



HAL
open science

Computing minimal Gorenstein covers

Juan Elias, Roser Homs, Bernard Mourrain

► **To cite this version:**

Juan Elias, Roser Homs, Bernard Mourrain. Computing minimal Gorenstein covers. 2019. hal-01978906v1

HAL Id: hal-01978906

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01978906v1>

Preprint submitted on 11 Jan 2019 (v1), last revised 28 Oct 2019 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

COMPUTING MINIMAL GORENSTEIN COVERS

J. ELIAS *, R. HOMS **, AND B. MOURRAIN ***

ABSTRACT. We analyze and present an effective solution to the minimal Gorenstein cover problem: given a local Artin \mathbf{k} -algebra $A = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/I$, compute an Artin Gorenstein \mathbf{k} -algebra $G = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/J$ such that $\ell(G) - \ell(A)$ is minimal. We approach the problem by using Macaulay's inverse systems and a modification of the integration method for inverse systems to compute Gorenstein covers. We propose new characterizations of the minimal Gorenstein cover and present a new algorithm for the effective computation of the variety of all minimal Gorenstein covers of A for low Gorenstein colength. Experimentation illustrates the practical behavior of the method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a local Artin \mathbf{k} -algebra $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, an interesting problem is to find how far is it from being Gorenstein. In [1], Ananthnarayan introduces for the first time the notion of Gorenstein colength, denoted by $\text{gcl}(A)$, as the minimum of $\ell(G) - \ell(A)$ among all Gorenstein Artin \mathbf{k} -algebras $G = R/J$ mapping onto A . Two natural questions arise immediately:

QUESTION A: How we can explicitly compute the Gorenstein colength of a given local Artin \mathbf{k} -algebra A ?

QUESTION B: Which are its minimal Gorenstein covers, that is, all Gorenstein rings G reaching the minimum $\text{gcl}(A) = \ell(G) - \ell(A)$?

Ananthnarayan generalizes some results by Teter [14] and Huneke-Vraciu [10] and provides a characterization of rings of $\text{gcl}(A) \leq 2$ in terms of the existence of certain self-dual ideals $\mathfrak{q} \in A$ with respect to the canonical module ω_A of A satisfying $\ell(A/\mathfrak{q}) \leq 2$. For more information on this, see [1] or [6, Section 4], for a reinterpretation in terms of inverse systems. Later on, Elias and Silva ([8]) address the problem of the colength from the perspective of Macaulay's inverse systems. In this setting, the goal is to find polynomials $F \in S$ such that $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$ and $\ell(\langle F \rangle) - \ell(I^\perp)$ is minimal. Then the Gorenstein \mathbf{k} -algebra $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ is a minimal Gorenstein cover of A . A precise characterization of such polynomials $F \in S$ is provided for $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$ in [8] and for $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$ in [6].

However, the explicit computation of the Gorenstein colength of a given ring A is not an easy task even for low colength - meaning $\text{gcl}(A)$ equal or less than 2 - in the general case. For examples of computation of colength of certain families of rings, see [2] and [6].

* Partially supported by MTM2016-78881-P.

** Partially supported by MTM2016-78881-P, BES-2014-069364 and EEBB-I-17-12700.

*** Partially supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 813211 of POEMA.

2010 MSC: Primary 13H10; Secondary 13H15; 13P99.

On the other hand, if $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$, the Teter variety introduced in [8, Proposition 4.2] is precisely the variety of all minimal Gorenstein covers of A and [8, Proposition 4.5] already suggests that a method to compute such covers is possible.

In this paper we address questions A and B by extending the previous definition of Teter variety of a ring of Gorenstein colength 1 to the variety of minimal Gorenstein covers $MGC(A)$ where A has arbitrary Gorenstein colength t . We use a constructive approach based on the integration method to compute inverse systems proposed by Mourrain in [11].

In section 2 we recall the basic definitions of inverse systems and introduce the notion of integral of an R -module M of S with respect to an ideal K of R , denoted by $\int_K M$. Section 3 links generators $F \in S$ of inverse systems J^\perp of Gorenstein covers $G = R/J$ of $A = R/I$ with elements in the integral $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$, where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of R and $t = \text{gcl}(A)$. This relation is described in Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.8 sets the theoretical background to compute a \mathbf{k} -basis of the integral of a module extending Mourrain's integration method.

In section 4, Theorem 4.2 proves the existence of a quasi-projective sub-variety $MGC^m(A)$ whose set of closed points are associated to polynomials $F \in S$ such that $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ is a minimal Gorenstein cover of A . Section 5 is devoted to algorithms: explicit methods to compute a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$ and $MGC(A)$ for colengths 1 and 2. Finally, in section 6 we provide several examples of the minimal Gorenstein covers variety and list the computation times of $MGC(A)$ for all analytic types of \mathbf{k} -algebras with $\text{gcl}(A) \leq 2$ appearing in Poonen's classification in [12].

All algorithms appearing in this paper have been implemented in *Singular*, [4], and the library [5] for inverse system has also been used.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: The second author wants to thank the third author for the opportunity to stay at INRIA Sophia Antipolis - Méditerranée (France) and his hospitality during her visit on the fall of 2017, where part of this project was carried out. This stay was financed by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through the Estancias Breves programme (EEBB-I-17-12700).

2. INTEGRALS AND INVERSE SYSTEMS

Set $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and $S = \mathbf{k}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$. Recall that S can be given an R -module structure by contraction:

$$\begin{aligned} R \times S &\longrightarrow S \\ (x^\alpha, y^\beta) &\mapsto x^\alpha \circ y^\beta = \begin{cases} y^{\beta-\alpha}, & \beta \geq \alpha; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The Macaulay inverse system of $A = R/I$ is the sub- R -module $I^\perp = \{g \in S \mid I \circ g = 0\}$ of S . This provides the order-reversing bijection between \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals I of R and finitely generated sub- R -modules M of S described in Macaulay's duality. As for the reverse correspondence, given a sub- R -module M of S , the module M^\perp is the ideal $\text{Ann}_R M = \{f \in R \mid f \circ g = 0 \forall g \in M\}$ of R . Moreover, it characterizes zero-dimensional Gorenstein rings $G = R/J$ as those with cyclic inverse system $J^\perp = \langle F \rangle$, where $\langle F \rangle$ is

the \mathbf{k} -vector space $\langle x^\alpha \circ F : |\alpha| \leq \deg F \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$. For more details on this construction, see [8] and [6].

Consider an Artin local ring $A = R/I$ of socle degree s and inverse system I^\perp . We are interested in finding Artin local rings $R/\text{Ann}_R F$ that cover R/I , that is $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$, but we also want to control how apart are those two inverse systems. In other words, given an ideal K , we want to find a Gorenstein cover $\langle F \rangle$ such that $K \circ \langle F \rangle \subset I^\perp$. Therefore it makes sense to think of an inverse operation to contraction.

Definition 2.1 (Integral of a module with respect to an ideal). *Consider an R -submodule M of S . We define the integral of M with respect to the ideal K , denoted by $\int_K M$, as*

$$\int_K M = \{G \in S \mid K \circ G \subset M\}.$$

Note that the set $N = \{G \in S \mid K \circ G \subset M\}$ is, in fact, an R -submodule N of S endowed with the contraction structure. Indeed, given $G_1, G_2 \in N$ then $K \circ (G_1 + G_2) = K \circ G_1 + K \circ G_2 \subset M$, hence $G_1 + G_2 \in N$. For all $a \in R$ and $G \in N$ we have $K \circ (a \circ G) = aK \circ G = a \circ (K \circ G) \subset M$, hence $a \circ G \in N$.

Proposition 2.2. *With the above notations it holds*

$$\int_K M = (KM^\perp)^\perp.$$

Proof. Let $G \in (KM^\perp)^\perp$. Then $(KM^\perp) \circ G = 0$, so $M^\perp \circ (K \circ G) = 0$. Hence $K \circ G \subset M$, i.e. $G \in \int_K M$. We have proved that $(KM^\perp)^\perp \subseteq \int_K M$. Now let $G \in \int_K M$. By definition, $K \circ G \subset M$, so $M^\perp \circ (K \circ G) = 0$ and hence $(M^\perp K) \circ G = 0$. Therefore, $G \in (M^\perp K)^\perp$. \square

One of the key results of this paper is the effective computation of $\int_K M$ (see Algorithm 1). Last result gives a method for the computation of this module by computing two Macaulay duals. However, since computing Macaulay duals is expensive, Algorithm 1 avoids the computation of such duals.

Remark 2.3. The following properties hold: (1) Given $K \subset L$ ideals of R and M R -module, if $K \subset L$, then $\int_L M \subset \int_K M$. (2) Given K ideal of R and $M \subset N$ R -modules, if $M \subset N$, then $\int_K M \subset \int_K N$. (3) Given any R -module M , $\int_R M = M$.

The inclusion $K \circ \int_K M \subset M$ follows directly from the definition of integral. However, the equality does not hold in the general case:

Example 2.4. Let us consider $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$, $K = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, $S = \mathbf{k}[y_1, y_2, y_3]$, and $M = \langle y_1 y_2, y_3^3 \rangle$. We have $\int_K M = (KM^\perp)^\perp = \langle y_1^2, y_1 y_2, y_1 y_3, y_2^2, y_2 y_3, y_3^4 \rangle$, and $K \circ \int_K M = \mathfrak{m} \circ \langle y_1^2, y_1 y_2, y_1 y_3, y_2^2, y_2 y_3, y_3^4 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3^3 \rangle \subsetneq M$.

We also have the inclusion $M \subset \int_K K \circ M$. Indeed, for any $F \in M$, $K \circ F \subset K \circ M$ and hence $F \in \int_K K \circ M = \{G \in S \mid K \circ G \subset K \circ M\}$. Again, the equality does not hold.

Example 2.5. Using the same example as in Example 2.4, we get $K \circ M = \mathfrak{m} \circ \langle y_1 y_2, y_3^3 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3^2 \rangle$, and $\int_K(K \circ M) = (K(K \circ M)^\perp)^\perp = \langle y_1^2, y_1 y_2, y_1 y_3, y_2^2, y_2 y_3, y_3^2 \rangle \not\subseteq M$.

In the particular case where we integrate with respect to a principal monomial ideal $K = (\underline{x}^\alpha)$, with $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, the expected equality for integrals

$$\underline{x}^\alpha \circ \int_{\underline{x}^\alpha} M = M$$

holds. Indeed, for any $m \in M$, take $G = \underline{y}^\alpha m$. Since $\underline{x}^\alpha \circ \underline{y}^\alpha = 1$, then $\underline{x}^\alpha \circ \underline{y}^\alpha m = m$ and the equality is reached.

Remark 2.6. In general we cannot extend the above identity to linear forms. We consider $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, $L = x_1 + ix_2$ and $P = y_1 + iy_2$. Then $L \circ \int_L \langle P \rangle \subsetneq \langle P \rangle$.

Let us now consider an even more particular case: the integral of a cyclic module $M = \langle F \rangle$ with respect to the variable x_i . Since the equality $x_i \circ \int_{x_i} M = M$ holds, there exists $G \in S$ such that $x_i \circ G = F$. This polynomial G is not unique because it can have any constant term with respect to x_i , that is $G = y_i F + p(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, y_n)$. However, if we restrict to the non-constant polynomial we can define the following:

Definition 2.7 (*i*-primitive with contraction). *The i-primitive of a polynomial $f \in S$ is the polynomial $g \in S$, denoted by $\int_i f$, such that*

- (i) $x_i \circ g = f$,
- (ii) $g|_{y_i=0} = 0$.

This notion of *i*-primitive of a polynomial $f \in S$ with respect to the variable $x_i \in R$ was provided in [7] using the derivation structure:

Definition 2.8 (*i*-primitive with derivation). *The i-primitive of a polynomial $f \in S$ is the polynomial $g \in S$, denoted by $\int_i f$, such that*

- (i) $\partial_{y_i} g = f$,
- (ii) $g|_{y_i=0} = 0$.

Therefore, we can think of the integral of a module with respect to an ideal as a generalization of the *i*-primitive proposed by Elkadi and Mourrain.

From now on, when we use the notation $\int_{x_i} f$ it refers to the contraction case. Since we are considering the R -module structure given by contraction instead of derivation, the *i*-primitive is precisely

$$\int_i f = y_i f.$$

Indeed, $x_i \circ (y_i f) = f$ and $(y_i f)|_{y_i=0} = 0$, hence (i) and (ii) hold. Uniqueness can be easily proved. Consider g_1, g_2 to be *i*-primitives of f . Then $x_i \circ (g_1 - g_2) = 0$ and hence $g_1 - g_2 = p(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, y_n)$. Clearly $(g_1 - g_2)|_{y_i=0} = p(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_i, \dots, y_n)$. On the other hand, $(g_1 - g_2)|_{y_i=0} = g_1|_{y_i=0} - g_2|_{y_i=0} = 0$. Hence $p = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2$.

Remark 2.9. Note that, by definition, $x_k \circ \int_k f = f$. Any f can be decomposed in $f = f_1 + f_2$, where the first term is a multiple of y_k and the second has no appearances of this variable. Then

$$\int_k x_k \circ f = \int_k x_k \circ f_1 + \int_k x_k \circ f_2 = f_1 + \int_k 0$$

Therefore, in general,

$$f_1 = \int_k x_k \circ f \neq x_k \circ \int_k f = f.$$

However, for all $l \neq k$,

$$\int_l x_k \circ f = \frac{y_l f_1}{y_k} = x_k \circ \int_l f.$$

Let us now recall Theorem 7.36 of Elkadi-Mourrain in [7], which describes the elements of the inverse system I^\perp up to a certain degree d . We define $\mathcal{D}_d = I^\perp \cap S_{\leq d}$, for any $1 \leq d \leq s$, where $s = \text{socdeg}(A)$. Since $\mathcal{D}_s = I^\perp$, this result leads to an algorithm proposed by the same author to obtain a \mathbf{k} -basis of an inverse system. We rewrite the theorem using the contraction setting instead of derivation.

Theorem 2.10 (Elkadi-Mourrain). *Given an ideal $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and $d > 1$. Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_{t_{d-1}}\}$ be a \mathbf{k} -basis of \mathcal{D}_{d-1} . The polynomials of \mathcal{D}_d with no constant term are of the form*

$$(1) \quad \Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^1 \int_1 b_j |_{y_2=\dots=y_n=0} + \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^2 \int_2 b_j |_{y_3=\dots=y_n=0} + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^n \int_n b_j, \quad \lambda_j^k \in \mathbf{k},$$

such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^k (x_l \circ b_j) - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^l (x_k \circ b_j) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq n,$$

and

$$(3) \quad (f_i \circ \Lambda)(0) = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

See [11] or [7] for a proof.

3. USING INTEGRALS TO OBTAIN GORENSTEIN COVERS OF ARTIN RINGS

Let us start by recalling the definitions of Gorenstein cover and Gorenstein colength of a local equicharacteristic Artin ring $A = R/I$ from [6]:

Definition 3.1. *We say that $G = R/J$, with $J = \text{Ann}_R F$, is a Gorenstein cover of A if and only if $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$. The Gorenstein colength of A is*

$$\text{gcl}(A) = \min\{\ell(G) - \ell(A) \mid G \text{ is a Gorenstein cover of } A\}.$$

A Gorenstein cover G of an Artin ring A is minimal if $\ell(G) = \ell(A) + \text{gcl}(A)$.

For all $F \in S$ defining a Gorenstein cover of A we consider the ideal K_F of R defined by

$$K_F = (I^\perp : \langle F \rangle).$$

In general, we do not know what is a right choice for an ideal K_F that provides a minimal cover. However, for a given colength, we do know a lot about the form of the ideals K_F associated to a polynomial F that reaches this minimum. In the following proposition, we summarize the basic results regarding ideals K_F from [6]:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $A = R/I$ be a local Artin algebra and $G = R/J$, with $J = \text{Ann}_R F$, a minimal Gorenstein cover of A . Then,*

- (i) $I^\perp = K_F \circ F$,
- (ii) $\text{gcl}(A) = \ell(R/K_F)$.

Moreover,

$$K_F = \begin{cases} R, & \text{if } \text{gcl}(A) = 0; \\ \mathfrak{m}, & \text{if } \text{gcl}(A) = 1; \\ (L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2), & \text{if } \text{gcl}(A) = 2, \end{cases}$$

where L_1, \dots, L_n are suitable independent linear forms in R .

Remark 3.3. Note that whereas in the case of colength 1 the ideal K_F does not depend on the particular choice of F , this is no longer true for higher colengths. For colength higher than 2, things get more complicated since the K_F can even have different analytic type. The simplest example is colength 3, where we have 2 possible non-isomorphic K_F 's: $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^3)$ and $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-2}, L_{n-1}^2, L_{n-1}L_n, L_n^2)$. Therefore, although it is certainly true that $F \in \int_{K_F} I^\perp$, it will not be useful as a condition to check if A has a certain Gorenstein colength.

The dependency of the integral on F can be removed by imposing only the condition $F \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$, for a suitable integer t . Later on we will see how to use this condition to find a minimal cover, but we first need to dig deeper into the structure of the integral of a module with respect to a power of the maximal ideal. The following result permits an iterative approach:

Lemma 3.4. *Let M be a finitely generated sub- R -module of S and $d \geq 1$, then*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}} M \right) = \int_{\mathfrak{m}^d} M.$$

Proof. Let us prove first the inclusion $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}} M \right) \subseteq \int_{\mathfrak{m}^d} M$. Take $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}} M \right)$, then $\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda \subseteq \int_{\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}} M$ and hence $\mathfrak{m}^d \circ \Lambda = \mathfrak{m}^{d-1} \circ (\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda) \subseteq M$. Therefore, $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^d} M$. To prove the reverse inclusion, consider $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^d} M$, that is, $\mathfrak{m}^{d-1} \circ (\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda) = \mathfrak{m}^d \circ \Lambda \subseteq M$. In other words, $\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda \subseteq \int_{\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}} M$ and $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}} M \right)$. \square

Since $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} M$ is a finitely dimensional \mathbf{k} -vector space that can be obtained by integrating t times M with respect to \mathfrak{m} , we can also consider a basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} M$ which is built by extending the previous basis at each step.

Definition 3.5. Let M be a finitely generated sub- R -module of S . Given an integer t , we denote by h_i the dimension of the \mathbf{k} -vector space $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^i} M / \int_{\mathfrak{m}^{i-1}} M$, $i = 1, \dots, t$. An adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} M/M$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis \overline{F}_j^i , $i = 1, \dots, t$, $j = 1, \dots, h_i$, of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} M/M$ such that $F_1^i, \dots, F_{h_i}^i \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^i} M$ and their cosets in $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^i} M / \int_{\mathfrak{m}^{i-1}} M$ form a \mathbf{k} -basis, $i = 1 \dots, t$. Let $A = R/I$ be an Artin ring, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{A,t}$ the R -module $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} M/M$.

The following proposition is meant to overcome the obstacle of non-uniqueness of the ideals K_F :

Proposition 3.6. Given a ring $A = R/I$ of Gorenstein colength t and a minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ of A ,

- (i) $F \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$;
- (ii) for any $H \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$, the condition $I^\perp \subset \langle H \rangle$ does not depend on the representative of the class \overline{H} in $\mathcal{L}_{A,t}$.

In particular, any $F' \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$ such that $\overline{F'} = \overline{F}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{A,t}$ defines the same minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$.

Proof. (i) By [6, Proposition 3.8], we have $\text{gcl}(A) = \ell(R/K_F)$, where $K_F \circ F = I^\perp$ for any polynomial F that generates a minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ of A . From the definition of integral we have $F \in \int_{K_F} I^\perp$. Since $\ell(R/K_F) = t$, then $\text{socdeg}(R/K_F) \leq t-1$. Indeed, the extremal case corresponds to the most expanded Hilbert function $\{1, 1, \dots, 1\}$, that is, a stretched algebra (see [13],[9]). Then $\text{HF}_{R/K_F}(i) = 0$, for any $i \geq t$, regardless of the particular form of K_F , and hence $\mathfrak{m}^t \subset K_F$. Therefore,

$$F \in \int_{K_F} I^\perp \subset \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp.$$

(ii) Consider a polynomial $H \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$ such that $I^\perp \subset \langle H \rangle$. By [6, Proposition 3.8], $K_H \circ H = I^\perp$. Consider $H' \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$ such that $\overline{H} = \overline{H'}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{A,t}$, so $H = H' + G$ for some $G \in I^\perp$. We want to prove that

$$(4) \quad K_H \circ H' + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp = K_H \circ H + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp = I^\perp.$$

The second equality is direct from $K_H \circ H = I^\perp$. Let us check the first. Take $h \circ H' + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp \in K_H \circ H' + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp$, with $h \in K_H \subset \mathfrak{m}$,

$$h \circ H' + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp = h \circ H - h \circ G + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp = h \circ H + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp \subset K_H \circ H + \mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp.$$

The same argument holds for the reverse inclusion. Therefore, Equation (4) holds and we can apply Nakayama's lemma to get $K_H \circ H' = I^\perp$. Hence $I^\perp \subset \langle H' \rangle$. In particular, $\langle H' \rangle = \langle H \rangle$. Indeed, since $H' = H - G$ and $\langle G \rangle \subset \langle I^\perp \rangle \subset \langle H \rangle$, then $H' \in \langle H \rangle + \langle G \rangle = \langle H \rangle$ and a similar argument gives $H \in \langle H' \rangle$. \square

Observe that the proposition says that, although not all $F \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$ correspond to covers $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ of $A = R/I$, if F is actually a cover, then any $F' \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$ such that $\overline{F'} = \overline{F} \in \mathcal{L}_{A,t}$ provides the exact same cover. That is, $\langle F' \rangle = \langle F \rangle$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $A = R/I$ be an Artin ring of Gorenstein colength t and let $\{\overline{F}_j^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq t, 1 \leq j \leq h_i}$ be an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,t}$. Given a minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/J$ there is a generator F of J^\perp such that F can be written as*

$$F = a_1^1 F_1^1 + \cdots + a_{h_1}^1 F_{h_1}^1 + \cdots + a_1^t F_1^t + \cdots + a_{h_t}^t F_{h_t}^t \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp, \quad a_i^j \in \mathbf{k}.$$

Proof. In $\mathcal{L}_{A,t}$ we have $\overline{F} = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=1}^{h_j} a_j^i \overline{F}_j^i$ and hence $F = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=1}^{h_i} a_j^i F_j^i + G$ with $G \in I^\perp$. By proposition Proposition 3.6, any representative of the class \overline{F} provides the same Gorenstein cover. In particular, we can take $G = 0$ and we are done. \square

Our goal now is to compute the integrals of the inverse system with respect to powers of the maximal ideal. Rephrasing it in a more general manner: we want an effective computation of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^k} M$, where $M \subset S$ is a sub- R -module of S and $k \geq 1$.

Recall that, via Macaulay's duality, we have $I^\perp = M$, where $I = \text{Ann}_R M$ is an ideal in R . Therefore, the most natural approach is to integrate M in a similar way as I is integrated in Theorem 2.10 by Elkadi-Mourrain but removing the condition of orthogonality with respect to the generators of the ideal I (Equation (3) of Theorem 2.10). Without this restriction we will be allowed to go beyond the inverse system $I^\perp = M$ and up to the integral of M with respect to \mathfrak{m} . The proof we present is very similar to the proof of Theorem 7.36 in [11] but we reproduce it below for the sake of completeness and to show the use of the contraction structure.

Theorem 3.8. *Consider a sub- R -module M of S and let $\{b_1, \dots, b_s\}$ be a \mathbf{k} -basis of M . Let $\Lambda \in S$ be a polynomial with no constant terms. Then $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ if and only if*

$$(5) \quad \Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^1 \int_1 b_j |_{y_2=\dots=y_n=0} + \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^2 \int_2 b_j |_{y_3=\dots=y_n=0} + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^n \int_n b_j, \quad \lambda_j^k \in \mathbf{k},$$

such that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^k (x_l \circ b_j) - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^l (x_k \circ b_j) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq n.$$

Proof. First we will prove that any element Λ in $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ is as described in Equation (5) and satisfies Equation (6). Note that $\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda \subset M = \langle b_1, \dots, b_s \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$. Therefore, $x_1 \circ \Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^1 b_j$ and we can obtain a decomposition $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \cdots + \Lambda_n$ such that

$$(7) \quad \Lambda_l = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^l \int_l b_j - (\sigma_{l-1} - \sigma_{l-1} |_{y_l=0}) \in \mathbf{k}[y_l, \dots, y_n] \setminus \mathbf{k}[y_{l+1}, \dots, y_n], \quad 1 \leq l \leq n,$$

where

$$(8) \quad \sigma_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^1 \int_1 b_j |_{y_2=\dots=y_k=0} + \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^2 \int_2 b_j |_{y_3=\dots=y_k=0} + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^k \int_k b_j.$$

Clearly, $\Lambda = \sigma_n$, which gives the desired expression in Equation (5). We want to prove now that Equation (6) holds. Since $\Lambda_l \in \mathbf{k}[y_l, \dots, y_n]$, then $x_k \circ \Lambda_l = 0$ for $1 \leq k < l \leq n$.

Hence contracting Equation (7) first by x_k and then by x_l we get

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^l (x_k \circ b_j) = x_l \circ (x_k \circ \sigma_{l-1}).$$

On one hand, $x_k \circ \sigma_{l-1} = x_k \circ (\sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_i) + x_k \circ (\sum_{i=k+1}^{l-1} \Lambda_i) = x_k \circ (\sum_{i=1}^k \Lambda_i) = x_k \circ \sigma_k$, for $k < l$. On the other, when contracting Equation (8) by x_k , in all terms to which we do elimination the k -th variable vanishes and this provides

$$x_k \circ \sigma_k = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^1 \int_1 x_k \circ b_j |_{y_2=\dots=y_k=0} + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^k \left(x_k \circ \int_k b_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^k b_j.$$

Therefore, we can rewrite Equation (9) as $\sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^l (x_k \circ b_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^k (x_l \circ b_j)$, hence Equation (6) is satisfied.

Conversely, we want to know if every element of the form of Equation (5) satisfying Equation (6) is in $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} M$. By definition, $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ if and only if $\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda \subset M$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that $x_k \circ \Lambda \in M$ for any $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let us then contract the expression Equation (5) by x_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$:

$$x_k \circ \Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^k b_j |_{y_{k+1}=\dots=y_n=0} + \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^{k+1} \int_{k+1} x_k \circ b_j |_{y_{k+2}=\dots=y_n=0} + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^n \int_n x_k \circ b_j.$$

Consider condition Equation (6), then its l -primitive, for any $k < l \leq n$, gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^k \int_l x_l \circ b_j = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^l \int_l x_k \circ b_j.$$

It can be checked that $\int_l x_k \circ H |_{y_{l+1}=\dots=y_n=0} = (\int_l x_k \circ H) |_{y_{l+1}=\dots=y_n=0}$. Therefore,

$$x_k \circ \Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^k \left(b_j |_{y_{k+1}=\dots=y_n=0} + \int_{k+1} x_{k+1} \circ b_j |_{y_{k+2}=\dots=y_n=0} + \dots + \int_n x_n \circ b_j \right).$$

We can also prove that

$$b_j |_{y_{k+1}=\dots=y_n=0} + \int_{k+1} x_{k+1} \circ b_j |_{y_{k+2}=\dots=y_n=0} + \dots + \int_n x_n \circ b_j = b_j$$

is true for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $x_k \circ \Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^k b_j \in M$ and we are done. \square

From the previous theorem and Lemma 3.4 the next corollary follows directly.

Corollary 3.9. *Consider a sub- R -module M of S and $d \geq 1$. Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_{t_{d-1}}\}$ be a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}} M$ and let Λ be a polynomial with no constant terms. Then $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^d} M$ if and only if it is of the form*

$$(10) \quad \Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^1 \int_1 b_j |_{y_2=\dots=y_n=0} + \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^2 \int_2 b_j |_{y_3=\dots=y_n=0} + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^n \int_n b_j, \quad \lambda_j^k \in \mathbf{k},$$

such that

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^k(x_l \circ b_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{t_{d-1}} \lambda_j^l(x_k \circ b_j) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq n.$$

Remark 3.10. Note that, using the notations of Theorem 2.10, it can be proved that

$$\mathcal{D}_d = I^\perp \cap \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{D}_{d-1},$$

for any $1 < d \leq s$. Indeed, Theorem 2.10 says that any element $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}_d$ is of the form of Equation (10), and because of Corollary 3.9, we know that it satisfies Equation (11). Hence, by Theorem 3.8, $\Lambda \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{D}_{d-1}$. Since $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}_d = I^\perp \cap S_{\leq d}$, then $\Lambda \in I^\perp \cap \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{D}_{d-1}$. Conversely, any element Λ in $(\int_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{D}_{d-1}) \cap I^\perp$ satisfies, in particular, $\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{d-1} = I^\perp \cap S_{\leq d-1}$. Therefore $\deg(\mathfrak{m} \circ \Lambda) \leq d-1$ and hence $\deg \Lambda \leq d$. Since $\Lambda \in I^\perp$, then $\Lambda \in I^\perp \cap S_{\leq d} = \mathcal{D}_d$.

We end this section by considering the low Gorenstein colength cases.

3.1. Teter rings. Let us remind that Teter rings are those $A = R/I$ such that $A \cong G/\text{soc}(G)$ for some Gorenstein ring G . In [8], the authors prove that $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$ whenever $\text{embdim}(A) \geq 2$. They are a special case to deal with because the K_F associated to any generator $F \in S$ of a minimal cover is always the maximal ideal. We provide some additional criteria to characterize such rings:

Proposition 3.11. *Let $A = R/I$ be a non-Gorenstein local Artin ring of socle degree $s \geq 1$ and let $\{\overline{F}_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq h}$ be an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,1}$. Then $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$ if and only if there exist a polynomial $F = \sum_{j=1}^h a_j F_j \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$, $a_j \in \mathbf{k}$, such that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{m} \circ F) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} I^\perp$.*

Proof. The first implication is straightforward from Corollary 3.7 and Teter rings characterization in [8]. Reciprocally, if $F \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$, then $\mathfrak{m} \circ F \subset I^\perp$ by definition, and from the equality of dimensions, it follows that $\mathfrak{m} \circ F = I^\perp$. Therefore, $0 < \text{gcl}(A) \leq \ell(R/\mathfrak{m}) = 1$ and we are done. \square

Example 3.12. Recall Example 2.4 with $I^\perp = \langle y_1 y_2, y_3^3 \rangle$ and $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp = \langle y_1^2, y_1 y_2, y_1 y_3, y_2^2, y_2 y_3, y_3^4 \rangle$. Then $\overline{y_1^2}, \overline{y_1 y_3}, \overline{y_2^2}, \overline{y_2 y_3}, \overline{y_3^4}$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,1}$. As a consequence of Proposition 3.11, A is Teter if and only if there exists a polynomial

$$F = a_1 y_1^2 + a_2 y_1 y_3 + a_3 y_2^2 + a_4 y_2 y_3 + a_5 y_3^4$$

such that $\mathfrak{m} \circ F = I^\perp$. But $\mathfrak{m} \circ F = \langle a_1 y_1 + a_2 y_3, a_3 y_2 + a_4 y_3, a_2 y_1 + a_4 y_2 + a_5 y_3^3 \rangle$ and clearly $y_1 y_2$ does not belong here. Therefore, $\text{gcl}(A) > 1$.

3.2. Gorenstein colength 2. By [6], we know that A is of Gorenstein colength 2 if and only if there exists a polynomial F of degree $s + 1$ or $s + 2$ such that $K_F \circ F = I^\perp$, with $K_F = (L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2)$, where L_i are suitable independent linear forms.

Observe that a completely analogous characterization to the one we did for Teter rings is not possible. If $A = R/I$ has Gorenstein colength 2, by Corollary 3.7, there exists $F = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{h_i} a_j^i F_j^i \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp$, where $\{\overline{F_j^i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq h_i}$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,2}$, that generates a minimal Gorenstein cover of A and then trivially $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$. However, the reverse implication is not true.

Example 3.13. Consider $A = R/\mathfrak{m}^3$, where R is the ring of power series in 2 variables, and consider $F = y_1^2 y_2^2$. It is easy to see that $F \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp = S_{\leq 4}$ and $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$. However, it can be proved that $\text{gcl}(A) = 3$ using [2, Corollary 3.3]. Note that $K_F = \mathfrak{m}^2$ and hence $\ell(R/K_F) = 3$.

Therefore, given $F \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp$, the condition $I \subset \langle F \rangle$ is not sufficient to ensure that $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$. We must require that $\ell(R/K_F) = 2$ as well.

Proposition 3.14. *Given a non-Gorenstein non-Teter local Artin ring $A = R/I$, $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$ if and only if there exist a polynomial $F = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{h_i} a_j^i F_j^i \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp$ such that $\{\overline{F_j^i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq h_i}$ is an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,2}$ and $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ F = I^\perp$ for suitable independent linear forms L_1, \dots, L_n .*

Proof. We will only prove that if F satisfies the required conditions, then $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$. By definition of K_F , if $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ F = I^\perp$, then $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \subseteq K_F$. Again by [6], $\text{gcl}(A) \leq \ell(R/K_F)$ and hence $\text{gcl}(A) \leq \ell(R/(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2)) = 2$. Since $\text{gcl}(A) \geq 2$ by hypothesis, then $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$. \square

Example 3.15. Recall the ring $A = R/I$ in Example 3.12. Since

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp = \langle y_1^3, y_1^2 y_2, y_1 y_2^2, y_2^3, y_1^2 y_3, y_1 y_2 y_3, y_2^2 y_3, y_1 y_3^2, y_2 y_3^2, y_3^5 \rangle$$

and $\text{gcl}(A) > 1$, its Gorenstein colength is 2 if and only if there exist some

$$F \in \langle y_1^2, y_1 y_2, y_1 y_3, y_2^2, y_2 y_3, y_3^4, y_1^3, y_1^2 y_2, y_1 y_2^2, y_2^3, y_1^2 y_3, y_1 y_2 y_3, y_2^2 y_3, y_1 y_3^2, y_2 y_3^2, y_3^5 \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$$

such that $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ F = I^\perp$. Consider $F = y_3^4 + y_1^2 y_2$, then

$$(x_1, x_2^2, x_3) \circ F = \langle x_1 \circ F, x_2^2 \circ F, x_3 \circ F \rangle = \langle y_1 y_2, y_3^3 \rangle$$

and hence $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$.

4. MINIMAL GORENSTEIN COVERS VARIETIES

We are now interested in providing a geometric interpretation of the set of all minimal Gorenstein covers $G = R/J$ of a given local Artin \mathbf{k} -algebra $A = R/I$. From now on, we will assume that \mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field. The following result is well known and it is an easy linear algebra exercise.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\varphi_i : \mathbf{k}^a \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^b$, $i = 1 \dots, r$, be a family of Zariski continuous maps. Then the function $\varphi^* : \mathbf{k}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $\varphi^*(z) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \varphi_1(z), \dots, \varphi_r(z) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$ is lower semicontinuous, i.e. for all $z_0 \in \mathbf{k}^a$ there is a Zariski open set $U \subset \mathbf{k}^a$ such that for all $z \in U$ it holds $\varphi^*(z) \geq \varphi^*(z_0)$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A = R/I$ be an Artin ring of Gorenstein colength t . There exists a quasi-projective sub-variety $MGC^n(A)$, $n = \dim(R)$, of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{L}_{A,t})$ whose set of closed points are the points $[\overline{F}]$, $\overline{F} \in \mathcal{L}_{A,t}$, such that $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ is a minimal Gorenstein cover of A .*

Proof. Let E be a sub- \mathbf{k} -vector space of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp$ such that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} I^\perp \cong E \oplus I^\perp,$$

we identify $\mathcal{L}_{A,t}$ with E . From Proposition 3.6, for all minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ we may assume that $F \in E$. Given $F \in E$, the quotient $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ is a minimal cover of A if and only if

- (1) $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle = \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(A) + t$, and
- (2) $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$.

The second condition is equivalent to the numerical condition

$$(2') \quad \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(I^\perp + \langle F \rangle) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle.$$

Indeed, if $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$, then $I^\perp \cap \langle F \rangle = I^\perp$ and hence $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(I^\perp + \langle F \rangle) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle$. Reciprocally, since $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle = \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(I^\perp + \langle F \rangle) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} I^\perp + \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle - \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(I^\perp \cap \langle F \rangle)$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} I^\perp = \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(I^\perp \cap \langle F \rangle)$. But $I^\perp \cap \langle F \rangle \subset I^\perp$ and hence the equality $I^\perp \cap \langle F \rangle = I^\perp$ holds. Therefore, $I^\perp \subset \langle F \rangle$.

Define the family of Zariski continuous maps $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq \deg F}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha : E &\longrightarrow E \\ F &\longmapsto \underline{x}^\alpha \circ F \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\varphi_0 = Id_R$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^* : E &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ F &\longmapsto \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \underline{x}^\alpha \circ F, |\alpha| \leq \deg F \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varphi^*(F) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle$ and, by Lemma 4.1, φ^* is a lower semicontinuous map. Hence $U_1 = \{F \in E \mid \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle \geq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} A + t\}$ is an open Zariski set in E . Using the same argument, $U_2 = \{F \in E \mid \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle \geq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} A + t + 1\}$ is also an open Zariski set in E and hence $Z_1 = E \setminus U_2$ is a Zariski closed set such that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle \leq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} A + t$ for any $F \in Z_1$. Then $Z_1 \cap U_1 = \{F \in E \mid \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} A + t\}$ is a locally closed set.

Let G_1, \dots, G_e be a \mathbf{k} -basis of I^\perp and consider the constant map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i : E &\longrightarrow E \\ F &\longmapsto G_i \end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, e$. By Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* : E &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ F &\longmapsto \dim_{\mathbf{k}} (\langle F \rangle + I^\perp) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \{\underline{x}^\alpha \circ F\}_{|\alpha| \leq \deg F}, G_1, \dots, G_e \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned}$$

is a lower semicontinuous map. Using an analogous argument, we can prove that $T = \{F \in E \mid \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(I^\perp + \langle F \rangle) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} A + t\}$ is a locally closed set. Therefore,

$$W = (Z_1 \cap U_1) \cap T = \{F \in E \mid \dim_{\mathbf{k}} A + t = \dim_{\mathbf{k}}(I^\perp + \langle F \rangle) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \langle F \rangle\}$$

is a locally closed subset of E whose set of closed points are all the F in E satisfying (1) and (2'), i.e. defining a minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/\text{Ann}_R F$ of A .

Moreover, since $\langle F \rangle = \langle \lambda F \rangle$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, conditions (1) and (2') are invariant under the multiplicative action of \mathbf{k}^* on F and hence $MGC^n(A) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(W) \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(E) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{L}_{A,t})$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $G = R/J$ be a minimal Gorenstein cover of $A = R/I$. Then*

$$\text{embdim}(G) \leq \tau(A) + \text{gcl}(A) + 1.$$

Proof. Set $A = R/I$ and $G = R'/J$ such that $\text{embdim}(A) = \dim R$ and $\text{embdim}(G) = \dim R'$. We denote by \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{m}' the maximal ideals of R and R' , respectively. From Proposition 3.2.(i), it is easy to deduce that $K_F/(\mathfrak{m}K_F + J) \simeq I^\perp/(\mathfrak{m} \circ I^\perp)$. Hence $\tau(A) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} K_F/(\mathfrak{m}K_F + J)$ by [8, Proposition 2.6]. Then

$$\text{embdim}(G) - 1 = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} R'/(\mathfrak{m}')^2 \leq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} R'/(\mathfrak{m}K_F + J) = \text{gcl}(A) + \tau(A),$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 3.2.(ii). \square

Definition 4.4. *Given an Artin ring $A = R/I$, the variety $MGC(A) = MGC^n(A)$, with $n = \tau(A) + \text{gcl}(A) + 1$, is called the minimal Gorenstein cover variety associated to A .*

Remark 4.5. Let us recall that in [6] we proved that for low Gorenstein colength of A , i.e. $\text{gcl}(A) \leq 2$, then $\text{embdim}(G) = \text{embdim}(A)$ for any minimal Gorenstein cover G of A . In this situation we can define $MGC(A)$ as the variety $MGC^n(A)$ with $n = \text{embdim}(A)$.

Observe that this notion of minimal Gorenstein cover variety generalizes the definition of Teter variety introduced in [8], which applies only to rings of Gorenstein colength 1, to any arbitrary colength.

5. COMPUTING $MGC(A)$ FOR LOW GORENSTEIN COLENGTH

In this section we provide algorithms and examples to compute the variety of minimal Gorenstein covers of a given ring A whenever its Gorenstein colength is 1 or 2. These algorithms can also be used to decide whether a ring has colength greater than 2, since it will correspond to empty varieties.

To start with, we provide the auxiliar algorithm to compute the integral of I^\perp with respect to the t -th power of the maximal ideal of R . If there exist polynomials defining minimal Gorenstein covers of colength t , they must belong to this integral.

5.1. Computing integrals of modules. Consider a \mathbf{k} -basis $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_t)$ of a finitely generated sub- R -module M of S and consider $x_k \circ b_i = \sum_{j=1}^t a_j^i b_j$, for any $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let us define matrices $U_k = (a_j^i)_{1 \leq j, i \leq t}$ for any $1 \leq k \leq n$. Note that

$$(x_k \circ b_1 \cdots x_k \circ b_t) = (b_1 \cdots b_t) \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & \cdots & a_1^t \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_t^1 & \cdots & a_t^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now consider any element $h \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_k \circ h &= x_k \circ \sum_{i=1}^t h_i b_i = \sum_{i=1}^t (x_k \circ h_i b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^t (x_k \circ b_i) h_i = \\ &= (x_k \circ b_1 \cdots x_k \circ b_t) \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_t \end{pmatrix} = (b_1 \cdots b_t) U_k \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_t \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_1, \dots, h_t \in \mathbf{k}$.

Definition 5.1. Let U_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, be the square matrix of order t such that

$$x_k \circ h = \mathbf{b} U_k \mathbf{h}^t,$$

where $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_t)$ for any $h \in M$, with $h = \sum_{i=1}^t h_i b_i$. We call U_k the contraction matrix of M with respect to x_k associated to a \mathbf{k} -basis \mathbf{b} of M .

Remark 5.2. Since $x_k x_l \circ h = x_l x_k \circ h$ for any $h \in M$, we have $U_k U_l = U_l U_k$, with $1 \leq k < l \leq n$.

In [11], Mourrain provides an effective algorithm based on Theorem 2.10 that computes, along with a \mathbf{k} -basis of the inverse system I^\perp of an ideal I of R , the contraction matrices U_1, \dots, U_n of I^\perp associated to that basis.

Example 5.3. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2]]$ and $I = \mathfrak{m}^2$. Then $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of I^\perp and U_1, U_2 are its contraction matrices with respect to x_1, x_2 , respectively:

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we provide a modified algorithm based on Theorem 3.8 that computes the integral of a finitely generated sub- R -module M with respect to the maximal ideal. The algorithm can use the output of Mourrain's integration method as initial data: a \mathbf{k} -basis of I^\perp and the contraction matrices associated to this basis.

Remark 5.4. Observe that $\bar{b}_{t+1}, \dots, \bar{b}_{t+h}$ is an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} M/M$. Also note that, since the algorithm returns the new contraction matrices, we can iterate the procedure in order to obtain the \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} M$, and hence an adapted basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^t} M/M$.

Algorithm 1 Compute a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ and its contraction matrices

Input: b_1, \dots, b_t \mathbf{k} -basis of M ;

U_1, \dots, U_n contraction matrices of M associated to the \mathbf{k} -basis b_1, \dots, b_t .

Output: $D = b_1, \dots, b_t, b_{t+1}, \dots, b_{t+h}$ \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} M$;

U'_1, \dots, U'_n contraction matrices of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ associated to the \mathbf{k} -basis b_1, \dots, b_{t+h} .

Steps:

- (1) Solve the system of equations $U_k \mathbf{x}_1 - U_l \mathbf{x}_k = 0$ for $1 \leq k < l \leq n$.
- (2) Compute a basis c_1, \dots, c_h of the solutions $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n]$ that provide new polynomials of the form

$$\Lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j^k \int_k b_j |_{y_{k+1}=\dots=y_n=0} \right)$$

which are linearly independent with respect to b_1, \dots, b_t .

- (3) Set $D := b_1, \dots, b_t, b_{t+1}, \dots, b_{t+h}$, where $b_{t+l} = c_l$ for any $1 \leq l \leq h$.
- (4) Define square matrices U'_k of order $t+h$ and set $U'_k[i] = U_k[i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.
- (5) Compute $x_k \circ b_i = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_j^i b_j$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq t+h$ and set

$$U'_k[i] = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^i & \cdots & \mu_t^i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}^t.$$

Example 5.5. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2]]$ and $I = \mathfrak{m}^2$. Then $\{1, y_1, y_2, y_2^2, y_1 y_2, y_1^2\}$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp = S_{\leq 2}$ with the following contraction matrices:

$$U'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.2. Computing $MGC(A)$ for Teter rings. The following algorithm provides a method to decide whether a non-Gorenstein ring $A = R/I$ has colength 1 and, if this is the case, it explicitly computes its $MGC(A)$.

Let us consider a non-Gorenstein local Artin ring $A = R/I$ of socle degree s . Fix a \mathbf{k} -basis b_1, \dots, b_t of I^\perp and consider a polynomial $F = \sum_{j=1}^h a_j F_j \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$, where $\overline{F}_1, \dots, \overline{F}_h$ is an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,1}$. According to Proposition 3.11, F corresponds to a minimal Gorenstein cover if and only if $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{m} \circ F) = t$. Therefore, we want to know for which values of a_1, \dots, a_h this equality holds.

Note that $\deg F \leq s+1$ and $x_k x_l \circ F = x_l x_k \circ F$. Then $\mathfrak{m} \circ F = \langle x^\alpha \circ F : 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s+1 \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. Moreover, by definition of F , each $x^\alpha \circ F \in I^\perp$, hence $x^\alpha \circ F = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_\alpha^j b_j$ for some $\mu_\alpha^j \in \mathbf{k}$.

Consider the matrix $A = (\mu_\alpha^j)_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s+1, 1 \leq j \leq t}$, whose rows are the contractions $x^\alpha \circ F$ expressed in terms of the \mathbf{k} -basis b_1, \dots, b_t of I^\perp . The rows of A are a system of generators of $\mathfrak{m} \circ F$ as \mathbf{k} -vector space, hence $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{m} \circ F) < t$ if and only if all order t minors of A vanish. Let \mathfrak{a} be the ideal generated by all order t minors p_1, \dots, p_r of A . Note that the entries of matrix A are homogeneous polynomials of degree 1 in $\mathbf{k}[a_1, \dots, a_h]$. Hence \mathfrak{a}

Algorithm 2 Compute the Teter variety of $A = R/I$ with $n \geq 2$

Input: s socle degree of $A = R/I$;

b_1, \dots, b_t \mathbf{k} -basis of the inverse system I^\perp ;

F_1, \dots, F_h such that $\overline{F_1}, \dots, \overline{F_h}$ is an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp / I^\perp$;

U_1, \dots, U_n contraction matrices of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$.

Output: $F = a_1 F_1 + \dots + a_h F_h$ polynomial defining a minimal Gorenstein cover;
 \mathfrak{a} ideal defining the elements not in $MGC(A)$.

Steps:

(1) Set $F = a_1 F_1 + \dots + a_h F_h$ and $\mathbf{F} = (a_1, \dots, a_h)^t$, where a_1, \dots, a_h are variables in \mathbf{k} .

(2) Build matrix $A = (\mu_j^\alpha)_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s+1, 1 \leq j \leq t}$, where

$$U^\alpha \mathbf{F} = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_j^\alpha b_j, \quad U^\alpha = U_1^{\alpha_1} \dots U_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

(3) Compute the ideal \mathfrak{a} generated by all minors of order t of the matrix A .

is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree t in $\mathbf{k}[a_1, \dots, a_h]$. Therefore, we can view the projective algebraic set

$$\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a}) = \{[a_1 : \dots : a_h] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h-1} \mid p_i(a_1, \dots, a_h) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

as the set of all points that do not correspond to Teter covers. We just proved the following result:

Theorem 5.6. *Let $A = R/I$ be an Artin ring with $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$, $h = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{L}_{A,1}$ and \mathfrak{a} be the ideal of minors previously defined. Then*

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h-1} \setminus \mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Moreover, for any non-Gorenstein Artin ring A , $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$.

Proof. The first part is already proved. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{a} = 0$, then $\mathbb{V}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h-1}$ and $MGC(A) = \emptyset$. In other words, there exist no Teter covers, hence $\text{gcl}(A) > 1$. \square

With the following example we show how to interpret the output of the algorithm:

Example 5.7. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2]]$ and $I = \mathfrak{m}^2$ [8, Example 4.3].

OUTPUT: $F = a_1 y_2^4 + a_2 y_1 y_2 + a_3 y_1^2$, $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = a_2^2 - a_1 a_3$.

We consider points $(a_1 : a_2 : a_3) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Then $MGC(A) = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{a_2^2 - a_1 a_3 = 0\}$ and any minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R / \text{Ann}_R F$ of A is given by a polynomial $F = a_1 y_2^4 + a_2 y_1 y_2 + a_3 y_1^2$ such that $a_2^2 - a_1 a_3 \neq 0$.

5.3. Computing $MGC(A)$ in colength 2. Consider a \mathbf{k} -basis b_1, \dots, b_t of I^\perp and an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis $\overline{F_1}, \dots, \overline{F_{h_1}}, \overline{G_1}, \dots, \overline{G_{h_2}}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{A,2}$ (see Definition 3.5) such that

- $b_1, \dots, b_t, F_1, \dots, F_{h_1}$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$,
- $b_1, \dots, b_t, F_1, \dots, F_{h_1}, G_1, \dots, G_{h_2}$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp$.

Consider a local Artin ring $A = R/I$. If a minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ of colength 2 exists, then, by Corollary 3.7, H is a polynomial of the form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i F_i + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i G_i, \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbf{k}.$$

We want to obtain conditions on the α 's and β 's under which H actually generates a minimal Gorenstein cover of colength 2. By definition, $H \in \int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp$, hence $x_k \circ H \in \mathfrak{m} \circ \int_{\mathfrak{m}} (\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp) \subseteq \int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$ and

$$x_k \circ H = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_k^j b_j + \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} \rho_k^j F_j, \quad \mu_k^j, \rho_k^j \in \mathbf{k}.$$

Set matrices $A_H = (\mu_k^j)$ and $B_H = (\rho_k^j)$. Let us describe matrix B_H explicitly. We have

$$x_k \circ H = \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i (x_k \circ F_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i (x_k \circ G_i).$$

Note that each $x_k \circ G_i$, for any $1 \leq i \leq h_2$, is in $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$ and hence it can be decomposed as

$$x_k \circ G_i = \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j^{k,i} b_j + \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} a_j^{k,i} F_j, \quad \lambda_j^{k,i}, a_j^{k,i} \in \mathbf{k}.$$

Then

$$x_k \circ H = \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i (x_k \circ F_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j^{k,i} b_j + \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} a_j^{k,i} F_j \right) = b + \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i a_j^{k,i} \right) F_j,$$

where $b := \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i (x_k \circ F_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j^{k,i} b_j \right) \in I^\perp$. Observe that

$$(12) \quad \rho_k^j = \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} a_j^{k,i} \beta_i,$$

hence the entries of matrix B_H can be regarded as polynomials in variables $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}$ with coefficients in \mathbf{k} .

Lemma 5.8. *Consider the matrix $B_H = (\rho_k^j)$ as previously defined and let $B'_H = (\varrho_k^j)$ be the matrix of the coefficients of $\overline{L_k \circ H} = \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} \varrho_k^j \overline{F_j} \in \mathcal{L}_{A,1}$ where L_1, \dots, L_n are independent linear forms. Then,*

- (i) $\text{rk } B_H = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m} \circ H + I^\perp}{I^\perp} \right),$
- (ii) $\text{rk } B'_H = \text{rk } B_H.$

Proof. Note that $\overline{x_k \circ H} = \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} \rho_k^j \overline{F_j} \in \mathcal{L}_{A,1}$ and $\langle \overline{x_1 \circ H}, \dots, \overline{x_n \circ H} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mathfrak{m} \circ H + I^\perp) / I^\perp$. Since $\overline{F_1}, \dots, \overline{F_{h_1}}$ is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,1}$ and $(\mathfrak{m} \circ H + I^\perp) / I^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{A,1}$, then (i) holds.

For (ii) it will be enough to prove that $\langle \overline{x_1 \circ H}, \dots, \overline{x_n \circ H} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \overline{L_1 \circ H}, \dots, \overline{L_n \circ H} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$. Indeed, since $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^i x_j$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\overline{L_i \circ H} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^i \overline{(x_j \circ H)} \in$

$\langle \overline{x_1 \circ H}, \dots, \overline{x_n \circ H} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$. The reverse inclusion comes from the fact that $(L_1, \dots, L_n) = \mathbf{m}$, hence x_i can be expressed as a linear combination of L_1, \dots, L_n . \square

Lemma 5.9. *With the previous notation, consider a polynomial $H \in \int_{\mathbf{m}^2} I^\perp$ with coefficients $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}$ of G_1, \dots, G_{h_2} , respectively, and its corresponding matrix B_H . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $B_H \neq 0$,
- (2) $\mathbf{m} \circ H \not\subseteq I^\perp$,
- (3) $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. (1) implies (2). If $B_H \neq 0$, by Lemma 5.8, $(\mathbf{m} \circ H + I^\perp)/I^\perp \neq 0$ and hence $\mathbf{m} \circ H \not\subseteq I^\perp$.

(2) implies (3). If $\mathbf{m} \circ H \not\subseteq I^\perp$, by definition $H \notin \int_{\mathbf{m}} I^\perp$ and hence $H \in \int_{\mathbf{m}^2} I^\perp \setminus \int_{\mathbf{m}} I^\perp$. Therefore, some β_i must be non-zero.

(3) implies (1). Since $G_i \in \int_{\mathbf{m}^2} I^\perp \setminus \int_{\mathbf{m}} I^\perp$ for any $1 \leq i \leq h_2$ and, by hypothesis, there is some non-zero β_i , we have that $H \in \int_{\mathbf{m}^2} I^\perp \setminus \int_{\mathbf{m}} I^\perp$. We claim that $x_k \circ H \in \int_{\mathbf{m}} I^\perp \setminus I^\perp$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose the claim is not true. Then $x_k \circ H \in I^\perp$ for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, or equivalently, $\mathbf{m} \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$ but, by definition, this means that $H \in \int_{\mathbf{m}} I^\perp$, which is a contradiction. Since

$$x_k \circ H = b + \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i a_j^{k,i} \right) F_j \in \int_{\mathbf{m}} I^\perp \setminus I^\perp, \quad b \in I^\perp,$$

for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\rho_k^j \neq 0$, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, h_1\}$. Therefore, $B_H \neq 0$. \square

Lemma 5.10. *Consider the previous setting. If $B_H = 0$, then either $\text{gcl}(A) = 0$ or $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$ or $R/\text{Ann}_R H$ is not a cover of A .*

Proof. If $B_H = 0$, then $\mathbf{m} \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$ and hence $\ell(H) - 1 \leq \ell(I^\perp)$. If $I^\perp \subseteq \langle H \rangle$, then $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ is a Gorenstein cover of A such that $\ell(G) - \ell(A) \leq 1$. Therefore, either $\text{gcl}(A) \leq 1$ or G is not a cover. \square

We already have techniques to check whether A has colength 0 or 1. Therefore, we can assume $\text{gcl}(A) \geq 2$. The previous two lemmas allow us to take into consideration only those polynomials H such that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ or, equivalently, $B_H \neq 0$. According to Proposition 3.14, $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$ if and only if $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H = I^\perp$ for some H of the previously stated form and some independent linear forms L_1, \dots, L_n .

Proposition 5.11. *Assume that $B_H \neq 0$. Then $\text{rk } B_H = 1$ if and only if $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$ for some independent linear forms L_1, \dots, L_n .*

Proof. Recall that, since we are under the assumption that $B_H \neq 0$, there exists k such that $x_k \circ H \notin I^\perp$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x_n \circ H \notin I^\perp$. If $\text{rk } B_H = 1$, then any other row of B_H must be a multiple of row n . Therefore, for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, there exists $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $(x_i - \lambda_i x_n) \circ H \in I^\perp$. Take $L_n := x_k$ and $L_i := x_i - \lambda_i x_n$. It is clear that L_1, \dots, L_n are linearly independent and that $L_i \circ H \in I^\perp$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Moreover, $L_n^2 \circ H = x_k^2 \circ H \in \mathbf{m}^2 \circ \int_{\mathbf{m}^2} I^\perp \subseteq I^\perp$.

Reciprocally, let $B'_H = (\varrho_k^j)$ be the matrix of the coefficients of $\overline{L_k \circ H} = \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} \varrho_k^j \overline{F_j} \in \mathcal{L}_{A,1}$. By Lemma 5.8, since $B_H \neq 0$, then $B'_H \neq 0$. We are assuming that $\overline{L_1 \circ H} = \cdots = \overline{L_{n-1} \circ H} = 0$ but, since $B'_H \neq 0$, then $\overline{L_n \circ H} \neq 0$. It is clear that $\text{rk } B'_H = 1$ and hence, again by Lemma 5.8, $\text{rk } B_H = 1$. \square

Given $A = R/I$ such that $\text{gcl}(A) \geq 2$, we can identify any polynomial $H = \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i F_i + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i G_i$ with a point $[H]$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{L}_{A,2})$ by taking coordinates $(\alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_{h_1} : \beta_1 : \cdots : \beta_{h_2})$. Note that having $\text{gcl}(A) \geq 2$ ensures that some β_i is non-zero and hence it makes sure that $[H]$ is a well-defined point of the projective space.

On the other hand, by Equation (12), any minor of $B_H = (\rho_k^j)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in variables $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}$. Therefore, we can consider the ideal \mathfrak{b} generated by all order-2-minors of B_H in $\mathbf{k}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{h_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}]$. Note that $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ can be considered as a projective variety in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{L}_{A,2})$. Observe that $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{L}_{A,2})$ is a projective space over \mathbf{k} of dimension $h_1 + h_2 - 1$, hence we will denote it by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1}$.

Corollary 5.12. *Let $A = R/I$ be an Artin ring such that $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$. Then*

$$\text{MGC}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1}.$$

Proof. Note that $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ if and only if all order-2-minors of B_H vanish. In other words, if and only if $\text{rk } B_H \leq 1$. On the other hand, if $[H] \in \text{MGC}(A)$, then $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ is a cover of colength 2 of A . Hence $I^\perp = (L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H$ for some L_1, \dots, L_n and, by the previous proposition, $\text{rk } B_H = 1$. Therefore, $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$. \square

Note that the condition of $\text{rk } B_H = 1$ does not depend on L_1, \dots, L_n and does not provide any information about what choices satisfy the inclusion. In fact, we are only interested in knowing which are the particular L_n that meet the requirements. To that end, we fix $L_n = v_1 x_1 + \cdots + v_n x_n$ and choose linear forms $L_i = \lambda_1^i x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n^i x_n$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, such that L_1, \dots, L_n is a \mathbf{k} -basis of $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\lambda_i \cdot v = 0$, where $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $\lambda_i = (\lambda_1^i, \dots, \lambda_n^i)$. We recall that $\langle L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \{v_j x_i - v_i x_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$, which will be a useful fact in future propositions.

Define the following matrix:

$$C_{H,v} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^1 & \cdots & \rho_1^{h_1} & v_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho_n^1 & \cdots & \rho_n^{h_1} & v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 5.13. *Assume $B_H \neq 0$. $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$ if and only if $\text{rk } C_{H,v} = 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.11, $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$ if and only if $\text{rk } B_H = 1$. Therefore, we need to prove that $\text{rk } C_{H,v} = 1$ if and only if $\text{rk } B_H = 1$.

On one hand, from $\text{rk } C_{H,v} = 1$ and $B_H \neq 0$ we directly deduce that $\text{rk } B_H = 1$. On the other hand, if $\text{rk } B_H = 1$, then we have $\text{rk } C_{H,v} = 1$ if and only if $\begin{vmatrix} \rho_k^j & v_k \\ \rho_l^j & v_l \end{vmatrix} = 0$ for any $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq h_1$. Let us check that these minors indeed vanish. Since

$\langle L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \{v_l x_k - v_k x_l\}_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$, then $v_l(x_k \circ H) - v_k(x_l \circ H) \in I^\perp$ for any $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. But

$$v_l(x_k \circ H) - v_k(x_l \circ H) = b + \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} (v_l \rho_k^j - v_k \rho_l^j) F_j \in I^\perp, \quad \text{for some } b \in I^\perp,$$

hence $v_l \rho_k^j - v_k \rho_l^j = 0$. □

Definition 5.14. *We say that $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is an admissible vector of H if $v \neq 0$ and $v_l \rho_k^j - v_k \rho_l^j = 0$ for any $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq h_1$.*

Lemma 5.15. *Given a polynomial H of the previous form:*

- (i) *there always exists an admissible vector $v \in \mathbf{k}^n$ of H ;*
- (ii) *if $w \in \mathbf{k}^n$ such that $w = \lambda v$, with $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, then w is an admissible vector of H ;*
- (iii) *the admissible vector of H is unique up to multiplication by elements of \mathbf{k}^* .*

Proof. (i) The existence of v is deduced directly from the construction of $L_n = v_1 x_1 + \dots + v_n x_n$ in Proposition 5.13.

(ii) Clearly $w = \lambda v \neq 0$ and $w_l \rho_k^j - w_k \rho_l^j = \lambda(v_l \rho_k^j - v_k \rho_l^j) = 0$.

(iii) Since we can assume that $B_H \neq 0$, there exists $\rho_k^j \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq h_1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. We will first prove that $v_k \neq 0$. Suppose that $v_k = 0$. By Definition 5.14, there exists $v_i \neq 0$, $i \neq k$, and $v_i \rho_k^j - v_k \rho_i^j = 0$. Then $v_i \rho_k^j = 0$ and we reach a contradiction. Consider now $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ admissible with respect to H . From $\rho_k^j v_l - \rho_l^j v_k = 0$ and $\rho_k^j w_l - \rho_l^j w_k = 0$, we get $v_l = (\rho_l^j / \rho_k^j) v_k$ and $w_l = (\rho_l^j / \rho_k^j) w_k$. Set $\lambda_l := \rho_l^j / \rho_k^j$. For any $1 \leq l \leq n$, with $l \neq k$, from $v_l = \lambda_l v_k$ and $w_l = \lambda_l w_k$, we deduce that $w_l = (w_k / v_k) v_l$. Hence $w = \lambda v$, where $\lambda = w_k / v_k$, and any two admissible vectors of H are linearly dependent. □

We now want to provide a geometric interpretation of pairs of polynomials and admissible vectors and describe the variety where they lay. On one hand, as a consequence of Lemma 5.15, we can identify an admissible vector v with a point $[v]$ of the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $(v_1 : \dots : v_n)$. On the other hand, recall that the entries ρ_j^k of matrix B_H can be regarded as polynomials in variables $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}$ and coefficients in \mathbf{k} , by Equation (12). Then consider the ideal generated in $\mathbf{k}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{h_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}, v_1, \dots, v_n]$ by polynomials of the form

$$(13) \quad \rho_k^j \rho_m^l - \rho_k^l \rho_m^j, \quad 1 \leq k < m \leq n, 1 \leq j < l \leq h_1$$

and

$$(14) \quad v_l \rho_k^j - v_k \rho_l^j, \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq h_1.$$

It can be checked that all these polynomials are bihomogeneous polynomials in the sets of variables $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{h_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}\}$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Therefore this ideal defines a variety in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1}$ the points of which satisfy the following equations:

$$(15) \quad \rho_k^j \rho_m^l - \rho_k^l \rho_m^j = 0, \quad 1 \leq k < m \leq n, 1 \leq j < l \leq h_1;$$

$$(16) \quad v_l \rho_k^j - v_k \rho_l^j = 0, \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq h_1.$$

Definition 5.16. We denote by \mathfrak{c} the ideal in $\mathbf{k}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{h_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}, v_1, \dots, v_n]$ generated by all order 2 minors of $C_{H,v}$. We denote by $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$ the variety defined by \mathfrak{c} in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1}$.

Lemma 5.17. With the previous definitions, the set of points of $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$ is

$$\{([H], [v]) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1} \mid [H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b}) \text{ and } v \text{ admissible with respect to } H\}.$$

Proof. Consider a point $[p]$ in $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1}$. Then $[p] = ([p_\beta], [p_v])$, with homogeneous coordinates $[p_\beta] = (\alpha_1 : \dots : \alpha_{h_1} : \beta_1 : \dots : \beta_{h_2}) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1}$ and $[p_v] = (v_1 : \dots : v_n) \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1}$.

On one hand, the coordinates of $[p_\beta]$ satisfy Equation (15), which are precisely the conditions required to have $[p_\beta] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$. On the other hand, coordinates of $[p_\beta]$ and $[p_v]$ satisfy Equation (16), hence $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is admissible with respect to the polynomial $H = \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i F_i + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i G_i$. Therefore, $([p_\beta], [p_v]) = ([H], [v])$ for some $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ and some v admissible.

Conversely, any pair of the form $([H], [v])$ with $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ and v admissible satisfy Equation (15) and Equation (16) by definition. \square

Lemma 5.18. Let π_1 be the projection map from $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1}$. Then,

- (i) $\pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})) = \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$;
- (ii) there is a one-to-one correspondence between points $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ and points $([H], [v]) \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$.

Proof. (i) Any element of $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$ is of the form $([H], [v])$ described in Lemma 5.17. Then $\pi_1([H], [v]) = [H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$. Conversely, given an element $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$, by Proposition 5.11 there exist independent linear forms L_1, \dots, L_n such that $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$. Hence, taking v as the vector of coefficients of L_n and applying Proposition 5.13, we get an admissible v with respect to H . Therefore, $[H] = \pi_1([H], [v]) \in \pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c}))$.

(ii) For any $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$, Lemma 5.15 applies to H and there always exists an admissible vector $v \in \mathbf{k}^n$ of H . By definition, $([H], [v]) \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$. By the same lemma, any admissible vector of H is of the form $w = \lambda v$, with $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^*$, and hence $[w] = [v] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1}$. Then the assignation $i_1([H]) = ([H], [v])$ is a well-defined map from $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ to $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$. Note that π_1 is the inverse map and hence we have a bijection. \square

From Corollary 5.12, we know that all covers $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ of colength 2 correspond to points $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ but, in general, not all points of $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ correspond to covers of $A = R/I$. Therefore, we need to identify and remove those $[H]$ such that $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H \not\subseteq I^\perp$.

As \mathbf{k} -vector space, $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H$ is generated by

- $(v_l x_k - v_k x_l) \circ H$, $1 \leq k < l \leq n$;
- $\underline{x}^\theta \circ H$, $2 \leq |\theta| \leq s+2$.

Since $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$, we can provide an explicit description of these generators with respect to the \mathbf{k} -basis b_1, \dots, b_t of I^\perp as follows:

$$(x_k v_l - x_l v_k) \circ H = \sum_{j=1}^t \left(v_l \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i \mu_j^{k,i} - v_k \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i \mu_j^{l,i} + v_l \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i \lambda_j^{k,i} - v_k \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i \lambda_j^{l,i} \right) b_j,$$

for $1 \leq l < k \leq n$, with $x_k \circ F_i = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_j^{k,i} b_j$ and $x_k \circ G_i = \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j^{k,i} b_j + \sum_{j=1}^{h_1} a_j^{k,i} F_j$, $\mu_j^{k,i}, \lambda_j^{k,i}, a_j^{k,i} \in \mathbf{k}$;

$$\underline{x}^\theta \circ H = \sum_{j=1}^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \mu_j^{\theta,i} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \lambda_j^{\theta,i} \beta_i \right) b_j,$$

where $2 \leq |\theta| \leq s+2$, $\underline{x}^\theta \circ F_i = \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_j^{\theta,i} b_j$ and $\underline{x}^\theta \circ G_i = \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j^{\theta,i} b_j$, $\mu_j^{\theta,i}, \lambda_j^{\theta,i} \in \mathbf{k}$.

We now define matrix $U_{H,v}$ such that its rows are the coefficients of each generator of $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H$ with respect to the \mathbf{k} -basis b_1, \dots, b_t of I^\perp :

	b_1	\dots	b_t
$(x_2 v_1 - x_1 v_2) \circ H$	$\varrho_{1,2}^1$	\dots	$\varrho_{1,2}^t$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$(x_n v_{n-1} - x_{n-1} v_n) \circ H$	$\varrho_{n-1,n}^1$	\dots	$\varrho_{n-1,n}^t$
$x_1^2 \circ H$	$\varsigma_{(2,0,\dots,0)}^1$	\dots	$\varsigma_{(2,0,\dots,0)}^t$
$x_1 x_2 \circ H$	$\varsigma_{(1,1,0,\dots,0)}^1$	\dots	$\varsigma_{(1,1,0,\dots,0)}^t$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$x_n^2 \circ H$	$\varsigma_{(0,\dots,0,2)}^1$	\dots	$\varsigma_{(0,\dots,0,2)}^t$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$x_n^{s+2} \circ H$	$\varsigma_{(0,\dots,0,s+2)}^1$	\dots	$\varsigma_{(0,\dots,0,s+2)}^t$

where

$$\varrho_{l,k}^j := v_l \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i \mu_j^{k,i} - v_k \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \alpha_i \mu_j^{l,i} + v_l \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i \lambda_j^{k,i} - v_k \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \beta_i \lambda_j^{l,i}$$

and

$$\varsigma_\theta^j := \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} \mu_j^{\theta,i} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} \lambda_j^{\theta,i} \beta_i.$$

It can be easily checked that the entries of this matrix are either bihomogeneous polynomials $\varrho_{l,k}^j$ in variables $((\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}), \underline{v})$ of bidegree $(1, 1)$ or homogeneous polynomials ς_θ^j in variables $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$ of degree 1. Let \mathfrak{a} be the ideal in $\mathbf{k}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{h_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{h_2}, v_1, \dots, v_n]$ generated by all minors of $U_{H,v}$ of order $t = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} I^\perp$. It can be checked that it is a bihomogeneous ideal in variables $((\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}), \underline{v})$, hence we can think of $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a})$ as a variety in $\mathbb{P}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Note that the variety can be described as follows:

$$\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a}) = \{([H], [v]) \in \mathbb{P}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid \text{rk } U_{H,v} < t\}.$$

Proposition 5.19. *Given $[H] \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ and $([H], [v]) \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$ its image by the bijection defined in Lemma 5.18, then*

$$[H] \in \text{MGC}(A) \iff ([H], [v]) \notin \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a}),$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.13, $([H], [v]) \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c})$ if and only if $(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H \subseteq I^\perp$, where $L_n = v_1 x_1 + \dots + v_n x_n$. Under such conditions, $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ is a cover if and only if $\dim_{\mathbf{k}}(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_n^2) \circ H = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} I^\perp = t$. In other words, $[H] \in \text{MGC}(A)$ if and only if $\text{rk } U_{H,v} = t$. \square

Corollary 5.20. *With the previous definitions,*

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{b}) \setminus \pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a})).$$

Proof. Consider $[H] \in MGC(A)$. Since $MGC(A) \subseteq \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{b})$ by Corollary 5.12, to prove the right inclusion it will be enough to check that $[H] \notin \pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a}))$. Suppose it is not true, that is, $[H] \in \pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a}))$. Note that the map i_1 of Lemma 5.18 is still the inverse of π_1 when restricted to $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a}) \subseteq \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c})$. Therefore,

$$i_1([H]) = ([H], [v]) \in i_1(\pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a}))) = \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a}).$$

But then $([H], [v]) \in \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a})$ and, by Proposition 5.19, $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ is not a cover, hence we reach a contradiction.

Conversely, if $[H]$ is in $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{b})$ but not in $\pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a}))$, then $([H], [v]) \notin \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a})$. But $([H], [v])$ is in $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c})$ by definition, hence the only possibility is that $([H], [v]) \notin \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a})$. Therefore, $[H] \in MGC(A)$ by Proposition 5.19. \square

Finally, let us recall the following result for bihomogeneous ideals:

Lemma 5.21. [3, Exercise 16, Section 8.5] *Let ideals \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} be as previously defined, $\mathfrak{d} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}$ the sum ideal and $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{h_1+h_2-1}$ be the projection map. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}$ be the projective elimination of the ideal \mathfrak{d} with respect to variables v_1, \dots, v_n . Then,*

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{a}) \cap \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{c})) = \mathbb{V}_+(\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}).$$

The output of Algorithm 3 can be interpreted as $MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(\mathbf{b}) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\widehat{\mathfrak{d}})$. Moreover, any point $[\alpha_1 : \dots : \alpha_{h_1} : \beta_1 : \dots : \beta_{h_2}] \in MGC(A)$ corresponds to a minimal Gorenstein cover $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ of colength 2 of A , where $H = \alpha_1 F_1 + \dots + \alpha_{h_1} F_{h_1} + \beta_1 G_1 + \dots + \beta_{h_2} G_{h_2}$.

Example 5.22. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2]]$ and $I = (x_1^2, x_1 x_2^2, x_2^4)$.

OUTPUT: $H = a_1 y_2^4 + a_2 y_1 y_2^2 + a_3 y_1^2 + b_1 y_1^2 y_2 + b_2 y_1 y_2^3 + b_3 y_2^5 + b_4 y_1^3$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_3 b_4, b_2 b_4)$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{d}} = (b_3 b_4, b_2 b_4, b_2^2 - b_1 b_3)$.

Then $MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_3 b_4, b_2 b_4) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(b_3 b_4, b_2 b_4, b_2^2 - b_1 b_3) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_3 b_4, b_2 b_4) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(b_2^2 - b_1 b_3)$. Note that if $b_3 b_4 = b_2 b_4 = 0$ and $b_4 \neq 0$, then both b_2 and b_3 are zero and the condition $b_2^2 - b_1 b_3 = 0$ always holds. Therefore,

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_4) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(b_2^2 - b_1 b_3) \simeq \mathbb{P}^5 \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(b_2^2 - b_1 b_3),$$

where $(a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3)$ are the coordinates of the points in \mathbb{P}^5 . Moreover, any minimal Gorenstein cover is of the form $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$, where

$$H = a_1 y_2^4 + a_2 y_1 y_2^2 + a_3 y_1^2 + b_1 y_1^2 y_2 + b_2 y_1 y_2^3 + b_3 y_2^5$$

satisfies $b_2^2 - b_1 b_3 \neq 0$. All such covers admit (x_1, x_2^2) as the corresponding K_H .

6. COMPUTATIONS

The first aim of this section is to provide a wide range of examples of the computation of the minimal Gorenstein cover variety of a local ring A . In [12], Poonen provides a complete classification of local algebras over an algebraically closed field of length equal or less than 6. Note that, for higher lengths, the number of isomorphism classes is no

Algorithm 3 Compute $MGC(A)$ of $A = R/I$ with $n \geq 2$ with $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$

Input: s socle degree of $A = R/I$; b_1, \dots, b_t \mathbf{k} -basis of the inverse system I^\perp ; $F_1, \dots, F_{h_1}, G_1, \dots, G_{h_2}$ an adapted \mathbf{k} -basis of $\mathcal{L}_{A,2}$; U_1, \dots, U_n contraction matrices of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}^2} I^\perp$.

Output: $H = \alpha_1 F_1 + \dots + \alpha_{h_1} F_{h_1} + \beta_1 G_1 + \dots + \beta_{h_2} G_{h_2}$ polynomial defining a minimal Gorenstein cover, \mathfrak{b} ideal such that $MGC(A) \subset \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}$ ideal defining the elements of $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b})$ not in $MGC(A)$.

Steps:

- (1) Set $H = \alpha_1 F_1 + \dots + \alpha_{h_1} F_{h_1} + \beta_1 G_1 + \dots + \beta_{h_2} G_{h_2}$, where $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}$ are variables in \mathbf{k} . Set column vectors $\mathbf{H} = (0, \dots, 0, \underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})^t$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^t$ in $R = \mathbf{k}[\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}, v]$, where the first t components of \mathbf{H} are zero.
 - (2) Build matrix $B_H = (\rho_i^j)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq h_1}$, where $U_i \mathbf{H}$ is the column vector $(\mu_i^1, \dots, \mu_i^t, \rho_i^1, \dots, \rho_i^{h_1}, 0, \dots, 0)^t$.
 - (3) Build matrix $C_{H,v} = (B_H \mid v)$ as an horizontal concatenation of B_H and the column vector v .
 - (4) Compute the ideal $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq R$ generated by all minors of order 2 of B_H .
 - (5) Build matrix $U_{H,v}$ as a vertical concatenation of matrices $(\varrho_{l,k}^j)_{1 \leq j \leq h_1, 1 \leq l < k \leq n}$ and $(\varsigma_\theta^j)_{2 \leq |\theta| \leq s+2, 1 \leq j \leq h_1}$, such that $(v_l U_k - v_k U_l) \mathbf{H} = (\varrho_{l,k}^1, \dots, \varrho_{l,k}^{h_1}, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ and $U^\theta \mathbf{H} = (\varsigma_\theta^1, \dots, \varsigma_\theta^{h_1}, 0, \dots, 0)^t$, with $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ and $2 \leq |\theta| \leq s+2$.
 - (6) Compute the ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ generated by all minors of order t of $U_{H,v}$ and the ideal $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{c} \subseteq R$.
 - (7) Compute $\widehat{\mathfrak{d}} \subseteq R' = \mathbf{k}[\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}]$, where $\widehat{\cdot}$ denotes the projective elimination of the ideal in R with respect to variables v_1, \dots, v_n .
 - (8) Compute the ideal $\mathfrak{b} := \widehat{\mathfrak{c}} \subseteq R'$.
-

longer finite. We will go through all algebras of Poonen's list and restrict, for the sake of simplicity, to fields of characteristic zero.

On the other hand, we also intend to test the efficiency of the algorithms by collecting the computation times. We have implemented algorithms 1, 2 and 3 of Section 5 in the commutative algebra software *Singular* [4]. The computer we use runs into the operating system Microsoft Windows 10 Pro and its technical specifications are the following: Surface Pro 3; Processor: 1.90 GHz Intel Core i5-4300U 3 MB SmartCache; Memory: 4GB 1600MHz DDR3.

6.1. Teter varieties. In this first part of the section we are interested in the computation of Teter varieties, that is, the $MGC(A)$ variety for local \mathbf{k} -algebras A of Gorenstein colength 1. All the results are obtained by running Algorithm 2 in *Singular*.

Example 6.1. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and $I = (x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, x_2^3, x_3^3)$. Note that $\text{HF}_A = \{1, 3, 2\}$ and $\tau(A) = 3$. The output provided by our implementation of the algorithm in *Singular* [4] is the following:

```
F;
a(4)*x(2)^3+a(1)*x(3)^3+a(6)*x(1)^2+a(5)*x(1)*x(2)+a(3)*x(1)*x(3)+a(2)*x(2)*x(3)
radical(a);
a(1)*a(4)*a(6)
```

We consider points with coordinates $(a_1 : a_2 : a_3 : a_4 : a_5 : a_6) \in \mathbb{P}^5$. Therefore, $MGC(A) = \mathbb{P}^5 \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(a_1 a_4 a_6)$ and any minimal Gorenstein cover is of the form $G = R / \text{Ann}_R H$, where $H = a_1 y_3^3 + a_2 y_2 y_3 + a_3 y_1 y_3 + a_4 y_2^3 + a_5 y_1 y_2 + a_6 y_1^2$ with $a_1 a_4 a_6 \neq 0$.

In Table 1 below we show the computation time (in seconds) of all isomorphism classes of local \mathbf{k} -algebras A of $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$ appearing in Poonen’s classification [12]. In this table, we list the Hilbert function of $A = R/I$, the expression of the ideal I up to linear isomorphism, the dimension $h - 1$ of the projective space \mathbb{P}^{h-1} where the variety $MGC(A)$ lies and the computation time. Note that our implementation of Algorithm 2 includes also the computation of the \mathbf{k} -basis of $\int_{\mathfrak{m}} I^\perp$, hence the computation time corresponds to the total.

HF(R/I)	I	h-1	t(s)
1, 2	$(x_1, x_2)^2$	2	0,06
1, 2, 1	$x_1 x_2, x_2^2, x_1^3$	2	0,06
1, 3	$(x_1, x_2, x_3)^2$	5	0,13
1, 2, 1, 1	$x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_2^4$	2	0,23
1, 2, 2	$x_1 x_2, x_1^3, x_2^3$	2	0,11
	$x_1 x_2^2, x_1^2, x_2^3$	2	0,05
1, 3, 1	$x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1^3$	5	0,16
1, 4	$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^2$	9	2,30
1, 2, 1, 1, 1	$x_1 x_2, x_1^5, x_2^2$	2	0,17
1, 2, 2, 1	$x_1 x_2, x_1^3, x_2^4$	2	0,09
	$x_1^2 + x_2^3, x_1 x_2^2, x_2^4$	2	0,1
1, 3, 1, 1	$x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1^4$	5	3,05
1, 3, 2	$x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2^2, x_2 x_3^2, x_3^3$	5	0,33
	$x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, x_2^3, x_3^3$	5	0,23
1, 4, 1	$x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_2 x_3, x_2 x_4, x_3 x_4, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2, x_1^3$	9	3,21
1, 5	$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)^2$	14	1,25

TABLE 1. Computation times of $MGC(A)$ of local rings $A = R/I$ with $\ell(A) \leq 6$ and $\text{gcl}(A) = 1$.

Note that Algorithm 2 also allows us to prove that all the other non-Gorenstein local rings appearing in Poonen’s list have Gorenstein colength at least 2.

6.2. Minimal Gorenstein covers variety in colength 2. Now we want to compute $MGC(A)$ for $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$. All the examples are obtained by running Algorithm 3 in *Singular*.

Example 6.2. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}\llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket$ and $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3)$. Note that $\text{HF}_A = \{1, 3, 1\}$ and $\tau(A) = 2$. The output provided by our implementation of the algorithm in *Singular* [4] is the following:

```
H;
b(10)*x(1)^3+b(7)*x(1)^2*x(2)+
+b(8)*x(1)*x(2)^2+b(9)*x(2)^3+
+b(1)*x(1)^2*x(3)+b(2)*x(1)*x(2)*x(3)+
+b(3)*x(2)^2*x(3)+b(4)*x(1)*x(3)^2+
+b(6)*x(2)*x(3)^2+b(5)*x(3)^3+
+a(5)*x(1)^2+a(4)*x(1)*x(2)+
+a(3)*x(2)^2+a(2)*x(1)*x(3)+
+a(1)*x(3)^2
radical(b);
_[1]=b(8)^2-b(7)*b(9)
_[2]=b(7)*b(8)-b(9)*b(10)
_[3]=b(6)*b(8)-b(4)*b(9)
_[4]=b(3)*b(8)-b(2)*b(9)
```

```

_[5]=b(2)*b(8)-b(1)*b(9)
_[6]=b(1)*b(8)-b(3)*b(10)
_[7]=b(7)^2-b(8)*b(10)
_[8]=b(6)*b(7)-b(4)*b(8)
_[9]=b(4)*b(7)-b(6)*b(10)
_[10]=b(3)*b(7)-b(1)*b(9)
_[11]=b(2)*b(7)-b(3)*b(10)
_[12]=b(1)*b(7)-b(2)*b(10)
_[13]=b(3)*b(6)-b(5)*b(9)
_[14]=b(2)*b(6)-b(5)*b(8)
_[15]=b(1)*b(6)-b(5)*b(7)
_[16]=b(2)*b(5)-b(4)*b(6)
_[17]=b(4)^2-b(1)*b(5)
_[18]=b(3)*b(4)-b(5)*b(8)
_[19]=b(2)*b(4)-b(5)*b(7)
_[20]=b(1)*b(4)-b(5)*b(10)
_[21]=b(2)*b(3)-b(4)*b(9)
_[22]=b(1)*b(3)-b(4)*b(8)
_[23]=b(2)^2-b(4)*b(8)
_[24]=b(1)*b(2)-b(6)*b(10)
_[25]=b(1)^2-b(4)*b(10)
_[26]=b(3)*b(5)*b(10)-b(6)^2*b(10)
_[27]=b(3)^2*b(10)-b(6)*b(9)*b(10)
_[28]=b(4)*b(6)^2-b(5)^2*b(8)
_[29]=b(6)^3*b(10)-b(5)^2*b(9)*b(10)
radical(d);
_[1]=b(8)^2-b(7)*b(9)
_[2]=b(7)*b(8)-b(9)*b(10)
_[3]=b(6)*b(8)-b(4)*b(9)
_[4]=b(3)*b(8)-b(2)*b(9)
_[5]=b(2)*b(8)-b(1)*b(9)
_[6]=b(1)*b(8)-b(3)*b(10)
_[7]=b(7)^2-b(8)*b(10)
_[8]=b(6)*b(7)-b(4)*b(8)
_[9]=b(4)*b(7)-b(6)*b(10)
_[10]=b(3)*b(7)-b(1)*b(9)
_[11]=b(2)*b(7)-b(3)*b(10)
_[12]=b(1)*b(7)-b(2)*b(10)
_[13]=b(3)*b(6)-b(5)*b(9)
_[14]=b(2)*b(6)-b(5)*b(8)
_[15]=b(1)*b(6)-b(5)*b(7)
_[16]=b(2)*b(5)-b(4)*b(6)
_[17]=b(4)^2-b(1)*b(5)
_[18]=b(3)*b(4)-b(5)*b(8)
_[19]=b(2)*b(4)-b(5)*b(7)
_[20]=b(1)*b(4)-b(5)*b(10)
_[21]=b(2)*b(3)-b(4)*b(9)
_[22]=b(1)*b(3)-b(4)*b(8)
_[23]=b(2)^2-b(4)*b(8)
_[24]=b(1)*b(2)-b(6)*b(10)
_[25]=b(1)^2-b(4)*b(10)
_[26]=b(3)*b(5)*b(10)-b(6)^2*b(10)
_[27]=b(3)^2*b(10)-b(6)*b(9)*b(10)
_[28]=b(4)*b(6)^2-b(5)^2*b(8)
_[29]=a(5)*b(3)*b(5)-a(5)*b(6)^2
_[30]=a(5)*b(3)^2-a(5)*b(6)*b(9)
_[31]=b(6)^3*b(10)-b(5)^2*b(9)*b(10)
_[32]=a(5)*b(6)^3-a(5)*b(5)^2*b(9)

```

We can simplify the output by using the primary decomposition of the ideal $\mathfrak{b} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{b}_i$. Then,

$$MGC(A) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b}_i) \right) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b}_i) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\widehat{\mathfrak{d}})).$$

Singular [4] provides a primary decomposition $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2$ that satisfies $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b}_2) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, we get

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_1, b_2, b_4, b_7, b_8, b_{10}, b_3b_6 - b_5b_9) \setminus (\mathbb{V}_+(a_5) \cup \mathbb{V}_+(-b_6^3 + b_5^2b_9, b_3b_5 - b_6^2, b_3^2 - b_6b_9)).$$

in \mathbb{P}^{14} . We can eliminate some of the variables and consider $MGC(A)$ to be the following variety:

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_3b_6 - b_5b_9) \setminus (\mathbb{V}_+(a_5) \cup \mathbb{V}_+(b_5^2b_9 - b_6^3, b_3b_5 - b_6^2, b_3^2 - b_6b_9)) \subset \mathbb{P}^8.$$

Therefore, any minimal Gorenstein cover is of the form $G = R / \text{Ann}_R H$, where

$$H = a_1y_3^2 + a_2y_1y_3 + a_3y_2^2 + a_4y_1y_2 + a_5y_1^2 + b_3y_2^2y_3 + b_5y_3^3 + b_6y_2y_3^2 + b_9y_2^3$$

satisfies $b_3b_6 - b_5b_9 = 0$, $a_5 \neq 0$ and at least one of the following conditions: $b_5^2b_9 - b_6^3 \neq 0$, $b_3b_5 - b_6^2 \neq 0$, $b_3^2 - b_6b_9 \neq 0$.

Moreover, note that $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c}) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c}_1) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a})$, where $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \mathfrak{c}_2$ is the primary decomposition of \mathfrak{c} and $\mathfrak{c}_1 = \mathfrak{b}_1 + (v_1, v_2b_5 - v_3b_6, v_2b_3 - v_3b_9)$. Hence, any K_H such that $K_H \circ H = I^\perp$ will be of the form $K_H = (L_1, L_2, L_3)$, where L_1, L_2, L_3 are independent linear forms in R such that $L_3 = v_2x_2 + v_3x_3$, with $v_2b_5 - v_3b_6 = v_2b_3 - v_3b_9 = 0$.

Example 6.3. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$ and $I = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3, x_2^2, x_3^2 - x_1^3)$. Note that $\text{HF}_A = \{1, 3, 1, 1\}$ and $\tau(A) = 2$. The output provided by our implementation of the algorithm in *Singular* [4] is the following:

```
H;
-b(10)*x(1)^4+b(9)*x(1)^2*x(2)+
+b(7)*x(1)*x(2)^2+b(8)*x(2)^3+
+b(6)*x(1)^2*x(3)+b(1)*x(1)*x(2)*x(3)+
+b(2)*x(2)^2*x(3)+b(3)*x(1)*x(3)^2+
+b(4)*x(2)*x(3)^2+b(5)*x(3)^3+
+a(5)*x(1)*x(2)+a(4)*x(2)^2+
+a(3)*x(1)*x(3)+a(2)*x(2)*x(3)+
+a(1)*x(3)^2
radical(b);
_[1]=b(8)*b(10)
_[2]=b(7)*b(10)
_[3]=b(4)*b(10)
_[4]=b(2)*b(10)
_[5]=b(1)*b(10)
_[6]=b(6)*b(8)-b(2)*b(9)
_[7]=b(7)^2-b(8)*b(9)
_[8]=b(6)*b(7)-b(1)*b(9)
_[9]=b(4)*b(7)-b(3)*b(8)
_[10]=b(3)*b(7)-b(4)*b(9)
_[11]=b(2)*b(7)-b(1)*b(8)
_[12]=b(1)*b(7)-b(2)*b(9)
_[13]=b(4)*b(6)-b(5)*b(9)
_[14]=b(2)*b(6)-b(4)*b(9)
_[15]=b(1)*b(6)-b(3)*b(9)
_[16]=b(4)^2-b(2)*b(5)
_[17]=b(3)*b(4)-b(1)*b(5)
_[18]=b(2)*b(4)-b(5)*b(8)
_[19]=b(1)*b(4)-b(5)*b(7)
_[20]=b(3)^2-b(5)*b(6)+b(3)*b(10)
_[21]=b(2)*b(3)-b(5)*b(7)
_[22]=b(1)*b(3)-b(5)*b(9)
_[23]=b(2)^2-b(4)*b(8)
_[24]=b(1)*b(2)-b(3)*b(8)
_[25]=b(1)^2-b(4)*b(9)
_[26]=b(5)*b(9)*b(10)
_[27]=b(3)*b(9)*b(10)
radical(d);
_[1]=b(8)*b(10)
_[2]=b(7)*b(10)
_[3]=b(4)*b(10)
_[4]=b(2)*b(10)
_[5]=b(1)*b(10)
_[6]=b(6)*b(8)-b(2)*b(9)
_[7]=b(7)^2-b(8)*b(9)
_[8]=b(6)*b(7)-b(1)*b(9)
_[9]=b(4)*b(7)-b(3)*b(8)
_[10]=b(3)*b(7)-b(4)*b(9)
_[11]=b(2)*b(7)-b(1)*b(8)
_[12]=b(1)*b(7)-b(2)*b(9)
_[13]=b(4)*b(6)-b(5)*b(9)
_[14]=b(2)*b(6)-b(4)*b(9)
_[15]=b(1)*b(6)-b(3)*b(9)
_[16]=b(4)^2-b(2)*b(5)
_[17]=b(3)*b(4)-b(1)*b(5)
_[18]=b(2)*b(4)-b(5)*b(8)
_[19]=b(1)*b(4)-b(5)*b(7)
_[20]=b(3)^2-b(5)*b(6)+b(3)*b(10)
_[21]=b(2)*b(3)-b(5)*b(7)
_[22]=b(1)*b(3)-b(5)*b(9)
_[23]=b(2)^2-b(4)*b(8)
_[24]=b(1)*b(2)-b(3)*b(8)
_[25]=b(1)^2-b(4)*b(9)
_[26]=b(5)*b(9)*b(10)
_[27]=b(3)*b(9)*b(10)
_[28]=a(4)*b(5)*b(10)
_[29]=a(4)*b(3)*b(10)
```

Singular [4] provides a primary decomposition $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2 \cap \mathfrak{b}_3$ such that $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b}) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}) = \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{b}_2) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\widehat{\mathfrak{d}})$. Therefore, we get

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_1, b_2, b_4, b_7, b_8, b_9, b_3^2 - b_5b_6 + b_3b_{10}) \setminus (\mathbb{V}_+(a_4) \cup \mathbb{V}_+(b_{10}) \cup \mathbb{V}_+(b_3, b_5)).$$

in \mathbb{P}^{14} . We can eliminate some of the variables and consider $MGC(A)$ to be the following variety:

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_3^2 - b_5b_6 + b_3b_{10}) \setminus (\mathbb{V}_+(a_4) \cup \mathbb{V}_+(b_{10}) \cup \mathbb{V}_+(b_3, b_5)) \subset \mathbb{P}^8.$$

Therefore, any minimal Gorenstein cover is of the form $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$, where

$$H = a_1y_3^2 + a_2y_2y_3 + a_3y_1y_3 + a_4y_2^2 + a_5y_1y_2 + b_3y_1y_3^2 + b_5y_3^3 + b_6y_1^2y_3 - b_{10}y_1^4$$

satisfies $b_3^2 - b_5b_6 + b_3b_{10} = 0$, $a_4 \neq 0$, $b_{10} \neq 0$ and either $b_3 \neq 0$ or $b_5 \neq 0$ (or both).

Moreover, note that $\mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c}) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{c}_2) \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(\mathfrak{a})$, where $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \mathfrak{c}_2 \cap \mathfrak{c}_3$ is the primary decomposition of \mathfrak{c} and $\mathfrak{c}_2 = \mathfrak{b}_2 + (v_2, v_1b_5 - v_3b_3 - v_3b_{10}, v_1b_3 - v_3b_6)$. Hence, any K_H such that $K_H \circ H = I^\perp$ will be of the form $K_H = (L_1, L_2, L_3^2)$, where L_1, L_2, L_3 are independent linear forms in R such that $L_3 = v_1x_1 + v_3x_3$, with $v_1b_5 - v_3b_3 - v_3b_{10} = v_1b_3 - v_3b_6 = 0$.

Example 6.4. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$ and $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1x_2)$. Note that $\text{HF}_A = \{1, 3, 2\}$ and $\tau(A) = 2$. Doing analogous computations to the previous examples, *Singular* provides the following variety:

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{P}^7 \setminus \mathbb{V}_+(b_2^2 - b_1b_3)$$

The coordinates of points in $MGC(A)$ are of the form $(a_1 : \cdots : a_4 : b_1 : b_2 : b_3 : b_4) \in \mathbb{P}^7$ and they correspond to a polynomial

$$H = b_1y_1^2y_3 + b_2y_1y_2y_3 + b_3y_2^2y_3 + b_4y_3^3 + a_1y_3^2 + a_2y_2^2 + a_3y_1y_2 + a_4y_1^2$$

such that $b_2^2 - b_1b_3 \neq 0$. Any $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ is a minimal Gorenstein cover of colength 2 of A and all such covers admit (x_1, x_2, x_3^2) as the corresponding K_H .

Example 6.5. Consider $A = R/I$, with $R = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]]$ and $I = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_1x_4, x_2x_3, x_2x_4)$. Note that $\text{HF}_A = \{1, 4, 1\}$ and $\tau(A) = 3$. Doing analogous computations to the previous examples, *Singular* provides the following variety:

$$MGC(A) = \mathbb{V}_+(b_6b_{10} - b_9b_{16}) \setminus (\mathbb{V}_+(d_1) \cup \mathbb{V}_+(d_2)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{12},$$

where $d_1 = (a_7a_9 - a_8^2)$ and $d_2 = (b_9^2b_{16} - b_{10}^3, b_6b_9 - b_{10}^2, b_6^2 - b_{10}b_{16})$. The coordinates of points in $MGC(A)$ are of the form $(a_1 : \cdots : a_9 : b_6 : b_9 : b_{10} : b_{16}) \in \mathbb{P}^{12}$ and they correspond to a polynomial

$$H = b_{16}y_3^3 + b_6y_3^2y_4 + b_{10}y_3y_4^2 + b_9y_4^3 + a_9y_1^2 + a_8y_1y_2 + a_7y_2^2 + a_6y_1y_3 + a_5y_2y_3 + a_4y_3^2 + a_3y_1y_4 + a_2y_2y_4 + a_1y_4^2$$

such that $G = R/\text{Ann}_R H$ is a minimal Gorenstein cover of colength 2 of A . Moreover, any K_H such that $K_H \circ H = I^\perp$ will be of the form $K_H = (L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4^2)$, where L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 are independent linear forms in R such that $L_4 = v_3x_3 + v_4x_4$, with $v_3b_9 - v_4b_{10} = v_3b_6 - v_4b_{16} = 0$.

As in the case of colength 1, we now provide a table for the computation times of $MGC(A)$ of all isomorphism classes of local \mathbf{k} -algebras A of length equal or less than 6 such that $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$.

$\text{HF}(R/I)$	I	t(s)
1, 3, 1	$x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2$	0,42
1, 2, 2, 1	$x_1^2, x_1x_2^2, x_2^4$	0,18
1, 3, 1, 1	$x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3, x_2^2, x_3^2 - x_1^3$	3,56
1, 3, 2	$x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_3^2, x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_1^3$	4,4
	$x_1x_2, x_3^2, x_1x_3 - x_2x_3, x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_1x_3$	1254,34
	$x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1^3$	3,33
	$x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3, x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2$	4,61
	$x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_1x_3 + x_2^2 - x_3^2$	4,09
	$x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_3^2$	0,45
1, 4, 1	$x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_1x_4, x_2x_3, x_2x_4$	242,28

TABLE 2. Computation times of $MGC(A)$ of local rings $A = R/I$ with $\ell(A) \leq 6$ and $\text{gcl}(A) = 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Ananthnarayan, *The Gorenstein colength of an Artinian local ring*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 9, 3438–3446.
- [2] H. Ananthnarayan, *Computing Gorenstein colength*, J. Commut. Algebra **1** (2009), no. 3, 343–359.
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little and D. O’Shea *Ideals, varieties and algorithms*, Second Edition, Springer, 1997, Undergraduate texts in mathematics, 0-387-94680-2.
- [4] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, *SINGULAR 4-0-1 –A computer algebra system for polynomial computations*, www.singular.uni-kl.de, 2014.
- [5] J. Elias, *INVERSE-SYST.LIB–Singular library for computing Macaulay’s inverse systems*, <http://www.ub.edu/C3A/elias/inverse-syst-v.5.2.lib>, arXiv:1501.01786, 2015.
- [6] J. Elias and R. Homs, *On low Gorenstein colength*, J. Algebra **513** (2008), no. 1, 368–387.
- [7] M. Elkadi and B. Mourrain, *Introduction à la résolution des systèmes polynomiaux*, Springer **59**, pp.307, 2007, Mathématiques et Applications, 978-3-540-71646-4.
- [8] J. Elias and M. Silva-Takatuji, *On Teter rings*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edim. **147 A** (2017), no. 1, 125–139.
- [9] J. Elias and G. Valla, *Structure theorems for certain Gorenstein ideals*, Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008), 269–292, Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster.
- [10] C. Huneke, A. Vraciu, *Rings which are almost Gorenstein*, Pacific J. Math. **225** (1) (2006), 85102.
- [11] B. Mourrain, *Isolated points, duality and residues*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra, Elsevier, **117-118** (1996), 469–493.
- [12] B. Poonen, *Isomorphism types of commutative algebras of finite rank over an algebraically closed field*, Computational arithmetic geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 463, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 111–120.
- [13] J. Sally, *Stretched Gorenstein rings*, J. London Math. Soc., **20**(2):19–26, 1979.
- [14] W. Teter, *Rings which are a factor of a Gorenstein ring by its socle*, Invent. Math. **23** (1974), 153–162.

JUAN ELIAS

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA
 FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES
 UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA
 GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `elias@ub.edu`

ROSER HOMS

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA
 FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES
 UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA
 GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `rhomspon7@ub.edu`

BERNARD MOURRAIN

AROMATH
 INRIA - SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS MÉDITERRANÉE
 2004 ROUTE DES LUCIOLES, 06902 SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: `bernard.mourrain@inria.fr`