



**HAL**  
open science

## Density evolution of Orthogonal Matching Pursuit

Claude Petit, Aline Roumy, Giulio Coluccia, Enrico Magli

► **To cite this version:**

Claude Petit, Aline Roumy, Giulio Coluccia, Enrico Magli. Density evolution of Orthogonal Matching Pursuit. SPARS 2019 - Signal Processing with Adaptive Sparse Structured Representations - Workshop, Jul 2019, Toulouse, France. pp.1. hal-02390560

**HAL Id: hal-02390560**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-02390560>**

Submitted on 3 Dec 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Density evolution of Orthogonal Matching Pursuit

Claude Petit  
INSEE, Rennes, France  
Email: claude.petit@insee.fr

Aline Roumy  
INRIA, Rennes, France,  
Email: aline.roumy@inria.fr

Giulio Coluccia and Enrico Magli  
Politecnico di Torino, Italy  
Email: giulio.coluccia enrico.magli@polito.it

**Abstract**—This paper studies the Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) algorithm as a sparsity pattern recovery problem. We analyze the performance of the algorithm in an information theoretic framework, where both the signal to be recovered and the observation matrix are random and when the sizes of the signal and the matrix tend to infinity. We derive the joint densities of all the statistics involved throughout the process. This allows us to show that any strict fraction of the sparsity pattern can be recovered provided that the number of measurements is strictly greater than the length of the sparsity pattern.

**Index Terms**—Compressive sensing, support recovery, Orthogonal Matching Pursuit.

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  be a sparse signal whose support  $\Lambda$  is of cardinality  $K \ll N$ .  $x$  is not directly observed. Instead,  $M$  measurements are taken such that each measurement is a linear combination of the coordinates of  $x$ . This model is equivalent to a linear regression model

$$y = \phi x \quad (1)$$

where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^M$  is the observed vector and  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$  a sensing matrix. The sparsity pattern recovery problem aims at reconstructing the support  $\Lambda$  of  $x$ , knowing only  $y$ ,  $\phi$  and eventually the size of the support. This problem arises in several problems such as sparse approximation, compressive sensing, or subset selection [1]. Many greedy algorithms have been proposed which reconstruct the support iteratively, choosing one element of the support at each iteration. Among these greedy algorithms, we focus on orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP) as it includes several key steps used in many other greedy algorithms (e.g. decision based on scalar products, orthogonal projection).

At each iteration  $t$ , the algorithm selects the index  $\lambda_t$  of the most correlated atom with the residue vector  $r_{t-1}$  at the previous iteration ( $r_0 = y$  is the observed vector and  $r_t = Q_t y$  where  $Q_t$  is the orthogonal projector on the orthogonal of the space generated by the columns of  $\phi_{\Lambda_t}$ )

$$\lambda_t = \arg \max_{i \in [1, N] / \Lambda_{t-1}} |\langle r_{t-1}, \phi_i \rangle| \quad (2)$$

$$\Lambda_t = \Lambda_{t-1} \cup \{\lambda_t\} \quad (3)$$

And computes an estimate  $x_t$  of the unknown  $x$

$$x_t = \arg \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{supp}(z) \subset \Lambda_t} \|y - \phi z\|_2 \quad (4)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x_t(\lambda_t) &= (\phi_{\Lambda_t}^T \phi_{\Lambda_t})^{-1} \phi_{\Lambda_t}^T y \\ x_t(\lambda_t^c) &= 0 \end{cases}$$

Several worst-case performance analyses of OMP exist. Worst-case analyses consider a deterministic signal  $x$  and look for properties on  $\phi$  that guarantee necessary and/or sufficient conditions for perfect reconstruction of *any possible* sparse vector  $x$ . The analysis is either performed for a given  $\phi$  matrix [2], [3], [4], [5], [6] or averaged over a matrix ensemble [7], [8], [9]. But, this leads to pessimistic conditions as there might exist sparse vectors  $x$ , that can be recovered even if the condition is not satisfied.

Instead, average analyses consider a random sparse signal and determine the probability to estimate the correct support, averaged over all matrices and signals. More precisely, conditions are computed

such that perfect recovery of a strict fraction of the support occurs with high probability. First, the approach is more optimistic. Second, it is compliant with an information theoretical setup. Such average analyses have been performed for reconstruction algorithms, where the reconstructed signal at convergence can be characterized. However, no such analysis exists for OMP, and this is due to the statistical dependencies between iterations, which make exact analysis difficult. The statistical dependency between iterations has been tackled for deterministic signal  $x$  in [7] where upper bounds based on the union bound have been derived, and in [9] with a genie aided algorithm that knows the true sparsity pattern. Instead, we analyze the true OMP algorithm and derive the joint probability of all statistics used during the whole process. This allows us to give an asymptotic equivalent of the probability of recovering an atom of the right support at a given iteration, and a lower bound for the probability that the algorithm performs well and recovers the whole support.

More precisely, we show that, if  $\phi$  and  $x$  are Gaussian, the joint distribution of the scalar products  $W_j(t) = \langle \phi_j, r_t \rangle$  implied in the OMP algorithm can be expressed in terms of known distributions (Bessel and Chi). Then, we prove that these scalar products asymptotically converge toward a Gaussian sequence. At each iteration, we give an equivalent (when  $N$ ,  $K$ ,  $M$ , tend to infinity with  $M = \mu K$  and  $K = \gamma N$ ) of the probability of recovering an atom from the support and we derive a lower bound for the probability of recovering any strict subset of the whole support. This lower bound allows us to prove that, in the asymptotic regime defined above and when the overmeasuring rate  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu > 1$ , any strict subset of the support can be recovered with a probability tending to 1 when  $N$  tends to infinity.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Foucart and H. Rauhut, *A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing*. Birkhäuser Basel, 2013.
- [2] J. A. Tropp, "Greedy is good: Algorithmic results for sparse approximation," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 50, pp. 2231–2242, 2004.
- [3] M. Davenport and M. Wakin, "Analysis of Orthogonal Matching Pursuit Using the Restricted Isometry Property," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 4395–4401, Sept 2010.
- [4] R. Wu, W. Huang, and D.-R. Chen, "The exact support recovery of sparse signals with noise via Orthogonal Matching Pursuit," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 403–406, 2013.
- [5] C. Soussen, R. Gribonval, J. Idier, and C. Herzet, "Joint k-Step Analysis of Orthogonal Matching Pursuit and Orthogonal Least Squares," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 59, no. 5, May 2013.
- [6] J. Wang, "Support recovery with Orthogonal matching pursuit in the presence of noise," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 63, no. 21, pp. 5868–5877, 2015.
- [7] J. A. Tropp and A. C. Gilbert, "Signal recovery from random measurements via Orthogonal Matching Pursuit," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 4655–4666, 2007.
- [8] A. K. Fletcher, S. Rangan, and V. K. Goyal, "Necessary and Sufficient Conditions on Sparsity Pattern Recovery," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 5758–5772, 2009.
- [9] A. K. Fletcher and S. Rangan, "Orthogonal matching pursuit: A Brownian motion analysis," *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 1010–1021, 2012.