possess some important
biological features (e.g. predator preference and nonlinear functional
responses), that is why several its modifications have been proposed,
and among them the following one with a support of mutualistic
interactions [24, 25]:

$$x(t) = \text{diag}\{x(t)\} (b + A x(t) + A_2 \varphi(x(t))) , \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^n$ is the vector of the populations of $n$ biological
species, $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^n$; $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ corresponds to the intrinsic birth or
death rates of the species, $A \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times n}$ is the community matrix,
and $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times n}$ is the mutualistic interaction strength between the
species; $\varphi(x(t)) = [\varphi_1(x_1) \ldots \varphi_n(x_n)]^T = [x_1^{-\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{-\alpha_n}]^T$
is the vector of Michaelis-Menten functions with $\alpha = [\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n] \in
\mathbb{R}_{+}$ being the half-saturation constants.

Assume that there exists a unique equilibrium point $x^{eq} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^n$,
with $x_i^{eq} > 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, that is the solution of the equation

$$b + A x^{eq} + A_2 \varphi(x^{eq}) = 0,$$

and consider a change of coordinates:

$$\epsilon = \ln(x) - \ln(x^{eq}), \quad x = \text{diag}\{x^{eq}\} e^\epsilon,$$

where the operations $\ln(\cdot)$ and its inverse $e^{\epsilon(\cdot)}$ are understood
element-wise. The variable $\epsilon(t)$ measures a nonlinear deviation of
$x(t)$ with respect to the steady state $x^{eq}$ and whose dynamics take the
form:

$$\dot{\epsilon}(t) = A_1 f_1(\epsilon(t)) + A_2 f_2(\epsilon(t)),$$

where

$$A_1 = A \text{diag}\{x^{eq}\}, \quad f_1(\epsilon) = \epsilon - 1_n,$$

$$f_2(\epsilon) = \varphi(\text{diag}\{x^{eq}\} e^{\epsilon}) - \varphi(x^{eq}).$$
Assumption 1 is satisfied with $m = 0$ and $\mu = 2$, then the results of Theorem 3 or Corollary 1 can be applied, and the system admits a Lyapunov function:

$$V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left( \frac{x_i}{x_q^i} - \ln \left( \frac{x_i}{x_q^i} \right) - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left( \ln \left( \frac{r_i + x_i}{r_q^i} \right) - \frac{x_i}{r_i + x_q^i} \right),$$

where $\lambda^1$ and $\lambda^2$ are solutions of (4) with the matrix $Q$ given in Corollary 1.

Example 1. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -0.2 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then the conditions of Corollary 1 for asymptotic stability are verified, and the system trajectories for three different initial conditions are shown in Fig. 1 (the method (5) has been applied with $h = 0.001$).

Other possible applications in the domain of population dynamics and systems biology can be related with different dynamical systems based on mass-balance equations, e.g. circadian oscillations in [26] or chemostat [27]. An application of the proposed approach for analysis of stability of an interval predictor is given in [28].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The framework of Persidskii systems is revisited and new conditions of ISS, iISS, IOSS and OSS are proposed, which are formulated in terms of LMIs. The proposed theory is applied for stability analysis of Euler discretization and of a population dynamics. Several directions of future research are indicated, design of a finite-time or a fixed-time stabilizing forwarding control is one of them.
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