



**HAL**  
open science

## **Influence of visual feedback on the illusion of movement induced by tendon vibration of wrist in healthy subjects**

Salomé Le Franc, Mathis Fleury, Mélanie Cogné, Simon Butet, Christian Barillot, Anatole Lécuyer, Isabelle Bonan

### ► **To cite this version:**

Salomé Le Franc, Mathis Fleury, Mélanie Cogné, Simon Butet, Christian Barillot, et al.. Influence of visual feedback on the illusion of movement induced by tendon vibration of wrist in healthy subjects. SOFMER 2019 - 34ème congrès de la Société Française de Médecine Physique et de Réadaptation, Oct 2019, Bordeaux, France. hal-02415992

**HAL Id: hal-02415992**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-02415992>**

Submitted on 10 Jan 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# INFLUENCE OF VISUAL FEEDBACK ON THE ILLUSION OF MOVEMENT INDUCED BY TENDON VIBRATION OF WRIST IN HEALTHY SUBJECTS

## INFLUENCE DE FEEDBACKS VISUELS SUR L'AUGMENTATION DE L'ILLUSION DE MOUVEMENT INDUITE PAR LA VIBRATION TENDINEUSE DU POIGNET CHEZ DES SUJETS SAINS

Salomé LE FRANC<sup>1</sup>, Mathis FLEURY<sup>2</sup>, Dr Mélanie COGNE<sup>1</sup>, Dr Simon BUTET<sup>1</sup>, Dr Christian BARILLOT, Dr Anatole LECUYER<sup>2</sup>, Pr Isabelle BONAN<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Rehabilitation Medicine Unit, University Hospital of Rennes, 2 rue Henri Le Guilloux, 35000 Rennes, France; tel: 0299284218 ; [salome.le.franc@hotmail.fr](mailto:salome.le.franc@hotmail.fr) ; [melanie.cogne@chu-rennes.fr](mailto:melanie.cogne@chu-rennes.fr); [simon.butet@chu-rennes.fr](mailto:simon.butet@chu-rennes.fr); [isabelle.bonan@chu-rennes.fr](mailto:isabelle.bonan@chu-rennes.fr)
2. Hybrid Team, INRIA, Campus Universitaire de Beaulieu, F-35042 Rennes Cedex, France; tel: 02 99 84 74 83 ; [mathis.fleury@irisa.fr](mailto:mathis.fleury@irisa.fr); [anatole.lecuyer@irisa.fr](mailto:anatole.lecuyer@irisa.fr) ; [christian.barillot@irisa.fr](mailto:christian.barillot@irisa.fr)

**Introduction:** Illusion of movement induced by tendon vibration is a powerful approach to improve cortical excitability and can be useful for rehabilitation of neurological impairments. The aim of our study was to investigate whether a visual feedback of a moving hand congruent to the proprioceptive illusion induced by a tendon vibration of the wrist could increase the illusion of movement.

**Methods:** We included 26 healthy controls in a Virtual Reality (VR) experimental protocol. Tendon vibration inducing illusion of movement (wrist extension) (100Hz) was applied on their non-dominant wrist during 3 VR visual conditions (10 times each in a randomized order): a moving virtual hand corresponding to the movement that the subjects could feel during the tendon vibration (Moving condition), a static virtual hand (Static condition), or no virtual hand at all (Hidden condition). After each trial, the subjects had to quantify the intensity of the illusory movement on a Likert scale, the subjective degree of extension of their wrist. At the end, subjects filled a post-hoc questionnaire.

**Results:** There was a significant difference between the 3 visual feedback conditions concerning the degree of wrist's extension and the Likert scale ranking ( $p < 0.001$ ). The Moving condition induced higher intensity of illusion of movement and higher sensation of wrist's extension than the Hidden condition ( $p < 0.01$  and  $p < 0.001$  respectively) and than the Static condition ( $p < 0.001$  and  $p < 0.001$  respectively). The Hidden condition induced higher intensity of illusion of movement than the Static condition ( $p < 0.05$ ) but there was no difference concerning the sensation of wrist extension between these two conditions ( $p = 0.80$ ). The subjects' preferred condition to facilitate movement's illusion was the Moving condition (66%).

**Conclusions:** This study demonstrated the importance of selecting carefully a visual feedback to improve the illusion of movement induced by tendon vibration, and the potentiation of illusion by visual cues congruent to the illusion of movement. Further steps will be to test the same hypothesis with stroke patients and to use these results to develop EEG-based Neurofeedback including vibratory feedback to improve upper limb motor function after a stroke.

## References

- Alimardani M, Nishio S, Ishiguro H. Brain-Computer Interface and Motor Imagery Training: The Role of Visual Feedback and Embodiment [Internet]. In: Larrivee D, editor(s). *Evolving BCI Therapy - Engaging Brain State Dynamics*. InTech; 2018[cited 2019 Feb 5]
- Barsotti M, Leonardis D, Vanello N, Bergamasco M, Frisoli A. Effects of Continuous Kinaesthetic Feedback Based on Tendon Vibration on Motor Imagery BCI Performance. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2018; 26: 105–114.
- Carvalho R, Dias N, Cerqueira JJ. Brain-machine interface of upper limb recovery in stroke patients rehabilitation: A systematic review. *Physiother Res Int* 2019: e1764.
- Cervera MA, Soekadar SR, Ushiba J, Millán JDR, Liu M, Birbaumer N, et al. Brain-computer interfaces for post-stroke motor rehabilitation: a meta-analysis. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2018; 5: 651–663.
- Costantino C, Galuppo L, Romiti D. Short-term effect of local muscle vibration treatment versus sham therapy on upper limb in chronic post-stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2017; 53: 32–40.
- Goodwin GM, McCloskey DI, Matthews PB. Proprioceptive illusions induced by muscle vibration: contribution by muscle spindles to perception? *Science* 1972; 175: 1382–1384.
- Kenzie JM, Ben-Shabat E, Lamp G, Dukelow SP, Carey LM. Illusory limb movements activate different brain networks than imposed limb movements: an ALE meta-analysis. *Brain Imaging Behav* 2018; 12: 919–930.
- Leonardis D, Frisoli A, Solazzi M, Bergamasco M. Illusory perception of arm movement induced by visuo-proprioceptive sensory stimulation and controlled by motor imagery. In: 2012 IEEE Haptics Symposium (HAPTICS). 2012. p. 421–424
- Mancheva K, Rollnik JD, Wolf W, Dengler R, Kossev A. Vibration-Induced Kinesthetic Illusions and Corticospinal Excitability Changes. *J Mot Behav* 2017; 49: 299–305.
- Murillo N, Valls-Sole J, Vidal J, Opisso E, Medina J, Kumru H. Focal vibration in neurorehabilitation. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2014; 50: 231–242.
- Naito E, Ehrsson HH, Geyer S, Zilles K, Roland PE. Illusory Arm Movements Activate Cortical Motor Areas: A Positron Emission Tomography Study. *J Neurosci* 1999; 19: 6134–6144.
- Pfurtscheller G, Lopes da Silva FH. Event-related EEG/MEG synchronization and desynchronization: basic principles. *Clin Neurophysiol* 1999; 110: 1842–1857.
- Pfurtscheller G, Neuper C. Motor imagery and direct brain-computer communication. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 2001; 89: 1123–1134.
- Rittweger J. Vibration as an exercise modality: how it may work, and what its potential might be. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010; 108: 877–904.