HAL
open science

Optimality of linear optical Bell measurements. How much can ancillae help?

Andrea Olivo, Frédéric Grosshans

To cite this version:

Andrea Olivo, Frédéric Grosshans. Optimality of linear optical Bell measurements. How much can ancillae help?. ICIQP 2018 - International Conference on Integrated Quantum Photonics, Oct 2018, Paris, France. hal-01951728

HAL Id: hal-01951728

https://inria.hal.science/hal-01951728

Submitted on 11 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Optimality of linear optical Bell measurements

How much can ancillæ help?

Andrea Olivo[1,2], Frédéric Grosshans[1]

[1]INRIA Paris
[2]Laboratoire Aimé Cotton, Université Paris Saclay

The task: unambiguous Bell measurement

$$|\phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|00\rangle + |11\rangle\,\big) \qquad |\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|01\rangle + |10\rangle\,\big)$$

$$|\phi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|00\rangle - |11\rangle\,\big) \qquad |\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|01\rangle - |10\rangle\,\big)$$

Bell Measurement

Projective measurement on the Bell basis

Unambiguous

Outcome never wrong, but can fail with probability $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}}$

The task: unambiguous Bell measurement

$$|\phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|00\rangle + |11\rangle\,\big) \qquad |\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|01\rangle + |10\rangle\,\big)$$

$$|\phi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|00\rangle - |11\rangle\,\big) \qquad |\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\,|01\rangle - |10\rangle\,\big)$$

Bell Measurement

Projective measurement on the Bell basis

Unambiguous

Outcome never wrong, but can fail with probability $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}}$

Quantum teleportation, dense coding, entanglement swapping...

The framework: static linear optics

Why? Because...

- ...experimentally easier: no feedforward



The framework: static linear optics

Why? Because...

- ...experimentally easier: no feedforward
- ...simple mathematical framework to work with





State of the art: Bell measurement without ancilla

[Braunstein and Mann, 1995]

- Known $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{1}{2}$ scheme [Braunstein and Mann, 1995]
- Optimal, even with feedforward [Calsamiglia and Lütkenhaus, 2001]



$$|\psi^+\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1100\rangle + |0011\rangle)$$

$$|\psi^-\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1001\rangle - |0110\rangle)$$

$$|\phi^\pm\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{i}{2}(\,|2000\rangle \pm |0200\rangle + |0020\rangle \pm |0002\rangle)$$

Beyond 1/2

[Grice, 2011]

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{3}{4}$ with an extra $|\phi^+\rangle$ as ancilla
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} = 2^{-N}$ with GHZ-like states of $2^N - 2$ photons



Beyond 1/2

[Grice, 2011]

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{3}{4}$ with an extra $|\phi^+\rangle$ as ancilla
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} = 2^{-N}$ with GHZ-like states of $2^N - 2$ photons



[Ewert and van Loock, 2014]

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{3}{4}$ with 4 single photons
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{25}{32}$ with 12 single photons
- Cons: limited efficiency
  Pros: unentangled ancilla (for the two above)

Beyond 1/2

[Grice, 2011]

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{3}{4}$ with an extra $|\phi^+\rangle$ as ancilla
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} = 2^{-N}$ with GHZ-like states of $2^N - 2$ photons



[Ewert and van Loock, 2014]

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{3}{4}$ with 4 single photons
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{25}{32}$ with 12 single photons
- Cons: limited efficiency
  Pros: unentangled ancilla (for the two above)

What can we say about their optimality?

Our work

Ideally, we want an upper bound on $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ depending on the ancilla.

We provide:

Our work

Ideally, we want an upper bound on $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ depending on the ancilla.

We provide:

- An analytical bound for polarization-preserving interferometers

Our work

Ideally, we want an upper bound on $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ depending on the ancilla.

We provide:

- An analytical bound for polarization-preserving interferometers
- A thorough numerical search for generic (small) interferometers

Analytical upper bound

Overview of the proof



Input: $|\Psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = |\beta_i\rangle\,|\Upsilon\rangle$, with $|\Upsilon\rangle = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{k} v_\lambda\,|\Upsilon,\lambda\rangle$ a k-photon ancilla

Each $|\Upsilon,\lambda\rangle$ is a state with λ horizontally polarized photons

Overview of the proof



Output statistics unchanged under a projective measurement of the horizontally-polarized photon number of $|\Psi_{\text{out}}\rangle$

Overview of the proof



Measurement commutes $\Longleftrightarrow$ polarization-preserving network

Overview of the proof



$|\psi^+\rangle$, $|\psi^-\rangle$ and $|\phi^\pm\rangle$ are mapped in three orthogonal subspaces $\forall\lambda$

Overview of the proof



Non-orthogonal post-measurement states $|\Lambda^{\pm}\rangle$ corresponding to $|\phi^{+}\rangle$ and $|\phi^{-}\rangle$ can be discriminated with optimal $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} \leq 1 - |\langle\Lambda^{+}|\Lambda^{-}\rangle|$.

Upper bounds

Ancilla polarization-based upper bound:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\max_{\lambda \text{ even}} |v_\lambda|^2 + \max_{\lambda \text{ odd}} |v_\lambda|^2 \right) \tag{1}$$

(looser) Photon number-based upper bound:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} \geq \frac{1}{\lceil k+1 \rceil_{\text{even}}} \tag{2}$$

N.B. The Grice's schemes saturate both bounds!

Linear network optimizer

Polynomial representation

Second quantization, n-modes interferometer.

Input/output state $\longrightarrow$ polynomial in the input/output mode operators:

$$|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = P_{\text{in}}(a_1^\dagger, \ldots, a_n^\dagger)\,|0\rangle \qquad |\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = P_{\text{out}}(c_1^\dagger, \ldots, c_n^\dagger)\,|0\rangle$$

Action of the interferometer on $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle \longrightarrow$ unitary transformation U acting on the mode operators:

$$a_i^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}\, c_j^\dagger$$

Measurement of $|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle$ by an array of PNRD.
Detection event $\longrightarrow$ a configuration of clicks at the output.

The method

Task: maximize $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ over all possible n-modes interferometers, using k-photon ancilla $|\Upsilon\rangle$

The method

Task: maximize $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ over all possible n-modes interferometers, using k-photon ancilla $|\Upsilon\rangle$

1. For each $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = |\beta_i\rangle\,|\Upsilon\rangle \longrightarrow 2^{\text{nd}}$ quantization output polynomial

The method

Task: maximize $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ over all possible n-modes interferometers, using k-photon ancilla $|\Upsilon\rangle$

1. For each $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = |\beta_i\rangle\,|\Upsilon\rangle \longrightarrow 2^{\text{nd}}$ quantization output polynomial
2. Functions $p_i^e(U) \longrightarrow$ probability of detection event e for input β_i

The method

Task: maximize $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ over all possible n-modes interferometers, using k-photon ancilla $|\Upsilon\rangle$

1. For each $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = |\beta_i\rangle\,|\Upsilon\rangle \longrightarrow 2^{\text{nd}}$ quantization output polynomial
2. Functions $p_i^e(U) \longrightarrow$ probability of detection event e for input β_i

Above steps are automatic symbolic computation. Then:

The method

Task: maximize $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ over all possible n-modes interferometers, using k-photon ancilla $|\Upsilon\rangle$

1. For each $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = |\beta_i\rangle\,|\Upsilon\rangle \longrightarrow 2^{\text{nd}}$ quantization output polynomial
2. Functions $p_i^e(U) \longrightarrow$ probability of detection event e for input β_i

Above steps are automatic symbolic computation. Then:

3. Functions $p_i^e(U)$ are hardcoded in C

The method

Task: maximize $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ over all possible n-modes interferometers, using k-photon ancilla $|\Upsilon\rangle$

1. For each $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle = |\beta_i\rangle\,|\Upsilon\rangle \longrightarrow 2^{\text{nd}}$ quantization output polynomial
2. Functions $p_i^e(U) \longrightarrow$ probability of detection event e for input β_i

Above steps are automatic symbolic computation. Then:

3. Functions $p_i^e(U)$ are hardcoded in C
4. A f.o.m. $f(U)$ is numerically optimized over $U(n)$

An example: no ancilla on $|\phi^+\rangle$

$$n = 4,\ k = 0 \qquad P_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger + a_2^\dagger a_4^\dagger)$$

An example: no ancilla on $|\phi^+\rangle$

$$n = 4,\ k = 0 \qquad P_{\text{in}} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger + a_2^\dagger a_4^\dagger)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$P_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\sum_{j_1} u_{1j_1} c_{j_1}^\dagger\Big)\Big(\sum_{j_2} u_{3j_2} c_{j_2}^\dagger\Big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\sum_{j_3} u_{2j_3} c_{j_3}^\dagger\Big)\Big(\sum_{j_4} u_{4j_4} c_{j_4}^\dagger\Big)$$

An example: no ancilla on $|\phi^+\rangle$

$$n = 4,\ k = 0 \qquad P_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger + a_2^\dagger a_4^\dagger)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$P_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\sum_{j_1} u_{1j_1} c_{j_1}^\dagger\Big)\Big(\sum_{j_2} u_{3j_2} c_{j_2}^\dagger\Big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\sum_{j_3} u_{2j_3} c_{j_3}^\dagger\Big)\Big(\sum_{j_4} u_{4j_4} c_{j_4}^\dagger\Big)$$

$$\downarrow$$

2000	$u_{11}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{41}$
0200	$u_{12}u_{32} + u_{22}u_{42}$
0020	$u_{13}u_{33} + u_{23}u_{43}$
0002	$u_{14}u_{34} + u_{24}u_{44}$
1100	$(u_{11}u_{32} + u_{12}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{42} + u_{22}u_{41})/\sqrt{2}$
1010	$(u_{11}u_{33} + u_{13}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{43} + u_{23}u_{41})/\sqrt{2}$
1001	$(u_{11}u_{34} + u_{14}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{44} + u_{24}u_{41})/\sqrt{2}$
0110	$(u_{12}u_{33} + u_{13}u_{32} + u_{22}u_{43} + u_{23}u_{42})/\sqrt{2}$
0101	$(u_{12}u_{34} + u_{14}u_{32} + u_{22}u_{44} + u_{24}u_{42})/\sqrt{2}$
0011	$(u_{13}u_{34} + u_{14}u_{33} + u_{23}u_{44} + u_{24}u_{43})/\sqrt{2}$

An example: no ancilla on $|\phi^+\rangle$

$$n = 4,\ k = 0 \qquad P_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1^\dagger a_3^\dagger + a_2^\dagger a_4^\dagger)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$P_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\sum_{j_1} u_{1j_1} c_{j_1}^\dagger\Big)\Big(\sum_{j_2} u_{3j_2} c_{j_2}^\dagger\Big) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\sum_{j_3} u_{2j_3} c_{j_3}^\dagger\Big)\Big(\sum_{j_4} u_{4j_4} c_{j_4}^\dagger\Big)$$

$$\downarrow$$

2000	$u_{11}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{41}$
0200	$u_{12}u_{32} + u_{22}u_{42}$
0020	$u_{13}u_{33} + u_{23}u_{43}$
0002	$u_{14}u_{34} + u_{24}u_{44}$
1100	$(u_{11}u_{32} + u_{12}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{42} + u_{22}u_{41})/\sqrt{2}$
1010	$(u_{11}u_{33} + u_{13}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{43} + u_{23}u_{41})/\sqrt{2}$
1001	$(u_{11}u_{34} + u_{14}u_{31} + u_{21}u_{44} + u_{24}u_{41})/\sqrt{2}$
0110	$(u_{12}u_{33} + u_{13}u_{32} + u_{22}u_{43} + u_{23}u_{42})/\sqrt{2}$
0101	$(u_{12}u_{34} + u_{14}u_{32} + u_{22}u_{44} + u_{24}u_{42})/\sqrt{2}$
0011	$(u_{13}u_{34} + u_{14}u_{33} + u_{23}u_{44} + u_{24}u_{43})/\sqrt{2}$

Optimization: a case for symbolic computation

Why not using pen and paper for $p_i^e(U)$?

For (small!) $\frac{3}{4}$ Grice's scheme:

Total number of functions	1320
Independent	just 5

Optimization: a case for symbolic computation

Why not using pen and paper for $p_i^e(U)$?

For (small!) $\frac{3}{4}$ Grice's scheme:

Total number of functions	1320
Independent	just 5

But 2nd iteration, $\frac{7}{8}$:

Total number of functions	490314
Independent	22
Total number of terms	$1{,}8 \cdot 10^6$

Results

Ancilla: a single photon

Simplest type of ancilla, no known scheme

- Polarization-preserving bound predicts $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- Numerical search confirms the result

Ancilla: a single photon

Simplest type of ancilla, no known scheme

- Polarization-preserving bound predicts $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- Numerical search confirms the result

We find no advantage using just one extra photon.

More single, unentangled photons

k photons	Pol. Pres. bound	Num. search	Explicit scheme
2	5/8	5/8	5/8
4	3/4	3/4	3/4
6	13/16	3/4	—
8	25/32	—	49/64
12	13/16	—	25/32

More single, unentangled photons

k photons	Pol. Pres. bound	Num. search	Explicit scheme
2	5/8	5/8	5/8
4	3/4	3/4	3/4
6	13/16	3/4	—
8	25/32	—	49/64
12	13/16	—	25/32

just 2 single photons beat 50% limit!

The “half”-Ewert & van Loock scheme



Also independently found by Ewert & van Loock

Entangled ancillæ

Do entangled ancillæ help?

- Grice's one-Bell-pair: optimal $\frac{3}{4}$, by numerical search

Entangled ancillæ

Do entangled ancillæ help?

- Grice's one-Bell-pair: optimal $\frac{3}{4}$, by numerical search
- 2 Bell pairs: no better than one Bell pair
- 3 to N Bell pairs: out of reach with 12 cores, 256GB RAM cluster
  - Pol. pres. bound predicts $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}} \geq \frac{1}{k}$

Entangled ancillæ

Do entangled ancillæ help?

- Grice's one-Bell-pair: optimal $\frac{3}{4}$, by numerical search
- 2 Bell pairs: no better than one Bell pair
- 3 to N Bell pairs: out of reach with 12 cores, 256GB RAM cluster
  - Pol. pres. bound predicts $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}} \geq \frac{1}{k}$

Entangled ancillæ

Do entangled ancillæ help?

- Grice's one-Bell-pair: optimal $\frac{3}{4}$, by numerical search
- 2 Bell pairs: no better than one Bell pair
- 3 to N Bell pairs: out of reach with 12 cores, 256GB RAM cluster
  - Pol. pres. bound predicts $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fail}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}} \geq \frac{1}{k}$
- Grice's $\frac{7}{8}$ scheme needs $n = 16, k = 6$: barely out of reach

Conclusion

Achievements

What can we say on the optimal $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}}$ of unambiguous Bell measurement?

- Upper bound for polarization-preserving interferometers, saturated by known schemes
- Hybrid numerical/symbolical search
  - Confirms optimality of (some) known schemes
  - New 2-photon scheme with $\mathcal{P}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{5}{8}$
- Explored several ancillæ $\longrightarrow \frac{3}{4}$ stays the best (for small networks)

Thank you