HAL
open science

Fast waves and incompressible models

Herve Guillard

To cite this version:

Herve Guillard. Fast waves and incompressible models. Workshop on numerical and physical modelling in multiphase flows: a cross-fertilisation approach, Feb 2018, Paris, France. hal-01949646

HAL Id: hal-01949646

https://inria.hal.science/hal-01949646v1

Submitted on 10 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fast waves and incompressible models

Hervé Guillard

Université Côte d'Azur, Inria, CNRS, LJAD, France

Low Mach number flows

Compressible Euler equations :

$$\partial_t \rho + \text{ div } \rho \boldsymbol{u} + = 0 \qquad \rho = \boxed{\rho_*} \quad \rho$$

$$\partial_t \rho \boldsymbol{u} + \text{ div } \rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla p = 0 \qquad \boldsymbol{u} = \boxed{u_*} \quad \boldsymbol{u}$$

$$\partial_t p + \boldsymbol{u}.\nabla p + \rho a^2 \text{ div } \boldsymbol{u} + = 0 \qquad p = \boxed{\rho_* (a_*)^2} \quad p$$

$$x_i = \boxed{L_*} \; x_i; \quad t = \boxed{L_* / u_*} \; t \qquad \boxed{\varepsilon = u_* / a_*}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \rho + \text{ div } \rho \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \\ &\partial_t \rho \boldsymbol{u} + \text{ div } \rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla p = 0 \\ &\partial_t p + \boldsymbol{u}.\nabla p + \rho a^2 \text{ div } \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \end{aligned}}$$

Low Mach number flows

The incompressible limit

$$f = f_0 + M_* f_1 + M_*^2 f_2$$

- $\mathcal{O}(1/M_*^2)$: $\quad \nabla p_0 = 0$
  - if$\partial_t p_0 = 0 \rightarrow$ div $\boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0$
  - if$D\rho_0/Dt = 0 \rightarrow \rho_0 =$ constant
- $\mathcal{O}(1/M_*)$ same analysis
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\quad \rho_0 D\boldsymbol{u}_0/Dt + \nabla p_2 = 0$

Incompressible Euler equations

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \rho D\boldsymbol{u}/Dt + \nabla p = 0 \\ \text{div } \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \end{array}}$$

Low Mach number flows

The acoustic limit

Incompressible limit is **not** the unique low Mach limit of compressible eqs

- hidden assumption in incompressible asymptotic analysis
- time scale $t_* = L_*/u_*$: large time scale
- choose instead $t_* = L_*/a_*$: short time scale

$$\text{scaling becomes} \quad \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_t \rho + \ \text{div}\ \rho \boldsymbol{u} + = 0 \\[2ex] \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_t \rho \boldsymbol{u} + \ \text{div}\ \rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u} + \dfrac{1}{M_*^2}\nabla p = 0 \\[2ex] \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_t p + \boldsymbol{u}.\nabla p + \rho a^2\ \text{div}\ \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \end{cases}$$

First example : Low Mach number flows

Superposition incompressible + acoustics

Asymptotic analysis of the acoustic limit

$$f = f_0 + M_* f_1 + M_*^2 f_2$$

- $\mathcal{O}(1/M_*^2)$: $\quad \nabla p_0 = 0$
- $\mathcal{O}(1/M_*)$
  - $\partial_t p_0 = \partial_t p_0 = 0$
  - $\rho_0 \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla p_1 = 0$
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$: $\quad \partial_t p_1 + \rho_0 a_0^2 \nabla . \boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0$

Linear Acoustic equations

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\rho_0 \partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla p = 0 \\ &\partial_t p + \rho_0 a_0^2 \text{ div } \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \end{aligned}}$$

Incompressible + Acoustic superposition

Provisional conclusion (Intuition):

- General solution = Slow (incompressible) + fast (Acoustic) component
- Can we prove it ?
- Does acoustic-acoustic interactions are able to modify the dynamics of the incompressible
- Can we understand it ? component ?

Incompressible + Acoustic superposition

Very complex answer depending on

- Initial data
  - well-prepared initial data (initial data "close" to incompressible flow)
  - general initial data
- State Law
  - barotropic flow ($p = p(\rho)$)
  - $p = p(\rho, s)$: the sound speed (at first order) is NOT a constant
- Dissipative or not (Euler or NS)
- Boundary conditions
  - Whole space
  - Periodic BC
  - Closed vessel (slip bc or no-slip)

Incompressible + Acoustic superposition

Very complex answer depending on

- Initial data
  - well-prepared initial data (initial data "close" to incompressible flow)
  - general initial data
- State Law
  - barotropic flow ($p = p(\rho)$)
  - $p = p(\rho, s)$: the sound speed (at first order) is NOT a constant
- Dissipative or not (Euler or NS)
- Boundary conditions
  - Whole space
  - Periodic BC
  - Closed vessel (slip bc or no-slip)

Slow and fast limits of hyperbolic PDEs

Let $\boldsymbol{W} \in \boldsymbol{R}^N$ solution of the hyperbolic system with a large operator

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \sum_j [A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} C_j] \partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{W}(0, \boldsymbol{x}, \varepsilon) = \boldsymbol{W}_0(\boldsymbol{x}, \varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

What is the behavior of the solutions when $\varepsilon \to 0$?

Let $\boldsymbol{n}$ be a arbitrary direction, then some eigenvalues of $\sum_j n_j (A_j + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} C_j)$ are of the form $a_k + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} c_k \to \pm\infty$ while the others (kernel of $\sum_j n_j C_j$) are simply a_k

What is the behavior of the solutions when Slow and Fast waves co-exist ?

Singular limit of hyperbolic PDEs : Slow limit

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \sum_j A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, \varepsilon)\partial_{x_j}\boldsymbol{W} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sum_j C_j \partial_{x_j}\boldsymbol{W} = 0$$

$\mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{W} = \sum_j C_j \partial_{x_j}\boldsymbol{W}$ has to be $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$

Look for the solution as $\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{W}_0 + \varepsilon \boldsymbol{W}_1$ with $\mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{W}_0 = 0$, obtain :

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{W}_0 + \sum_j A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, \varepsilon)\partial_{x_j}\boldsymbol{W}_0 + \mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{W}_1 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

and the solutions converge to $\boldsymbol{W}_0$ defined by :

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{W}_0 = 0 \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{W}_0 + \mathbb{P}\sum_j A_j(\boldsymbol{W}_0, 0)\partial_{x_j}\boldsymbol{W} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\mathbb{P}$ projection on the kernel of $\mathbb{L}$

But the system has also a fast limit

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \sum_j A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, \varepsilon)\partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sum_j C_j \partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} = 0$$

Let us do the simple change of variable : $t = \varepsilon\tau$:

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_\tau \boldsymbol{W} + \sum_j A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, \varepsilon)\partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sum_j C_j \partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} = 0$$

and when $\varepsilon \to 0$ the limiting form becomes :

$$\partial_\tau \boldsymbol{W} + \sum_j C_j \partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} = 0$$

Solution are fast waves moving at velocity $\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}$

Singular limit of hyperbolic PDEs

Let $\boldsymbol{W} \in \boldsymbol{R}^N$ solution of the hyperbolic system with a large operator

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \sum_j [A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} C_j] \partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{W}(0, \boldsymbol{x}, \varepsilon) = \boldsymbol{W}_0(\boldsymbol{x}, \varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

What is the behavior of the solutions when $\varepsilon \to 0$?

How Slow and Fast waves co-exist ?
Why do we think that we can split the fast and slow phenomena ?

An Explicit linear example I

Consider the linear system

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \quad + \boldsymbol{a}.\nabla r \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} div \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} \quad + \boldsymbol{a}.\nabla \boldsymbol{u} \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla r = 0$$

Warm-up : Explicit linear example II

Compact form :

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{v} + \quad \mathbb{H}\boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad \mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{v} = 0$$

Notations :

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \boldsymbol{u} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \\ \nabla r \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathbb{H}\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{a}.\nabla \boldsymbol{v}$ is a constant velocity linear advection operator

In Fourier space

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}(\boldsymbol{k})}{\partial t} + i[\hat{\mathbb{H}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\hat{\mathbb{L}}\ (\boldsymbol{k})]\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \boldsymbol{k} \in Z^2 \tag{1}$$

where the matrix $\hat{\mathbb{H}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + 1/\varepsilon\hat{\mathbb{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ is equal to :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k} & k_1/\varepsilon & k_2/\varepsilon \\ k_1/\varepsilon & \boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k} & 0 \\ k_2/\varepsilon & 0 & \boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

This matrix is diagonalizable, its eigenvectors are :

$$s_1(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -k_1/\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid \\ -k_2/\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -k_2 \\ k_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$, \quad s_3(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ k_1/\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid \\ k_2/\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

with associated eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = \boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k} - \frac{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}{\varepsilon}$, $\lambda_2 = \boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k}$ and $\lambda_3 = \boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k} + \frac{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}{\varepsilon}$.

Note : $\hat{\mathbb{L}} s_2(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0$;in physical space $s_2(\boldsymbol{k})$ corresponds to constant density ($\nabla r = 0$) and div free vectors ($\nabla \, . \, \boldsymbol{u} = 0$)

Explicit linear example III

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}(\boldsymbol{k},t) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{r}(\boldsymbol{k},0) - \frac{k_1}{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}\hat{u}(\boldsymbol{k},0) - \frac{k_2}{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}\hat{v}(\boldsymbol{k},0))e^{-i(\boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k}-\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid/\varepsilon)t}s_1(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ +\frac{1}{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}(-k_2\hat{u}(\boldsymbol{k},0) + k_1\hat{v}(\boldsymbol{k},0))e^{-i\boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k}t}s_2(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{r}(\boldsymbol{k},0) + \frac{k_1}{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}\hat{u}(\boldsymbol{k},0) + \frac{k_2}{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}\hat{v}(\boldsymbol{k},0))e^{-i(\boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k}+\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid/\varepsilon)t}s_3(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{cases}$$

Explicit linear example IV

Fast oscillatory component $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_f(\boldsymbol{k}, t, t/\varepsilon)$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l} (\hat{r}(\boldsymbol{k},0) - \frac{k_1}{|\boldsymbol{k}|}\hat{u}(\boldsymbol{k},0) - \frac{k_2}{|\boldsymbol{k}|}\hat{v}(\boldsymbol{k},0))e^{-i(\boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k} - \frac{|\boldsymbol{k}|}{\varepsilon})t} s_1(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ + \\ (\hat{r}(\boldsymbol{k},0) + \frac{k_1}{|\boldsymbol{k}|}\hat{u}(\boldsymbol{k},0) + \frac{k_2}{|\boldsymbol{k}|}\hat{v}(\boldsymbol{k},0))e^{-i(\boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k} + \frac{|\boldsymbol{k}|}{\varepsilon})t} s_3(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{array}\right. \tag{4}$$

Explicit linear example V

Slow component belonging to the kernel of $\mathbb{L}$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_s(\boldsymbol{k},\tau) = \frac{1}{\mid \boldsymbol{k} \mid}(-k_2\hat{u}(\boldsymbol{k},0) + k_1\hat{v}(\boldsymbol{k},0))e^{-i\boldsymbol{a}.\boldsymbol{k}t}s_2(\boldsymbol{k})$$

This component belongs to the kernel of $\mathbb{L}$ and satisfies the incompressible system

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_s}{\partial t} + \mathbb{H}\boldsymbol{v}_s = 0 \\ \\ \mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{v}_s = 0 \end{cases}$$

Explicit linear example VI

What is the behavior of the solutions when $\varepsilon \to 0$?
For any ε the solution is composed of a superposition of fast and slow waves.
Does the solution converge toward something when $\varepsilon \to 0$?

- In a point-wise : NO : faster and faster oscillations
- In a weak sense (average or distribution) YES
  $e^{\pm i(\frac{|\boldsymbol{k}|}{\varepsilon})t} \to 0$
  thus the oscillatory part of the solution $\to 0$
  and the solutions converge (weakly) toward $\boldsymbol{v}_0$ that satisfies the incompressible system :

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_0}{\partial t} + \mathbb{H}\boldsymbol{v}_0 = 0 \\ \\ \mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{v}_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Is it true also for non-linear systems ?

Can we discard the fast component of the solution ?

- How to deal with non-linear interactions of the fast waves :
  non linear system contain quadratic terms e.g : $\mathcal{Q}(U,U) = (\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{v}$

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Slow}} + \boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{W}) &= \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Slow}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Slow}}) + \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Slow}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}}) + \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Slow}}) \\ &+\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}})\end{aligned}$$

Can we prove that non-linear interaction of fast waves : $\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}})$ is not important for the slow dynamics of the system ?

Is it true also for non-linear systems ?

Can we discard the fast component of the solution ?

- How to deal with non-linear interactions of the fast waves :
  non linear system contain quadratic terms e.g : $\mathcal{Q}(U,U) = (\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{v}$

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Slow}} + \boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Fast}}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{W}) &= \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Slow}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Slow}}) + \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Slow}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Fast}}) + \mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Fast}}\ ,\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Slow}}) \\ &+\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Fast}},\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Fast}})\end{aligned}$$

Can we prove that non-linear interaction of fast waves : $\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Fast}}\ ,\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{Fast}}\ )$ is not important for the slow dynamics of the system ?

Counter-example : Turbulence and Reynolds stresses !

Some notations

$$\text{The variables} \ : \quad \mathscr{V}^\varepsilon = (p^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{v})^t$$

$$\text{The equations} \ : \quad \partial_t \mathscr{V}^\varepsilon + \ \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon, \mathscr{V}^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ \mathbb{L}\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

$\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{V})$ is a non-linear operator (at most quadratic)

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{V}) = \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla) p \\ (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathbb{L}\mathscr{V}$ is the constant coefficient linear operator

$$\mathbb{L}\mathscr{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \\ \nabla p \end{pmatrix}$$

The proof strategy

S. Schochet, E. Grenier, P.L.Lions-N.Masmoudi, B. Desjardins...

1. Introduce a filtered variable $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon = \mathcal{F}\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon$ to remove the oscillations
2. Prove that the filtered variable $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon \to \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$ satisfying some equation $\partial_t \mathscr{V}^0 + \mathcal{H}(\mathscr{V}^0, \mathscr{V}^0) = 0$ with $\mathcal{H}$ time-independent.
3. Prove that the original variable $\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon \to \mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$
4. Since $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0 \to P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$ where P is the L^2 projection on the kernel of $\mathbb{L}$

Result

$$\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon \to \overline{\mathscr{V}} = P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0 \text{ and } \overline{\mathscr{V}} \text{ satisfies :}$$
$$\partial_t \overline{\mathscr{V}} + P\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{V}^0, \mathscr{V}^0) = 0$$

The wave operator $\mathbb{L}$

$$\mathbb{L}\mathscr{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \\ \nabla p \end{pmatrix}$$

- $L^2(\Omega) \times (L^2(\Omega))^2 = \mathrm{Ker}\mathbb{L} \oplus \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{L}$
  $\mathrm{Ker}\mathbb{L} = \{(p, \boldsymbol{v}); p = cte, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0\}$
  $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{L} = \{(p, \boldsymbol{v}); \int p = 0, \exists \Phi \boldsymbol{v} = \nabla \Phi\}$
- Spectrum of $\mathbb{L}$ on $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{L}$
  Let $\{\psi_k, k \geq 1\}$ the eigenvectors of the Laplace operator

$$-\Delta \psi_k = \lambda_k^2 \psi_k \qquad \lambda_k > 0$$

then the eigenvectors of $\mathbb{L}$ are :

$$\Phi_k^{\pm} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_k \\ \\ \pm \dfrac{\nabla \psi_k}{i\lambda_k} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{L}\Phi_k^{\pm} = \pm i\lambda_k \Phi_k^{\pm}$$

The solution operator $\mathcal{L}$ of the wave equation

Let $\mathcal{L}(t)$ be the group $(\mathcal{L}(t), t \in \boldsymbol{R})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \exp(-\mathbb{L}t) \tag{5}$$

In other words

$$\mathscr{V}(t,x) = \mathcal{L}(t)\mathscr{V}_0(x) \qquad \text{means that} \quad \frac{\partial \mathscr{V}}{\partial t} + \mathbb{L}\mathscr{V} = 0 \qquad \text{with} \quad \mathscr{V}(t=0,x) = \mathscr{V}_0(x)$$

Using the expression of the spectrum of $\mathbb{L}$ we can have an explicit representation of the solution operator $\mathcal{L}(t)$: Let P be the L^2 projection on $\mathrm{Ker}\mathbb{L}$

Expression of the solution at time t

$$\text{if} \quad \mathscr{V}(0) = P\mathscr{V}(0) + \sum_{k,\pm} a_k^{\pm}\Phi_k^{\pm} \quad \text{then} \quad \mathscr{V}(t) = P\mathscr{V}(0) + \sum_{k,\pm} \pm a_k^{\pm} e^{\pm i\lambda_k t}\Phi_k^{\pm}$$

$a_k^- = (a_k^+)^*$ conjugate (real functions)

Step 1 : Filtered variable $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon$

$$\partial_t \mathscr{V}^\varepsilon + \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon, \mathscr{V}^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{L}\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

$$\text{introduce the filtered variable } \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}(-t/\varepsilon)\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \exp(-\mathbb{L}t)$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{L}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon}{\partial t} &= \frac{\mathbb{L}}{\varepsilon}\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon + \mathcal{L}(-t/\varepsilon)\frac{\partial \mathscr{V}^\varepsilon}{\partial t} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{L}}{\varepsilon}\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon - \mathcal{L}(-t/\varepsilon)\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon) - \mathcal{L}(-t/\varepsilon)\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \\
&= -\mathcal{L}(-t/\varepsilon)\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)$ and $\mathbb{L}$ commute.

Initial data : $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon(t=0) = \mathscr{V}^\varepsilon(t=0)$ since $\mathcal{L}(0)$ is the identity

Limit Equation

Step 2 : Limit Equation for the filtered variable $\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}(-t/\varepsilon)\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

$\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0 = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^\varepsilon$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0}{\partial t} + \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0, \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0) = 0$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0, \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{L}(-t/\varepsilon)\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0, \mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0)$ is a time-independent operator whose expression can be computed explicitly (see next slides)

Step 3 : Go back to the unfiltered variable $\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon$

$$\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon \to \mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$$

Limit for the original variable

But we have

$$\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0 \to P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$$

since

$$\mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0 = \mathcal{L}(t/\varepsilon)(P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0 + \sum_{k,\pm} \pm a_k^{\pm} e^{\pm i\lambda_k t/\varepsilon}\Phi_k^{\pm}) \rightharpoonup P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$$

Final result : weak limit of $\mathscr{V}^\varepsilon = P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$ that satisfies

$$\frac{\partial P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0}{\partial t} + P\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0, \tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0) = 0$$

Explicit form of the limit equation for $P\tilde{\mathscr{V}}^0$

example : computation of the quadratic term $\mathcal{Q}(\boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}}, \boldsymbol{W}_{\text{Fast}}) = (\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{v} = (\boldsymbol{v})_j\partial_j\boldsymbol{v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathcal{L}_v(t/\varepsilon)Q\mathscr{V}\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{L}_v(t/\varepsilon)Q\mathscr{V} = \\
&\{\sum_k(a_k^+ e^{i\lambda_k t/\varepsilon} - a_k^- e^{-i\lambda_k t/\varepsilon})\frac{\nabla\psi_k}{i\lambda_k}\}_j\partial_j\{\sum_l(a_l^+ e^{i\lambda_l t/\varepsilon} - a_l^- e^{-i\lambda_l t/\varepsilon})\frac{\nabla\psi_l}{i\lambda_l}\} = \\
&\sum_{k,l}[-a_k^+ a_l^+ e^{i(\lambda_k+\lambda_l)t/\varepsilon} - a_k^- a_l^- e^{-i(\lambda_k+\lambda_l)t/\varepsilon}]\frac{1}{\lambda_k\lambda_l}(\nabla\psi_k)_j\partial_j(\nabla\psi_l) \\
&+\sum_{k,l}[a_k^- a_l^+ e^{i(\lambda_l-\lambda_k)t/\varepsilon} + a_k^+ a_l^- e^{i(\lambda_k-\lambda_l)t/\varepsilon}]\frac{1}{\lambda_k\lambda_l}(\nabla\psi_k)_j\partial_j(\nabla\psi_l)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}$ (distribution) of all the terms is 0 except when $k = l$ and we get :

$$
(\mathcal{L}_v(t/\varepsilon)Q\mathscr{V}\cdot\nabla)\mathcal{L}_v(t/\varepsilon)Q\mathscr{V} \to \sum_k[a_k^- a_k^+ + a_k^+ a_k^-]\frac{1}{\lambda_k^2}(\nabla\psi_k)_j\partial_j(\nabla\psi_k) = \sum_k \frac{|a_k^+|^2}{\lambda_k^2}\nabla(|\nabla\psi_k|^2/2)
$$

On the average (weak limit) fast k-waves interact with l-waves only if $k = l$ and the result is a gradient

the result of the interaction between fast waves and slow dynamics is a gradient !

Summary for single phase flows

When it goes well :
Weak limit of the solutions of compressible systems :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \sum_j A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, \varepsilon)\partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{W} = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{W}(0, \boldsymbol{x}, \varepsilon) = \boldsymbol{W}_0(\boldsymbol{x}, \varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

are the solutions of the incompressible system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \boldsymbol{W} + \mathbb{P}\sum_j A_j(\boldsymbol{W}, 0)\partial_{x_j} \boldsymbol{W} = 0 \\ \mathbb{L}\boldsymbol{W} = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{W}(0, \boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbb{P}\boldsymbol{W}_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ is the projection on $\ker(\mathbb{L})$.

In general for these systems :

decoupling between fast waves and slow dynamics

Some Comments on numerical approximation by upwind schemes

Continous Acoustic operator :

$$\mathbb{L}\mathscr{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \\ \nabla p \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathscr{V} \in Ker\mathbb{L} : p \equiv Constant \quad and \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$

Discrete Acoustic operator :

$$\mathbb{L}_h \mathscr{V}_h = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_l h \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_l . \boldsymbol{n}_{il} + \Delta_{il} p \\ p_l \boldsymbol{n}_{il} + \Delta_{il} U \boldsymbol{n}_{il} \end{pmatrix}$$

Some Comments on numerical approximation by upwind schemes

Discrete Acoustic operator :

$$\mathbb{L}_h \mathscr{V}_h = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_l h \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_l.\boldsymbol{n}_{il} + \Delta_{il} p \\ p_l + \Delta_{il} U \boldsymbol{n}_{il} \end{pmatrix}$$

can be rewritten :

$$\mathbb{L}_h \mathscr{V}_h = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_l h \begin{pmatrix} (\Delta_{il} p - \Delta_{il} U) \\ (\Delta_{il} p - \Delta_{il} U) \boldsymbol{n}_{il} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathbb{L}_h \mathscr{V}_h = 0$ can be considered as a system of $3 \times$ number of cells equations for the number of edges $/2$ variables $\Delta_{il} p - \Delta_{il} U$

There are Non zero solutions of this system

p is not a constant

Need to modify the artificial dissipation of upwind schemes.

Many different approaches : choose the one you like.

Some Comments and open questions on 2-phase flows

Well prepared initial data :

- Single Mach number : one velocity - one pressure model
  - 4 equations (homogeneous model)
  - 5 equations
- Formal asymptotic expansion : Existence of some Low Mach number model
- Two Mach numbers :
  - 6 equations : two velocities - one pressure model
  - 7 equations : two velocities - two pressures model
- Formal asymptotic expansion : much more complex : may depend on assumed relationship between the two Mach numbers

Some Comments and open questions on 2-phase flows

General initial data :

- Single phase flows : possible to separate acoustic from incompressible
- Two-phase flows : Is it possible (even for 4 equations model) ?
- Large operator is not a constant coefficient operator
- Speed of sound is not a constant
- Need to understand what is acoustic in a 2-phase system