



HAL
open science

Synchronization at thread and execution termination

Jens Gustedt

► **To cite this version:**

Jens Gustedt. Synchronization at thread and execution termination. [Research Report] N2391, ISO JTC1/SC22/WG14. 2019. hal-02167850v1

HAL Id: hal-02167850

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-02167850v1>

Submitted on 28 Jun 2019 (v1), last revised 25 Nov 2019 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

June 7, 2019

Synchronization at thread and execution termination proposal for integration to C2x

Jens Gustedt
INRIA and ICube, Université de Strasbourg, France

Whereas its intent is clear, the C standard lacks clarity concerning synchronization guarantees for the interaction of call backs that may be called during thread termination and during the termination of the whole program execution.

— This is the “library synchronization” part of a split up of N2329, that was perceived as overwhelming by WG14.

1. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

C17 establishes several call-back mechanisms that are intended as interfaces for cleanup when either a thread or the whole execution ends:

- destructors for `tss_t`, thread specific storage
- `exit` handlers that are instaured with `atexit`
- `quick_exit` handlers that are instaured with `at_quick_exit`

These are not clearly integrated into the synchronization model, because they do not clearly stipulate sequencing of the different invocations of call-backs among each other, nor their synchronization when they occur in different threads of execution.

Additionally, there is the thread join mechanism with `thrd_join` that guarantees synchronization between the termination of a specific thread and the calling thread. But even here, the synchronization is only clearly specified for the joining thread, not for the terminating thread where it remains unclear how the destructor call-backs are sequenced with respect to thread termination.

We think that what should be done is relatively clear and we didn't hear of misinterpretations which properties should be guaranteed, but we think that some clarification is in order.

2. POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS

2.1. Application synchronization

In principle, the unspecified synchronization properties could be left so, and the burden of ensuring synchronization could be placed on the user code. But then, to ensure proper cleanup of resources that need synchronization, user code would need to add synchronization manually. This would require the use of

- `atomic_thread_fence`, which is only available if the implementation also supports atomics, or
- protection of all handlers by a central `mtx_t`, which then would be locked and unlocked at the beginning and end of each of the destructors and handlers.

2.1.1. Application fences.

For the use with `atomic_thread_fence`:

- User code would have to enforce synchronization of the `exit` and `quick_exit` handlers by establishing explicit synchronization. Since it can't know which handlers are established last (and are thus called first) they'd have to add a call

```
atomic_thread_fence(memory_order_acquire);
```

At the beginning of each such a handler. When supposing that the current text guarantees that the invocation of the handlers is sequenced, they would not have to add synchronization to the end of the handlers.

- Synchronizing the **tss_t** destructors would necessitate similar acquire fences at the beginning of each destructor, but also release fences at the end. Because the order of destructor invocations is not fixed users can't neither know which of the destructors is called first, nor which is called last.

Besides that these strategies build on the presence of **atomic_thread_fence**, they are tedious and error prone. Subtle synchronization errors could render programming of applications with many threads difficult and insecure.

2.1.2. Application mutex.

For the use with **mtx_t** the user would have to guarantee that all thread termination and all execution of handlers is synchronized through the same mutex. This can *e.g* be achieved by first establishing a dummy handler and a dummy destructor:

```
extern mtx_t sync_mtx;           // Global synchronization utility.
extern tss_t sync_tss;         // Dummy key to enforce call to lock function.
extern once_flag sync_once_flag; // To ensure proper initialization

// Internal functions
extern void sync_last(void);
extern void* sync_dtor(void* p);
extern void sync_once(void);

// API
inline void sync_lock(void) { mtx_lock(&sync_mtx); }
inline void sync_unlock(void) { mtx_unlock(&sync_mtx); }
inline void sync_initializer(void) {
    call_once(&sync_once_flag, sync_once);
    tss_set(sync_tss, malloc(1));
}

// ***** implementation *****
void sync_last(void) {
    sync_lock();
    sync_unlock();
    mtx_destroy(&sync_mtx);
    tss_delete(sync_tss);
}
void* sync_dtor(void* p) {
    sync_lock();
    free(p);
    sync_unlock();
    return 0;
}
void sync_once(void) {
    mtx_init(&sync_mtx, mtx_plain);
    tss_create(&sync_tss, sync_dtor);
    atexit(sync_last);
    at_quick_exit(sync_last);
}
```

and then to call `sync_initializer()` at the start of each user thread function and to protect each user destructor and each user handler by a pair of calls `sync_lock()` and `sync_unlock()`.

This approach is at least as tedious as the approach with fences above. In addition it has the disadvantage of serializing all destructor calls, even when they are issued for concurrent threads.

2.2. Implementation based solutions

On the other hand, requiring synchronization from the implementation is not much of a burden. Since they know when they call the handlers it is easy for them to add one fence or lock-pair before each of the start and after the end of the call-back procedures.

Because we also think that implementations do something along these veins anyhow, we suggest to go for an implementation based solution.

3. SUGGESTED CHANGES

To make sense for these call-back mechanisms as automatic cleanup procedures, it seems clear that we should require that all call-back invocations should synchronize among each other and with thread and execution termination.

- A destructor should synchronize with the termination of the thread function of the thread for which it is called. Since this concerns only one thread, we just have to insist on proper sequencing between the invocations. Currently the text only talks about an unspecified “order” for these destructor invocations. We propose to use the appropriate terminology and to require that they are “indeterminally sequenced”. *See 7.26.5.5 p2.*
- Call-back invocations at the end of program execution (`exit` or `quick_exit`) should synchronize with all threads that have been properly terminated (`thrd_exit` or equivalently `return`) and should be sequenced with respect to each other. We introduce two new paragraphs for each of the two functions, *7.22.4.4 p4* and *7.22.4.7 p4.*
- The end of the cleanup mechanism for a particular thread should synchronize with the cleanup mechanism for the whole program execution. *See 7.26.5.5 p4 plus footnote.*

4. IMPACT

The proposed changes are such that they should have no immediate impact on user code or change implementations.

In the very unlikely case that an implementation does not guarantee proper synchronization for the call-backs, yet, they would have to add a modest number of fences surrounding their call-back loops.

Appendix: diffmarks for the proposed changes

Following are those pages that contain diffmarks for the proposed changes against C2x. The procedure is not perfect, in particular there may be changes inside code blocks that are not visible.

Synopsis

```
1  #include <stdlib.h>
    int at_quick_exit(void (*func)(void));
```

Description

- 2 The `at_quick_exit` function registers the function pointed to by `func`, to be called without arguments should `quick_exit` be called.³²³⁾ It is unspecified whether a call to the `at_quick_exit` function that does not happen before the `quick_exit` function is called will succeed.

Environmental limits

- 3 The implementation shall support the registration of at least 32 functions.

Returns

- 4 The `at_quick_exit` function returns zero if the registration succeeds, nonzero if it fails.

Forward references: the `quick_exit` function (7.22.4.7).

7.22.4.4 The `exit` function

Synopsis

```
1  #include <stdlib.h>
    _Noreturn void exit(int status);
```

Description

- 2 The `exit` function causes normal program termination to occur. No functions registered by the `at_quick_exit` function are called. If a program calls the `exit` function more than once, or calls the `quick_exit` function in addition to the `exit` function, the behavior is undefined.
- 3 First, all functions registered by the `atexit` function are called, in the reverse order of their registration,³²⁴⁾ except that a function is called after any previously registered functions that had already been called at the time it was registered. If, during the call to any such function, a call to the `longjmp` function is made that would terminate the call to the registered function, the behavior is undefined.
- 4 The beginning of that procedure is a sequence point that synchronizes with the termination of all threads as described for `thrd_exit`. Furthermore, there is a sequence point immediately before and immediately after each of the function calls.
- 5 Next, all open streams with unwritten buffered data are flushed, all open streams are closed, and all files created by the `tmpfile` function are removed.
- 6 Finally, control is returned to the host environment. If the value of `status` is zero or `EXIT_SUCCESS`, an implementation-defined form of the status *successful termination* is returned. If the value of `status` is `EXIT_FAILURE`, an implementation-defined form of the status *unsuccessful termination* is returned. Otherwise the status returned is implementation-defined.

Returns

- 7 The `exit` function cannot return to its caller.

7.22.4.5 The `_Exit` function

Synopsis

```
1  #include <stdlib.h>
    _Noreturn void _Exit(int status);
```

³²³⁾The `at_quick_exit` function registrations are distinct from the `atexit` registrations, so applications might need to call both registration functions with the same argument.

³²⁴⁾Each function is called as many times as it was registered, and in the correct order with respect to other registered functions.

