Applicable Analysis



Space-time Trefftz-DG approximation for elasto-acoustics

Journal:	Applicable Analysis
Manuscript ID	GAPA-2018-0038
Manuscript Type:	Original Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Jan-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Barucq, Helene; Inria Centre de recherche Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, Magique-3D Inria-UPPA- CNRS-E2S Calandra, Henri; Total SA, Advanced Computing Diaz, Julien; Inria Centre de recherche Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, Magique-3D Inria-UPPA- CNRS-E2S Shishenina, Elvira; Inria Centre de recherche Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, Magique-3D Inria-UPPA- CNRS-E2S
Keywords:	Trefftz-DG method, elasto-acoustic system, space-time polynomial basis, numerical analysis
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:</a 	65M60, 35Q86, 35L05

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

9 10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33 34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55 56

To appear in *Applicable Analysis* Vol. 00, No. 00, Month 20XX, 1–16

Space-time Trefftz-DG approximation for elasto-acoustics

H. Barucq^a, H. Calandra^b, J. Diaz^a and E. Shishenina^{a,b} *

^a Magique-3D, Inria-UPPA-CNRS-E2S, Pau, France; ^b Total SA, Pau, France

 $(v0.0\ released\ January\ 2018)$

Discontinuous finite element methods have proven their numerical accuracy and flexibility, but they are still criticized for requiring high number of degrees of freedom for computation. There have been some advances with Hybridizable Discontinuous Galerkin (HDG) approximations but essentially in the time-harmonic regime, because the time integration requires implicit schemes.

Another possibility to explore seems to be Trefftz methods, which distinguish themselves by the choice of basis functions: they are local solutions of initial equation. Thus, in case of time-dependent problems, space-time meshes are required.

Classical Trefftz-type approximation uses the exact solutions of Acoustic System (AS) and Elastodynamic System (ES) taken with different frequencies, in order to obtain better numerical errors. We have computed a polynomial basis using the Taylor expansions of generating exponential functions which are the exact solutions of the initial acoustic and elastodynamic systems. This basis, compared to the classical one based on trigonometric functions, requires less degrees of freedom for the same level of accuracy.

In the present work, we develop the theory for coupled Elasto-Acoustic System (EAS). We confirm well-posedness of the problem, based on the a priory error estimates in mesh dependent norms. We consider a space-time polynomial basis to implement numerically the method and to perform some numerical experiments showing promising results.

Keywords: Trefftz-DG method; elasto-acoustic system; space-time polynomials

AMS Subject Classifications: 65M60; 35Q86; 35L05

1. Introduction

Wave reflection imaging for complex media can be effectively done by using advanced numerical methods (see [4]). In the context of the collaborative research program Depth Imaging Partnership between Inria and Total, team-project Magique-3D and Prospective Lab of Houston develop high-order numerical schemes based mostly on discontinuous finite element approximation of wave fields. This technique, known as Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method, is preferred because it takes into account geometrical and physical features of environment, and it is well-adapted for parallel computation [4, 38]. Recently it has been implemented for coupled elasto-acoustic problems, which led to the development of new propagators in time and frequency domains [39, 40].

However, when comparing to the conventional methods based on continuous approximations, the number of degrees of freedom required by DG method to achieve the same accuracy is significantly higher.

To avoid this difficulty, HDG methods have been developed and their integration into DIP is under way for both acoustic and elastic domains, with the possibility of numerical coupling (see [1] and references therein).

^{*}Email: elvira.shishenina@inria.fr

Applicable Analysis

Another idea to explore consists in using Trefftz approximation space, whose elements are themselves discrete local solutions of the AS and ES [2, 7]. By its construction, Trefftz methods reduce the number of degrees of freedom, since they require computing surface integrals only to solve the variational formulation. Thus, we may consider the advantages of Trefftz method compared to the standard ones, including better order of convergence, flexibility in the choice of basis functions, low dispersion, incorporation of wave propagation directions in the discrete space, adaptivity and local space-time mesh refinement [2, 7].

Trefftz type methods have been widely used with time-harmonic formulations by Farhat, Tezaur, Harari, Hetmaniuk (2003 - 2006) (see [30, 31]), Gabard (2007) (see [32]), Badics (2014) (see [36]), Hiptmair, Moiola, Perugia (2011 - 2013) (see [33–35]) and others, while studies are still limited for reproducing temporal phenomena. Only few papers are interested in Maxwell equations in time [23, 24, 26, 27], but they are mostly devoted to a theoretical analysis of the method, showing the convergence and stability, and numerical tests with plane waves approximation are restricted to 1D + time dimensional case.

Space-time Trefftz approximation by Lagrange multipliers for the second order formulation of the transient wave equation was explored in [25, 28].

Trefftz-DG method for the first-order transient acoustic wave equations in arbitrary space dimensions extending the one-dimensional scheme of Kretzschmar et al. [27] has been introduced in recent paper of Moiola and Perugia (2017) [29]. The authors propose a complete a priori error analysis in both mesh-dependent and mesh-independent norms.

In order to move on numerical simulations of geophysical phenomena and to consider more realistic applications, DIP aims to develop new approximation techniques, which retain DG based methods but operates the Trefftz approach.

In Section 2, we develop a theory for Trefftz-DG method applied to the coupled EAS. We give some a priori error estimates in mesh dependent norms, confirming the well-posedness of the problem. In Section 3, we propose an algorithm of implementation of the method. Some numerical results for 2D simulations will be presented by the end of the Section 3.

2. Space-time Trefftz-DG method

In this Section, we apply Trefftz-DG method for solving first-order elasto-acoustic wave propagation system. We refer to [42] for more theory and demonstrations for the acoustic, elastodynamic and elasto-acoustic problems.

$2.1. \quad Elasto-a coustic \ wave \ propagation \ system$

The elasto-acoustic coupling is motivated by its further numerical application. Indeed, it could be simpler to consider the acoustic media as a limit case of elasto-isotropic media. Thus, it might be possible to solve the elastodynamic problem with variable coefficients, and then, to treat the acoustic medium as a particular region of heterogeneous elastic medium with the shear modulus $\mu \equiv 0$ or very small [21].

However, from a practical point of view, this approach would require computing the six components of stress tensor, instead of the only necessary unknown, that corresponds to the pressure. Moreover, if the numerical code is based on a discretization by H^1 finite elements, the consideration of the limit case $\mu \equiv 0$ would

destroy the coercivity in H^1 norms, while a choice of very small μ would result in numerical artefacts, due to a slow S - waves appearance. An example of this phenomena has been described by Bossy in [16].

Methods, based on discontinuous finite element approximation, are basically welladapted to specifics of fluid-solid interaction problems. Taking into account the foreseen implementation of the numerical solution in the case of inverse problem, where the goal is to reconstruct media parameters, we consider a transmission problem between the first order elastodynamic and acoustic systems. In the elastodynamic case the problem is solved in terms of velocity-stress, while the acoustic case is considered in terms of velocity-pressure. This choice is seen as more adapted to the imaging conditions and provides straight-forwardly the quantities of interest for the inverse problem.

In this section we introduce EAS based on numerical coupling of proper ES and AS by the transmission conditions, and we develop a space-time Trefftz-DG formulation for the coupled problem. We provide some mesh-dependent error estimates confirming well-posedness of the problem.

2.1.1. Elastodynamic system

The Elastodynamic System of equations is based on three fundamental laws of continuum mechanics: movement equations, constitutive equations (Hook's law), and geometric equations (infinitesimal strain tensor definition) [14].

We introduce a global space-time domain $Q_S \equiv \Omega_S \times I$, which contains a bounded Lipschitz space domain $\Omega_S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a time interval $I \equiv [0, T]$.

The Lamé coefficients $\lambda \equiv \lambda(x)$, $\mu \equiv \mu(x)$ and solid density $\rho_S \equiv \rho_S(x)$ are the solid parameters, assumed to be piecewise constant and positive.

We consider the first order ES in solid medium in terms of velocity $v_S \equiv v_S(x,t)$ and stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \equiv \boldsymbol{\sigma}(x,t)$ fields:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{C} \,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(v_S) = 0 \text{ in } Q_S, \\ \rho_S \frac{\partial v_S}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0 \text{ in } Q_S, \\ v_S(\cdot, 0) = v_{S0}, \ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\cdot, 0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \text{ in } \Omega_S, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{g}_{D_S} \text{ in } \partial \Omega_S \times I. \end{cases}$$

Here C is the elastic coefficient tensor (symmetrical and positive), $\varepsilon(v_S) = (\nabla v_S + \nabla v_S^T)/2$ is the infinitesimal strain tensor. The boundary conditions $g_{D_S} \equiv g_{D_S}(x,t)$, the velocity v_{s0} and the stress σ_0 are the initial data.

By symmetry and positivity of the tensor C, the application $\varepsilon \mapsto C \varepsilon$ is an isomorphism in the symmetrical tensor space [14]. Thus, we may consider the corresponding inverse application A, verifying the same properties of symmetry and positivity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{A} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(v_S) &= 0 \text{ in } Q_S, \\
\rho_S \frac{\partial v_S}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= 0 \text{ in } Q_S, \\
v_S(\cdot, 0) &= v_{S0}, \ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\cdot, 0) &= \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \text{ in } \Omega_S, \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_S &= \boldsymbol{g}_{D_S} \text{ in } \partial \Omega_S \times I.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

2.1.2. Acoustic system

To consider an Initial Boundary Value Problem (IBVP) in fluid media, we introduce a global space-time domain $Q_F \equiv \Omega_F \times I$, where $\Omega_F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded Lipschitz space domain, and a time interval $I \equiv (0, T)$.

The fluid parameters $c_F \equiv c_F(x)$ and $\rho_F \equiv \rho_F(x)$, standing for the acoustic wave propagation velocity and fluid density respectively, piecewise constant and positive.

We consider the first order AS in terms of velocity $v_F \equiv v_F(x,t)$ and pressure $p \equiv p(x,t)$ fields:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c_F^2 \rho_F} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} v_F = f \text{ in } Q_F, \\ \rho_F \frac{\partial v_F}{\partial t} + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } Q_F, \\ v_F(\cdot, 0) = v_{F0}, \ p(\cdot, 0) = p_0 \text{ in } \Omega_F, \\ v_F = g_{D_F} \text{ in } \partial \Omega_F \times I. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The source function $f \equiv f(x,t)$, the boundary term $g_{D_F} \equiv g_{D_F}(x,t)$, and the velocity v_{F0} and pressure p_0 are the direct problem data.

2.1.3. Transmission conditions

The choice of the transmission conditions requires the continuity of velocity and stress normal components at the interface $\Gamma_{FS} \equiv \partial \Omega_F \cap \partial \Omega_S$. The shear stress must vanish at the interface, and the velocities aligned to the interface remain unconstrained [14, 15]:

$$\begin{cases} v_F \cdot n_{\Gamma_{FS}} = v_S \cdot n_{\Gamma_{FS}} \text{ at } \Gamma_{FS}, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} n_{\Gamma_{FS}} = -p n_{\Gamma_{FS}} \text{ at } \Gamma_{FS}, \end{cases}$$
(3)

where $n_{\Gamma_{FS}}$ is a unit normal vector to Γ_{FS} , outwardly directed to Ω_F . We will apply (3) to the in-coming and out-going flux terms at Γ_{FS} to couple acoustic and elastodynamic formulations.

2.2. Space-time DG formulation

Let $K_S \subset Q_S$, $K_F \subset Q_F$, and $n_{K_S} \equiv (n_{K_S}^x, n_{K_S}^t)$, $n_{K_F} \equiv (n_{K_F}^x, n_{K_F}^t)$ - outward pointing unit normal vectors on ∂K_S and ∂K_F respectively. We consider all media parameters to be constant in K_S , K_F . Unknowns v_S , $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and v_F , p are supposed to be in $H^1(K_S)$ and $H^1(K_F)$ respectively.

Multiplying the equations of (1) by the test functions $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\omega_S \in H^1(K_F)$, the equations of (2) by the test functions q and $\omega_F \in H^1(K_F)$ respectively, and integrating by part in time and space twice, we obtain:

Applicable Analysis gAPAguide

$$\begin{split} &-\int_{K_S} \Big[\boldsymbol{\sigma} : (\boldsymbol{A} \frac{\partial \, \boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\omega_S)) + v_S \cdot (\rho_S \frac{\partial \omega_S}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\xi}) \Big] d\mathbf{v} \\ &+ \int_{\partial K_S} \Big[\boldsymbol{A} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \boldsymbol{\xi} n_{K_S}^t - v_S \cdot n_{K_S}^x \boldsymbol{\xi} + \rho_S v_S n_{K_S}^t \cdot \omega_S - \boldsymbol{\sigma} n_{K_S}^x \cdot \omega_S \Big] d\mathbf{s} = 0, \\ &- \int_{K_F} \Big[p(\frac{1}{c_F^2 \rho_F} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \omega_F) + v_F \cdot (\rho_F \frac{\partial \omega_F}{\partial t} + \nabla q) \Big] d\mathbf{v} \\ &+ \int_{\partial K_F} \Big[\frac{1}{c_F^2 \rho_F} p n_{K_F}^t q + v_F \cdot n_{K_F}^x q + \rho_F v_F n_{K_F}^t \cdot \omega_F + p n_{K_F}^x \cdot \omega_F \Big] d\mathbf{s} = \int_{K_F} f q d\mathbf{v}. \end{split}$$

We introduce a non-overlapping mesh $\mathcal{T}_h \equiv \{K_F\} \cup \{K_S\}$ on Q.

Without losing generality with respect to the classical space DG methods, we start with the particular cases of meshes, whose elements are right prisms, with vertical sides parallel to time axis. All media parameters inside the elements K_F and K_S are supposed to be constant, so that all discontinuities lie on the interelement boundaries.

Since the space-time mesh has been introduced, the mesh skeleton $\mathcal{F}_h = [\cup_{K_S \in \mathcal{T}_h} \partial K_S] \cup [\cup_{K_F \in \mathcal{T}_h} \partial K_F]$ can be decomposed into families of element faces as follows [27]:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}} &= \text{ internal } \Omega\text{-faces in solid } (t \text{- fixed}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{S}} &= \text{ internal } I\text{-faces in solid } (x \text{- fixed}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{0_{S}} &= \text{ external initial time faces } (\Omega_{S} \times \{0\}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T_{S}} &= \text{ external final time faces } (\Omega_{S} \times \{T\}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{S}} &= \text{ external Dirichlet boundary faces } (\partial\Omega_{S} \times [0,T]), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}} &= \text{ internal } \Omega\text{-faces in fluid } (t \text{- fixed}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{F}} &= \text{ internal } I\text{-faces in fluid } (x \text{- fixed}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{0_{F}} &= \text{ external initial time faces } (\Omega_{F} \times \{0\}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T_{F}} &= \text{ external final time faces } (\Omega_{F} \times \{0\}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{F}} &= \text{ external final time faces } (\Omega_{F} \times \{T\}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{F}} &= \text{ external Dirichlet boundary faces } (\partial\Omega_{F} \times [0,T]), \\ \mathcal{F}_{h}^{F_{S}} &= \text{ internal fluid-solid interface faces } (\Gamma_{FS} \times [0,T]). \end{split}$$

To fix the notations, we define the averages $\{\!\!\{\cdot\}\!\!\}$, space normal jumps $[\![\cdot]\!]_x$ and time jumps $[\![\cdot]\!]_t$ between two elements $K_{F,S}^1$ and $K_{F,S}^2$ for piecewise-continuous scalar p, vector $v_{F,S}$ and tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ fields [4]:

$$\begin{split} \{p\} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} (p_{|K_F^1} + p_{|K_F^2}) & \text{on } \partial K_F^1 \cap \partial K_F^2 \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{I_F}, \\ [p]]_x &\equiv p_{|K_F^1} n_{K_F^1}^x + p_{|K_F^2} n_{K_F^2}^x & \text{on } \partial K_F^1 \cap \partial K_F^2 \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{I_F}, \\ [p]]_t &\equiv p_{|K_F^1} n_{K_F^1}^t + p_{|K_F^2} n_{K_F^2}^t & \text{on } \partial K_F^1 \cap \partial K_F^2 \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{\Omega_F}, \end{split}$$

Applicable Analysis

$$\begin{split} \{v_{F,S}\} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} (v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{1}} + v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{2}}) & \text{on } \partial K_{F,S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{F,S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{F}}, \\ [\![v_{F,S}]\!]_{x} &\equiv v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{1}} \cdot n_{K_{F,S}^{1}}^{x} + v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{2}} \cdot n_{K_{F,S}^{2}}^{x} & \text{on } \partial K_{F,S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{F,S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{F}}, \\ [\![v_{F,S}]\!]_{t} &\equiv v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{1}} n_{K_{F,S}^{1}}^{t} + v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{2}} n_{K_{F,S}^{2}}^{t} & \text{on } \partial K_{F,S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{F,S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}}, \\ [\![v_{F,S}]\!]_{t} &\equiv v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{1}} n_{K_{F,S}^{1}}^{t} + v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{2}} n_{K_{F,S}^{2}}^{t} & \text{on } \partial K_{F,S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{F,S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}}, \\ [\![v_{F,S}]\!]_{t} &\equiv v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{1}} n_{K_{F,S}^{2}}^{t} + \sigma_{|K_{S}^{2}} n_{K_{F,S}^{2}}^{t} & \text{on } \partial K_{F,S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{F,S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}}, \\ [\![v_{F,S}]\!]_{t} &\equiv v_{F,S|K_{F,S}^{1}} + \sigma_{|K_{S}^{2}} n_{K_{S}^{2}}^{t} & \text{on } \partial K_{S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{F}}, \\ [\![\sigma]\!]_{x} &\equiv \sigma_{|K_{1}^{1}} n_{K_{S}^{1}}^{t} + \sigma_{|K_{S}^{2}} n_{K_{S}^{2}}^{t} & \text{on } \partial K_{S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}}. \\ [\![\sigma]\!]_{t} &\equiv \sigma_{|K_{1}^{1}} n_{K_{S}^{1}}^{t} + \sigma_{|K_{S}^{2}} n_{K_{S}^{2}}^{t} & \text{on } \partial K_{S}^{1} \cap \partial K_{S}^{2} \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}}. \end{split}$$

We also use the superscripts "-" and "+" to refer to the interior and the exterior information respectively for each element [4].

The space-time DG discretization of the coupled problem consists in finding $(v_{Fh}, p_h, v_{Sh}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ such that, for all $K_F, K_S \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and for all $(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ it holds:

$$-\int_{K_{S}} \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} : (\boldsymbol{A} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\omega_{S})) + v_{Sh} \cdot (\rho_{S} \frac{\partial \omega_{S}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\xi}) \right] d\mathbf{v} \\ + \int_{\partial K_{S}} \left[\boldsymbol{A} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h} : \boldsymbol{\xi} n_{K_{S}}^{t} - v_{Sh}^{*} \cdot n_{K_{S}}^{x} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \rho_{S} v_{Sh}^{*} n_{K_{S}}^{t} \cdot \omega_{S} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h} n_{K_{S}}^{x} \cdot \omega_{S} \right] d\mathbf{s} = 0,$$

$$-\int_{K_F} \left[p_h (\frac{1}{c_F^2 \rho_F} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}\omega_F) + v_{Fh} \cdot (\rho_F \frac{\partial \omega_F}{\partial t} + \nabla q) \right] d\mathbf{v} \\ + \int_{\partial K_F} \left[\frac{1}{c_F^2 \rho_F} \hat{p}_h n_{K_F}^t q + \hat{v}_{Fh} \cdot n_{K_F}^x q + \rho_F \hat{v}_{Fh} n_{K_F}^t \cdot \omega_F + \hat{p}_h n_{K_F}^x \cdot \omega_F \right] d\mathbf{s} = \int_{K_F} f q d\mathbf{v},$$

where numerical fluxes \hat{v}_{Fh} , \hat{p}_h , \hat{v}_{Sh} , $\hat{\sigma}_h$ are defined on the mesh skeleton \mathcal{F}_h as following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{Fh} \\ \hat{p}_{h} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \{ v_{Fh} \} + \beta [p_{h}] \}_{x} \\ \{ p_{h} \} + \alpha [v_{Fh}] \}_{x} \end{pmatrix}$$
 on $\mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{F}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{Sh} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{h} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \{ v_{Sh} \} - \delta [\sigma_{h}] \}_{x} \end{pmatrix}$$
 on $\mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{S}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{Fh} \cdot n_{K_{F}}^{x} \\ \hat{p}_{h} n_{K_{F}}^{x} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g_{D_{F}} \cdot n_{K_{F}}^{x} \\ p_{h} n_{K_{F}}^{x} + \alpha (v_{Fh} - g_{D_{F}}) \cdot n_{K_{F}}^{x} \end{pmatrix}$$
 on $\mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{F}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_{S}}^{x} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{h} n_{K_{S}}^{x} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_{S}}^{x} - \delta (\sigma_{h} - g_{D_{S}}) n_{K_{S}}^{x} \\ g_{D_{S}} n_{K_{S}}^{x} \end{pmatrix}$$
 on $\mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{S}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{Fh} \\ \hat{p}_{h} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{Fh}^{-} \\ p_{h}^{-} \end{pmatrix}$$
 on $\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{Sh} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{h} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{Sh}^{-} \\ \sigma_{h}^{-} \end{pmatrix}$$
 on $\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{Fh} \\ \hat{p}_{h} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{Fh} \\ p_{h} \end{pmatrix}$$
 on $\mathcal{F}_{h}^{T_{F}}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{Sh} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{Sh} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{T_S},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{\hat{F}h} \\ \hat{p}_h \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{F0} \\ p_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{0_F},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{\hat{S}h} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{S0} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{0_S},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{\hat{F}h} \cdot n_{K_F}^x \\ \hat{p}_h n_{K_F}^x \\ v_{\hat{S}h} \cdot n_{K_S}^x \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h} n_{K_S}^x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_F}^x \\ p_h n_{K_F}^x + \alpha (v_{Fh} - v_{Sh}) \cdot n_{K_F}^x \\ v_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_S}^x - \delta (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h - p_h) n_{K_S}^x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_h^{FS}.$$

2.3. Trefftz-DG formulation

We define the Trefftz space in the elasto-acoustic medium, such that the chosen test functions ω_S , $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and ω_F , q satisfy respectively the elastodynamic and acoustic equations inside each element K_S and K_F :

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h) \equiv \left\{ (\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \subset H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)^4 \text{ s. t. } \rho_F \frac{\partial \omega_F}{\partial t} + \nabla q = \frac{1}{c_F^2 \rho_F} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} \omega_F = 0 \\ \text{in all } K_F \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \rho_S \frac{\partial \omega_S}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\xi} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\omega_S) = 0 \text{ in all } K_S \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}.$$

This choice of basis functions removes all volume integral terms in the DG formulation above. Thus Trefftz-DG formulation for EAS reads as:

Seek $(v_{Fh}, p_h, v_{Sh}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ s.t., for all $(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, it holds true:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}}} \left[\boldsymbol{A} \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}^{-} : \left[\boldsymbol{\xi} \right]_{t} + \rho_{S} v_{Sh}^{-} \left[\left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} \right]_{t} \right] d\mathbf{s} \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{S}}} \left[\left\{ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} \right\} \left[\left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} \right]_{x} + \left\{ v_{Sh} \right\} \right] \left[\boldsymbol{\xi} \right]_{x} - \gamma \left[v_{Sh} \right]_{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} \right]_{x} - \delta \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} \right]_{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\xi} \right]_{x} \right] d\mathbf{s} \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{S}}} \left[\boldsymbol{A} \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} : \boldsymbol{\xi} + \rho_{S} v_{Sh} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} \right] d\mathbf{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}}} \left[\boldsymbol{A} \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} : \boldsymbol{\xi} + \rho_{S} v_{Sh} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} \right] d\mathbf{s} \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{S}}} \left[v_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_{S}}^{x} \boldsymbol{\xi} - \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} : \boldsymbol{\xi} \right] d\mathbf{s} \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{F_{S}}} \left[v_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_{S}}^{x} \boldsymbol{\xi} - \delta (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} n_{K_{S}}^{x} - p_{h} n_{K_{S}}^{x}) \boldsymbol{\xi} - p n_{K_{S}}^{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} \right] d\mathbf{s} = \\ &\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}}} \left[\boldsymbol{A} \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} : \boldsymbol{\xi} + \rho_{S} v_{Sh} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} \right] d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{S}}} \left[\boldsymbol{g}_{D_{S}} n_{K_{S}}^{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{S} + \delta \boldsymbol{g}_{D_{S}} : \boldsymbol{\xi} \right] d\mathbf{s}, \\ &\int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}}} \left[\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}} p_{h}^{-} \left[\boldsymbol{q} \right]_{t} + \rho_{F} v_{Fh}^{-} \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{F} \right]_{t} \right] d\mathbf{s} \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{F}}} \left[\left\{ p_{h} \right\} \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{F} \right]_{x} + \left\{ v_{Fh} \right\} \left[\boldsymbol{q} \right]_{x} + \alpha \left[v_{Fh} \right]_{x} \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{F} \right]_{x} + \beta \left[p_{h} \right]_{x} \left[\boldsymbol{q} \right]_{x} \right] d\mathbf{s} \end{split}$$

Applicable Analysis

$$\begin{split} &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{T_{F}}} \left[\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}} p_{h} n_{K_{F}}^{t} q + \rho_{F} v_{Fh} n_{K_{F}}^{t} \cdot \omega_{F} \right] d\mathbf{s} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{0_{F}}} \left[\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}} p_{h} n_{K_{F}}^{t} q + \rho_{F} v_{Fh} n_{K_{F}}^{t} \cdot \omega_{F} \right] d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{F}}} \left[p n_{K_{F}}^{x} \cdot \omega_{F} + \alpha v_{Fh} \cdot \omega_{F} \right] d\mathbf{s} \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{FS}} \left[p_{h} n_{K_{F}}^{x} \cdot \omega_{F} + \alpha (v_{Fh} \cdot n_{K_{F}}^{x} - v_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_{F}}^{x}) \cdot \omega_{F} + v_{Sh} \cdot n_{K_{F}}^{x} q \right] d\mathbf{s} = \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{0_{F}}} \left[\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}} p_{h} q + \rho_{F} v_{Fh} \cdot \omega_{F} \right] d\mathbf{s} + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{D_{F}}} \left[\alpha \mathbf{g}_{D_{F}} \cdot \omega_{F} - \mathbf{g}_{D_{F}} \cdot n_{K_{F}}^{x} q \right] d\mathbf{s}, \end{split}$$

which can be rewritten, using bilinear $\mathcal{A}_{TDG}(\cdot; \cdot)$ and linear $\ell_{TDG}(\cdot)$ operators, as following:

Seek $(v_{Fh}, p_h, v_{Sh}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ s.t., for all $(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, it holds true:

$$\mathcal{A}_{TDG}((v_{Fh}, p_h, v_{Sh}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h); (\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi})) = \ell_{TDG}(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}).$$
(4)

2.4. Well-posedness

We refer to the estimates, obtained previously for the acoustic, elastic and coupled elasto-acoustic problems in [42]. The analysis is carried out in the framework developed in [27] for the time-dependent Maxwell problem.

We introduce two mesh-dependent norms $||| \cdot |||_{TDG}^2$ and $||| \cdot |||_{TDG^*}^2$ in $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} &|||(\omega_{F},q,\omega_{S},\boldsymbol{\xi})|||_{TDG}^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\| (\boldsymbol{A})^{1/2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \rho_{S}^{1/2} \left\| \omega_{S} \right\|_{t}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}})}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| \gamma^{1/2} \left\| \omega_{S} \right\|_{x}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \delta^{1/2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{x}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| (\boldsymbol{A})^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\| \rho_{S}^{1/2} \omega_{S} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \delta^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + 2 \left\| \delta^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \alpha^{1/2} \omega_{F} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}} \right)^{1/2} \left\| q \right\|_{t}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \rho_{F}^{1/2} \left\| \omega_{F} \right\|_{t}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| \beta^{1/2} \left\| q \right\|_{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{F}})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}} \right)^{1/2} q \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{T_{F}})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \rho_{F}^{1/2} \omega_{F} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{T_{F}})}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| \beta^{1/2} \left\| q \right\|_{x} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{1/2})}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| (\boldsymbol{A})^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{-} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S}})}^{2} + \left\| \delta^{-1/2} \left\| \omega_{S} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{I_{S}})}^{2} + \left\| \gamma^{-1/2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| \delta^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{S})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \left\| \omega_{F} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| (\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}})^{1/2} q^{-} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}})}^{2} + \left\| \beta^{-1/2} \left\| \omega_{F} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \left\| q \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \\ &+ \left\| (\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}})^{1/2} q^{-} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\Omega_{F}})}^{2} + \left\| \beta^{-1/2} \left\| \omega_{F} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \alpha^{-1/2} \left\| q \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} + \left\| \left\| \left(\frac{1}{c_{F}^{2} \rho_{F}}\right)^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S})}^{2} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{S}$$

Using these norms, the coercivity and continuity properties of bilinear $\mathcal{A}_{TDG}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and linear $\ell_{TDG}(\cdot)$ forms hold true:

$$\mathcal{A}_{TDG}((\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}); (\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi})) = |||(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi})|||^2_{TDG},$$

 $|\mathcal{A}_{TDG}((v_F, p, v_S, \boldsymbol{\sigma}); (\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}))| \leq 2 |||(v_F, p, v_S, \boldsymbol{\sigma})|||_{TDG^*} |||(\omega_F, q\omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi})|||_{TDG},$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{TDG}(v_F, p, v_S, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) &| \leq \sqrt{2} \left[\| \rho_F^{1/2} v_{F0} \|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{0_F})}^2 + \| (\frac{1}{c_F^2 \rho_F})^{1/2} p_0 \|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{0_F})}^2 \\ &+ \| \rho_S^{1/2} v_{S0} \|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{0_S})}^2 + \| \boldsymbol{A}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{0_S})}^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad (\mathbf{g}_{D_F} = \boldsymbol{g}_{D_S} \equiv 0), \end{aligned}$$

These estimates approve that the variational problem (4) admits a unique solution $(v_{Fh}, p_h, v_{Sh}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, with

$$|||(v_F - v_{Fh}, p - p_h, v_F - v_{Fh}, p - p_h)|||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||(v_F - \omega_F, p - q, v_S - \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\xi})|||_{TDG^*}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG} \leq \frac{3 \inf_{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}{(\omega_F, q, \omega_S, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)} |||_{TDG}}$$

3. Implementation of the method

In this Section we consider a simple example of a one-dimensional homogeneous medium, in order to better understand the method implementation, and we discuss the polynomial basis choice. In the end of the Secton we give some results of 2D simulations for the acoustic, elastodynamic and coupled elasto-acoustic problems.

3.1. Numerical algorithm

We choose a one-dimensional space domain $\Omega \equiv [x_L, x_R]$ and a time domain $I \equiv [0, T]$ so that $Q \equiv \Omega \times I$ represents a rectangle.

The uniform mesh \mathcal{T}_h on Q is composed of non-overlapping rectangular elements K^k , $k = 1, ... N_{el}$, $N_{el} = N_x \times N_t$, with edges parallel to the space and time axes, and equal to $\Delta x = (x_R - x_L)/N_x$ and $\Delta t = T/N_t$ respectively (see Figure 1).



Figure 1. Uniform rectangular mesh \mathcal{T}_h on Q. Element numbering.

Once we have defined the discrete approximation space, we can solve the problem inside each element K, communicating values at the boundaries ∂K by the incoming and out-going fluxes. Thus, the variational problem will be represented by a global algebraic linear system, with a global sparse matrix, of size equals to

Applicable Analysis

the total number of elements multiplied by the number of degrees of freedom per element $(N_t \times N_x \times \text{ndof})$. The inversion of a huge matrix, numerically speaking, is a very expensive process. In order to optimize the execution of the algorithm, we will solve the problem "layer by layer", considering final results, computed on the current time layer at time t, as initial values for the next layer at time $t + \Delta t$ (see Figure 2).



Figure 2. Uniform rectangular mesh \mathcal{T}_h on Q. Decomposing on layers.

It means, that inside each time slab we solve the formulation inside each element, taking into account in-coming and out-going fluxes from its two neighbours (left and right ones). Thus, the global matrix will have a block tridiagonal form, of size N_t times smaller than the original one (see Figure 3).



Figure 3. Global algebraic linear system with block tridiagonal matrix.

One more feature to reduce the numerical costs consists in computing space and time integrals on faces of one element of reference (unit square), and then, in projecting the computed values to all mesh elements (multiplying by Δx and Δt for space and time integration respectively in the case of rectangular mesh).

To sum up, we propose a short pseudo-code, which describes the algorithm of the method implementation. It is important to notice, that the main loop in time contains three steps:

1) computation of the approximation coefficients u for the numerical solution in the current time slab $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$;

2) computation of the intermediate numerical solutions v and p thanks to the approximation coefficients u at the end of the current time slab t_j , using t_{snap} function.

3) reinitialization of the initial values - computation of the right-hand side u0=0.5(v0+p0) at the beginning t_j of the next time slab $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$, thanks to the intermediate numerical solutions v and p from the step 2, using the L^2 -projection function l2proj.

Data: vt0, pt0	% initial velocity and pressure fields
c, rho, space, time	% domain parameters
dx, dt, nx, nt, ndf	% mesh and DG parameters
Result: v_tfin, p_tfin	% final velocity and pressure fields
Compute:	
$\overline{v0=l2pro}j(vt0, phi_v)$	% velocity and pressure linear terms at $t_0 = 0$
$p0=l2proj(pt0, phi_p)$	
u0=0.5(v0+p0)	% initial right-hand side
Μ	% global sparse matrix - left-hand side
$invM = M^{-1}$	% inversion of the global matrix
for $j = 1:nt$ do	
Compute:	
$\overline{\mathbf{u} = \mathrm{inv}\mathbf{M}^*\mathbf{u}0}$	% vector of the coefficients for approximate
	% solution in the time slab $[(j-1)dt, jdt]$
$v = tsnap(u, phi_v, t_i)$	% intermediate approximate solutions for velocity
$p = tsnap(u, phi_p, t_i)$	% and pressure fields at $t_i = j dt$
$v0 = l2 proj(v, phi_v)$	% intermediate velocity and pressure linear
$p0=l2proj(p, phi_p)$	$\%$ terms at $t_i = i dt$
u0=0.5(v0+p0)	% reinitialization of the right-hand side
end	
Compute:	
$\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{tin} = tsnap(\mathbf{u}, phi_v, t_n)$	% final approximate solutions for velocity and
$p_{tin} = tsnap(u, phi_p, t_{nt})$	$\%$ pressure fields at $t_{rt} = ntdt$
\mathbf{r} = $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p})$	

The idea to remove the last two steps, corresponding to the "projection" of the approximation coefficients to the numerical solution, is quite attractive, because it will provide a faster implementation of the code (we could reuse directly a vector of approximation coefficients computed in the previous slab). In order to explore this idea, we have used the algorithm based upon a high-order DG scheme, that arises after space semi-discretization of second order hyperbolic problems, proposed by Antonietti and Mazzieri in [37]. In this work, the authors derive an implicit arbitrarily high-order accurate time integration scheme based on DG spectral element approach. In contrast with finite difference time integration schemes, for which the solution at the current step depends upon the previous steps, time DG methods applied over time slabs $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ lead to a casual system in which the solution at the current time slab depends only upon the solution at t_j^- . By coupling DG discretization in both space and time leads to a fully space-time finite element formulation.

In order to adapt the developed scheme to the method, described by the authors [37], we had to replace the numerical fluxes \hat{v}_{Fh} , \hat{p}_h , \hat{v}_{Sh} , $\hat{\sigma}_h$ by the central ones, to obtain completely symmetrical formulation. Numerical tests of this model with symmetrical formulation gave positive results in the case, where initial conditions and zero source term have been imposed. The case with a non-zero source is still under study.

3.2. Polynomial basis

As we discussed in the Introduction, one of the advantages of Trefftz-type methods is the flexibility in the choice of basis functions. The main condition is to satisfy the Trefftz property inside each element. To execute the numerical simulations, we have computed a polynomial basis, defined in the element of reference, using generating exponential functions - local solutions of the initial systems of equations. We have extended the algorithm for computing wave-polynomials for second order transient wave equation, proposed by Maciag in [22], to the first order ES and AS of



Figure 4. 2D EAS ($\alpha = \beta = 0.5$). Propagation of numerical velocity v(x, t).

dimension one and higher. This basis contains the couples of polynomial functions for velocity - pressure in acoustics and velocity - stress in elastodynamics, of degrees less or equal to n (n = 0, 1, 2, 3), satisfying the Trefftz property, to provide n^{th} approximation order. We refer to the Appendix B of [42] for the polynomial basis examples for AS and ES.

3.3. Numerical tests

We present some numerical results obtained when applying the algorithm to the 2D elasto-acoustic problem (see more numerical tests in [42]).

It is worth noting that the currently developed code is a prototype MATLAB® version, which is, technically speaking, quite limited. This version has been created in order to explore the method and algorithm in general, and to perform some basic numerical tests for its validation. The development of a new high-performance software must be the next step. It will require the implementation of new propagators based on libraries, which have already been developed on the Carbon platform by Magique-3D and Total.

Wave propagation in coupled elasto-acoustic media is represented by the snapshots in the Figure 4.

The coupled fluid-solid medium is a unit square, and it contains two identical in form rectangular layers: acoustic on the top, and elastic at the bottom. The final time of propagation is T = 1. Medium parameters in acoustic media are $\rho_F = 1, c_F = 2$, in elastic media - $\rho_S = 1, \lambda = 1, \mu = 2$ (all parameters are nondimensionalized). We consider zero initial conditions, and introduce a source term in the middle of the acoustic layer. The source signal emitted at the source point src=(0.5,0.25) is represented by the first derivative of a Gaussian function, so that it takes approximately six elements per wavelength. Dirichlet conditions are imposed at the boundaries.

The numerics reproduce propagation characteristics that correspond perfectly to physical expectations. Even if the model is limited (large mesh of $30 \times 30 \times 30$ elements, Dirichlet boundaries, which causes many reflections), we can observe all type of waves (P, S - waves, incident, reflected waves and waves of P, S - head waves).

Figure 5 shows some results of convergence of the velocity in two-dimensional acoustic (a) and elastodynamic (b) cases.

The convergence curves have been computed for different approximation orders (n = 0, 1, 2, 3), and they represent the numerical error as a function of cell size in logarithmic scale. The convergence in both cases is of order higher than the corresponding approximation order.



Figure 5. Convergence of velocities v_S in elastodynamics and v_F in acoustics in function of cell size $h = \Delta x$.

4. Conclusion

We have applied the theory of Trefftz-DG method applied to the coupled Elasto-Acoustic System, we have studied the well-posedness of the problem, and proved error estimates in suitable mesh-dependent norms. The new space-time polynomial basis has been computed for numerical implementation of the method. We have developed a prototype code for 2D acoustic, elastodynamic, and elasto-acoustic problems, including th implementation of a source term, Dirichlet boundary conditions and rectangular mesh, which has been validated by the analytical solutions (see [42]). The convergence results are of higher order, compared to the classical DG methods. Moreover, Trefftz-DG variational formulation is simpler to compute, because it contains surface integration only, without any differential operators.

However, it is still early to discuss the performance of the code, before its optimization and paralleling. Thus, many directions are possible to explore, for both algorithmic and coding parts, such as: to continue studies of change-over between time layers in a case of implemented source [37], to study the optimal choice of penalty terms; to find the alternative to a global matrix inversion, which brings the main computational cost; to implement absorbing boundaries and perfectly matched layers for executing more proper simulations; to pass from simple rectangular meshes to more complicate (in space domain) forms - which is one of main advantages of Trefftz-DG methods. The last one gives the possibility of developing a hybrid method, based on numerical coupling of DG method in elastics, with Spectral Element Method (SEM) in acoustics, considering a Trefftz-DG transmission layer at the interface, in order to create software for a wider rane of applications.

The work, presented in this paper, is still under way. We have obtained very promising results, which deserve the development of a high-performance code, to be able to assess the method correctly.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Inria - Total SA strategic action "Depth Imaging Partnership" (http://dip.inria.fr)

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

References

- R.M. Kirby, S.J. Sherwin, and B. Cockburn. To CG or to HDG: A comparative study. J of Sci Comp 51 (2012).
- [2] I. Herrera. Trefftz method: a general theory. IIMAS Instituto de Investigaciones en Matematicas Aplicadas y en Sistemas (2000), 562–580.
- [3] O.C. Zienkiewicz. Trefftz type approximation and the generalized finite element method - history and development. Comp Ass Mech and Eng Sci 4 (1997), 305–316.
- [4] J.S. Hesthaven, T. Warburton. Nodal Discontinuous Galerkin methods. Algorithms, analysis, and applications. Texts in Applied Mathematics 54 (2007), 1–370.
- [5] A.C. Hidmarsh. Solution of block-tridiagonal systems of linear algebraic equations. Report UCID-30150, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory (1977).
- [6] O. Cassenat, B. Després. Application of an ultra-weak variational formulation of elliptic PDEs to the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation. SIAM J Numer Anal 35 (1998), 255–299.
- [7] E. Trefftz. Ein Gegenstuck zum Ritzschen Verfahren. Proc 2nd Int Cong Appl Mech Zurich (1926), 131–137.
- [8] D.N. Arnold, F. Brezzi, B. Cockburn, and D. Marini. Unified analysis of Discontinuous Galerkin methods for elliptic problems. SIAM J Numer Anal **39(5)** (2002), 1749–1779.
- [9] I. Babuska and M. Zlámal. Nonconforming elements in the finite element method with penalty. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 10(5) (1973), 863–875.
- [10] F. Bassi, S. Rebay. A high-order accurate discontinuous finite element method for the numerical solution of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. J Comp Phys 131 (1997), 267–279.
- [11] F. Bassi, S. Rebay, G. Mariotti, S. Pedinotti, and M. Savini. A high-order accurate discontinuous finite element method for inviscid and viscous turbomachinery flows. Proceeding of 2nd European Conference on Turbomachinery, Fluid Dynamics and Thermodynamics, Antwerpen, Belgium (1997), 99–108.
- [12] F. Brezzi, L.D. Marini. Virtual element and Discontinuous Galerkin methods in recent developments in Discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods for partial differential equations. Springer the IMA Volumes in Math and its Appl 157 (2014), 209–221.
- [13] C.E. Baumann, J.T. Oden. A discontinuous hp finite element method for convectiondiffusion problems. Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng 175 (1999), 311–341.
- [14] P. Le Tallec. *Modélisation et calcul des milieux continus*. Les éditions de l'Ecole Polytechnique (2008).
- [15] J. Diaz. Approches analytiques et numériques de problèmes de transmission et propagation d'ondes en régime transitoire. Application au couplage fluide-structure et aux méthodes de couches parfaitement adaptées. Thèse de Doctorat de l'Université Paris VI (2005).
- [16] E. Bossy. Evaluation ultrasonore de l'os cortical par transimission axiale: modélisation et expérimentation in vitro et in vivo. These de Doctorat de l'Université Paris VI (2003).
- [17] H. Barucq, R. Djelloui, and E. Estecahandy, Efficient DG-like formulation equipped with curved boundary edges for solving elasto-acoustic scattering problems. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 98 (2014), 747–780.
- [18] J. Jirousek. Basis for development of large finite elements locally satisfying all fields equations. Comp Meth Appl Mech Eng 14 (1978), 65–92.
- [19] N. Leon, J. Jirousek. A powerful finite element for plate bending. Comp Meth Appl Mech Eng, 12 (1977), 77–96.
- [20] T. Huttunen, P. Monk, and J.P. Kaipo. Computational aspects of the ultra-weak variational formulation. J Comput Phys 182 (2002), 27–46.
- [21] K. Aki, P.G. Richards. Quantitative seismology. (2002).
- [22] A. Maciag, J. Wauer. Solution of the two-dimensional wave equation by using wave polynomials. J Eng Math 51 (2006), 339–350
- [23] S. Petersen, C. Farhat, and R. Tezaur. A space-time Discontinuous Galerkin method for the solution of the wave equation in the time domain. Internat J Numer Methods Engrg 79 (2009), 275–295.

- [24] F. Kretzschmar, S.M. Schnepp, I. Tsukerman, and T. Weiland. Discontinuous Galerkin methods with Trefftz approximation. J Comp Appl Math 270 (2014), 211– 222.
- [25] D. Wang, R. Tezaur, and C. Farhat. A hybrid discontinuous in space and time Galerkin method for wave propagation problems. Int J Numer Methods Engrg 99 (2014), 263– 289.
- [26] H. Egger, F. Kretzschmar, S.M. Schnepp, and T. Weiland. A space-time Discontinuous Galerkin Trefftz method for the time dependent Maxwell's equations. SIAM J Sci Comput 37(5) (2015), B689–B711.
- [27] F. Kretzschmar, A. Moiola, and I. Perugia. A priori error analysis of spacetime Trefftz discontinuous Galerkin methods for wave problems. IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis, 36(4) (2016), 1599–1635.
- [28] L. Banjai, E. Georgoulis, and O. Lijoka. A trefftz polynomial space-time discontinuous galerkin method for the second order wave equation. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 55(1) (2017), 63–86.
- [29] A. Moiola, I. Perugia. A space-time Trefftz discontinous Galerkin method for the acoustic wave equation in first order formulation. Numer Math DOI 10.1007/s00211-017-0910-x (2017).
- [30] C. Farhat, I. Harari, and U. Hetmaniuk. A Discontinuous Galerkin method with Lagrange multipliers for the solution of Helmholtz problems in the mid-frequency regime. Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng 192 (2003), 1389–1419.
- [31] R. Tezaur, C. Farhat. Three-dimensional Discontinuous Galerkin elements with plane waves and Lagrange multipliers for the solution of mid-frequency Helmholtz problems. Int J Numer Methods Eng 66 (2006), 796–815.
- [32] G. Gabard. Discontinuous Galerkin methods and plane waves for time-harmonic problems. J Comput Phys 255 (2007), 1961–1984.
- [33] R. Hiptmair, A. Moiola, and I. Perugia. Plane wave Discontinuous Galerkin methods for the 2D Helmholtz equation: analysis of the p-version. SIAM J Numer Anal 49 (2011), 264–284.
- [34] A. Moiola, R. Hiptmair, and I. Perugia. Plane wave approximation of homogeneous Helmholtz solutions. Z Angew Math Phys 62(5) (2011), 809–837.
- [35] R. Hiptmair, A. Moiola, and I. Perugia. Error Analysis of Trefftz-discontinuous Galerkin methods for the time-harmonic Maxwell equations. Math Comp 82 (2013), 247–268.
- [36] Z. Badics. Trefftz-discontinuous Galerkin and finite element multi-solver technique for modeling time-harmonic EM problems with high-conductivity regions. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 50(2) (2014), 401–404.
- [37] P. Antonietti, I. Mazzierei. A high-order Discontinuous Galerkin approximation to ordinary differential equations with applications to elastodynamics. IMA J of Num Anal 162 (2016).
- [38] C. Baldassari, H. Barucq, H. Calandra, B. Denel, and J. Diaz. Performance analysis of a high-order Discontinuous Galerkin method application to the reverse time migration. Communications in Computational Physics, 11(2) (2012), 660–673.
- [39] H. Barucq, J. Diaz, R. Djellouli, and E. Estecahandy. *High-order Discontinuous Galerkin approximations for elasto-acoustic scattering problems.* (2015)
- [40] L.C. Wilcox, G. Stadler, C. Burstedde, and O. Ghattas. A high-order discontinuous Galerkin method for wave propagation through coupled elasticacoustic media, Comm in Comp Phys, Global Science Press, 229(24) (2010), 9373–9396.
- [41] J. Diaz. Gar6more2D (Analytical Solutions of Wave Propagation Problems in Stratified Media), https://gforge.inria.fr/projects/gar6more2d/
- [42] H. Barucq, H. Calandra, J.Diaz, and E. Shishenina. Space-Time Trefftz Discontinuous Galerkin Approximation for Elasto-Acoustics Research Report Inria RR-9104 (hal-01614126), (2017).
- [43] Misner CW. Efficient algorithms for layer assignment problems. In: Gottlob I, editor. Gravitation in a collapsing Universe. 2nd ed. San Francisco (CA): Freeman; 1973. p. 63–83. (Einstein's legacy; vol. 5).

1

2

3

4

5