



HAL
open science

Decomposition of the complete directed graph into k-circuits

Jean-Claude Bermond, Vance Faber

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Claude Bermond, Vance Faber. Decomposition of the complete directed graph into k-circuits. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 1976, 21 (2), pp.146-155. 10.1016/0095-8956(76)90055-1 . hal-02366539

HAL Id: hal-02366539

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-02366539>

Submitted on 16 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Decomposition of the Complete Directed Graph into k -Circuits

J. C. BERMOND

C. M. S. 54 boulevard Raspail, 7006 Paris, France

AND

V. FABER

University of Colorado at Denver, Denver, Colorado 80202

Communicated by C. Berge

Received April 30, 1974

We study the decomposition of K_n^* (the complete directed graph with n vertices) into arc-disjoint elementary k -circuits, primarily for the case k even. We solve the problem for many values of (n, k) and in particular for all n in the cases $k = 4, 6, 8,$ and 16 .

Let K_n^* be the complete directed graph with n vertices: Every ordered pair of vertices is connected by exactly one arc (directed edge). By a k -circuit we mean a (directed) elementary circuit of length k ; we denote a k -circuit by $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_1)$ with $x_i \neq x_j$ unless $i = j$. We are interested in the following problem: *For what values of n is it possible to decompose K_n^* into pairwise arc-disjoint k -circuits (that is, to partition the arcs of K_n^* into k -circuits)?* A necessary condition is that the number of arcs of K_n^* be a multiple of k ; thus we have Proposition 1.

PROPOSITION 1. *If K_n^* ($n \geq k$) can be decomposed into k -circuits, then $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

In the case $k = 3$, it has been proven by the first author [3] that this condition is sufficient except for $n = 6$.

THEOREM 1. *K_n^* ($n \geq 3$) can be decomposed into 3-circuits if and only if $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ except for $n = 6$ (in this case the decomposition is not possible.)*

In this paper we give results on the decomposition of K_n^* into k -circuits, primarily in the case k even, and solve the problem completely in the cases $k = 4, 6, 8,$ and 16 .

The decomposition of the undirected complete graph, K_n , into (undirected) k -cycles has been discussed by Kotzig [10] and Rosa [12, 13]. We summarize their results in Section 5.

1. DECOMPOSITION OF K_n^* INTO n -CIRCUITS (HAMILTONIAN CIRCUITS)

The problem in this case is a generalization of a similar problem in the undirected case (due to Kirkman): When is it possible to decompose the edges of the complete (undirected) graph K_n into Hamiltonian cycles? The answer is given by Theorem 2.

THEOREM 2 (see [2, p. 233]). *The complete (undirected) graph K_n can be decomposed into Hamiltonian cycles if and only if n is odd.*

COROLLARY 1. *If n is odd, K_n^* can be decomposed into Hamiltonian circuits.*

Proof. We obtain such a decomposition by associating with each n -cycle, $x_1x_2 \cdots x_nx_1$, of a decomposition of K_n the two opposing n -circuits $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1)$ and $(x_1, x_n, \dots, x_2, x_1)$.

This problem is also closely related to a problem of E. G. Strauss: When is it possible to decompose K_n^* into Hamiltonian (spanning) paths? In fact, we have

PROPOSITION 2. *K_n^* can be decomposed into Hamiltonian paths if and only if K_{n+1}^* can be decomposed into Hamiltonian circuits.*

Proof. If K_n^* is decomposed into n Hamiltonian paths P_i , but some vertex is not an initial vertex of one of the paths, it would be the terminal vertex of n arcs, an impossibility. Similarly, each vertex is a terminal vertex of one of the paths. To decompose K_{n+1}^* into Hamiltonian circuits, one needs only to consider K_{n+1}^* as K_n^* together with a vertex x which is joined to each vertex of K_n^* . The required circuits are $C_i = (x, P_i, x)$. The converse is obvious.

The problem of E. G. Strauss has been studied in [1, 7, 8, 11, 16]. In [11] Mendelsohn has pointed out that a decomposition of K_n^* into Hamiltonian paths is possible if there exists a *complete* latin square of order n (that is, a latin square in which every ordered pair of elements appears exactly once in the rows and once in the columns.) Mendelsohn also showed that if there was a finite sequencable group of order n (a finite group of order n is sequencable if its elements can be listed g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n so that the partial products $g_1, g_1g_2, \dots, g_1g_2 \cdots g_n$ are distinct), then a complete latin square of order n exists (see Lemma 1);

he exhibited a sequencing of the nonabelian group of order 21. Gordon [8] has shown that an abelian group has a sequencing if and only if it has exactly one element of order 2. Wang [16] wrote a computer program which produced sequencings for the nonabelian groups of orders 39, 55 and 57. It follows that K_n^* can be decomposed into Hamiltonian circuits for all odd n and $n = 22, 40, 56, \text{ and } 58$.

Bankes [1] wrote a computer program to decompose K_n^* into Hamiltonian circuits; he found that K_n^* can be decomposed into Hamiltonian circuits if $n = 8, 10, 12, \text{ and } 14$. For example, a decomposition of K_8^* is: (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 1), (1, 3, 2, 4, 6, 5, 8, 7, 1), (1, 4, 2, 5, 7, 3, 8, 6, 1), (1, 5, 2, 6, 8, 3, 7, 4, 1), (1, 6, 4, 7, 2, 8, 5, 3, 1), (1, 7, 6, 3, 5, 4, 8, 2, 1), (1, 8, 4, 3, 6, 2, 7, 5, 1).

For $n = 4$ and $n = 6$ we have verified by considering all possible cases that K_4^* and K_6^* cannot be decomposed into Hamiltonian circuits (this result was confirmed by the program of Bankes.) This was also announced in [11], where it was said that K_n^* cannot be decomposed into Hamiltonian paths for $n = 3, 5, \text{ and } 7$. (Apparently K_7^* can be decomposed into Hamiltonian paths as the decomposition of K_8^* into Hamiltonian circuits shows.)

With an improved version of the program of Bankes, we found that K_n^* can be decomposed into Hamiltonian circuits for $n = 16$ and 18 before time limitations occurred. Thus the smallest undecided values are $n = 20$ and 24 .

2. DECOMPOSITION OF K_{n+1}^* INTO n -CIRCUITS

THEOREM 3. *For every n , K_{n+1}^* can be decomposed into n -circuits.*

In order to prove this theorem we need the following lemma which is an adaptation of R. C. Bose's method of "symmetrically repeated differences" [6].

LEMMA 1. *Let Γ be a group. Let G be any directed graph on elements in Γ . If $e = (x, y)$ is a directed edge in G , let $w_e = yx^{-1}$. If all members of the collection $(w_e : e \in G)$ are distinct, then the graphs $\{Gg : g \in \Gamma\}$ are arc disjoint.*

Proof. Suppose Gg and Gh have an arc in common, that is, there exist $e = (x, y)$ and $f = (x_1, y_1)$, arcs in G , such that $eg = fh$. Then $(xg, yg) = (x_1h, y_1h)$, and so $xg = x_1h$ and $yg = y_1h$. Thus $yx^{-1} = y_1x_1^{-1}$ which implies that $e = f$ and hence $g = h$.

Proof of Theorem 3. We shall apply the lemma with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and denote the vertices of K_n^* by the elements of \mathbb{Z}_n .

Case 1: n even. Let the vertices of K_{n+1}^* be the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_n \cup \{\infty\}$ where ∞ is a new symbol satisfying $x + \infty = \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Define a circuit P by $P = (\infty, 0, n-1, 1, n-2, \dots, n/2+1, n/2-1, \infty)$. Since among the differences on the edges of P , all nonzero residues modulo n except 1 occur precisely once, developing P according to \mathbb{Z}_n produces a total of n n -circuits. If we adjoin one additional circuit $C = (0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, 0)$, we obtain $n+1$ circuits forming a decomposition of K_{n+1}^* .

Case 2: n odd. Again let the vertices of K_{n+1}^* be the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_n \cup \{\infty\}$. Define a circuit P by $P = (\infty, 0, n-1, 1, n-2, \dots, [n/4]-1, [3n/4]+1, [n/4], [3n/4]-1, [n/4]+1, \dots, [n/2]-1, [n/2], \infty)$. Since among the differences on the edges of P , all nonzero residues modulo n except $a = [n/2]+1$ occur precisely once, developing P according to \mathbb{Z}_n produces a total of n n -circuits. If we adjoin one additional circuit $C = (0, a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (n-1)a, 0)$, we obtain $n+1$ circuits forming a decomposition of K_{n+1}^* .

Remark. Wilson [17] has proved that if $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{k}$ and $n > C(k)$, then the (undirected) complete graph K_n can be decomposed into edge disjoint K_k and K_{k+1} . By applying Theorem 3 for K_{k+1}^* and Corollary 1 for K_k^* (k odd), we deduce that if k is odd, then $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{k}$ and $n > C(k)$ imply that K_n^* can be decomposed into arc disjoint k -circuits. For example, if k is a power of a prime, the necessary condition in order to decompose K_n^* into k -circuits, $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ reduces to $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{k}$ and so for large values of n the condition is also sufficient. Sotteau has recently shown [15] that if k is odd, then K_n^* can be decomposed into arc disjoint k -circuits for all $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{k}$.

In the case k even, we can deduce a similar result whenever K_k^* can be decomposed into k -circuits (see Theorems 5 and 6).

3. TWO LEMMAS

DEFINITION. The *complete directed bipartite graph*, $K_{m,n}^*$, is the directed graph whose set of vertices is $X \cup Y$ with $|X| = m$ and $|Y| = n$ and whose arcs are all the ordered pairs (x, y) and (y, x) where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

We have the following lemmas which will be useful in the case k even (all the circuits of $K_{m,n}^*$ being of even length).

LEMMA 2. *If K_m^* , K_n^* and $K_{m,n}^*$ can be decomposed into k -circuits, then $K_{m \dots n}^*$ can be decomposed into k -circuits.*

Proof. The proof is obvious and is omitted.

LEMMA 3. If K_{m+1}^* , K_{n+1}^* , and $K_{m,n}^*$ can be decomposed into k -circuits, then K_{m+n+1}^* can be decomposed into k -circuits.

Proof. Let the set of vertices of K_{m+n+1}^* be $X \cup Y \cup \{x_0\}$ with $|X| = m$ and $|Y| = n$. The arcs of K_{m+n+1}^* can be partitioned into the arcs of the complete graph on $X \cup \{x_0\}$, isomorphic to K_{m+1}^* , the arcs of the complete graph on $Y \cup \{x_0\}$, isomorphic to K_{n+1}^* , and the arcs of the complete bipartite graph on X and Y , isomorphic to $K_{m,n}^*$.

4. DECOMPOSITION OF $K_{m,n}^*$ INTO $2k$ -CIRCUITS

THEOREM 4. If $m \geq k$, $n \geq k$ and if k divides m or n , then $K_{m,n}^*$ can be decomposed into $2k$ -circuits.

Proof. We shall suppose that k divides m .

Step 1. $K_{k,r}^*$ where $k \leq r \leq 2k - 1$ can be decomposed into $2k$ -circuits: If we denote the vertices of $K_{k,r}^*$ by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r , the decomposition is given by the following r $2k$ -circuits: $(x_1, y_{1+j}, x_2, y_{2+j}, \dots, x_i, y_{i+j}, \dots, x_k, y_{k+j}, x_1)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$ (the numbers which appear are to be taken modulo r .)

Step 2. $K_{k,n}^*$ can be decomposed into $2k$ -circuits for all $n \geq k$: This has been proven for $k \leq r \leq 2k - 1$. The arcs of $K_{k,n}^*$ can be partitioned into the arcs of q graphs isomorphic to $K_{k,k}^*$ and the arcs of a graph isomorphic to $K_{k,r}^*$, and so by Step 1 the arcs of $K_{k,n}^*$ can be partitioned into $2k$ -circuits.

Step 3. $K_{m,n}^*$ can be decomposed into $2k$ -circuits for $n \geq k$: This results from the partition of the arcs of $K_{m,n}^*$ into the arcs of m/k graphs isomorphic to $K_{k,n}^*$ and by Step 2.

Remark. Necessary conditions in order to decompose $K_{m,n}^*$ into $2k$ -circuits are that $m \geq k$, $n \geq k$ and that the number of arcs, $2mn$, is a multiple of $2k$. Thus we pose the following conjecture (which holds by Theorem 4 in case k is prime).

Conjecture 1. $K_{m,n}^*$ can be decomposed into $2k$ -circuits if and only if $m \geq k$, $n \geq k$ and k divides the product mn .

5. DECOMPOSITION OF K_{qk+1}^* AND K_{qk}^* INTO k -CIRCUITS (k EVEN)

If the undirected complete graph, K_n , can be decomposed into (undirected) k -cycles, then necessarily n is odd and k divides $n(n - 1)/2$. Kotzig and Rosa have shown that (*) if $n \geq k$ is odd and either $n \equiv 0$

$(\text{mod } k)$ or $\frac{1}{2}(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, then K_n can be decomposed into k -cycles ($k \geq 3$). More specifically, Kotzig [10] showed (*) for $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, while Rosa showed (*) for odd k in [12] and for $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ in [13]. The methods employed in this section can also be used to show (*) when k is even.

THEOREM 5. *If k is even, K_{qk+1}^* can be decomposed into k -circuits.*

Proof. The theorem is true for $q = 1$ (Theorem 3). Suppose the theorem holds for q , then by Lemma 3 applied to K_{qk+1}^* , K_{k+1}^* and $K_{qk, k}^*$ (decomposable by Theorem 4) we deduce that $K_{(q+1)k+1}^*$ is decomposable into k -circuits; and so the theorem holds by induction.

The following proposition is a particular case of a theorem found independently by Hartnell and Milgram [9].

PROPOSITION 3. *Let k be an even positive integer. If $p \geq k$ is a prime, K_p^* can be decomposed into k -circuits if and only if $p(p-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

Proof. The necessary condition is Proposition 1. If $p(p-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, since k is even, k divides $p-1$ (the case $p=2$ is trivial). Thus $p = qk + 1$ and the condition is sufficient by Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. *If k is even and if K_k^* is decomposable into k -circuits, then K_{qk}^* can be decomposed into k -circuits.*

Proof. By hypothesis the theorem holds for $q = 1$. Suppose the theorem is true for q , then by Lemma 2 with $m = qk$ and $n = k$, $K_{(q+1)k}^*$ can be decomposed into k -circuits and the theorem follows by induction.

Theorem 6 can also be proved with Theorem 5 and Lemma 3 as we see in the following generalization.

THEOREM 7. *If k is even and if $K_{n_0}^*$ is decomposable into k -circuits, then $K_{n_0+qk}^*$ can be decomposed into k -circuits.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 3 with $m = qk$ and $n = n_0 - 1$; $K_{n_0}^*$ is decomposable by hypothesis, K_{qk+1}^* by Theorem 5 and K_{qk, n_0-1}^* by Theorem 4.

Theorem 7 will be useful in proving Conjecture 2 in the case where k is even.

Conjecture 2. K_n^* ($n \geq k$) can be decomposed into k -circuits if and only if $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, except for $n = 6 = 2k$, $n = 4 = k$, and $n = 6 = k$.

To prove that this conjecture holds for all values of $n \geq n_0(k)$, in the case where k is even, it will suffice to prove that K_n^* is decomposable into k -circuits for all n such that $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ with $n_0 \leq n < n_0 + k$. We shall see applications in the cases $k = 4, 6, 8, 16$.

6. DECOMPOSITION OF K_{2k}^* INTO k -CIRCUITS (k EVEN).

Since K_k^* is decomposable into k -circuits for $k = 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 22, 40, 56$ and 58 , K_{2k}^* is decomposable into k -circuits for those values of k by Theorem 6. We shall prove in Lemmas 4 and 5 that K_{2k}^* is decomposable into k -circuits for $k = 4$ and 6 (values for which K_k^* is not decomposable into k -circuits.)

Remark. By Theorem 7, if K_{2k}^* were decomposable into k -circuits then K_{qk}^* ($q \geq 2$) would be decomposable into k -circuits, and in the case $k = 2^r$ the decomposition of K_n^* would be solved (except for $n = k$) because in the case $k = 2^r$ the condition $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ reduces to $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{k}$.

LEMMA 4. K_8^* is decomposable into 4-circuits.

Proof. The decomposition is given by the following 4-circuits: (1, 2, 3, 4, 1), (1, 4, 3, 2, 1), (5, 6, 7, 8, 5), (5, 8, 7, 6, 5), (1, 3, 7, 5, 1), (2, 4, 8, 6, 2), (1, 6, 8, 3, 1), (5, 7, 4, 2, 5), (1, 5, 2, 6, 1), (3, 8, 4, 7, 3), (1, 7, 2, 8, 1), (1, 8, 2, 7, 1), (3, 5, 4, 6, 3), and (3, 6, 4, 5, 3).

LEMMA 5. K_{12}^* is decomposable into 6-circuits.

Proof. The decomposition is given by the following 22 6-circuits: 4 6-circuits of the complete graph on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$: (1, 2, 6, 3, 5, 4, 1), (1, 4, 5, 3, 6, 2, 1), (1, 3, 2, 4, 6, 5, 1), (1, 5, 6, 4, 2, 3, 1); 4 6-circuits of the complete graph on $\{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$: (7, 8, 12, 9, 11, 10, 7), (7, 10, 11, 9, 12, 8, 7), (7, 9, 8, 10, 12, 11, 7), (7, 11, 12, 10, 8, 9, 7); 6 6-circuits using the remaining arcs of these complete graphs: (1, 6, 7, 12, 2, 11, 1), (2, 5, 8, 11, 3, 10, 2), (3, 2, 9, 10, 1, 12, 3), (6, 1, 10, 9, 5, 7, 6), (5, 2, 12, 7, 4, 8, 5), (4, 3, 11, 8, 6, 9, 4); the 6 6-circuits of $K_{\{1,2,3\},\{7,8,9\}}^*$ and $K_{\{4,5,6\},\{10,11,12\}}^*$; and 2 6-circuits using the remaining arcs: (1, 11, 2, 10, 3, 12, 1), (6, 8, 4, 7, 5, 9, 6).

Remark. The first author [3] has shown that K_6^* cannot be decomposed into 3-circuits. In [5], it is shown that K_{2k}^* can be decomposed into k circuits for all $k > 3$.

THEOREM 8. K_n^* is decomposable into 4-circuits if and only if $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n > 4$.

Proof. The equation $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ reduces to $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$. K_4^* is not decomposable; K_5^* (by Theorem 3) and K_8^* (by Lemma 4) are decomposable and thus the theorem follows from Theorem 7.

Remark. This theorem has been proved independently by Schonheim [14].

LEMMA 6. K_9^* and K_{10}^* are decomposable into 6-circuits.

Proof. The decomposition of K_9^* is given by the following 12 6-circuits: 4 6-circuits of the complete graph on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$: $(1, 2, 6, 3, 5, 4, 1)$, $(1, 4, 5, 3, 6, 2, 1)$, $(1, 3, 2, 4, 6, 5, 1)$, $(1, 5, 6, 4, 2, 3, 1)$; and $(1, 6, 7, 8, 2, 9, 1)$, $(2, 5, 8, 9, 3, 7, 2)$, $(3, 4, 9, 7, 1, 8, 3)$, $(6, 1, 7, 9, 4, 8, 6)$, $(5, 2, 8, 7, 6, 9, 5)$, $(4, 3, 9, 8, 5, 7, 4)$, $(1, 9, 2, 7, 3, 8, 1)$, $(6, 8, 4, 7, 5, 9, 6)$. The decomposition of K_{10}^* is given by the following 15 6-circuits: 6 6-circuits of the complete graph on $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ (see Theorem 3): $(6, 0, 5, 1, 4, 2, 6)$, $(6, 1, 0, 2, 5, 3, 6)$, $(6, 2, 1, 3, 0, 4, 6)$, $(6, 3, 2, 4, 1, 5, 6)$, $(6, 4, 3, 5, 2, 0, 6)$, $(6, 5, 4, 0, 3, 1, 6)$; and $(0, 1, 7, 8, 2, 9, 0)$, $(2, 3, 8, 9, 4, 7, 2)$, $(4, 5, 9, 7, 0, 8, 4)$, $(1, 2, 7, 9, 5, 8, 1)$, $(3, 4, 8, 7, 1, 9, 3)$, $(5, 0, 9, 8, 3, 7, 5)$, $(6, 7, 4, 9, 2, 8, 6)$, $(6, 8, 5, 7, 3, 9, 6)$, $(6, 9, 1, 8, 0, 7, 6)$.

THEOREM 9. K_n^* is decomposable into 6-circuits if and only if $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $n > 6$.

Proof. The equation $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ reduces to $n \equiv 0, 1, 3, 4 \pmod{6}$. K_6^* is not decomposable; K_7^* (by Theorem 3), K_9^* and K_{10}^* (by Lemma 6) and K_{12}^* (by Lemma 5) are decomposable into 6-circuits and thus the theorem follows from Theorem 7.

THEOREM 10. K_n^* ($n \geq 8$) is decomposable into 8 circuits if and only if $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

Proof. The equation $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ reduces to $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{8}$. K_8^* (see Section 1) and K_9^* (by Theorem 3) are decomposable into 8-circuits and thus the theorem follows from Theorem 7.

THEOREM 11. K_n^* ($n \geq 16$) is decomposable into 16-circuits if and only if $n(n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$.

Proof. As in Theorem 10, it is only necessary to decompose K_{16}^* into 16-circuits. The computer took 12.376 seconds to produce the following list: (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 1), (1, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1), (1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 1), (1, 14, 11, 8, 5, 2, 15, 12, 9, 6, 3, 16, 13, 10, 7, 4, 1), (1, 6, 11, 16, 5, 10, 15, 4, 9, 14, 3, 8, 13, 2, 7, 12, 1), (1, 12, 7, 2, 13, 8, 3, 14, 9, 4, 15, 10, 5, 16, 11, 6, 1), (1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 2, 4, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 8, 15, 11, 13), (1, 15, 3, 7, 5, 9, 11, 2, 6, 13, 4, 8, 12, 16, 14, 10, 1), (1, 5, 3, 9, 7, 11, 4, 13, 15, 6, 2, 16, 10, 14, 12, 8, 1), (1, 13, 3, 10, 2, 8, 4, 11, 5, 12, 6, 14, 7, 16, 9, 15, 1), (1, 7, 3, 11, 9, 13, 6, 15, 8, 2, 10, 16, 12, 4, 14, 5, 1), (1, 11, 3, 12, 2, 14, 4, 10, 6, 8, 16, 7, 13, 5, 15, 9, 1), (1, 8, 10, 4, 16, 2, 9, 3, 15, 13, 7, 14, 6, 12, 5, 11, 1), (1, 10, 8, 14, 2, 11, 7, 15, 5, 13, 9, 16, 6, 4, 12, 3, 1), (1, 9, 5, 14, 8, 6, 16, 4, 2, 12, 10, 3, 13, 11, 15, 7, 1).

Remark. In [5], it is shown that K_n^* ($n \geq k$) is decomposable into k -circuits if and only if $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for $k = 10, 12$, and 14 . In [4], this is shown for $k = 5$.

REFERENCES

1. S. BANKES, private communication.
2. C. BERGE, "Graphs and Hypergraphs," North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
3. J. C. BERMOND, An application of the solution of Kirkman's schoolgirl problem: the decomposition of the symmetric oriented complete graph into 3-circuits, *Discrete Math.* **8** (1971), 301-304.
4. J. C. BERMOND, The decomposition of K_n^* into k -circuits and balanced G -designs, in "Proceedings of the Prague Colloquium (June, 1974)," to appear.
5. J. C. BERMOND, thesis (unpublished).
6. R. C. BOSE, On the construction of balanced incomplete block designs, *Ann. Eugenics* **9** (1939), 353-399.
7. J. DÉNES AND E. TÖRÖK, Groups and graphs, in "Combinatorial Theory and its Applications" (P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós, Eds.), pp. 257-289, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
8. B. GORDON, Sequences in groups with distinct partial products, *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (1961), pp. 1309-1313.
9. B. HARTNELL AND M. MILGRAM, Decomposition of K_p , for p a prime, into k -circuits, to appear.
10. A. KOTZIG, On the decomposition of complete graphs into $4k$ -gons, *Mat.-Fyz. Časopis Sloven. Akad. Vied.* **15** (1965), 229-233 (Russian).
11. N. S. MENDELSON, Hamiltonian decomposition of the complete directed n -graph, in "Theory of Graphs," Proc. Colloq. Tihany, pp. 237-241, Akademia, Kiado Budapest, 1968.
12. A. ROSA, On the cyclic decompositions of the complete graph into polygons with odd number of edges, *Časopis. Pěst. Mat.* **91** (1966), 53-63 (Slovak with English summary).

13. A. ROSA, On cyclic decompositions of the complete graph into $(4m + 2)$ -gons, *Mat.-Fyz. Časopis Sloven. Akad. Vied.* **16** (1966), 349–353.
14. J. SCHÖNHEIM, Partition of the edges of the directed complete graph into 4-cycles, *Discrete Math.* **11** (1975), 67–70.
15. D. SOTTEAU, (n, k, λ) -configurations, in preparation.
16. L. L. WANG, A test for the sequencing of a class of finite groups with two generators, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1973), A-632.
17. R. M. WILSON, An existence theory for pairwise balanced designs. II. The structure of *PBD*-closed sets and existence conjectures, *J. Combinatorial Theory* **13** (1972), 246–273.

Erratum :

p. 150, step 2 of the proof of theorem 4 : add : "let $n = qk + r$ with $k \leq r \leq 2k - 1$.

p. 152 : line -7, replace in the circuit (3,2,9,10,1,12,3): 2 by 4

p. 152 : note that the last remark implies that the results of the remark before lemma 4 are true.

References [4] appeared in Recent Advances in Graph Theory, Akademia Pragu 1975, 57-68 ; [5] appeared in Proc. Journées franco-belges sur le graphes et hypergraphes, Cahiers du CERO, Bruxelles, 17, 1975, 125-135 [15] is : D. Sotteau : Decomposition of K_n^* into odd circuits Discrete math. 15, 1976, 185-192.

Note added in proof : Since the writing of this paper, Conjecture 1 has been proved by D. Sotteau (to appear) ; Conjecture 2 has been proved (in a more general setting) for n large enough, that is, $n \geq n_0(k)$ by R.M. Wilson, "Decomposition of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph", Proc. 5th British Combinatorial Conference, Aberdeen 1975, Utilitas Math., Congressus Numerantium XV, 647-659. In [15] D. Sotteau has proved that K_n^* is decomposable into k -circuits for $k = p^\alpha$, p an odd prime. A sequencing of the non-abelian group of order 27 and thus a decomposition of K_{28}^* into Hamiltonian circuits has been obtained by A.D. Keedwell, "Some problems concerning complete Latin squares, Proc. of the British Combinatorial Conference 1973, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 13, 1974, 89-96. Other results and a survey on graph decompositions appeared in J.C. Bermond and D. Sotteau, "Graph decompositions and G-designs", Proc 5th British combinatorial Conference, Aberdeen 1975, Utilitas Math., Congressus Numerantium XV, 53-72. Finally many new cases of Conjecture 2 have been solved by J.C. Bermond, C. Huang and D. Sotteau "Balanced cycle and circuit designs : even case" to appear and by J.C. Bermond and D. Sotteau "cycle and circuit designs odd case" to appear in Proc. Coll. Oberhof, April 1977.

Note added (5/77) : T. Tillson has recently proved that K_{2m}^* can be decomposed into hamiltonian circuits for $2m \geq 8$. (to appear in J. Combinatorial Theory).