

Can Machines Think in Radio Language?

Yujian Li

▶ To cite this version:

Yujian Li. Can Machines Think in Radio Language?. 2nd International Conference on Intelligence Science (ICIS), Nov 2018, Beijing, China. pp.230-234, $10.1007/978-3-030-01313-4_24$. hal-02118831

HAL Id: hal-02118831 https://inria.hal.science/hal-02118831

Submitted on 3 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Can Machines Think in Radio Language?

Yujian Li

College of Computer Science, Faculty of Information Science, Bejing University of Technology, 100 Pingleyuan, Beijing 100124, China liyujian@bjut.edu.cn

Abstract. People can think in auditory, visual and tactile forms of language, so can machines principally. But is it possible for them to think in radio language? According to a first principle presented for general intelligence, i.e. the principle of language's relativity, the answer may give an exceptional solution for robot astronauts to talk with each other in space exploration. This solution implies a great possibility to realize high-level machine intelligence other than brain-like intelligence.

Keywords: The principle of languages relativity, first principle, radio language, space exploration.

1 Introduction

In computer science, one of the biggest unsolved problems is to develop intelligent machines. Since a seminal paper by Turing on the topic of artificial intelligence [1], the central question Can machines think? began to excite interest in building systems that learn and think like people. That is a fascinating dream! Recently, the interest has been renewed again because of impressive progress with deep learning [2], in spite of great difficulties such as the Character Challenge and the Frostbite Challenge [3], to perform a variety of tasks as rapidly and flexibly as people do.

What does it mean for a system to learn and think like a person? Lake et al. argued that this system should build causal models of the world, ground learning in intuitive theories of physics and psychology, and harness compositionality and learning-to-learn [3]. They claimed that these key ideas of core ingredients would play an active and important role in producing human-like learning and thought. Undoubtedly, their claim is attractive and promising for the ultimate dream of implementing machines with human-level general intelligence. However, the claim says little about a persons ability to communicate and think in natural language, which is clearly vital for human intelligence [4]. The question is, how to develop a capacity of language for machines? In this paper, it will be discussed and answered from a first principle for general intelligence, i.e. the principle of languages relativity. According to the answer, an exceptional solution may be obtained for robot astronauts to talk each other in space exploration.

2 The Principle of Languages Relativity

Language is a basic tool in human society, playing an essential role in communication and thought. People are accustomed to thinking in sound language (sound thinking). In different countries, people generally speak different languages. There are about 5000–7000 languages spoken all over the world, 90% of them used by less than 100000 people. As estimated by UNESCO (The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization), the most widely spoken languages are: Mandarin Chinese, English, Spanish, Hindi, Arabic, Bengali, Russian, Portuguese, Japanese, German and French. In practice, a language usually takes forms of speech and text, but can be encoded into whistle, sign or braille. This may lead to an interesting question, can machines think in language with other forms, e.g. radio?

To all appearance, a Chinese can think in Chinese, an American can think in English, a Spanish can think in Spanish, and so on. From the viewpoint of daily life, all these forms of language, even including other forms such as whistle, sign and braille, should be equivalent for people to think about the world. In my opinion, this quite common point can be generalized as a first principle to establish a theory of mind (and intelligence more broadly), termed the principle of languages relativity, or the principle of symbolic relativity [5]. The principle is described as follows.

All admissible forms of language are equivalent for an intelligent system to think about the world.

In the principle of languages relativity, an admissible form means that the system can use it for thinking, i.e. the formulation of thoughts about the world. Therefore, the principle can be stated in other words,

All admissible forms of language are equivalent with respect to the formulation of thoughts about the world.

Note that this principle is named with inspiration from the principle of relativity in physics [6], namely,

All admissible frames of reference are equivalent with respect to the formulation of the fundamental laws of physics.

That is, physic laws are the same in all reference frames - inertial or non-inertial. By analogy, it can be stated that, thoughts about the world are the same in all language forms C speech, text, whistle, sign or braille. Therefore, in this sense a language form can also be regarded as a reference frame to formulate thoughts. However, note that different forms of language may not be as easily implemented as each other from the viewpoint of engineering.

3 Significance of the Principle for Intelligence

If taken as a first postulate of intelligence theory, the principle of languages relativity implies that language is independent of modality. This explains why any human language can be encoded into a lot of different media such as using auditory, visual, and tactile stimuli. Moreover, it gives profound and original

insights to guide engineering future generations of intelligent machines. For example, principally robots can think in radio language. Such robots would be tremendously useful in space exploration, where radio language is much more convenient for them to talk with each other than sound language for lack of air. Since no person has an inborn ability to receive and send radio wayes, the radio form of language is not admissible for human. Thus, radio language is a novel and creative idea for robots to think, although radio itself is certainly very ordinary for information transmission and remote control. Clearly, thinking in radio language (radio thinking), is a different way to implement intelligence than people can. One may argue that, even without language, artificial intelligence (e.g. by residual network [7], deep Q-network [8] and AlphoGo [9]) could equal or even beat human intelligence in deep learning performance of some tasks such as object recognition, video games and board games. In practical realization, it is still reasonable to require that an intelligent machine be able to communicate through language. It goes without saying, language is an essential ability for general intelligence.

Yet nobody is quite sure of what intelligence is. Perhaps in most general purpose, intelligence measures an agents ability to achieve goals in a wide range of environments [10]. Nonetheless, this informal definition, together with the mathematic description [10], plays a limited role in design of intelligent machines, albeit bringing together some key features from many expert definitions of human intelligence. To understand the nature of intelligence, not only a far-reaching definition is further expected, but also a comprehensive theory.

What does this theory look like? At the very least, it should contain just a few first principles at the system level. These few principles must be fundamental and independent in all phenomena of intelligence, and cannot be deduced from any other principles in physics, chemistry and biology. Although the principles may not lead to anything like Maxwells equations or $E=mc^2$, they should capture the essential characteristics of intelligence comprehensively in perspectives between science and philosophy. More importantly, they should be able to make a guide to engineering intelligent machines, especially with some different intelligence from human. Human-like implies imitation before grasping the nature of intelligence clearly, while human-different implies creation after understanding genuinely.

One of such principles is the principle of languages relativity, others to be certain. Obviously, the principle is independent of physics, chemistry and biology. Furthermore, it can account for modality-independence of language, and give rise to a revolutionary idea of radio thinking. As a high-level intelligence envisaged for future robot astronauts, the importance of radio thinking should be emphasized again. It may overturn a public view of how robonauts talk with each other in space exploration. Imagine two robonauts are talking about the earth on the moon (see Fig. 1). Traditionally, people think that they would talk in sound language, just as could be seen in some science fiction films. Nevertheless, in reality they cannot do so at all without air. Alternatively, they can talk with each other in a Morse-code radio language that lacks any neural mechanisms.

4 Radio Thinking

This is an excellent thought experiment to show that, although human language is an ability developed on the basis of neural mechanisms in the brain, intelligent machines may have a capacity of radio language not based on these mechanisms. Thereby, high-level intelligence may not be brain-like. The brain-like intelligence tries to achieve intelligence as demonstrated by brains [11], preferably of highly evolved creatures. However, the nature of intelligence should be understood in a brain-different way, just like the secret of how to fly. Indeed, without flapping its wings, an airplane can fly in a bird-different way based on the theory of aerodynamics, not on the birds brain and control.



Fig. 1. Two robonauts are talking about the earth in a Morse-code radio language on the moon. One says THE EARTH IS OUR HOME, the other IT IS TRULY BEAUTIFUL. This is a potential application of Morse code to artificial intelligence in the future, beyond classical transmission of text information between people.

For space exploration, autonomous robonauts would be extremely helpful on the moon or the other planets. Since the environments change, there are a lot of barriers to implement these robonauts. But they should require certain kinds of human-different intelligence for high-level autonomy in actions. For example, radio language helps them to think, communicate and collaborate. Kirobo is the worlds first talking robot sent into space [12]. But it was tasked to be a companion, not an explorer. It could talk in sound language only inside the spacecraft. Radio language may be an exceptional solution for two or more robonauts to talk with each other outside.

4 Conclusion

It is a terrific endeavour to engineer machines with general intelligence. This endeavour should be based on a theory of intelligence, which requires a few first principles, for example, the principle of languages relativity. Based on this principle, an exceptional solution has been given for robot astronauts to talk with each other in space exploration. This solution implies a great possibility to realize high-level machine intelligence other than brain-like intelligence.

References

- Turing, A.M.: Computing Machinery and Intelligence. Mind, vol. 147, pp. 433–460 (1950)
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., Hinton, G.E.: Deep learning. Nature, vol. 521, pp. 436–444.
 (2015)
- Lake, B.M., Ullman, T. D., Tenenbaum, H. B., et al.: Behavioral and Brain Sciences, vol.253, pp. 1-72 (2017).
- 4. Mikolov, T., Joulin, A., Baroni, M.: A Roadmap towards Machine Intelligence. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 9623, pp. 29-61. (2018)
- 5. Li, Y.: Reveal the secrets of consciousness also on theory of cognitive relativity. In: Li, X. et al. (eds) 100 Interdisciplinary Science Puzzles of the 21st Century. Science Press, Beijing (2005).
- 6. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principle_of_relativity
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., et al.: Deep residual learning for image recognition. In 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 770–778. IEEE Press, New York (2016)
- 8. Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., et al.: Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature, vol. 518, pp. 529–533. (2015)
- Silver, D., Huang, A., Maddison, C.J., et al.: Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. Nature, vol. 529, pp. 484-489.(2016)
- 10. Legg, S., Hutter, M.: Universal Intelligence: A Definition of Machine Intelligence. Minds and Machines, vol. 17, pp. 391-444.(2007)
- 11. Sendhoff, B., Körner, E., Sporns, O.: Creating Brain-like Intelligence. Lecture Notes on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 5436, pp. 1-14.(2009)
- 12. http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/science/space/10221399/Talking-robot-astronaut-blasts-into-space.html