Efficiency of Best Response Dynamics with High Playing Rates in Potential Games
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ABSTRACT
In this paper we design and analyze distributed best response dynamics to compute Nash equilibria in potential games. This algorithm uses local Poisson clocks for each player and does not rely on the usual but unrealistic assumption that players take no time to compute their best response. If this time (denoted δ) is taken into account, distributed best response dynamics (BRD) may suffer from overlaps: one player starts to play while another player has not changed its strategy yet. An overlap may lead to a decrease of the potential but we can show that they do not jeopardize eventual convergence to a Nash equilibrium. Our main result is to use a Markovian approach to show that the average execution time of the algorithm E[T_{BRD}] can be bounded: 25n log n/ log log n + O(n) ≤ E[T_{BRD}] ≤ 4e^7δn log n/ log log n + O(n), where γ is the Euler constant, n is the number of players and δ is the time taken by one player to compute its best response. These bounds are obtained by using an asymptotically optimal playing rate ˆλ. Our analytical bound shows that 25ˆλ = log log n − log C, where C is a constant. This induces a large probability of overlap (p = 1 − C/ log^{1/2} n). In practice, numerical simulations also show that using high playing rates is efficient, with an optimal probability of overlap p_{opt} ≈ 0.78, for n up to 250. This shows that best response dynamics are unexpectedly efficient to compute Nash equilibria, even in a distributed setting.
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1. POTENTIAL GAMES
A game \( \mathcal{G} \) is a triplet consisting of: a finite set of players \( N = \{1, \ldots, n\} \); a finite set \( A \) of actions (or pure strategies); the set of actions profiles or states of the game is \( A^N \) and the players’ payoff functions \( u_k : A^N → \mathbb{R} \).

The best response correspondence \( BR_k(x) \) is the set of actions maximizing the payoff for player \( k \) under state \( x \):

\[
BR_k(x) \text{ def } = \{ \arg \max_{a_k \in A} u_k(a_k; x_{-k}) \}.
\]

A Nash equilibrium (Nash equilibrium (NE)) is a fixed point of this correspondence, i.e., a profile \( x^* \) such that \( x^*_k \in BR_k(x^*) \) for every player \( k \).

Definition 1 (Potential games). A game is a (best response) potential game [2] if there exists a function \( \Phi : A^N → \mathbb{R} \) such that for any player \( k \) and action profile \( x \)

\[
BR_k(x) = \{ \arg \max_{a_k \in A} \Phi(a_k, x_{-k}) \}.
\]

The main property of best response in potential games is that each time a player plays its best response, the potential increases. This implies that repeating this for all players will eventually lead to a configuration where the potential cannot be improved, hence a Nash Equilibrium.

2. EXECUTION TIME OF DISTRIBUTED BEST RESPONSE DYNAMICS
We consider an algorithmic version of Best Response called Best Response Dynamics (BRD) in the following.

A revision sequence is an infinite sequence of players chosen according to some rule. The round robin sequence, i.e., the cyclic sequence \( 1, \ldots, n, 1, \ldots \) has been studied in [1]. Here, we consider a distributed version of the best response dynamics, given in Algorithm 1, where players act according to individual Poisson clocks with rate \( \lambda/n \).

Let \( \delta \) be the time taken by any player to compute its best response. If two players become active during a time interval smaller than \( \delta \), their plays overlap: one player starts to play before the previous one has yet updated its state. This implies that the best response of the second player may be corrupted. This may lead to a potential decrease. Convergence to a Nash equilibrium still holds with probability one: Using the Borel Cantelli Lemma, a sequence of plays of arbitrary length and with no overlap will eventually occur. Of course it may take a very long time before such an event occurs. We show next that this is not the case. On the contrary, the average execution time is surprisingly small: convergence occurs before all players have played 4 times.
Algorithm 1: Distributed BRD (for player \( k \))

1. **Input:** Game utilities \((u_k(\cdot))\); Initial state \((x := x(0))\);
2. Initialize \( t := 0 \); List of satisfied players \( L := \emptyset \);
3. Local clock, ticking w.r.t. a Poisson process with rate \( \lambda / n \);
4. while \( \text{size}(L) \neq n \) do
   5. on each tick of the local clock
   6. if \( k \not\in L \) then
   7. \( x_k = \text{BR}_k(x) \) then
   8. \( L := L \cup \{k\} \)
   9. else
   10. \( x_k := \text{BR}_k(x); L := \{k\} \)

**Randomization.** In the following we will randomize over the potential games over which Best Response Dynamics (BRD) is used. Since the behavior of BRD only depends on the potential function, we randomize directly over the potential \( \Phi \).

**Theorem 1.** Under rate \( \lambda \), the overlap probability is \( p = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \) and the expected execution time satisfies

\[
\mathbb{E}[T_{BRD}] \leq e^{-\lambda x}\left(n \log(n) + \frac{C}{1-p}\left(n + n^p + \frac{n^{2p-1}}{p(1-p)}\right)\right),
\]

where \( C \) is a constant, and \( \mathbb{E}[T_{BRD}] \geq n \log(n)/\lambda \). Choosing an adequate global playing rate \( 2\delta\lambda = \log(\log(n)) - \log(C) \), inducing an overlap probability \( \hat{p} = 1 - \frac{C}{\log^2(n)} \), the average execution time of BRD satisfies

\[
2\frac{\delta n \log(n)}{\log(\log(n))}(1+o(1)) \leq \mathbb{E}[T_{BRD}] \leq 4e^\gamma\frac{\delta n \log(n)}{\log(\log(n))}(1+o(1))
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the Euler constant.

**3. MARKOVIAN APPROXIMATION**

The direct analysis of the behavior of BRD is difficult because, over time, more and more states have been visited by the algorithm. Thus, its behavior is non-homogeneous in time. We introduce two successive simplifications whose behavior can be compared (using two different coupling schemes) with the best response dynamics over a potential game with \( n \) players.

Under the Intersection-Free approximation (IFA), every time a new player who is not satisfied (in other words, a player not in \( L \)) has to compute its best response in a state (say \( x \)), it compares \( \Phi(x) \) with the potential of its other strategies, as for the original BRD. In IFA, however, we will assume that none of these states have yet been visited during the previous steps of the algorithm. Under BRD, there is a chance that some of these states have already been visited. Under BRD, the potentials of all states are generated first, and the game is played given these values of the potentials. Under IFA, each time a state is (re)visited, its iid potential is (re)generated. Using an appropriate coupling between BRD and IFA, the expected convergence time of brd (\( \mathbb{E}T_{brd} \)) and of IFA (\( \mathbb{E}T_{ifa} \)) verify: \( \mathbb{E}T_{brd} \leq c_1\mathbb{E}T_{ifa} \), for some constant \( c_1 \).

We next construct the restart approximation (RST) by considering that whenever an overlap occurs, the potential is reset to a uniform potential in \([0,1]\), disregarding the previously obtained potentials. In other words, we restart from step 0. Again a coupling technique can be used to show that the time to reach a Nash equilibrium under RST is stochastically larger than under IFA.

The construction of the restart approximation ensures that the future behavior of the system only depends on its current state, namely the current potential and the list of satisfied players. Therefore, the convergence time of (RST) can be evaluated by modeling its behavior by a Markov chain over a hybrid state space (with a continuous and a discrete component). The expected hitting time of a Nash equilibrium for this Markov chain satisfies a Poisson equation. This Poisson equation can be transformed into an ordinary differential equation with an implicit initial condition whose solution can be computed in integral form. The derivation of the asymptotic behavior of this solution in \( n \) (the number of players) leads to Theorem 1.

**4. SENSITIVITY TO \( \lambda \)**

We have simulated the behavior of BRD over a large number of potential games whose potentials are generated uniformly over all possible potentials. These simulations are carried to assess tightness of our bound and to study the sensitivity of BRD to the value of its parameter \( \lambda \).

Our experiments show that \( \lambda_{opt} \) does not depend on \( n \): for any \( n \), \( 1/\delta\lambda_{opt} \approx 0.63 \) implying \( p_{opt} \approx 0.77 \). However it was very hard to get a good precision on \( \lambda_{opt} \). Even if these experiments took several days of computations over a modern desktop computer, with \( 1000 \) runs for each couple \((n, \lambda)\), our accuracy on the optimal value of \( \lambda_{opt}(n) \) is between 5\% and 10\%, depending on the value of \( n \).
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