



HAL
open science

PySAP-MRI: a Python Package for MR Image Reconstruction

Loubna El Gueddari, Chaithya Giliyar Radhakrishna, Zaccharie Ramzi, Samuel Farrens, Sophie Starck, Antoine Grigis, Jean-Luc Starck, Philippe Ciuciu

► **To cite this version:**

Loubna El Gueddari, Chaithya Giliyar Radhakrishna, Zaccharie Ramzi, Samuel Farrens, Sophie Starck, et al. PySAP-MRI: a Python Package for MR Image Reconstruction. ISMRM workshop on Data Sampling and Image Reconstruction, Jan 2020, Sedona, AZ, United States. hal-02399267

HAL Id: hal-02399267

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-02399267v1>

Submitted on 9 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PySAP-MRI: a Python Package for MR Image Reconstruction

Loubna El Gueddari^(1,2), Chaithya GR^(1,2), Zaccharie Ramzi^(1,2,3), Samuel Farrens⁽³⁾, Sophie Starck⁽³⁾, Antoine Grigis⁽¹⁾, Jean-Luc Starck⁽³⁾ and Philippe Ciuciu^(1,2)

⁽¹⁾ CEA/DRF/Joliot NeuroSpin, Univ. Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

⁽²⁾ Inria, Parietal team, 1 rue Honoré d'Estienne d'Orves, F-91210 Palaiseau, France

⁽³⁾ AIM, CEA, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Saclay, Univ. Paris Diderot, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Target audience: It is expected that the audience has preliminary knowledge of classical MRI acquisition and reconstruction techniques. `pysap-mri` is aimed at researchers who need fast MR image reconstruction algorithms for under-sampled k-space data. It has been fully tested on Linux Ubuntu 16.04/18.04 LTS and Mac OS operating systems.

Purpose: We present the open-source MRI plugin, called `pysap-mri`, of the software package `PySAP` (Python Sparse data Analysis Package). `PySAP` offers a large set of fast wavelet transforms and a range of integrated optimization algorithms in Python. The plugin `pysap-mri` provides methods, tools and [examples](#) for MR image reconstruction in various acquisition setups (2D and 3D imaging, Cartesian and non-Cartesian readout, parallel imaging, etc.) in the context of accelerated acquisitions using compressed sensing. This plugin is available on [Pypi](#) as `pysap-mri 0.1.1`. Test data are available in [pysap-data](#).

Methods: We address the problem of compressed sensing parallel imaging (CS-PI) reconstruction using a Sensitivity Encoding (SENSE) formulation. Let L be the number of coils used to acquire the NMR signal, N be the number of pixels of the complex-valued image \mathbf{x} to be reconstructed and M the number of samples collected per channel during acquisition. We denote by $\mathbf{y}_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^M$ the complex-valued data recorded by the ℓ^{th} channel, $\mathbf{S}_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ the corresponding diagonal sensitivity matrix. Let \mathbf{F} be the Fourier operator and $\Omega \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ the sampling pattern in k -space, with $|\Omega| = M \ll N$, the forward model reads: $\mathbf{y}_\ell = \mathbf{F}_\Omega \mathbf{S}_\ell \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}_\ell, \forall \ell = 1, \dots, L$. In `pysap-mri`, two different approaches have been proposed and implemented for solving the CS-PI reconstruction problem, namely *self-calibrating* [1] and *calibrationless* [2] approaches. The self-calibrating approach means that we first extract the sensitivity maps $(\mathbf{S})_{1 \leq \ell \leq L}$ from the k -space center and then we compute the following minimizer:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N} [\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{2\sigma_\ell^2} \|\mathbf{y}_\ell - \mathbf{F}_\Omega \mathbf{S}_\ell \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\Psi \mathbf{x}\|_1]$$

where parameter $\lambda > 0$ refers to the regularization parameter and $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^{N_\Psi \times N}$ to the wavelet decomposition operator as the MR image is assumed to be sparse (at least compressible) in the wavelet basis. In `PySAP`, we have a large set of candidates for Ψ . Here, we used a orthogonal wavelet basis (Symmlet 8) but the presented work extends to redundant transforms such as curvelets or tight frames. In the calibrationless framework, instead of extracting matrices \mathbf{S}_ℓ , we directly reconstruct a set of L MR images stacked in $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_L] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times L}$ and in the end we use the sum of square to recombine all of them into a single image. In that case, we compute the following solution: $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times L}} \{ \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{1}{2\sigma_\ell^2} \|\mathbf{y}_\ell - \mathbf{F}_\Omega \mathbf{x}_\ell\|_2^2 + g(\Psi \mathbf{X}) \}$ where $g \in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{C}^{N_\Psi \times L})$ is a regularization function composed with

Ψ , with the aim to enforce structured sparsity across channels (e.g. group-LASSO or OSCAR penalty) [2]. For optimization purposes, we implemented both proximal gradient methods (e.g. FISTA, greedy FISTA, POGM) [3] and primal-dual splitting methods (e.g. [4, 5]).

Results: For validation purposes, we used anatomical brain MRI data collected at 7T (Magnetom Siemens scanner, Erlangen, Germany) using the 32-channel (Nova Medical Inc., Washington, MA, USA) coil (i.e., $L = 32$). A modified 2D T2*-weighted GRE sequence was implemented to perform prospective CS based on the multi-shot Sparkling trajectories [6]. The acquisition parameters were set as follows: TR = 550 ms, TE = 30 ms and FA = 25° with in-plane resolution of 400 μm corresponding to an image matrix size of $N = 512 \times 512$. Slice thickness was 3mm. Scan time was 35 s per slice for 8-fold accelerated Sparkling acquisition as compared to the Cartesian reference. Extraction of sensitivity maps took about 1 min using the proposed self-calibrating methods, compared to 10 min in the ESPIRiT framework (see [1] for details). The corresponding self-calibrating and calibrationless *magnitude* images are shown in Fig. 1 and match very well the Cartesian reference, as well as the slower ℓ_1 -ESPIRiT approach [7]. In terms of computation time, self-calibrating and OSCAR-based calibrationless MR image reconstruction took respectively 3 and 8 min for a single slice on a computer equipped with a 8-core (2.40 GHz) Intel Xeon Silver 4112 2.6 GHz Processor and 128 GB of RAM. The increase in computing load for calibrationless reconstruction is due to both the larger number of unknowns to be estimated and the higher complexity associated with the proximity operator of OSCAR-norm regularization [8].

Discussion: All methods recover approximately the same magnitude image. However, some differences may appear in the phase image (results not shown). Although the calibrationless approach is more computationally expensive, it is more flexible for *online* CS MR image reconstruction as it no longer requires the extraction of sensitivity maps. Hence, this formulation allows one to interleave data acquisition and image reconstruction by segmenting the acquisition in mini-batches and performing partial image reconstruction. By doing so one can deliver a decent MR image by the end of acquisition [9].

Conclusion: We have presented `pysap-mri`, a new open source, well documented and continuously integrated software package for 2D and 3D CS MR image reconstruction that will be progressively enriched with deep learning tools.




Figure 1: **Top:** (a) Cartesian reference; (b): our self-calibrating approach; (c): ℓ_1 -ESPIRiT and (d): OSCAR-based calibrationless reconstruction from 8-fold accelerated prospective Sparkling acquisition shown in (e). **Bottom:** respective zooms in the red frames.

References

- [1] L. El Gueddari, C. Lazarus, H. Carrié, A. Vignaud, and P. Ciuciu, “Self-calibrating nonlinear reconstruction algorithms for variable density sampling and parallel mri”, in *2018 IEEE 10th Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop (SAM)*, July 2018, pp. 415–419.
- [2] L. El Gueddari, P. Ciuciu, E. Chouzenoux, A. Vignaud, and J.-C. Pesquet, “Calibrationless oscar-based image reconstruction in compressed sensing parallel mri”, in *2019 IEEE 16th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2019)*, Venice, Italy, 2019, IEEE ISBI.
- [3] Z. Ramzi, P. Ciuciu, and J.-L. Starck, “Benchmarking proximal methods acceleration enhancements for CS-acquired MR image analysis reconstruction”, in *(SPARS)*, Toulouse, France, July 2019.
- [4] L. Condat, “A primal–dual splitting method for convex optimization involving Lipschitzian, proximable and linear composite terms”, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 158, no. 2, pp. 460–479, 2013.
- [5] B. Vũ, “A splitting algorithm for dual monotone inclusions involving coercive operators”, *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 667–681, Apr 2013.
- [6] C. Lazarus, P. Weiss, N. Chauffert, F. Mauconduit, L. El Gueddari, C. Destrieux, I. Zemmoura, A. Vignaud, and P. Ciuciu, “Sparkling: variable-density k-space filling curves for accelerated T_2^* -weighted mri”, *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 3643–3661, June 2019.
- [7] M. Uecker, P. Lai, M. J. Murphy, P. Virtue, M. Elad, J. M. Pauly, S. S. Vasanawala, and M. Lustig, “Espirit—an eigenvalue approach to autocalibrating parallel mri: where sense meets grappa”, *Magnetic resonance in medicine*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 990–1001, 2014.
- [8] H. D. Bondell and B. J. Reich, “Simultaneous regression shrinkage, variable selection, and supervised clustering of predictors with oscar”, *Biometrics*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 115–123, 2008.
- [9] L. El Gueddari, E. Chouzenoux, A. Vignaud, J. Pesquet, and P. Ciuciu, “Online MR image reconstruction for compressed sensing acquisition in t_2^* imaging.”, in *Wavelets: Applications in Signal and Image Processing XVIII*. International Society for Optics and Photonics, Aug. 2019, vol. 11138, pp. 1113819–1–1113819–15.