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#### Abstract

This report presents the mathematical foundation of the asymmetric spectral clustering approach given in the thesis of S.E. Atev (University of Wisconsin, 2011). This method, implemented in a companion R package AsymmetricClustering, is based on a Riemannian conjugate gradient algorithm to find a minimization problem based on an optimization problem involving unitary matrix. Keywords Asymmetric Clustering - Riemannian Gradient Conjugate - Spectral Embedding


## 1 Introduction

A spectral clustering technique consists in assigning one cluster among $k$ for a set of $n$ data with $k \lll n$. More precisely, the clustering performed by combining 1) a dimension reduction based on the main eigenvalues of an affinity matrix $A$ that encodes the similarities between any pairs of data, and 2) seeking the main direction of this reduced model. The main directions are specified as a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ ), and the cluster associated to each data is given by selectionning the closest line among the $k$ orthogonal lines specified by the basis.
Many spectral clustering techniques exists, coming from various fields. The survey [6] presents some of them with their rationale.

The affinity matrix $A$ is usually symmetric as its coefficients are given by the distance between the pairs of data, this is not necessarily the case. In [2], S.E. Atev presents an algorithm to deal with asymmetric matrices $A$, with application to image analysis. He also gives a simplified Matlab code.

This report is the companion of the R package AsymmetricClustering which is a port of the above code. In particular, we present the mathematical foundation of the algorithm.

## 2 Notations

The notations given in Table 1 are used in this report.

## 3 Spectral clustering

The spectral clustering is performed through the following steps:

- We construct from $n$ data $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ a $n \times n$ matrix $A$ for which each entry $A_{i, j}$ records a "similarity" between $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$.
- Through a spectral embedding step, we transform the matrix $A$ into a $k \times n$ matrix $Y$ that keeps the main features of $A$, where $k$ is the number of clusters, $k \lll n$. This data reduction step uses the spectral information contained in $A$.

| 【k, $n \rrbracket$ | Interval for integers | $\{k, \ldots, n\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{M}_{p \times q}(\mathbb{K})$ | Matrices with $p$ rows and $q$ columns with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ |  |
| Tr M | Trace of $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i i}$ |
| $M_{\text {• }}$ | $i$-th column | $M_{\bullet i}=\left[\begin{array}{c} M_{1, i} \\ \vdots \\ M_{n, i} \end{array}\right]$ |
| $M_{i}$. | $i$-th row | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{i} \cdot}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}M_{1, i} & \cdots & M_{n, i}\end{array}\right]$ |
| $M^{T}$ | Transpose of $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{p \times q}(\mathbb{K})$ | $M^{T}=\left(M_{j, i}\right)_{\substack{i \in \llbracket 1, p \rrbracket \\ j \in \llbracket 1, q \rrbracket}}$ |
| $M^{H}$ | Hermitian transpose of $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{p \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ | $M^{H}=\bar{M}^{T}$ |
| $u \cdot{ }_{\mathbb{R}} v$ | Scalar product of $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{d} u_{i} v_{i}=u^{T} v$ |
| $u \cdot v$ | Hermitian scalar product of $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ | $u \cdot v=u^{T} \cdot{ }_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{v}$ |
| $u \otimes v$ | Tensor product $u \otimes v \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ | $u \otimes v=u v^{T}$ |
| $\mathfrak{V}_{n}$ | Hermitian matrices of $\mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, characterized by $M=M^{H}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{U}_{n}$ | Unitary matrices of $\mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, characterized by $U^{H} U=\mathrm{Id}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{u}_{n}$ | Lie algebra of unitary matrices of $\mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, characterized by $U^{H}=-U$. |  |

Table 1: Notations

- We look for an orthogonal (if $A$ is symmetric) or unitary matrix $U$ whose axes encoding an orthonormal basis $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ or $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. This matrix is selected to minimaize the overall distance between the columns vectors of $Y$, seen as elements of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, and the rays emanating from 0 in one of the direction $u_{i}$. If the $j$-th column vector of $Y$ is closest to the line in the direction $u_{i}$ than in any other direction $u_{\ell}, \ell \ni$, then we assign the data $x_{j}$ to the $i$-th cluster.


### 3.1 Dealing with asymmetric matrices

We consider a $n \times n$ matrix $A$ which is not necessarily symmetric.
Lemma 1. The transform $H: \mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{n}$ defined by

$$
H(A)=\frac{1}{2}\left(A+A^{T}\right)+\frac{1}{2} i\left(A-A^{T}\right)
$$

is one-to-one with inverse $H^{-1}(M)=\mathfrak{R} M+\mathfrak{J} M$ for $M \in \mathfrak{H}_{n}$.
As the matrix $H(A)$ is Hermitian, we may consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
H(A) x=\lambda x, x \in \mathbb{C}^{d}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

The eigenvectors are orthogonal for the Hermitian scalar product. Therefore, we summarize the eigenvalue problem as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \Lambda V^{H}=H(A) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is a unitary matrix and

$$
\Lambda=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{d}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}$. is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues. The eigenvector of norm 1 corresponding to $\lambda_{i}$ is encoded into $V_{i}$.

### 3.2 Spectral embedding

The spectral embedding step consists in transforming the coordinates of the $n \times n$ matrix $A$ to a matrix $Y$ of size $k \times n$, where $k$ is the number of clusters. This is a dimensension reduction.
Following [2], the package AsymmetricClustering considers by default the following spectral embedding princple. Other choices are possible [2, Table 3.2, p. 23].
Principle 1 (Spectral embedding step). Using $\Lambda$ and $V$ as in (1), we define

$$
Y=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{1}^{-1 / 2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{k}^{-1 / 2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
V_{\bullet 1} & \ldots & V_{\bullet k}
\end{array}\right]^{H} \in \mathfrak{M}_{n \times k}(\mathbb{C}) .
$$

As explained in [4], the spectral embedding step stems from the following principle: "Truncation of the eigenbasis amplifies any unevenness in the distribution of points on the $d$-dimensional hypersphere by causing points of high affinity to move toward each other and other to move apart."
Remark 1. With this matrix $Y$, we have

$$
Y V_{\cdot i}= \begin{cases}\lambda_{i}^{-1 / 2} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq k \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The matrix $Y$ satisfies $Y A Y^{*}=\mathrm{Id}$.

### 3.3 Transformation into an optimization problem

The problem is now rewritten as an optimization problem.
Lemma 2. Given a point $y \in \mathbb{C}^{k}, n \geq 1$ and a direction $u \in \mathbb{C}^{k}$, the distance $d(y, u)$ between $y$ and its orthogonal projection on $u$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(y, u)=\sqrt{\bar{y}^{T}(\operatorname{Id}-u \otimes \bar{u}) y} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Principle 2 (General principle of spectral clustering). Given a matrix $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, we consider finding a unitary matrix $U \in \mathcal{U}_{k \times k}$ such that $d\left(Y_{\bullet i}, U_{\sigma(i)}\right)$ is minimal for each $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, where $\sigma: \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket$. The cluster of the column $i$ is given by $\sigma(i)$.

To set up Principle 2 in practive, we need to rewrite it by defining a cost function. For that, $\sigma$ is replaced by $W \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times n}$ containing exactly one and only one 1 on each row. The cost function ${ }^{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(Y, U, W)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{j, i} D_{j, i} \text { with } D_{j, i}=d\left(Y_{\bullet i}, U_{\bullet j}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{C}), U \in \mathfrak{U}_{k \times k}$ and $W \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ with the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{j, i} \in\{0,1\} \text { and } \sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{j, i}=1 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Principle 3. Fix $1 \leq k \leq n$. Given $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$, find $U \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{C})$ and $W \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (4) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad J(Y, U, W) \text { is minimal } \\
& \text { with } U \in \mathfrak{U}_{k} \text { and } W \text { satisfies (4). } \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The constraint (4) is relaxed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq W_{i, j} \leq 1 \text { and } \sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{j, i}=1 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We coefficients $W_{i, j}$ are then seen as weights that quantify the probability to belong to a class $k$.
Principle 4 (Minimization of the cost function, relaxed version of Principle 3). Fix $1 \leq k \leq n$. Given $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$, find $U \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{C})$ and $W \in \mathfrak{M}_{k \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (4) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad J(Y, U, W) \text { is minimal } \\
& \text { with } U \in \mathfrak{U}_{k} \text { and } W \text { satisfies (6). } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The cluster for the column $i$ of $Y$ is given by arg $\max _{1 \leq j \leq k} W_{j, i}$
The minimization problem 7 is solved using an iterative approach in which we alternatively optimize over the weights and over unitary matrices, up to reaching a state in which the update are small. A "temperature" parameter is updated at each global step.
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## 4 Numerical algorithms

### 4.1 Algorithm 1: updating the weights

When $U$ is fixed, the weight matrix $W$ is updated through

$$
W_{j, i}(\sigma, Y, U)=\frac{\exp \left(-D_{j, i} / \sigma\right)}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \exp \left(-D_{\ell, i} / \sigma\right)} \text { for } j \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket, i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \text {, }
$$

with $D_{j, i}=d\left(Y_{\cdot i}, U_{\cdot j}\right)^{2}($ see (3) $)$ for a scale parameter $\sigma$. Thus, the column $W_{\cdot, i}$ is the output the softmax function

$$
S\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{k}
\end{array}\right], \sigma\right)=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp \left(x_{i} / \sigma\right)}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\exp \left(x_{1} / \sigma\right) \\
\vdots \\
\exp \left(x_{k} / \sigma\right)
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

applied to the vector $D_{\bullet, i}$. The function $\sigma \mapsto J(Y, U, W(\sigma, Y, U))$ is non-decreasing. Reducing $\sigma$ reduces the cost function. At the end of the $m$-th step, we update the scale parameter $\sigma$ as

$$
\sigma_{m+1}=\frac{J\left(Y, U_{m}, W\left(\sigma_{m}, Y, U_{m}\right)\right)}{n \cdot m}
$$

To avoid numerical problems with the softmax function $S$, we actually use the formula

$$
S\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{k}
\end{array}\right], \sigma\right)=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp \left(\frac{x_{i}-m}{\sigma}\right)}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\exp \left(\frac{x_{1}-m}{\sigma}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\exp \left(\frac{x_{k}-m}{\sigma}\right)
\end{array}\right]\right) \text { with } m=\max _{j=1, \ldots, k} x_{j}
$$

See [3] for numerical considerations on the computation of the softmax function.

### 4.2 Algorithm 2: minimization over the unitary matrices

During this optimisation step, the matrix $W$ is fixed. The problem is then to optimize over unitary matrices. For this, a conjugate gradient method is used in the framework of a Riemannian algorithm [5] that takes profits from the geometry of the Lie group of unitary matrices. The algorithm implements the method of [1].

At each point $U$ of $\mathfrak{U}_{n}$, the tangent space $T_{U} \mathfrak{U}_{n}$ is the set

$$
T_{U} \mathfrak{u}_{n}=\left\{S U \mid S \in \mathfrak{u}_{n}\right\} \text { with } \mathfrak{u}_{n}=\left\{S \in \mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid S^{H}=-S\right\} .
$$

The tangent space is also identified with the set

$$
T_{U} \mathfrak{U}_{n}=\left\{S \in \mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid U^{H} S+S^{H} U=0\right\} .
$$

On $\mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, we define a scalar product as

$$
\langle\langle M, N\rangle\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \Re \operatorname{Tr}\left(M N^{H}\right)
$$

Lemma 3. For $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, write $M_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(M-M^{H}\right)$. The map $p^{\perp}: M \mapsto M_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathfrak{u}_{n}$ for $\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\rangle$.

Proof. For this scalar product, Hermitian and anti-Hermitian matrices are orthogonal. Any matrix $M$ is decomposed as $M=M_{\mathrm{s}}+M_{\mathrm{a}}$ with $M_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(M+M^{H}\right)$ which is Hermitian and $M_{\mathrm{a}}$ which is antiHermitian.

As any element of $T_{U} \mathfrak{U}_{n}$ is writen $S U$ for some $S \in \mathfrak{t}_{n}$ and $U^{-1}=U^{*}$, a scalar product is naturally on $T_{U} \mathfrak{U}_{n}$ for any $U \in \mathfrak{U}_{n}$ as

$$
\langle V, W\rangle_{U}=\left\langle\left\langle V U^{-1}, W U^{-1}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \Re \operatorname{Tr}\left(V U^{H} U W^{H}\right)=\langle\langle V, W\rangle\rangle .
$$

This way, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ gives rise to a Riemannian metric.
Lemma 4. The orthogonal projection of $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ onto $T_{U} \mathfrak{U}$ for $U \in \mathfrak{U}_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{U}^{\perp}(M)=M-U M^{H} U \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We set $p_{U}^{\perp}: M \mapsto p^{\perp}\left(M U^{-1}\right) U$. It is easily checked that $\left\langle p_{U}^{\perp}(M), W\right\rangle_{U}=0$ for any $W \in W \in$ $T_{U} \mathfrak{U}_{n}$. Using Lemma 3, this leads to (8).

The geodesics emanating from $U$ in the direction $S U \in T_{U} \mathfrak{u}_{n}$, that is for $S \in \mathfrak{u}_{n}$, are then

$$
\gamma(t)=\exp (t S) U
$$

where $S \mapsto \exp (S)$ is the matrix exponential.
Gradients are computed with respect to the complex conjugate derivative operator

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial U^{H}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \Re U}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Im U}\right)
$$

The Riemannian gradient of $f: \mathfrak{U}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at $U$ is the orthogonal projection of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial U^{H}}$ onto $T_{U} \mathfrak{U}_{n}$, so that with (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mathfrak{U}} f(U)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial U^{H}}-U\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial U^{H}}\right)^{H} U \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2.1 The conjugate gradient

Notation 1. The gradient of the cost function $J(Y, U, W)$ at point $U \in \mathfrak{U}_{n}$ is denoted by $\Gamma(U)=\frac{\partial J(Y, U, W)}{\partial U^{H}}$.
The conjugate gradient algorithm consists in finding successive points $U_{k}$ in $\mathfrak{U}_{n}$ by

- At $U_{k}$, the next point $U_{k+1}$ is computed by following the geodesic through a search direction $-H_{k} \in$ $T_{U_{k}} \mathcal{U}_{n}$, as

$$
U_{k+1}=\exp \left(-\mu H_{k}\right) U_{k} \text { with } \mu=\arg \min J\left(Y, \exp \left(-\mu H_{k}\right) U_{k}, W\right) .
$$

The scalar $\mu$ is choosen according to a line search algorithm presented in $\S 4.2 .3$.

- At $U_{k+1}$, the search direction is updated by combining the direction given by steepest descent gradient

$$
G_{k+1}=\Gamma\left(U_{k+1}\right) U_{k+1}^{H}-U_{k+1} \Gamma\left(U_{k}\right)^{H}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{H}_{k}$. As $\boldsymbol{G}_{k+1} \in T_{U_{k+1}} \mathcal{U}_{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{k} \in U_{T_{k}} \mathcal{U}_{n}, \boldsymbol{H}_{k}$ a parallel transport is used, so that $\boldsymbol{H}_{k}$ is transformed into

$$
\widetilde{H}_{k}=H_{k} \exp \left(-\mu H_{k}\right) U_{k}=H_{k} U_{k+1}
$$

The new search direction is defined so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-H_{k+1}=-G_{k+1}-\theta \widetilde{H}_{k} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is selected so that $H_{k+1}$ and $\widetilde{H}_{k}$ are Hessian conjugate, that is

$$
H_{k+1}^{T} \operatorname{Hess} J\left(Y, U_{k+1}, W\right) \widetilde{H}_{k}=0
$$

The value of $\theta$ is actually computed using the Polak-Ribière approximation [1], Eq. (10), § 2.4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{\mathfrak{R} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(G_{k+1}-G_{k}\right)^{H} G_{k+1}\right)}{\mathfrak{R} \operatorname{Tr} G_{k}^{H} G_{k}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2.2 The gradient

Let us compute first the gradient of the distance $d(y, u)^{2}$ given by (2) for two vectors $y$ and $u$ in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. We note first that

$$
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial u_{i}^{H}}=0 \text { for } i \neq j, \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{j}}{\partial u_{i}^{H}}=1 \text { for } i \neq j \text { and } \frac{\partial u_{i} \bar{u}_{i}}{\partial u_{i}^{H}}=u_{i} \text {. }
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\partial d(y, u)^{2}}{\partial u_{i}^{H}}=-\sum_{p=1}^{k} \bar{y}_{p} u_{p} y_{i}=-\left(y^{H} \cdot u\right) y_{i} .
$$

Applied to the cost function,

$$
\frac{\partial J(Y, U, W)}{\partial U_{r, c}^{H}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{c, i}\left(\left(Y_{\bullet i}\right)^{H} \cdot U_{\bullet c}\right) Y_{r, i}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{c, i}\left(Y^{H} U\right)_{i, c} Y_{r, i} .
$$

### 4.2.3 The line search

The line search algorithm consists in finding the minimal point of the cost function along a geodesic curve. More precisely, of

$$
G(\mu)=J(Y, \exp (-\mu H) U, W)
$$

for a direction $H \in \mathfrak{u}_{n}$, as $\mu \mapsto \exp (-\mu H) U$ is a geodesic passing through $U$ in the direction $H U$.
Here, $H$ is the search direction: it is either the steepest descent (computed from the Riemannian Gradient $\nabla_{\mathfrak{U}} J(Y, U, W)$ ) given by (9)) or the conjugate gradient (see (10) and (11)).
We rewrite

$$
G(\mu)=J(Y,(1+Z) U, W) \text { with } Z=\exp (-\mu H)-1
$$

The cost function $J$ is quadratic in $U$, so that there exists $J_{1}$ linear and $J_{2}$ bilinear on $\mathfrak{M}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\mu)=G(0)+J_{1} \cdot(\exp (-\mu H)-1)+J_{2} \cdot(\exp (-\mu H)-1) \otimes(\exp (-\mu H)-1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us recall a standard result on the spectral decomposition of anti-Hermitian matrices.
Lemma 5. The spectrum of $H$ is purely imaginary, so that $\exp (-\mu H)=Q \operatorname{Diag}\left(e^{-\mu i \omega_{1}}, \ldots, e^{-\mu i \omega_{k}}\right) Q^{H}$ for $\omega_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \omega_{k}$ and $Q$ a unitary matrix.

It follows from the above property and (12) that $G(\mu)$ is the linear superposition of function of type $\mu \mapsto \exp \left(-\mu \mathrm{i} \omega_{j}\right)$ and $\mu \mapsto \exp \left(-2 v i \omega_{j}\right)$.
With $\omega=\max _{i=1, \ldots, k}\left|\omega_{i}\right|$, we then restrict the search of the minimum of $G(\mu)$ to the interval $[0,2 \pi / q \omega]$ with $q=2$, as $G(\mu+2 \pi \omega)$ is close to $G(\mu)$.
With the chain rule and the definition of the Riemannian gradient,

$$
G^{\prime}(\mu)=-2 \Re \operatorname{Tr}\left(\nabla_{\mathfrak{U}} J(Y, \exp (-\mu H) U, W) U^{H} \exp (-\mu H)^{H} H^{H}\right)
$$

since the derivative of $\mu \mapsto \exp (-\mu H) U$ is $H \exp (-\mu H) U \in T_{\exp (-\mu H) U} \mathfrak{U}_{n}$.
As the search direction imposes that $G^{\prime}(0)<0$, to find the minimum of $G(\mu)$, we look for the smallest zero-crossing of the derivative.
To avoid the high cost of computing the exponential $\exp (-\mu H)$ too frequently, we use a polynomial approximation of $G^{\prime}(\mu)$. Thus, $G^{\prime}(\mu)$ is evaluated at the $P$ spaced point $\mu_{k}=\frac{k \pi}{P \omega}, k=1, \ldots, P$. Hence,

$$
\exp \left(-\mu_{k} G\right)=\exp \left(-\mu_{1} G\right)^{k} \text { for } k=1, \ldots, P
$$

With the approximation

$$
G^{\prime}(\mu) \approx G^{\prime}(0)+\sum_{k=1}^{P} a_{k} \mu^{k}
$$

we obtain

$$
G^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right) \approx G^{\prime}(0)+\sum_{k=1}^{P} a_{k} \mu_{i}^{k} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, P
$$

The $a_{i}$ are then found by solving the linear system

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
G^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}\right)-G^{\prime}(0) \\
\vdots \\
G^{\prime}\left(\mu_{P}\right)-G^{\prime}(0)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu_{1} & \cdots & \mu_{1}^{P} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\mu_{k} & \cdots & \mu_{k}^{P}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{P}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The line search algorithm returns the smallest positive root of $a_{0}+a_{1} \mu+\cdots+a_{P} \mu^{P}=0$ if it exist. Otherwise, it returns 0 , and a new direction is computed.
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