Comparison of Face-Down and Seated Position After Idiopathic Macular Hole Surgery: A Randomized Clinical Trial
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PURPOSE: To compare two therapeutic modalities on anatomic and functional results after idiopathic macular hole (MH) surgery: seated vs face-down position.

DESIGN: Multicenter, prospective, randomized trial.

METHODS: SETTING: University Hospital Dijon and University Hospital Nancy. PATIENTS: One hundred and forty-four patients (150 eyes) were enrolled and randomly separated into two groups for postoperative position: for the 72 eyes in the P0 group and the 78 eyes in the P1 group, the patients were asked to keep the seated (P0 group) and the face-down position (P1 group) after the idiopathic MH surgery. INTERVENTION: All patients underwent a complete vitrectomy with a fluid-air exchange and an intraocular gas tamponade. After the surgery, patients were asked to keep one of the two randomly chosen positions for five days. MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Best-corrected visual acuity (VA), fundus examination, and macular optical coherence tomography were performed before and six months after surgery.

RESULTS: The overall anatomic success rate was 92.7%. The idiopathic MH sealed in 63 of 72 P0 eyes (87.5%) and 76 of 78 P1 eyes (97.4%) (P = .027). The mean VA increased from 0.86 to 0.61 logMAR (0.88 to 0.61 in P0 and 0.84 to 0.60 in P1). However, in a post hoc analysis based on the size of the idiopathic MH, the success rate in idiopathic MHs smaller than 400 µm was not influenced by the postoperative position (P = .47).

CONCLUSIONS: A face-down postoperative position is highly recommended in holes larger than 400 µm. The size of the idiopathic MH seems to be an important factor affecting outcome. (Am J Ophthalmol 2008;146:128–134. © 2008 by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.)

SINCE 1991, STAGE 2, 3, AND 4 IDIOPATHIC MACULAR holes (MHs)1 have benefited from an effective treatment as described by Kelly and Wendel:2 pars plana vitrectomy, removal of adherent cortical vitreous, stripping of epiretinal membranes, total gas-fluid exchange, and intraocular tamponade with a nonexpansive gas (sulfur hexafluoride gas [SF6]), with occiput-up postoperative positioning for one week. Closure is now obtained in a large proportion of cases.3

Modifications have been proposed to increase the rate of closure: different gases were used (SF6; hexafluoroethane [C2F6]; octafluoropropane [C3F8])4 for intraocular tamponade, internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling was proposed with or without dye,5–7 and the efficacy of adjuvant treatments such as transforming growth factor (TGF)-β,8–10 autologous platelets,11–13 and autologous serum were analyzed.14 The complication rate is relatively low and recent attempts have been made to simplify the surgical technique using transconjunctival sutureless surgery.15–17

However, postoperative face-down positioning after idiopathic MH surgery remains a limiting factor because of obesity, old age, arthritis, and other causes of mobility loss. The duration of positioning and the restrictions inherent to the position remain real problems for patients living alone. The face-down position can also increase the immobilization period, leading to thrombosis, embolism, and neurologic complications.18,19 Some authors have suggested using silicone oil to avoid these positioning problems.20–22 However, since the success rate with silicone oil was not as good as it was with gas, silicone oil has been largely abandoned.

The very good prognosis for small MHs and the morbidity of occiput-up positioning for one week led some authors to stop postoperative positioning, showing encouraging results.23,24 To the best of our knowledge, there has been no comparative, randomized trial evaluating the influence of face-down positioning after MH surgery on the anatomic and functional results vs no positioning at all. We undertook this study to compare the usual face-down position with a seated position. The comfortable seated position was chosen to prevent the patient from looking up after MH surgery.
METHODS

A PROSPECTIVE, INTERVENTIONAL, COMPARATIVE AND randomized clinical trial was conducted in 150 eyes of 144 consecutive patients undergoing MH surgery in two academic centers between July 1, 2004 and January 31, 2006. Patients had complete information about the study and the risks of the surgical procedure, especially retinal detachment (RD), and gave their written consent before surgery.

Patients with stage 2, 3, and 4 idiopathic MHs according to Gass and confirmed by optical coherence tomography (OCT) were included. Exclusion criteria were an axial length longer than 27 mm, a previous macular surgical procedure, stage 1 MHs, posttraumatic or other secondary MHs, and inability to assume a correct face-down position.

Symptom duration was recorded and visual acuity (VA) was measured with projected-light Snellen charts converted to logarithm of minimal angle of resolution (logMAR). A complete ocular examination was performed in each patient, including anterior segment evaluation, intraocular pressure (IOP) measurement, fundus, careful peripheral retina examination, axial length measurement, and macular OCT (Stratus OCT III; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, California, USA), before and three and six months after the surgical procedure. The largest diameter of the idiopathic MH was measured with OCT (Figure 1).

All patients underwent the same surgical procedure: an extensive three-port pars plana vitrectomy using 20-gauge instrumentation. A peristaltic or venturi pump was used with maximum vacuum set between 200 and 500 mm Hg according to the surgeon’s preference, to obtain posterior vitreous detachment. The posterior hyaloid was generally attached to the disk (stage 2 and 3) and the macula (stage 2) and was lifted either with the vitreous cutter probe or with an active suction through a blunt cannula. Then the ILM was systematically removed using microforceps without indocyanine green or any other dye. Vitrectomy was completed, especially at the vitreous base. The retinal periphery was carefully examined. Finally, total fluid-air exchange (FAE) was performed and a nonexpanding mixture of air and SF6 (20%) was used for pneumatic tamponade in idiopathic MHs less than 500 μm, air and C2F6 (17%) in idiopathic MHs larger than 500 μm, and air and C3F8 (14%) in idiopathic MHs larger than 800 μm. Combined phacoemulsification could be done with posterior chamber intraocular implantation when needed. All surgeries were performed under peribulbar anesthesia. Patients were randomized following completion of the surgery into two groups: P0 and P1. After surgery, the P0 group patients were asked to keep a seated position and P1 patients a strict face-down position eight hours a day intermittently while awake for five days (see Supplemental Figure at AJO.com). Intensive recommendations were given to the patients prior to their return home to ensure that the correct position was adopted. If the patients could not maintain the position at home, then they were accommodated in a convalescent home. In all cases, patients were asked not to look up. If the MH was still open at the one-month postoperative visit, the patient had

![FIGURE 1. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) of a macular hole (MH) and the measure of its size using calipers. Six radial scans were performed and the largest diameter was recorded for analysis.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Patient Characteristics Before Idiopathic Macular Hole Surgery: The Idiopathic Macular Hole Stage is Clinical and does not use the Optical Coherence Tomography size.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positioning</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eyes, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female, n (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, mean (± SD)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCT MH size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;400 μm, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;400 μm, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MH clinical stage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, n (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MH = macular hole; OCT = optical coherence tomography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Intraocular Gas Used During the Surgery was Dependent of Idiopathic Macular Hole Size: Anatomic Results According to Postoperative Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hole Size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-500 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-800 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800-1000 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P0 = seated position; P1 = face-down position.
another surgical procedure followed by adoption of the classical face-down postoperative position.

The primary endpoint was anatomic closure of the hole after one surgical procedure confirmed by OCT. The secondary endpoint was best-corrected VA change between the preoperative and the six-month visit. The VA results were analyzed according to the surgical procedure: with or without combined phacoemulsification. A secondary analysis was performed considering the size of the idiopathic MH determined by OCT: MHs were separated into two subgroups, small MHs (<400 μm) and large ones (>400 μm). Data were analyzed using the Fisher exact test, the paired t test, and the Mann–Whitney U test. The threshold of statistical significance was set at $P < .05$.

RESULTS

ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY EYES WERE INCLUDED IN THIS PROSPECTIVE SERIES; PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS ARE GIVEN IN Table 1. THE INTRAOCULAR GASES USED ARE GIVEN IN Table 2. THE MINIMUM FOLLOW-UP WAS SIX MONTHS (MEAN, 15
FIGURE 6. Visual acuity (VA) changes after idiopathic MH surgery according to the type of surgical procedure: single MH surgery or MH surgery combined with cataract extraction and intraocular lens. Preop VA: preoperative visual acuity; Postop VA: postoperative visual acuity.

The 62 combined surgeries for idiopathic MH and phacoemulsification were also analyzed. There were 30 and 32 eyes in the P0 and P1 groups, respectively. There were 28 idiopathic MHs smaller than 400 μm and 34 idiopathic MHs larger than 400 μm. The three anatomic failures were in the subgroup of patients with idiopathic MHs larger than 400 μm who adopted the seated position. The anatomic success rate was 96.8% for combined surgery vs 89.8% for a single procedure (P = .124). The functional results showed VA means increasing from 1.1 to 0.47 and the final VA improved by two lines or more in 55 (89%) of the 62 eyes with combined surgery (Figure 6).

We observed 11 anatomic failures in this study: three patients refused another treatment and eight underwent a second procedure with total FAE and intraocular gas tamponade, leading to anatomic success in five of them.

Severe complications were 12 RDs, four and eight in the P0 and P1 groups (P = .373), respectively. Four RDs were inferior, four RDs were superior, and four RDs were total, two with vitreoretinal proliferation. RDs occurred from one to 85 days after surgery (45 ± 30). Eight were treated successfully with one operation. Four needed from one to two additional surgeries. There was no endophthalmitis and no chronic elevation of IOP.

DISCUSSION

PROPER IDIOPATHIC MH MANAGEMENT REQUIRES THAT the edges of the hole be kept dry with the gas tamponade and the closure of the hole be achieved within a few days.

Intraocular gas tamponade has two main properties: surface tension and buoyancy. Buoyancy is related to density and surface tension is related to the viscosity of the tamponade product. The surface tension is constant around the entire interface with the retina. The buoyant force is maximal at the apex of the bubble depending on gravity and the depth of the tamponade product, which is why the postoperative position after RD is important: buoyancy can move the subretinal fluid to reattach the retina. After idiopathic MH surgery, buoyant force is of minor interest, since the most important feature is to obturate the hole by keeping its edges dry using the surface tension of the gas bubble, independent of buoyancy. Therefore patients are prohibited from looking up, thus allowing a permanent contact between the gas and the edges of the hole. Finally, the position of the eye after intraocular tamponade should not influence the surface tension around the MH if the volume of intraocular gas is sufficient.

The length of time needed to heal the MH remains unknown, mainly because the macula is difficult to see and an OCT cannot be performed through the gas. Using silicone oil for the intraocular tamponade provides better visualization of the fundus, and an OCT can be performed in the early postoperative period: in this condition, it was shown that a MH could heal as rapidly as one day after surgery. Closure of MHs with silicone oil tamponade is another
argument for giving a secondary role to the buoyant force (buoyancy is less with silicone oil than with gas). After leakage of a vitrectomy port resulting in a 20% intraocular gas bubble at day 1, Sato observed that OCT could be performed under the bubble and assessed the closure of stage 2 MH within the first 48 hours of the procedure. Finally, there is growing evidence that the initial size of the MH is correlated with the time of closure and the success rate.28–32

The anatomic results of our study are in agreement with the literature, with 92.7% MH closure after a single procedure.11,14,29 The anatomic success rate of the P0 group (87.5%) is similar to other studies without the face-down position (success rates: 80% and 86% using silicone oil tamponade20,22 and 79% and 87.5% using gas23). However, in our study, considering all cases, the difference in anatomic results between the face-down position and seated position was significant. The visual results were good, with 61.4% of VA improvement by more than two lines. The final VA recovery seems to depend on the baseline VA, so we recommend prompt surgical treatment for idiopathic MH while VA remains good, as other authors have suggested.23,29–31 Poor functional results were associated with severe RDs (four RDs required iterative surgeries for a total number of 150 eyes) or other causes of low VA (e.g., age-related macular degeneration). It is interesting to note that the rate of RDs in this study was higher than anatomic failures. This finding highlights the importance of detecting retinal breaks and RDs in order to treat them before, during, or after surgery. Indeed, the patient must be fully informed of this potential complication.33

Nevertheless, if we consider only small idiopathic MHs (<400 μm), our study found no significant difference between the two postoperative positions. There was only one anatomic failure among 70 idiopathic MHs smaller than 400 μm, and this very good prognosis should lead to a less strict recommendation in terms of postoperative positioning. Older patients, for example, could adopt a more comfortable postoperative position if their idiopathic MH is small.

In our series, the ILM was systematically peeled without dye, a technique that is still under debate. We believe that it could lead to better healing of the MH and prevent late reopening.32 It is interesting to compare the results of our study with a recent retrospective series investigating the relationship between the size of the idiopathic MH and a potential benefit of ILM peeling. The authors concluded that ILM peeling could be advantageous for MHs larger than 400 μm and may be unnecessary for smaller idiopathic MHs. These results suggest two different types of idiopathic MH management: minimal treatment for small idiopathic MHs without ILM peeling and without the face-down position and more consequential treatment for large MHs (>400 μm).

The use of different gases depending on the size of the hole can be discussed. We arbitrarily chose different gases according to the size of the idiopathic MH, using longer-acting gas for larger MHs. It is probable that the important factor is the initial contact with the edges of the hole rather than the duration of the internal tamponade. As the bubbles in the present study were always nearly complete, the influence of the type of gas was probably negligible. However, the use of different gases in our procedure remains a possible bias.

We acknowledge several other limitations to our study: nearly half of the surgeries were combined with a cataract extraction in this study, a potential bias. Some authors showed that during the combined procedure, vitrectomy can be enlarged, allowing a larger gas tamponade. Therefore, we could expect a higher rate of idiopathic MH closure in combined surgery than in a single procedure (96.8% vs 89.8%) in our study (P = .12). However, since the distribution of combined surgery was similar in both groups this bias seems acceptable. The criterion analyzed in our study was the postoperative patient position. It was difficult to closely monitor that proper positioning was respected for the length of time recommended. Therefore, patients were provided with careful explanations after surgery. We found a significant statistical difference between the two positions with a preference for the face-down position. However, we did not find any difference when the idiopathic MH was smaller than 400 μm. This could be caused by the lack of power in the subgroup analysis. However, a noninferiority trial would require 1232 eyes, which is unfeasible. The duration of the postoperative position was arbitrarily defined as five days. The literature describes a wide range for this duration and the exact time needed is still under debate, although the accepted duration is more than seven days.28

In conclusion, postoperative positioning influences the rate of MH closure. However, the good prognosis and the quick closure of idiopathic MHs smaller than 400 μm probably make the face-down position unnecessary in selected patients. On the contrary, patients with idiopathic MHs larger than 400 μm should ideally retain the face-down position, which is still considered the standard of care. Further prospective studies considering not only the positioning but also the size of the MH are warranted to confirm these results.
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SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE. (Top) Face-down position (Patients were asked to keep this position intermittently for at least eight hours a day). (Bottom) Seated position (Patients were only asked not to look up after the surgery).