

Estimating the Probability of Earthquake Magnitude Between $Mw = 4$ and $Mw = 5$ for Turkey

Türkay Dereli, Cihan Çetinkaya, Nazmiye Çelik

To cite this version:

Türkay Dereli, Cihan Çetinkaya, Nazmiye Çelik. Estimating the Probability of Earthquake Magnitude Between $Mw = 4$ and $Mw = 5$ for Turkey. 2nd International Conference on Information Technology in Disaster Risk Reduction (ITDRR), Oct 2017, Sofia, Bulgaria. pp.96-107, 10.1007/978-3-030-18293-9 9. hal-02280325

HAL Id: hal-02280325 <https://inria.hal.science/hal-02280325v1>

Submitted on 6 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Estimating the Probability of Earthquake Magnitude between Mw=4 and Mw=5 for Turkey

Türkay Dereli^{1,2}, Cihan Çetinkaya¹, Nazmiye Çelik¹

¹ Gaziantep University, Industrial Engineering, 27310 Gaziantep, Turkey 2 Iskenderun Technical University, 31200 Hatay, Turkey dereli@gantep.edu.tr, cihancetinkaya@gantep.edu.tr, nazmiye@gantep.edu.tr

Abstract. Earthquake is a type of disaster that occurs suddenly in different magnitudes. When magnitude of an earthquake increases it is expected that the effects are much more. Earthquakes in varying magnitude between 4Mw and 5Mw cause uneasiness among the public even if they do not cause heavy damage. The aim of this study is to estimate the probability of an earthquake between 4.0 and 5.0 by using artificial neural network model. Monthly real data between 2006 and 2015 is used for the model. Data is analyzed in MATLAB neural network tool, then estimated output value obtained via analysis and output of test value is compared with regression equation. Besides, seasonal effects on magnitude of earthquake are examined. Results show that 90.51 % of the earthquake probability between 4.0 and 5.0 can be estimated by using artificial neural network model.

Keywords: Artificial neural network, earthquake, magnitude, seasonal effect, MATLAB

1 Introduction

Earthquake is a sudden, rapid shaking of the Earth that causes losses in terms of human and materials also leaving psychological impact. Estimating the magnitude of the next earthquake, within a restricted area and time, appears as an almost impossible task [1]. Adeli and Panakkat [2] presented earthquake magnitude estimation between 4.5 and 6.0 using eight parameters including significant seismic events, mean square deviation etc. On the other hand, for this model studied interval for earthquake magnitudes is estimated between 4.0 and 5.0 because earthquake frequency in this interval is more than frequency of interval with Mw>5 and effects are much more than the small magnitudes. The parameters used as independent variables in this study are the total number of earthquakes in various magnitudes. Magnitude between 4.0 and 5.0 for earthquake is unsettling among people and it creates a fear of a bigger earthquake because of average severity and high probability. Because of this unsettlement among people, probability of earthquake magnitude between 4.0 and 5.0 is chosen as dependent variable. Data is analyzed for Turkey because large part of Turkey is included in the earthquake zone because of the many small plates among the large plates. Contribution of this study is by looking at the numbers of earthquakes in different magnitudes within a month, it can be estimated the probability of an earthquake in the specified magnitude interval.

The rest of the study is arranged in the following steps. Section 2 presents related works about the topic. Section 3 presents methodology of study with subsections: normalization of data, artificial neural network model and analyses, seasonal effects of earthquakes. Section 4 describes results of the study and finally, conclusion is summarized in the last section.

2 Literature Review

Artificial neural networks are computing systems based on interpretation of the process of biological neuron networks. Neural networks have been implemented in wide area such as transportation [3, 4], signal processing[5], medical[6,7] etc. Many scientists tried to implement Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) to the issues related to earthquakes, getting attractive and encouraging results [8]. Alves [9] developed earthquake forecasting method integrating several tools in a neural network for financial analysis. This method was tested within different years with wide time and location perspective. Chattopadhyays [10] predicted the magnitude of earthquake over Indian subcontinent benefitting artificial neural network with backpropagation learning. ANN model established by Gul and Guneri [11] for the earthquake casualty estimation, which takes into account earthquake time, earthquake magnitude, and population frequency as the parameters for training network in Turkey from 1975. Murru et al. [12] proposed timedependent and time-independent earthquake breakings for the Marmara Region in Turkey based on new fault segmentation model. Asencio-Cortes et al. [13] appraised correctness of artificial neural networks when used to estimate earthquakes magnitude in Tokyo. Azam et al.[14] classified earthquake patterns concerning identified characteristics using ANN based networks, and then either supervised or unsupervised techniques were used for estimation. Fawzy and Arslan [15] tried to estimate building reactions to big earthquakes benefitting actual data collected from surveys carried out after the real earthquakes. A neural network model was proposed to estimate the horizontal displacement of the ground in both ground slope and free face situations in order to liquefaction-induced lateral spreading by Baziar and Ghorbani [16]. Reyes et al.[17] presented a novel earthquake estimation system based on artificial neural networks for estimating earthquakes in Chile. Paper proposed by Alarifi et al. [18] demonstrated the implementation of artificial intelligent estimation model which used to estimate the magnitude of future earthquakes in northern Red Sea area including Sinai Peninsula, the Gulf of Aqaba, and the Gulf of Suez. In section 3, the approaches in this study are discussed. Li and Liu [19] presented a different approach of combining particle swarm algorithm and back propagation neural network to estimate earthquake magnitude. Their model gave better results and faster convergence rate. Radial basis function network and adaptive neuro fuzzy ınference system were applied to predict earthquake occurrences in Iran considering spatial-temporal variations [20]. LM-BP algorithm was implemented by Zhou and Zhu [21] to the earthquake prediction considering fast velocity and the strong fault tolerant. Moustra et al. [22] evaluated artificial neural network performance using time series magnitude data and seismic electric signals to estimate earthquakes. The results were relatively accurately. A Time series was also used by Lakshimi and Tiwari [23] making a comparative analyses including non-linear forecasting model and artificial neural network. Results acquired by two methods were consistent and in good accord. Seismic indicators have been also widely used in neural network to predict earthquakes [24, 25, 26].

Neural networks have been implemented successfully to deal with complex problems with different tools to estimate earthquakes.

3 Methodologies

Earthquake is a disaster type that takes place almost every day and the impact of a strong earthquake is disruptive. Technically, the earthquake is a phenomenon of vibrations that suddenly emerge in waves due to breaks in the earth's crust, and thus shake the earth. Magnitude is too significant in terms of earthquake effects. Magnitude is a measure of the energy generated during an earthquake. In this study, earthquakes with magnitude between 4.0 and 5.0 have been analyzed using artificial neural network model with subsections: normalization of data, artificial neural network model and analyses, seasonal effects of earthquakes. Components of model and model design have been examined in detail. The model is formed by using MATLAB neural network tool.

Artificial neural networks are computing systems based on interpretation of the biological neuron networks process. These models bring solution to real world problems which interrelate between a set of given input patterns and set of known target output [27]. Structure of a classic neural network model is shown in figure 1.

Properties of neural networks are nonlinearity, input-output association (mapping), adaptability, evidence-based response, contextual information, analysis and design standard, neurobiological metaphor, applicability to large-scale technology and fault tolerance [28]. These systems are based on gaining the ability to learn by manipulating inputs over time. Many nerve cells have parallel learning capacity in one piece, and this is probably the most important aspect [29].

Fig. 1. Test and estimated output values

The steps to be taken in the next stages of the study are as follows; normalization process is applied to provide data consistency. After the normalization of the data is completed, artificial neural network model is conducted. Test and training sets and the most appropriate activation function is determined. After the network type is determined, the number of layers and the number of neurons in hidden layers are determined. For the simulation process, weights are obtained by via training parameters and they are available to obtain the desired output. After all a new neural network model is created.

3.1 Normalization of data and determining output value

Data is normalized to increase accuracy of data. The following formula in equation 1 is used for normalization [30]. In the model log-sis function is used as transfer function because it is a continuous derivable function and network type is chosen as feed-forward backprop.

 $Mr=0.8*[(Mr-Mmin)/(Mmax-Mmin)]+0.1$ (1) Mr=Real observed data Mmin=Minimum of data Mmax=Maximum of data

In appendix 1, all data is shown before the normalization process insert in a table. The last column shows output values. These output values are computed according to statistical analyses and linguistic variables.

Firstly, probabilities of earthquake occurrence between Mw=4 and Mw=5 are statistically analyzed and following results are obtained.

Accordingly, these probabilities are expressed as linguistic expressions. In table 1, linguistic expressions and then their digitized states are shown.

Table 1. Converting linguistic expressions to numbers

	Very low	Low	Medium	High
Formulation	\leq (sample mean-std dev)	\leq (sample $mean)$, $>$ (sample) mean-std dev)	\leq (sample mean+std dev), $>(\text{sample mean})$	$>\frac{1}{2}$ (sample) mean+std dev)
Digitization				

Linguistic expressions are normalized after being digitized and used as output values.

3.2 Artificial neural network model and analysis

After all, above mentioned processes, model is formed by using MATLAB neural network tool. The following steps have been implemented:

- While 84 samples (months) are used for training set, 36 samples (months) are used for test set.
- Number of epochs is chosen 1000 and max-fail is estimated as 6.
- After training network, weights are obtained and used for computing output value when input values are given. Weights are shown in table 2. MATLAB code which is written in respect to weights is shown in appendix 2 for computing output values [30]. In MATLAB code, E is the normalized output value. The actual output value is obtained by applying the inverse of the normalization process. Accordingly, network is simulated using test input values, and then test output values and estimated output values are compared as shown figure 2.

$Iw{1,1}$ -Weight to	$Iw{2,1}$ -Weight to	$b\{1\}$ -Bias to layer	$b\{2\}$ -Bias to		
layer 1	layer		layer 2		
$[-2.41991.667 -$ 3.7098 -0.071999; - 0.45022 0.99641 - 2.7364 3.5565; 3.9526 $-1.1322 - 1.3897$ 1.8254; -0.0059579 4.1033 0.98436 2.1465 ; -1.1492 - 3.5649 -1.5197 2.7367; -2.7365 2.258 4.2554 0.15928; 0.088907 1.7687 3.3905 1.0296: 1.202 2.9674 1.564 -3.05211	$[-1.5627]$ 0.66908 -0.40774 0.87236 0.90156 3.7318 - 3.169 1.44991	[4.6993; 3.6089; 2.0318 ; -0.14941 ; 0.20621 ; -1.1002 - 4.7703: 4.7541	$[-1.1608]$		

Table 2. Weight of layers

 2 layers and 8 neurons are used for this model. 3 years data is constituted test earthquake counts [31].

Estimated data and real data have similar characteristics. According to the regression analysis done in excel, value of R2 is equal to 0.9051. This result shows that 90.51 % of the variability in the magnitude of earthquake between 4Mw and 5Mw can be estimated with using artificial neural network model.

Fig. 2. Test and estimated output values

3.3 Seasonal effects of earthquakes

When seasonal effects of earthquake are considered, it is observed that the frequency increases especially in spring and autumn as in the figure 3. There are relatively few earthquakes in winter months compared to summer months.

Fig. 3. Earthquake seasonal results

4 Results

First 86 data (first 86 months) is selected as training set and last 36 data is selected as test set before data entry to the system. 2 layers and 8 neurons are used and log-sis function is benefitted as transfer function. Network type is chosen as feed-forward backprop. After training system, regression plots are handled as seen figure 4. Regression plots display the outputs of networks in connection with targets for training, validation, and test sets.

Fig. 4. Regression in neural network

For this problem, R value for training set is above 0.93 [32] so fit is good for this data set. For test set, R value is 0.91 that is below 0.93 but comparatively the fit can be said well. For validation set, R value is equal to 0.85 is below 0.93. For more accurate results the sets could be retrained. But for all results, R value is equal to 0.93 and these results are accurate.

As seen in figure 5, best validation performance has occurred at epoch 0 and is equal to 0.0042663.

Accordingly, the test set error and the validation set error has same features. So, the results are logical in the light of these characteristics. In table 3, test output values and estimated output values are shown.

Fig. 5. Best validation performance

Table 3. Comparison of test output values and estimated output values

	Jan.	Feb.	March	April	Mav	June	July	Au-	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
								gust				
Real	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.37	0.1	0.633	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.1	0.633	0.37
Forecast	0.247	0.238	0.185	0.26	0.21	0.607	0.38	0.298	0.29	0.20	0.67	0.41
Real	0.37	0.1	0.37	0.37	0.9	0.63	0.63	0.37	0.63	0.9	0.37	0.37
Forecast	0.34	0.27	0.43	0.36	0.81	0.56	0.65	0.459	0.73	0.79	0.40	0.36
Real	0.63	0.63	0.37	0.9	0.63	0.1	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.1	0.37
Forecast	0.576	0.70	0.297	0.87	0.60	0.24	0.64	0.55	0.60	0.56	0.231	0.31

Except that, the new output values can be obtained with the randomly selected input values. MATLAB code in appendix 1 shows output value calculations.

5 Conclusion

Earthquakes can cause many tangible or intangible losses. Uneasiness can be an example for intangible losses. Actually, earthquakes in varying magnitude between 4Mw and 5Mw cause uneasiness among the public even if they do not cause heavy damage. In this study, artificial neural network model is implemented for estimating probability of future earthquakes magnitudes between 4.0 and 5.0. Artificial neural networks have capabilities to analyze and tackle with complicated relations to estimate earthquake

magnitudes. Proposed model has used four magnitude intervals as independent variables. Probability of earthquake occurrence in varying magnitude is used as dependent variable. Monthly real data between 2006 and 2015 for Turkey has been used for analyses. Results show that model can display estimation of magnitudes between special intervals accurately. Furthermore, 90.51 % of the variability in the magnitude of earthquake between 4Mw and 5Mw can be estimated with using artificial neural network model. Besides, when seasonal effects of earthquake are considered, it is observed that the frequency increases especially in spring and autumn. In addition to determining the probability of an earthquake for different magnitudes, magnitude of earthquake can be estimated by different parameters using this model. Thanks to this study, the number of earthquakes in the range of Mw=4.0 to Mw=5.0 in a season can be estimated for next years.

References

- 1. Florido, E., Aznarte, J., Morales-Esteban, A., Martínez-Álvarez, F.: Earthquake Magnitude Prediction Based on Artificial Neural Networks: A Survey. Croatian Operational Research Review. 159--169 (2016)
- 2. Adeli, H., Panakkat, A.: A Probabilistic Neural Network for Earthquake Magnitude Prediction. Neural Networks. 22, 1018--1024(2009)
- 3. Stathopoulos, A., Dimitriou, L., Tsekeris, T.: Fuzzy Modeling Approach for Combined Forecasting of Urban Traffic Flow. Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 23,521--535(2008)
- 4. Vlahogianni, E. I., Karlaftis, M. G., Golias, J. C.: Temporal Evolution of Short Term Urban Traffic Flow: A Non-Linear Dynamics Approach. Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 23, 536--548(2008)
- 5. Cichocki, A., Zdunek, R.: Multilayer Nonnegative Matrix Factorization using Projected Gradient Approaches. International Journal of Neural Systems, 17, 431--446(2007)
- 6. Kuo, H. J., Chiu, H. W., Lee, C. N., Chen, T. T., Chang, C. C., Bien, M. Y.: Improvement in the Prediction of Ventilator Weaning Outcomes by an Artificial Neural Network in a Medical ICU. Respiratory Care, 60, 1560--1569(2015)
- 7. Andrei, C. L., Oancea, B., Nedelcu, M., Sinescu, R.D.: Predicting Cardiovascular Diseases Prevalence using Neural Networks. Economic Computation and Economic Cybernetics Studies and Research, 49, 73--84(2015)
- 8. Buscema, P.M, Massini, B.G., Maurelli, G.: Artificial Adaptive Systems to Predict the Magnitude of Earthquakes. Bollettino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata. 56, 227--256(2015)
- 9. Alves, E. I.: Earthquake Forecasting using Neural Networks: Results and Future Work. Nonlinear Dynamics, 44, 341--349(2006)
- 10. Chattopadhyay, G., Chattopadhyay, S.: Dealing with the Complexity of Earthquake Using Neurocomputing Techniques and Estimating its Magnitude with Some Low Correlated Predictors. Arab J Geosci. 247--255(2009)
- 11. Gul, M., Guneri, A. F.: An Artificial Neural Network-Based Earthquake Casualty Estimation Model for Istanbul City. Nat Hazards. 84, 2163--2178(2016)
- 12. Murru, M., Akinci, A., Falcone, G., Pucci, S., Console, R., Parsons, T.: M≥7 Earthquake Rupture Forecast and Time-Dependent Probability for the Sea of Marmara Region, Turkey. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth. 2679--2707(2016)
- 13. Asencio-Cortes, G., Martı´nez-Alvarez, F., Troncoso, A., Morales-Esteban, A.: Medium– Large Earthquake Magnitude Prediction in Tokyo with Artificial Neural Networks. Neural Comput & Applic. 28, 1043--1055(2017)
- 14. Azam, F., Sharif, M., Yasmin, M., Mohsin, S.: Artificial Intelligence Based Techniques for Earthquake Prediction: A Review. Sci.Int.(Lahore). 26, 1495--1502(2014)
- 15. Fawzy, D., Arslan, G.: Development of Building Damage Functions for Big Earthquakes in Turkey. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 195, 2290--2297(2015)
- 16. Baziar, M., Ghorbani, A.: Evaluation of Lateral Spreading Using Artificial Neural Networks. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering. 25, 1--9(2005)
- 17. Reyes, J., Morales-Esteban, A., Martínez-Álvarez, F.: Neural Networks to Predict Earthquakes in Chile. Applied Soft Computing. 13, 1314--1328(2012)
- 18. Alarifi, A.S.N., Alarifi, N.S.N., Al-Humidan, S.: Earthquakes Magnitude Predication Using Artificial Neural Network in Northern Red Sea Area. Journal of King Saud University. 24, 301--313(2012)
- 19. Li, C. and Liu, X.: An Improved PSO-BP Neural Network and Its Application to Earthquake Prediction. In Proceedings of the Chinese Control and Decision Conference. pp. 3434-- 3438(2016)
- 20. Zamani, A., Sorbi, M. R. and Safavi, A. A.: Application of Neural Network and ANFIS Model for Earthquake Occurrence in Iran. Earth Science Informatics. 6, 71--85(2013)
- 21. Zhou, F. and Zhu, X.: Earthquake Prediction Based on LM-BP Neural Network. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering. 270, 13--20(2014)
- 22. Moustra, M., Avraamides, M. and Christodoulou, C.: Artificial Neural Networks for Earthquake Prediction using Time Series Magnitude Data or Seismic Electric Signals. Expert Systems with Applications. 38, 15032--15039(2011)
- 23. Srilakshmi, S., Tiwari, R.K.: Model Dissection from Earthquake Time Series: A Comparative Analysis using Nonlinear Forecasting and Artificial Neural Network Approach. Computers and Geosciences. 35, 191--204(2009)
- 24. Asencio-Corte´s, G., Martı´nez-A´ lvarez, F., Morales-Esteban, A., Reyes ,J., Troncoso, A.: Improving Earthquake Prediction with Principal Component Analysis: Application to Chile. Lect Notes Artif Intell. 9121, 393--404(2015)
- 25. Martı´nez-A´ lvarez, F., Reyes, J., Morales-Esteban, A., Rubio-Escudero, C.: Determining the Best Set of Seismicity İndicators to Predict Earthquakes. Two Case Studies: Chile and the Iberian Peninsula. Knowledge Based System. 50,198--210(2013)
- 26. Panakkat A, Adeli H.: Neural Network Models for Earthquake Magnitude Prediction using Multiple Seismicity İndicators. Int J Neural Syst. 17,13--33(2007)
- 27. Rafiq MY, Bugmann G, Easterbrook DJ.: Neural Network Design for Engineering Applications. Computers and Structures. 1541--1552(2001).
- 28. Haykin, S.: Neural Networks and Learning Machines, Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458.(2009)
- 29. Kriesel, D.: A Brief Introduction to Neural Networks (ZETA2-EN). (2005).
- 30. Uguz, S. :Yapay Sinir Ağları-Matlab Uygulaması.
- 31. https://ybssoftware.files.wordpress.com/2011/03/ysa_uygulama.pdf
- 32. RETMC.: Bogazici University Kandilli Observatory And Earthquake Research Institute Regional Earthquake-Tsunami Monitoring Center,
- 33. [http://www.koeri.boun.edu.tr/sismo/2/deprem-verileri/yillik-deprem-haritalari/,](http://www.koeri.boun.edu.tr/sismo/2/deprem-verileri/yillik-deprem-haritalari/) last accessed 2017/06/21.
- 34. MathWorks.:Fit Data with a Neural Network, [https://www.math](https://www.mathworks.com/help/nnet/gs/fit-data-with-a-neural-network.html)[works.com/help/nnet/gs/fit-data-with-a-neural-network.html,](https://www.mathworks.com/help/nnet/gs/fit-data-with-a-neural-network.html) last accessed 2017/06/21.

Appendix 2

```
%%input data
N1=input('enter a value');
N2=input('enter a value');
N3=input('enter a value');
N4=input('enter a value');
```

```
%% Normalization
```

```
A=0.8*(N1-155)/(2292-150)+0.1;B=0.8*(N2-61)/(774-61)+0.1;C=0.8*(N3-3)/(100-3))+0.1;D=0.8*(N4-0)/(9-0))+0.1;
```
%%Formulation of forecast

```
E1=A*(-2.4149)+B*1.667+C*(-3.7098)+D*(-0.071999)+(4.6993);
E2=A*(-0.45022)+B*(-0.99641)+C*(-2.7364)+D*(3.5565)+(3.6089);
E3=A*(3.9526)+B*(-1.1322)+C*(-1.3897)+D*1.8254+(-2.0318);
E4=A*(-0.0059579)+B*(4.1033)+C*(0.98436)+D*(2.1465)+(-0.14941);E5=A*(-1.1492)+B*(-3.5649)+C*(-1.5197)+D*(2.7367)+(0.20621);
E6=A*(-2.7365)+B*(2.258)+C*(4.2554)+D*(0.15928)+(-1.1002);
E7=A*(-0.088907)+B*(1.7687)+C*(-3.3905)+D*(1.0296)+(-4.7703);
E8=A*1.202+B*(2.9674)+C*(1.564)+D*(-3.0521)+(4.754);F1=1/(1+exp(-E1));F2=1/(1+exp(-E2));
F3=1/(1+exp(-E3));
F4=1/(1+exp(-E4));
F5=1/(1+exp(-E5));
F6=1/(1+exp(-E6));
F7=1/(1+exp(-E7));
F8=1/(1+exp(-E8));E9=F1*(-1.5627)+F2*(0.66908)+F3*(-0.40774) +F4*(0.87236) +F5*(0.90156) +F6*(3.7318) +F7*(-
3.169) + F8*(1.4499) + (-1.1608);
F9=1/(1+exp(-E9));
Emin=min([E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8]);
Emax=max([E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8]);
E= ((F9-0.1)/0.8) * (Emax-Emin) +Emin;
```