



HAL
open science

Stabilization of nonlinear stochastic discrete systems

Mohamed Bensoubaya, Abdelhak Ferfera, Abderrahman Iggidr

► **To cite this version:**

Mohamed Bensoubaya, Abdelhak Ferfera, Abderrahman Iggidr. Stabilization of nonlinear stochastic discrete systems. 38th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Dec 1999, Phoenix, Arizona, United States. pp.3180–3181, 10.1109/cdc.1999.827756 . hal-01862870

HAL Id: hal-01862870

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01862870>

Submitted on 29 Aug 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Stabilization of Nonlinear Stochastic Discrete Systems

M. Bensoubaya, A. Ferfera and A. Iggidr

CONGE Project

INRIA Lorraine & University of Metz (Dept. of Math, CNRS UPRES – A 70-35)

I.S.G.M.P. Bât. A, Ile du Saulcy, F-57045 Metz cedex 01, France

e.mail :{bensouba,ferfera,iggidr}@loria.fr

Keywords: Nonlinear discrete systems, stochastic stability, feedback, Lyapunov functions

1 Introduction

Let (Ω, K, P) be a probability field and denote by X the space of n -dimensional random vectors. We consider stochastic discrete-time nonlinear control systems of the form

$$x_{k+1}(\omega) = f(x_k(\omega), \omega, u) \quad (1)$$

where $x \in X$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous in x , \mathcal{C}^2 in u and measurable with respect to ω satisfying $f(0, \omega, 0) = 0$.

Our goal is to give a sufficient condition for feedback stabilization of system (1). Stabilization problem of both continuous-time and discrete-time deterministic nonlinear control systems has attracted the interest of an increasing number of authors in the last decades and various techniques have been developed to design stabilizing feedback.

As for stabilization of stochastic nonlinear control systems, only few results are available in the literature. Properties of the solution of the stochastic algebraic Riccati equation introduced by Wonham ([6]) are used by Gao and Ahmed ([3]) to deal with the stabilizability problem for a class of continuous-time stochastic nonlinear control systems. More recently, by using the Lyapunov functions method, a stochastic version of Artstein-Sontag's theorem is established in [2], while a Jurdjevic-Quinn type theorem is stated in [1].

In this paper we give a sufficient condition for global stabilization of discrete-time stochastic systems of the form (1). This condition is the discrete analogous of the Jurdjevic-Quinn type condition derived in [1] for continuous-time stochastic control systems.

2 Stability

Let $L^r(\Omega)$ be the set of elements x of X that satisfy $E(|x(\omega)|^r) = \int_{\Omega} |x(\omega)|^r P(d\omega) < \infty$ and $L^\infty(\Omega)$ be the set of elements of X which are essentially bounded.

We set $\|x\|_r = \left(\int_{\Omega} \|x(\omega)\|^r P(d\omega)\right)^{1/r}$, for $x \in L^r(\Omega)$, and $\|x\|_\infty = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{K}, P(A)=0} \sup_{\omega \in C_K^A} \|x(\omega)\|$, for $x \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Let $h : \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function which is continuous in its first variable $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and measurable with respect to $\omega \in \Omega$ and consider the system

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1}(\omega) = h(x_k(\omega), \omega) & \omega \in \Omega \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \\ h(0, \omega) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Definition Let \mathcal{A} be a subset of X .

1. *The trivial solution of system (2) is stable in probability with respect to \mathcal{A} if for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, if $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P\{\omega, |x_0(\omega)| > \delta\} < \delta$ then $P\{\omega, |x_k(x_0, \omega)| > \alpha\} < \epsilon$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

2. *It is almost surely attractive (a.s.a) with respect to \mathcal{A} if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k(x_0, \omega) = 0$ almost everywhere (a.e) for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$*

3. *It is attractive in probability with respect to \mathcal{A} if $\lim_{P, k \rightarrow \infty} x_k(x_0, \omega) = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ (where \lim_P denotes the limit in probability).*

4. *It is asymptotically stable in probability with respect to \mathcal{A} if it is stable and attractive in probability with respect to \mathcal{A} .*

Remark that the almost surely attractivity (a.s.a) implies the attractivity in probability. Morozan [5] has introduced the method of Lyapunov Function and has proved the following theorem which we shall use to prove our stabilization result.

Theorem 1 ([5]) *Let $S(M) = \{x \in L^\infty(\Omega), \|x\|_\infty \leq M\}$. If there exists a continuous function $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $V(0) = 0$, $V(z) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \neq 0$ and $E(V(h(x(\omega), \omega)) - V(x(\omega))) \leq 0$ for all $x \in S(M)$, then the trivial solution of system (2) is stable in probability with respect to $S(M)$.*

3 Stabilization of discrete control systems

System (1) will be said globally stabilizable if there exists a feedback law $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ with $u(0) = 0$ such that the zero solution $x_k \equiv 0$ of the closed-loop system $x_{k+1}(\omega) = f(x_k(\omega), \omega, u(x_k(\omega)))$ is globally asymptotically stable in probability.

Let $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the function defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x, \omega) = f(x, \omega, 0) \quad (3)$$

and assume that:

(h1) The unforced dynamic system $x_{k+1}(\omega) = f(x_k(\omega), \omega)$ is stable in probability and that there exists a \mathcal{C}^2 function $V(x) > 0, x \neq 0, V(0) = 0$, such that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid V(x) \leq \xi\}$ is compact $\forall \xi > 0$ (V is proper) and $E(V(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) - V(x(\omega))) \leq 0, \forall x \in X$.

(h2) If $E(V(\tilde{f}^{k+1}(x(\omega), \omega)) - V(\tilde{f}^k(x(\omega), \omega))) = 0$ and $E(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\tilde{f}^{k+1}(x(\omega), \omega)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\tilde{f}^k(x(\omega), \omega), \omega, 0)) = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x = 0$.

The notation $\tilde{f}^k(x(\omega), \omega), k \geq 0$, is defined recursively by $\tilde{f}^0(x(\omega), \omega) = x(\omega)$ and $\tilde{f}^k(x(\omega), \omega) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{f}^{k-1}(x(\omega), \omega), \omega), \omega)$, for $k \geq 1$.

V being a \mathcal{C}^2 function, let $\tilde{V} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined respectively by

$$\tilde{V}(x, \omega, u) = V(f(x, \omega, u)) \quad (4)$$

$$\varphi(x, \omega, u, v) = \int_0^1 (1-t)v^T \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial u^2}(x, \omega, tu) v dt \quad (5)$$

For a fixed $\eta > 0$, let $K_1(x)$ and $K_2(x)$ be any nonnegative continuous real valued functions satisfying $\forall x \in X$

$$K_1(x) \geq E\left(\sup_{\|u\| \leq \eta, \|v\|=1} |\varphi(x, \omega, u, v)|\right), \quad (6)$$

$$K_2(x) \geq E\left(\left\|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\tilde{f}(x, \omega)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x, \omega, 0)\right\|\right), \quad (7)$$

and set

$$K(x) = \frac{\eta}{\eta K_1(x) + K_2(x) + 1} \quad (8)$$

Notice that the real valued function φ is homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to v . Our main result can now be stated as follow.

Theorem 2 Assume that assumptions **(h1)** and **(h2)** hold. Then, for any positive constant η , system (1) is globally asymptotically stabilizable by means of the continuous feedback law

$$u^T(x) = -K(x) E\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x(\omega), \omega, 0)\right) \quad (9)$$

which satisfies $\|u(x)\| \leq \eta, \forall x \in X$.

Proof: The inequality $\|u(x)\| \leq \eta$ is an immediate consequence of (7), (8) and (9). Moreover, setting $\Delta V(x(\omega)) = V(f(x(\omega), \omega, u(x))) - V(x(\omega))$, one gets from (4) and the Taylor expansion formula:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Delta V(x(\omega))) &= E(\tilde{V}(x(\omega), \omega, 0) - V(x(\omega))) \\ &+ E\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial u}(x(\omega), \omega, 0) u(x)\right) \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1-t) u^T(x) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial u^2}(x(\omega), \omega, tu(x)) u(x) dt \end{aligned}$$

Notice that from (3) and (4) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}(x(\omega), \omega, 0) &= V(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) \quad (10) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial u}(x(\omega), \omega, 0) &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x(\omega), \omega, 0) \end{aligned}$$

so that, from (5) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Delta V(x(\omega))) &= E(V(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) - V(x(\omega))) \\ &- E\left(\frac{\|u(x)\|^2}{K(x)} + \varphi(x(\omega), \omega, u(x), u(x))\right) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for $x \in X$ such that $u(x) = 0$ one has $E(\Delta V(x(\omega))) = E(V(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) - V(x(\omega))) \leq 0$ and otherwise, from the homogeneity property of $\varphi(x, \omega, u, v)$ with respect to v one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Delta V(x(\omega))) &= E(V(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) - V(x(\omega))) \\ &- \frac{\|u(x)\|^2}{K(x)} [1 - K(x) E(\varphi(x, \omega, u(x), \frac{u(x)}{\|u(x)\|}))] \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Besides, using (6), (8) and $\|u(x)\| \leq \eta$ one has:

$$1 - K(x) E(\varphi(x, \omega, u(x), \frac{u(x)}{\|u(x)\|})) > 0 \quad (12)$$

and so one gets, from the assumption **(h1)**, $E(\Delta V(x(\omega))) \leq 0, \forall x \in X$, which implies, according to Theorem 1, that the feedback law (9) leads to a stable closed-loop system :

$$x_{k+1}(\omega) = f(x_k(\omega), \omega, u(x_k(\omega))) \quad (13)$$

Now, since V is proper it follows also that all the solutions of (13) are bounded if $x_0 \in S(M)$. Besides, according to the stochastic version of LaSalle's invariance principle (see [4]) all these solutions converges in probability to the largest invariant set whose support is contained in the locus $\{x \in X \mid E(\Delta V(x(\omega))) = 0\}$. Therefore, in order to prove the attractivity of the trivial solution of (13) it must be shown that for any solution x_k of (13) with $x_0 \in S(M)$ and along which $E(\Delta V(x_k(\omega))) = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$, one has necessarily $x_k = 0 \forall k \geq 0$. For, one has from (9) and (11) $E(\Delta V(x(\omega))) = 0$ iff $E(V(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) - V(x(\omega))) = 0$ and $E\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\tilde{f}(x(\omega), \omega)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x(\omega), \omega, 0)\right) = 0$. Hence, from (3) one has $x_k(\omega) = \tilde{f}^k(x_0(\omega), \omega)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $E(V(\tilde{f}^{k+1}(x_0(\omega), \omega)) - V(\tilde{f}^k(x_0(\omega), \omega))) = 0$ and $E\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\tilde{f}^{k+1}(x_0(\omega), \omega)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\tilde{f}^k(x_0(\omega), \omega), \omega, 0)\right) = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This, by assumption **(h2)**, implies that $x_0 = 0$ and by the way $x_k = 0 \forall k \geq 0$ which ends the proof. ■

References

- [1] M. Bensoubaya, A. Ferfera, and A. Iggidr. A Jurdjevic-Quinn Type Theorem for Stochastic Nonlinear Control Systems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* (to appear).
- [2] R. Chabour et M. Oumoun. On a universal formula for the stabilization of control stochastic nonlinear systems, *J. Stoch. Anal. Appl.* (to appear) **17** (1999).
- [3] Z.Y. Gao and N.U. Ahmed. Feedback stabilizability of nonlinear stochastic systems with state-dependent noise, *Int. J. Contr.* **45** (1987), 729-737.
- [4] H.J. Kushner. *Introduction to Stochastic Control*. New York: Holt, 1971.
- [5] T. Morozan. Stability of stochastic discrete systems. *J. Math. Analysis App.* **23** (1968), 1-9.

[6] W.M. Wonham. On a matrix Riccati equation of stochastic control, *SIAM J. Contr. Optimiz.* **6**, (1968) 681-697.