

Synchronization of stochastic mean field networks of Hodgkin-Huxley neurons with noisy channels

Mireille Bossy, Joaquin Fontbona, Héctor Olivero Quinteros

▶ To cite this version:

Mireille Bossy, Joaquin Fontbona, Héctor Olivero Quinteros. Synchronization of stochastic mean field networks of Hodgkin-Huxley neurons with noisy channels. International Conference on Mathematical NeuroScience 2018, Jun 2018, Juan-les-Pins, France. hal-01883679

HAL Id: hal-01883679 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01883679v1

Submitted on 28 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ICMNS 2018 - ANTIBES Synchronization of stochastic mean field Note: Note: No



Mireille Bossy INRIA Sophia Antipolis Méditerranée, France. Mireille.Bossy@inria.fr Joaquín Fontbona DIM, Universidad de Chile, Chile. fontbona@dim.uchile.cl

Héctor Olivero

INRIA Sophia Antipolis Méditerranée, France. hector.olivero-quinteros@inria.fr

The model

Simulating the Model

Main Results

According to the Hodgkin-Huxley model, the dynamics of a neuron's voltage is the result of the passage of ions through its membrane. This ion flux occurs through specific proteins which act as gated channels.

Single Neuron Model

 V_t is the voltage of the neuron, m_t , h_t , $n_t \in [0, 1]$, are the proportions of open: activation Sodium channels, deactivation Sodium channels and activation Potassium channels respectively.

 $dV_t = F(V_t, m_t, n_t, h_t)dt$



Figure 1: Left: The evolution of the Voltage and Channels for one neuron. Right: The evolution of the Voltage of 100 neurons $J_{\rm E}$ small.

|--|

Assume (H) and that the initial condition is an exchangeable random vector.

a) **Synchronization.** There exist constants $J_{\rm E}^0 > 0$, $C_{\zeta,\rho}^0 > 0$ and

 $\lambda^0 > 0$ not depending on $N \ge 1$, $\sigma \ge 0$ or X_0 , and there exists a time $t_0 \ge 0$ not depending on $N \ge 1$ or $\sigma \ge 0$, such that for each $J_{\rm E} > J_{\rm E}^0$ the solution X of (2) satisfies, for every $t \ge t_0$ and each $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$:

 $dx_t = \left[\rho_x(V_t)(1-x_t) - \zeta_x(V_t)x_t\right] dt$

where, here and in the sequel, \boldsymbol{x} generically represents the m, n, h components and $F : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]^4 \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

 $F(V, m, n, h) = I - g_{\rm K} n^4 (V - V_{\rm K}) - g_{\rm Na} m^3 h (V - V_{\rm Na}) - g_{\rm L} (V - V_{\rm L}),$ (1)

represents the effect on the voltage of the ionic channels and of an external current I (assumed constant for simplicity).

Interaction: Synapses

We consider a population of N neurons $\{1, \ldots, i, \ldots, j, \ldots, N\}$, and two type of interactions:

Chemical Synapses: A neurotransmitter is released to the intercellular media from a pre-synaptic neuron to the post-synaptic one through synaptic channels.

With each neuron j we associate a new variable $y^{(j)} \in [0, 1]$ which represents its proportion of open synaptic channels at each time. Chemical synapses coming from neuron j induce on the voltage $V^{(i)}$ of the neuron i an instantaneous variation at time t of

$$-\frac{J_{\rm Ch}}{N}y_t^{(j)}(V_t^{(i)}-V_{\rm rev}),$$

where $J_{\rm Ch} \ge 0$ is the chemical conductance.

Electrical Synapses: Neurons directly connected with each other

Emergence of Synchrony

Numerical simulations show when increasing $J_{\rm E}$, the neurons synchronize. This phenomenon is independent of the number of neurons and the phase difference is only affected by the size of the noise.



Figure 2: Trajectories for the Voltage of 100 neurons under strong interaction and different level of noise: Left: $\sigma = 0.5$. Right: $\sigma = 1$.

As a measure of the synchronicity of the network we compute the empirical variance of Voltage of the system (2): $N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (V_t^{(i)} - \bar{V}_t^N)^2$, and to obtain statistically meaningful information, we perform Monte Carlo simulations to estimate the evolution of the expected value of this quantity for different values of N and σ .

Exepcted Value of the Emp	pirical Variance of the Voltage for $\sigma = 0.5$	Exepcted Value of the I	Empirical Variance of the Voltage for $\sigma = 1$
3500	N = 10	5500	N = 10
3000 -	N = 100 N = 1000	3000 -	N = 100 N = 1000
2500 -	<i>N</i> = 10000	2500 -	<i>N</i> = 10000
2000 -		2000 -	
1500 -		1500 -	
1000 -		1000 -	

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|X_t^{(i)} - \bar{X}_t^N|^2\right) \le \mathbb{E}\left(|X_{t_0}^{(i)} - \bar{X}_{t_0}^N|^2\right) e^{-\lambda^0(t-t_0)} + \sigma^2 \frac{\mathcal{O}\zeta,\rho}{\lambda^0}$$

In particular, $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(|X_t^{(i)} - \bar{X}_t^N|^2\right) \le \sigma^2 \frac{C_{\zeta,\rho}^0}{\lambda^0}.$

b) Synchronized dynamics. Assume $J_{\rm E} > J_{\rm E}^0$. Then, there are constant $K_0, K'_0 > 0$ depending only on the parameters of the voltage dynamics in (1) and, for each $\delta \ge 0$, constants $K_{\delta}, K'_{\delta} > 0$ depending on the coefficients in (2) and on δ (increasingly) but not on N, such that for every $t_1 \ge t_0$ and each $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$:

 $\sup_{t_1 \le t \le t_1 + \delta} \mathbb{E} \left(|X_t^{(i)} - \widehat{X}_t^{t_1, E}|^2 \right)$ $\le K_0 \wedge 2 \left[\left(K_0' e^{-\lambda_0 (t_1 - t_0)} + \sigma^2 \frac{C_{\zeta, \rho}^0}{\lambda^0} \right) (1 + \delta K_\delta) + \delta K_\delta' \frac{\sigma^2}{N} C_{\zeta, \rho}^0 \right]$

Theorem 2: Mean Field Limit

Assume (H) and that for all $N \geq 1$ the initial condition are i.i.d. random vectors with (compactly supported) common law $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R} \times [0,1]^4)$ not depending on N.

Consider the notations:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(w, z, v, u) &:= F(v, u_m, u_n, u_h) - J_{\mathrm{E}}(v - w) - J_{\mathrm{Ch}}z(v - V_{\mathrm{rev}}) \\ b_x(v, u) &:= \rho_x(v)(1 - u_x) - \zeta_x(v)u_x. \\ a_x(v, u) &:= (\rho_x(v)(1 - u_x) + \zeta_x(v)u_x)\chi(u_x) \\ \langle \mu \rangle &:= (\langle \mu^V \rangle, (\langle \mu^x \rangle)_{x = m, n, h, y}) \end{split}$$

a) There exists $(\mu_t : t \ge 0)$ in $C(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]^4))$ a global solution (in the sens of distribution) of the non linear McKean-Vlasov Fokker Planck equation

through an intercellular channel called gap junction.

Pre-synaptic neuron j contributes to the variation of the voltage of post-synaptic neuron i by the amount

 $-rac{J_{\rm E}}{N}(V_s^{(i)}-V_s^{(j)}),$

where $J_{\rm E} \ge 0$ is the electrical conductance.

Noise

To consider the intrinsic noise present in the ion and neurotransmitter channels we add a noise to the dynamic of the channels:

 $dx_t = \rho_x(V_t)(1 - x_t) - \zeta_x(V_t)x_tdt + \sigma_x(V_t, x_t)dW_t^x,$

where W^x , x = m, n, h, y are independent Brownian motions.

For the specific form of σ_x we refer to Pakdaman et al. [2] and the references therein, where the authors obtain a similar dynamic for the channels as the fluctuations of a Piecewise Deterministic Markov Process.

- Model for a Network

We consider the model for a network fully connected studied by Bossy et al. in [1]. The state of the neuron i = 1, ..., N will represented by $X_t^{(i)} = (V_t^{(i)}, m_t^{(i)}, n_t^{(i)}, h_t^{(i)}, y_t^{(i)})$, and we denote by

 $_{1}$ N $_{1}$ N





Figure 3: Time evolution of the expected empirical variance of the Voltage for different level of noise: Left: $\sigma = 0.5$. Right: $\sigma = 1$.

Partial Conclusion: The numerical evidence shows that for big enough $J_{\rm E}$ the neurons get synchronized, meaning that the empirical variance becomes small in mean. This phenomena seems independent of the size of the network.

Hypothesis (H)

1. ρ_x and ζ_x are strictly positive, locally Lipschitz continuous functions on \mathbb{R} . The function $\sigma_x : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are given by

 $\sigma_x(v,z) = \sigma \sqrt{|\rho_x(v)(1-z) + \zeta_x(v)z|} \chi(z),$

with χ : ℝ → [0,1] a Lipschitz continuous function with support contained in [0,1] and σ ≥ 0.
2. The *leak conductance* g_L is strictly positive.
3. One has (m₀⁽ⁱ⁾, n₀⁽ⁱ⁾, h₀⁽ⁱ⁾, y₀⁽ⁱ⁾) ∈ [0, 1]⁴ a.s.
4. The initial voltages are bounded uniformly in N:

 $\sup_{i=1,...,N} |V_0^{(i)}| \le V_0^{\max} \quad a.s.$

Reduce Synchronized Dynamics

$$\partial_{t}\mu_{t} = \partial_{v} \left(\Phi(\langle \mu_{t}^{V} \rangle, \langle \mu_{t}^{y} \rangle, \cdot, \cdot) \mu_{t} \right) \\ + \sum_{x=m,n,h,y} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \partial_{u_{x}u_{x}}^{2} (a_{x}\mu_{t}) - \partial_{u_{x}} (b_{x}\mu_{t}) \right)$$

with initial condition μ_0 .

b) For each T > 0, the process of empirical measures of the system (2) $(\mu_t^N = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{(i)}} : t \in [0, T])$ converges in law on $C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]^4))$, when N tends to infinity, to a deterministic and uniquely determined flow of probability measures $(\mu_t : t \in [0, T])$ defined on part a).

c) There is a constant C(T) > 0 depending on V_0^{\max} , T > 0 and on the coefficients of system (2), but not on N, such that

 $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_t^N,\mu_t)\right) \le C(T)N^{-2/5},$

where \mathcal{W}_2 is the Wasserstein distance of order 2.

Synchronization + Mean Field Limit -

Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 and for the same constants as in Theorem 1, whenever $J_{\rm E} > J_{\rm E}^0$ we have: a) For every $t \ge t_0$,



the empirical means for the voltage and the proportion of open neurotransmitter channels respectively.

The dynamics will be:

 $V_{t}^{(i)} = V_{0}^{(i)} + \int_{0}^{t} F(V_{s}^{(i)}, m_{s}^{(i)}, n_{s}^{(i)}, h_{s}^{(i)}) ds$ $- \int_{0}^{t} J_{E} (V_{s}^{(i)} - \bar{V}_{s}^{N}) - J_{Ch} \bar{y}_{s}^{N} (V_{s}^{(i)} - V_{rev}) ds,$ $x_{t}^{(i)} = x_{0}^{(i)} + \int_{0}^{t} \rho_{x} (V_{s}^{(i)}) (1 - x_{s}^{(i)}) - \zeta_{x} (V_{s}^{(i)}) x_{s}^{(i)} ds$ $+ \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{x} (V_{s}^{(i)}, x_{s}^{(i)}) dW_{s}^{x,i},$ (2)

where $(W^{x,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}, x = m, n, h, y)$ are one dimensional Brownian motions independent of each other and independent of the initial condition. For $t_1 \ge 0$, let $(\widehat{X}_t^{t_1}: t \ge t_1)$ denote the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{split} d\widehat{V}_t &= \left[F(\widehat{V}_t, \widehat{m}_t, \widehat{n}_t, \widehat{h}_t) - J_{\mathrm{Ch}}\widehat{y}_t(\widehat{V}_t - V_{\mathrm{rev}}) \right] dt, \\ d\widehat{x}_t &= \left[\rho_x(\widehat{V}_t)(1 - \widehat{x}_t) - \zeta_x(\widehat{V}_t)\widehat{x}_t \right] dt \end{split}$$

(3)

- Empirical Case

We denote $(\widehat{X}_{t}^{t_1,E}: t \ge t_1)$ the solution of (3) with random initial condition $\widehat{X}_{t_1}^{t_1,E} = \overline{X}_{t_1}^N := (\overline{V}_{t_1}^N, \overline{m}_{t_1}^N, \overline{n}_{t_1}^N, \overline{h}_{t_1}^N, \overline{y}_{t_1}^N).$

Mean Field Case

For $(\mu_t : t \ge 0) \in C([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]^4))$ we denote by $(\widehat{X}_t^{t_1, \infty} : t \ge t_1)$ the solution of (3) with deterministic initial condition $\widehat{X}_{t_1}^{t_1, \infty} = \langle \mu_{t_1} \rangle$, where $\langle \mu_{t_1} \rangle$ is the vector of means of μ_{t_1} .

 $\mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_t, \delta_{\langle \mu_t \rangle}) \leq \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_{t_0}, \delta_{\langle \mu_{t_0} \rangle}) e^{-\lambda^0(t-t_0)} + \sigma^2 \frac{C_{\zeta, \rho}^0}{\sqrt{0}}.$ In particular, $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_t, \delta_{\langle \mu_t \rangle}) \leq \sigma^2 \frac{C_{\zeta,\rho}^0}{\lambda^0}$.) For every $t_1 \ge t_0$ and $\delta \ge 0$ we have: $\sup_{t_1 \le t \le t_1 + \delta} \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_t, \delta_{\widehat{X}_t^{t_1, \infty}})$ $\leq K_0 \wedge 2 \left[\left(K'_0 e^{-\lambda_0 (t_1 - t_0)} + \sigma^2 \frac{C^0_{\zeta, \rho}}{\chi_0} \right) (1 + \delta K_\delta) \right].$

References

[1] M. Bossy, O. Faugeras, and D. Talay. Clarification and complement to "mean-field description and propagation of chaos in networks of hodgkin–huxley and fitzhugh–nagumo neurons". The Journal of Mathematical Neuroscience (JMN), 5(1):1–23, 2015.

[2] K. Pakdaman, M. Thieullen, and G. Wainrib. Fluid limit theorems for stochastic hybrid systems with aplication to neuron models. Adv. in Appl. Probab., 42(3):761–794, 09 2010.