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Abstract

Accurate detection of objects in 3D point clouds is a central problem for autonomous navigation. Most existing methods use techniques of hand-crafted features representation or multi-sensor approaches prone to sensor failure. Approaches like PointNet that directly operate on sparse point data have shown good accuracy in the classification of single 3D objects. However, LiDAR sensors on Autonomous Vehicles generate a large scale point cloud. Real-time object detection in such a cluttered environment still remains a challenge. In this study, we propose Attentional PointNet, which is a novel end-to-end trainable deep architecture for object detection in point clouds. We extend the theory of visual attention mechanisms to 3D point clouds and introduce a new recurrent 3D Localization Network module. Rather than processing the whole point cloud, the network learns where to look (finding regions of interest), which significantly reduces the number of points to be processed and inference time. Evaluation on KITTI car detection benchmark shows that our Attentional PointNet achieves comparable results with the state-of-the-art LiDAR-based 3D detection methods in detection and speed.

1. Introduction

From high-speed Autonomous Vehicles that navigate on busy crossroads [1], to mobile robots that sweep the floor in your home [2], to humanoid robots that would serve you food in the restaurant, or quad-copters mapping and inspecting an industrial factory, and many other applications rely on three-dimensional (3D) data of physical surrounding. Accurately understanding the environment around them is crucial to their functioning in all these applications.

With the rapid development of Laser technology and availability of compact and affordable laser scanners (LiDARs), more 3D data is being captured and processed. In this work, we study one important task in 3D perception – 3D object detection, which classifies the object category and estimates the oriented 3D bounding boxes of physical objects in 3D space. Navigation of Autonomous Vehicles is one such principal application where high-resolution LiDARs are extensively used. LiDARs generate data in the form of point clouds representing the external surface, the geometry of the real-world objects. Unlike RGB images, point clouds are unstructured and how to interpret them to be used by deep learning architectures still remains an open problem. Recently Qi et al. in [3] proposed PointNet, a deep network architecture that can handle point cloud data directly without converting it into other forms of representation like images or volumetric grids. The simpler PointNet architecture, has shown impressive results on several tasks such as object classification and semantic segmentation.

Although PointNet can classify the whole point cloud, an adaptation to instance-level 3D object detection is not straightforward. Also, another limitation is that in the original work proposed by [3], the maximum number of points in the point cloud has been limited to 4096; however, a typical point cloud from a LiDAR contains more than 100k points. Therefore, it is an open challenge how to use PointNet with larger point sets.

Dealing with very large clouds is possible by cutting it
into smaller regions processed separately. Some very recent multi-sensor approaches [4] [5] have proposed to first use the state of the art 2D detectors with RGB image and then projecting the detected 2D bounding boxes into 3D space to reduce the search space. Variants of PointNet are finally used for regressing the corresponding 3D bounding boxes. However, the need for an additional camera that is time synchronized and calibrated with the LiDAR restricts their use and makes the solution more sensitive to sensor failure modes.

Recently Simon et al. in Complex-YOLO [6] proposed an approach to project point clouds into birds-eye-view RGB height-map and used a modified YOLO 2D object detector to regress 3D bounding boxes. Converting point clouds into height-map does retain the height information but the structural information of the object is lost. For example, structures whose vertical projection is similar to cars can easily be miss-classified and result in false positives.

Currently, VoxelNet [7] and SECOND [8], are the two deep networks which directly use 3D LiDAR data without converting them into other 2D representations and output 3D bounding box predictions for multiple objects in uncontrolled environments. SECOND outperforms the state-of-the-art LiDAR-based 3D detection methods by a large margin. However, these architectures are complex and require a high amount of computation in order to run in real-time.

This work focuses on exploring alternative methods for detection based on LiDAR data only. We aim to design an efficient but simple architecture providing real-time performance on lower compute capability hardware. To this end, we propose to use visual attention mechanism with point clouds to sequentially attend to smaller regions containing the objects of interest. Bounding boxes and object categories are then estimated using a PointNet like architecture on the attended parts of the cloud, hence the name Attentional PointNet.

We claim the following contributions:

- We propose a novel deep architecture called Attentional PointNet for 3D object detection. The network directly operates on sparse 3D points, is end-to-end trainable and it learns the shape of the objects, not only their appearances from certain point of views.

- We extend visual attention mechanisms to 3D point clouds for multiple object detection. Given a cluttered environment, we show that the network learns to attend to the objects of interest, thus reducing the data needed to be processed.

- We conduct experiments on the KITTI benchmark and show that Attentional PointNet achieves near real-time performance and comparable results in LiDAR-based car detection methods.

2. Related work

With the rapid development of 3D sensor technology, LiDARs are quickly becoming a key sensor in many robotic applications. Also, the availability of many open sourced high quality annotated 3D point cloud data has motivated researchers to develop efficient feature representations to detect and localize objects in point clouds[9]. When rich and detailed point clouds are available, hand-crafted features yield satisfactory results. However, their inability to generalize and adapt to more complex shapes and unstructured environment results in limited success for autonomous navigation.

Following the general trend in computer vision, Deep Learning (DL) emerged as the dominating methodology for representation learning in point cloud processing, replacing manual feature engineering for representation of point clouds. However, in contrast to images where the detailed textured information is available, point clouds represent the outer surface of the objects in the scene. They are sparse, unordered and have a highly variable point density. Dealing with these challenges, most existing algorithms are based on the following approaches:

- Converting point clouds into 2D images [6, 10] and reinstating the state-of-art deep architectures to detect multiple objects and then projecting results back to 3D space. However, converting point clouds to 2D images results in losing essential 3D structural information of the objects. The appearance of an object from a single view can be ambiguous whereas the shape of an object would contain more information for classification and localization of the object.

- Converting point clouds into volumetric forms like voxel grids [11, 12] and generalizing image CNNs to 3D CNNs. However, for dense 3D data, computational and memory requirements grow cubically with the resolution of voxels.

- Another approach is inferring 3D bounding boxes directly from 2D images [13]. However, the depth estimation greatly affects the accuracy of image-based 3D detection.

Other work involves multi-modal fusion [14, 10, 15] combining images and LiDAR data to improve detection accuracy particularly for small objects (pedestrians, cyclists).

Recent work [3, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] proposes novel types of network architectures, which directly process raw point clouds without converting them to other formats. Among these, PointNet [3] which is simple and works in real-time, has shown encouraging results for single object classification and semantic segmentation. We chose PointNet as the backbone for our model.
3. **Attentional PointNet**

Visual search is extensively involved in everyday perception, and biological systems like the human eye, and it manages to perform it remarkably well. As in [21], human perception does not process the whole scene in its entirety at once. Humans focus to attend to relevant parts in the scene for acquiring necessary information when and where it is needed. Focusing onto smaller relevant parts of the scene saves “computational bandwidth” as only fewer pixels need to be processed. Irrelevant parts in the scene are out of fixation and they are ignored, this reduces the complexity of the task.

Mnih et al. in [22, 23] proposed a deep Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) which processes a multi-resolution crop (glimpse) of input image at each iteration. Selective attention and manipulation of the data by cropping is a non-differentiable operation and the network could not be trained with backpropagation. To overcome this, Jaderberg et al. in [24] proposed Spatial Transformer Network (STN) module which explicitly allows the spatial manipulation of data within the network. Transformations including scaling, cropping, rotations, as well as non-rigid deformations are performed on the entire feature map (non-locally).

Recurrent-STN [25] used STN with RNN to localize and recognize multiple objects simultaneously. Bernardino et al. in [26] also proposed an attention mechanism based method that learns how to segment the instances sequentially. These Mechanisms have also been successfully adapted to dynamic sequences in computer vision (spatiotemporal data) [27].

Taking inspiration from human perception of sequentially recognizing the objects by moving fovea from one object to the next relevant object, and building upon the work in [24, 25, 26], we propose to use Visual Attention with point clouds in Euclidean space for the 3D object detection.

### 3.1. Proposed Architecture

The proposed architecture of Attentional PointNet as shown in **Figure 2** consists of several core functional blocks: Context Network, Recurrent Localization Network, 3D Transformer, and Resampler, Classifier, 3D Box Estimation. The network takes the raw 3D point clouds generated from high-resolution LiDARs and outputs bounding boxes for car detection. A special loss function was designed for the network to be end to end trainable, explained in subsection 4.2.

![Figure 2. Attentional PointNet for 3D object detection: We use Attention Mechanism in 3D space to sequentially attend to relevant smaller regions (3D glimpse) of a large point cloud and classify object inside the glimpse. Given the point cloud and the corresponding height map, network sequentially regress parameters of a 3D Transformation matrix representing pose of a fixed size 3D glimpse. A modified PointNet (T-Net) then estimates another 3D transformation matrix and size representing the 3D bounding box of the object inside the glimpse. Where B is the batch size.](image)

#### 3.2. Context Network

As its name indicates, the Context Network extracts context features of the input pointcloud, allowing it to attend to possible locations of objects of interest. It consists of two input streams: 3D Points belonging to a cropped region of $(12m \times 12m)$ and the corresponding height map, a vertical projection of the point cloud in the form of a 2D

![Figure 3. PointNet [3] is the backbone of our Context Network](image)
image of size 120×120 cells. We use a simplified version of PointNet [3], where we exclude the T-Net as shown in Figure 3. Through a series of 1D convolutions (Multi-Layer Perceptron), the networks converts the point set into a higher dimensional feature space, followed by max pooling as a symmetric function to aggregating information from all the points. The resulting vector is denoted as 3D context.

Aforementioned height map is generated by projecting the point set on the ground plane, details of which are given in subsection 4.1. We use standard convolutions followed by max pooling layer to convert it into a feature vector, denoted as 2D context.

Finally, we fuse 3D context with 2D context by summing them, projecting the representations into a joint space. Alignment of two embedding spaces was crucial after thorough experimentation we found addition gives equally good results as concatenation while keeping the network capacity low.

The two contexts are complementary. The bird’s eye view alone is not discriminative enough to differentiate structures that look similar to a car when projected vertically, while the 3D context in such cases can provide useful insights; On the other hand, understanding complex structures, such as bushes, is difficult in 3D. In this case, having a 2D perspective of the data clearly provides complementary information.

3.3. Recurrent Localization Network

The Recurrent Localization Network is the heart of our Attentional PointNet architecture. Unlike in [4] [5], where 2D RGB images are used to detect objects and then are projected into 3D space to obtain the region proposals, we aim for a LiDAR only solution. Inspired by [26] [25], the recurrent localization network sequentially attends to the location of the new object at every iteration (t). This module consist of two parts, as illustrated in Figure 2:

(i) The recurrent part consist of a GRU layer, which takes the context vector C of size (B, 1024) as input from the context network and hi−1 a hidden vector from the GRU cell in previous iteration (i−1). It outputs a vector hi of shape (B, 512) which is the input to the Localization Network.

(ii) The localization part is a 3 layer fully connected network which takes hi as input from the GRU cell at every iteration and, similar to [24], regresses 5 parameters (cos θi, sin θi, Tx i, Ty i, Tz i) ∈ Θi of a 3D transformation matrix, which corresponds to the attention operation (selecting the attended glimpse). Let Θ = {Θ1, Θ2...Θn} be the set of the transformation matrix parameters at each iteration, whereas n is the number of iterations.

For simplicity we have only considered rotation along z-axis and Transformation matrix can be written as:

\[ T(\Theta_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & -\sin \theta_i & 0 & T_x i \\
\sin \theta_i & \cos \theta_i & 0 & T_y i \\
0 & 0 & 1 & T_z i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \]

where \( \theta \) is the angle of rotation along the z-axis. \( \theta_i = \tan^{-1}(\sin \theta_i / \cos \theta_i) \). We only consider the rigid 3D transformations and neglect the scale and shear. This is evident in the case of pointcloud and in contrast with images, as the scale/ size of the object does not change with respect to the distance of the object from the sensor. Unlike the original STN [24] that has no direct supervision on transformation \( T(\Theta_i) \), we explicitly supervise our localization network to predict object locations.

This can be formulated as follows:

\[ C = f_{context}(I) \]

\[ h_i = f_{RNN}(C, h_{i-1}) \]

\[ T(\Theta_i) = f_{loc}(h_i) \]

where \( f_{context} \) is the context network taking I as input (point set and height-map) and outputs the context vector C. \( f_{RNN} \) is a GRU cell, and \( f_{loc} \) is the Localization Network. Here, a rigid transformation \( T(\Theta_i) \) is produced at each time-step from the hidden state of the RNN. Importantly, the rigid transformations are conditioned on the previous transformations through the time dependency of the RNN.

3.4. 3D Transformer and Resampler

To make the attention operation differentiable, and the whole network trainable end-to-end, we resort to a 3D Transformer network. It takes the transformation matrix parameters as input and transforms the input pointcloud \( P(4096, 3) \rightarrow P'(4096, 3) \). The pointwise rigid 3D transformation is given by:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} x'_i \\ y'_i \\ z'_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = T(\Theta_i) \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

where \( (x'_i, y'_i, z'_i) \) are the transformed coordinates of output pointcloud \( P' \), \( (x_i, y_i, z_i) \) are the source coordinates of the input pointcloud \( P \), and \( T(\Theta_i) \) is the rigid transformation matrix.

Let the input point cloud be in the bounding box of size \( (W, L, H) \) centered at \( (0, 0, 0) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) space is transformed such that the points belonging to object of interest fall inside a smaller bounding box of size \( (W', L', H') \) centered at \( (0, 0, 0) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) space. This is more clearly illustrated in Figure 4.
As the network attends to the points falling inside the smaller bounding box, it can be called as a 3D Attention as shown in Figure 2. Points inside 3D glimpse are cropped and are resampled with replacement to 512 points.

3.5. Localization and recognition

Given the points inside the attended region (3D glimpse), this module estimates an oriented 3D bounding box of the object. For this purpose, we use a light-weight regression PointNet(T-Net) as in [4]. Our modified T-Net regresses 5 parameters \((\cos \delta_i, \sin \delta_i, tx_i, ty_i, tz_i) \in \Delta_i\) of 3D transformation matrix representing true centre and orientation of the object and it also regresses 3 parameters \((H, W, L)\) of size of the 3D bounding box. Let \(\Delta = \{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_n\}\) be the set of transformation matrix parameters representing the bounding box of object inside the 3D glimpse. The final bounding box location and orientation can be found by:

\[
T(\Psi_i) = T(\Theta_i) * T(\Delta_i)
\]  

The objects are classified with a 2 layer fully connected network which takes \(h_i\) as input from the GRU cell at every iteration and outputs a score (objectness) indicating the probability of having a specified object in the attended region.

4. Training & Experiments

We evaluate our model on the KITTI 3D object detection benchmark [28] which contains 7,481 training images/point clouds and 7,518 test images/point clouds. In this work, we only evaluate our network on the Car category. Since the KITTI dataset does not provide ground truth for the test set and the access to the test server is limited, we use a similar evaluation protocol as the one used in [14], [7]. We split the training dataset into 70/30 percent ratio as a training set and a validation set respectively. The split avoids samples from the same sequence being included in both the training and the validation set.

4.1. Data Augmentation

The point cloud data in each frame of KITTI dataset is typically composed of \(\approx 100k\) points and has a range of about 120m. As in this paper, we aim to directly work with the point set, using the whole point cloud data as input to the network is impractical. Moreover, the KITTI dataset only provides labels of the objects in the field of view (FOV) of the camera [28] as shown in Figure 5. We therefore remove all points falling out of the FOV.

We train the model on a custom dataset, which was generated by augmenting the KITTI dataset. To this end, we subdivide the FOV area from each scan into equally spaced cropped regions of \(12m \times 12m\) with an overlap of 1m as shown in Figure 5. We illustrate the effect of the 3D Visual Attention Mechanism on these cropped regions.

Each cropped region consists of a number of points ranging between 20,000 to none. Directly processing all the points not only imposes increased memory/efficiency burdens on the computing platform; the highly variable point density throughout the space might also bias the detection. We randomly sample each cropped region to a fixed number of \(N=4096\) points.

Inspired by [14], [6], each cropped region of size \(12m \times 12m\) is also converted into a grayscale image of size 120x120 pixels encoding height information as shown in Figure 6. We projected and discretized the 3D point clouds into a 2D grid with resolution of about \(r=10cm\). We choose \(z \in [-2m, 3m]\), to cover an area above the ground to
about 3m height, expecting trucks as highest objects. Let 
\( P \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) be the set of all the points in a cropped region and 
\( H \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \) be the height map with \( m = 120 \). We define 
\( S_j = f_{PS}(P_i, r) \) with \( S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \) mapping each point with 
index \( i \) in cropped region into a grid cell \( S_j \) of our height-
map. The set describing all points mapped into a a specific 
grid cell of height map can be defined as:

\[
P_{i \rightarrow j} = \{ P_i = [x, y, z]^T | S_j = f_{PS}(P_i, r) \} \quad (7)
\]

\[
H(S_j) = \max(P_{i \rightarrow j}, [0, 0, 1]^T) \quad (8)
\]

Next, we generate a sequence of labels for each cropped region. The information from KITTI dataset is used to check if there are any cars inside each cropped region and we note the position, orientation and size of them (green). After a thorough analysis, we found around 95% of all the cropped regions of 12m x 12m area have a maximum of 3 cars. So, for each cropped region we have a sequence \( i \) of 3 labels \( \Psi_{gt} = \{ \Psi_{gt}^1, \Psi_{gt}^2, \Psi_{gt}^3 \} \) the set of transformation matrix parameters representing the ground-truth bounding boxes where \( \Psi_{gt}^i = (\cos \psi_{gt}^i, \cos \psi_{gt}^i, T_{x_{gt}}^i, T_{y_{gt}}^i, T_{x_{gt}}^i) \). If there are less than 3 cars, we generate bounding boxes of a fixed size at a fixed location outside the cropped region as non-car (red). Figure 6 shows some samples of our generated dataset.

The existence of too few ground truths in KITTI dataset caused the number of cropped regions without cars to be significantly higher than those with cars. In order to have a balanced dataset, authors in SECOND [8] have used a data augmentation approach to first, generate a database containing the labels of all ground truths and their associated point cloud data (points inside the 3D bounding boxes of the ground truths), then randomly introducing several ground truths from this database into current training point cloud via concatenation. We have opted a simpler approach and kept the number of cropped regions with no cars and with cars in equal proportion. Our augmented KITTI dataset consists of a total of 27,041 cropped regions for training.

### 4.2. Loss Function

We jointly train the full model including all modules (context network, recurrent localization Network, classifier, and 3D box estimation T-Net) with the following set of losses:

\[
L_{seq-i} = \alpha \ast L_{cls} + \beta(L_{T1-reg} + L_{T2-reg}) + \gamma \ast L_{size-reg} + \lambda \ast L_{reg} \quad (9)
\]

\[
L_{final} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n=3} L_{seq-i} \quad (10)
\]

\[
L_{reg} = ||I - T(\Psi)T(\Psi)^T||^2 \quad (11)
\]

where \( L_{seq-i} \) is the total loss for a sequence, \( L_{cls} \) is the classification loss, \( L_{T1-reg} \) is for the transformation matrix parameters regressed by the Localization Network, \( L_{T2-reg} \) is for the transformation matrix parameters regressed by T-Net and \( L_{size-reg} \) is the regression loss for bounding box size. We used binary cross-entropy loss for the classification task and smooth-L1 (Huber) loss is used for all the regression cases. \( L_{reg} \) is the regularization loss. We constrain our predicted transformation matrix to be close to the orthogonal matrix. As in [3], it helps the optimization to become more stable and the network achieves better performance. For training, we have predefined the length of the predicted sequence as \( n=3 \). A primary challenge of the sequential detection is matching predictions and ground-truth instances. We compute a maximum-weighted bipartite graph matching between the output instances and ground-truth instances as in [26], [29]. Matching makes the loss insensitive to the ordering of the ground-truth instances. The matching weight \( M_{ij} \) is the IoU score between a pair of detections and the ground-truth instances. We use the Hungarian algorithm to compute the matching; we do not back-propagate the network gradients through this algorithm.

\[
M_{ij} = f_{iou}(\Psi - \Psi_{gt}) \quad (12)
\]

\[
\Psi_{matched} = f_{match}(M_{ij}) \quad (13)
\]

The network performs three predictions for each input cropped region. At each sequence, the network focuses on the new object. If there are less than three objects, we explicitly force the network to focus on the outside of the cropped region and classify as negative detection for the remaining number of sequences.

### 4.3. Network and Training Details

The 3D Context Network consists of three fully connected layers implemented as 1D convolutions with input-output
feature sizes as \((3, 64), (64, 128), (128, 1024)\) respectively for each layer. For each input point, we only use \(x, y, z\) coordinate values as attributes. All the layers except ReLU and batch normalization for the first layer which does not have Batch normalization layer. Localization Network also consists of three fully connected layers with input-output sizes as \((512, 256), (256, 128), (128, 5)\) respectively. Only the first two layers include ReLU and only the first layer includes batch normalization. The transformation matrices are firstly initialized by the identity matrix. In the loss function, the values of the hyperparameters are kept as \(\alpha = 1, \beta = 1.5, \gamma = 0.5\) and \(\lambda = 0.01\).

We train the model with stochastic gradient descent (SGD) with a momentum of 0.9, weight decay of 0.0005 and a batch size \(B\) of 32. We keep the learning rate to be 0.01 for the first 40 epochs and then lower it to 0.001 for further epochs. We observed that the network converges in \(\approx 120\) epochs. Training on our custom KITTI dataset takes 8 to 9 hours to converge with PyTorch and GTX 1080 GPU.

To evaluate the effectiveness of our 3D bounding box estimation module, we also trained a vanilla version of our Attentional PointNet network as shown in Figure 7. In the vanilla version, we remove the 3D bounding box estimation module and directly regress the sizes from the localization network and use \(\Theta\) transformation matrix parameters for box center coordinates and orientation.

**Hardware** — We trained, validated and tested the model on an Intel Xeon CPU W3520 and a GeForce GTX 1080 GPU with 8GB on Ubuntu 16.04 using PyTorch version 1.0.

### 5. Results

3D detection is a more challenging task as it requires finer localization of objects in 3D space. For the Car category, we compare the proposed method with several top-performing algorithms, including multi-sensor approaches: MV3D [14], Frustum PointNet [4] and RoarNet [5]; LiDAR based approaches: VeloFCN [30], VoxelNet [7] and RT3D [31]; LiDAR based birds-eye-view (BV) approach: Complex-YOLO [6]. We train Attentional-PointNet from scratch using only the LiDAR data provided in KITTI dataset. Finally we provide our results as Average Precision (for \(IoU > 0.7\)) on KITTI dataset for the detection of Car category.

---

![Figure 7. Attentional PointNet (vanilla) network architecture: Localization Network directly regresses the size of bounding box.](image)

Table 1 summarizes the comparison for the Car category detection. Attentional PointNet achieves comparable Average Precision of 52.28% for moderate difficulty among the network architectures using LiDAR data only. Also, in terms of inference time, Attentional PointNet shows credible performance. The vanilla version outperforms all the approaches except Complex-YOLO which only uses the birds-eye-view projection of LiDAR data, the computation time is lower but it suffers in 3D detection accuracy. We can observe that the multi-sensor approaches achieve significantly higher accuracy compared to those using LiDAR data only.

To process 20 cropped regions of 12m x 12m as shown in Figure 5 the inference time is 124ms. Network outputs bounding boxes at 8Hz with GTX 1080 GPU and hardware specified further above. From Table 2 it can be observed that pre-processing, which involves cropping the point cloud to a set of 20 regions of size \(12m \times 12m\) and converting them into height-map, is the computationally most expensive task. Currently, we use Python and Numpy for the Pre-processing tasks but parallelizing them on GPU would greatly improve the inference time and is planned as future work.

### 6. Conclusions

Most existing methods for 3D object detection in large scale point clouds either rely on hand-crafted feature representations or multi-sensor approaches. In this work, we present a novel end to end trainable deep architecture Attentional PointNet for 3D object detection in the point cloud. The
network only uses 3D data from LiDAR and captures 3D geometric information of the data effectively. To reduce the search space for object detection we proposed to use Attention Mechanism with 3D point clouds and introduce a new recurrent 3D Localization Network module. We conducted experiments with the KITTI dataset and evaluated our results for car category detection. We demonstrate our network’s capability to sequentially attend/focus on the new object in each iteration. For car detection on KITTI dataset, Attentional PointNet shows comparable results with existing state-of-the-art LiDAR-based 3D detection methods and surpasses many approaches in terms of inference time.
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