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Online Feedforward/Feedback Structure Adaptation for Heterogeneous CACC Strings

Carlos Flores, Vicente Milanés and Fawzi Nashashibi.

Abstract—Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control has been extensively studied in recent years, however most of efforts have been oriented to homogeneous strings control. When a heterogeneous string is formed, the differences between vehicles dynamics introduce disturbances in the closed loop system affecting the string stability. This paper presents an online CACC feedforward adaptation with a fractional-order feedback controller for stable heterogeneous strings of vehicles. A particle filter algorithm is employed to perform an online parameter identification over the preceding vehicle longitudinal model, allowing further adaptation of the control structure. Simulations demonstrate the advantages over conventional homogeneous structures as well as system's capability to both enhance stability and guarantee string stability regardless the vehicles distribution.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last years, Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control (CACC) has become a promising solution for improving traffic flow [1] with the introduction of longitudinal automation, ranging sensors and vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication links. Several projects have been deployed to investigate the benefits of CACC and platooning when applied over conventional vehicles [2], [3], heavy duty trucks1 and mixed traffic2. These works have proved the advantages of such techniques on highway driving without requiring major modification of the infrastructure, showing significant improvements in terms of traffic capacity [4].

From a control point of view, CACC approaches require each vehicle to track efficiently, smoothly and with robustness its preceding one at a reference distance defined by a spacing policy. Among the different spacing policies, constant time gap (CTG) [5] strategy is the most employed since it provides the most intuitive way to set the distance between vehicles as it varies proportionally to the ego-speed. The system gap-regulation performance and its stability strongly depend on the desired time gap—i.e. keeping a car-following formation with shorter time gaps requires more performing reference tracking capabilities—.

String stability plays a key role when it comes to design CACC systems. This concept was firstly introduced by [6] and widely studied in the work of [7], [8] among others. It refers to the string capability to attenuate disturbance propagations in upstream direction. This can be mathematically interpreted as:

\[
\Gamma(s) = \frac{Z_i(s)}{Z_{i-1}(s)}; i \geq 2
\] (1)

where the term \(Z_i(s)\) might refer to different vehicle states. A sufficient condition to guarantee the system string stability is to ensure that the infinite norm of the string stability function is less or equal than unity for all vehicles—i.e. \(\|\Gamma(s)\|_\infty \leq 1; \forall i \geq 2\). In the case where all the string members have identical dynamics (homogeneous strings), the string stability can be studied defining \(Z_i(s)\) as the vehicle position, speed, acceleration, spacing error or control effort.

However, when the CACC is integrated by vehicles with different dynamics (heterogeneous strings), spacing errors and control effort signals evolve differently for each vehicle, because they are closely dependent to the ego and preceding vehicles’ dynamics. For this reason, this work employs the string stability criterion defined in GCDC 2011 [9].

Regarding to heterogeneous strings, first analysis have been presented on [10]. Some first approaches include a graph-based control for multi-lane convoy in [11]. In [12], the effect of different time lags and system delays in an ACC string is studied. A CACC control strategy is proposed in [13], [14] that relies on leader-predecessor topology, showing improvement in the performance and ensuring a bounded spacing error regardless the string configuration—i.e. fast-slow, slow-fast, among others—. Although good results have been obtained, communication with the leader vehicle may not always be available due to congestion or if the string length exceeds the secure communication range. A feedforward/feedback (FF/FB) control strategy (which has been widely employed in the CACC literature [15], [16]) is proposed to control a heterogeneous string in [17], where the effect of having vehicles with different behavior is analysed.

The ideal FF/FB controllers in function of the formation dynamics, actuators lag, and communications delays is also revised. The influence of the difference between string vehicles’ dynamics is analyzed in [17], considering an offline set FF controller that filters the preceding vehicle reference signal. However, CACC formations should handle real traffic split/merge maneuvers, which means that the system may face a change of the preceding vehicle, requiring to adapt its structure in real time.

Having this in mind, the objectives of this paper are:

• Mitigating the disturbances introduced by the difference between vehicles’ dynamics in the string
• Developing a string stable control system for heterogeneous strings
• Taking into account communication links only available between the ego and preceding vehicle
• Adapting the control response online in function of the preceding vehicle dynamics with robustness against modelling uncertainties

This work proposes a FF/FB control strategy which adapts the FF filter in function of the ego and preceding vehicles’ dynamics, guaranteeing string stability. The online adaptation is done applying an identification algorithm based on a Particle Filter (PF) over the received V2V data to determine the preceding vehicle longitudinal behavior. A fractional-order feedback controller is designed to be robust against system disturbances and to guarantee the desired tracking stability within a given loop bandwidth without requiring high control efforts. Simulation results demonstrate the advantages of applying the proposed online-adapted structure over static structures.

II. EMPLOYED FF/FB STRUCTURE

The following configurations of CACC controllers can be found in the state-of-the-art: leader-predecessor following [13, 14], two-vehicle look-ahead [18], bidirectional control [19] and one-vehicle look-ahead topology [16]. This work considers only preceding vehicle information.

Fig. 1 shows the proposed structure, where \( G_p f_i(s), C_i(s), H(s), F_i(s) \) represent the vehicle model \( (X_i(s)/U_i(s)) \), controller, spacing policy and FF filter respectively. The communication temporal delay is represented by \( D(s) = e^{-\theta s}; \theta > 0 \). The closed-loop transfer function of the structure in Fig. 1 is given by the expression:

\[
\frac{X_i(s)}{X_{i-1}(s)} = \frac{D(s)F_i(s)G_{p_f}(s)G_{p}(s)}{1 + G_p f_i(s)C_i(s)H_i(s)}; i \geq 2
\]

which \( \infty \)-norm has to be maintained below unity to ensure the string stability. The expression \( G_p f_i(s) \) refers to the low level longitudinal speed behavior \( (V_{real}(s)/U_i(s)) \) and can be also defined as \( G_p f_i(s) = s \cdot G_p f_i(s) \). Let define \( P_i(s) \) as the term \( G_{p_f}(s) \). For homogeneous strings this term has no effect since \( P_i(s) = 1 \), but when \( G_{p_f} \neq G_{p_f-1}(s) \) the FF loop is affected, compromising the system stability. For this reason, an inverse model control is proposed to be employed so the effect of \( P_i(s) \) in the closed loop is reduced. This type of control structures has been extensively studied and employed in the literature [20]. The FF controller is set to be of the form \( F_i(s) = (P_i(s)H_i(s))^{-1} \) where \( P_i(s) \) is an estimation of \( P_i(s) \). The term \( H(s) \) is employed to guarantee string stability for every time gap \( h > 0 \), in case the FF link presents no communication delays [10], limiting its bandwidth to the interest frequency region as well.

Ideally, perfect tracking of the given reference is achieved when \( F_i(s) = (P_i(s)H_i(s))^{-1} \). In practice, it is difficult to determine exactly the term \( P_i(s) \) and attain a perfect model inversion. However, a sufficient condition to demonstrate that inverse-model FF/FB structures outperforms FB-only despite the uncertainty over \( P_i(s) \), is to demonstrate that \( \| \tilde{E}_{ff,i}(j\omega) \|_2 \leq \| \tilde{E}_{fb,i}(j\omega) \|_2 \) [21], [22]. Assuming no communication delays, the FF/FB \( \tilde{E}_{ff,i}(j\omega) \) and FB errors \( \tilde{E}_{fb,i}(j\omega) \) functions are given by the expressions:

\[
\tilde{E}_{ff,i}(j\omega) = \frac{X_{i-1}(j\omega)}{1 + G_p f_i(j\omega)C_i(j\omega)H(j\omega)};
\]

\[
\tilde{E}_{fb,i}(j\omega) = \frac{(P_i(j\omega) - P_i(j\omega)) \cdot X_{i-1}(j\omega)}{(1 + G_p f_i(j\omega)C_i(j\omega)H(j\omega))P_i(j\omega)};
\]

where it can be observed that the condition is fulfilled if:

\[
|\tilde{P}_i(j\omega) - P_i(j\omega)| \leq |\tilde{P}_i(j\omega)|;
\]

To satisfy this condition, the objective is to reduce as much as possible the model uncertainty \( |\Delta P_i(j\omega)| = |\tilde{P}_i(j\omega) - P_i(j\omega)| \) in the interest frequency region–i.e. the closed loop bandwidth \( \omega \in (0, 1/h) \)–to yield the best reference tracking as possible, being \( h \) the employed time gap. For the estimation of \( \tilde{P}_i(s) \) through the FF controller \( F_i(s) \), the ego-vehicle model is assumed to be known; whereas the preceding car model is not due to changes when preceding vehicle leaves or a cut-in occurs. To approximate \( \tilde{G}_i^{-1}(s) \rightarrow G_{i-1}(s) \), the V2V data is employed to estimate \( G_{i-1}(s) \) by using a parameter identification method.

III. ONLINE PRECEDING VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION

As explained above, an identification algorithm is applied over the V2V data to adapt online the FF filter in function of the ego and preceding vehicle dynamics. Generally, vehicles’ longitudinal command is based on a hierarchical control strategy composed by a high-level structure that generates a reference speed and a low-level cruise controller in charge of commanding the actuators to track the desired speed. The longitudinal low-level control can be described by a second order model of the form:

\[
G_p(s) = \frac{V_{real}(s)}{U(s)} = \frac{\omega_n^2 \cdot e^{-\theta s}}{s^2 + 2\xi\omega_n s + \omega_n^2}
\]

where \( \theta, \omega_n \) and \( \xi \) represent the transport delay, plant natural frequency and damping factor. Given that the identification algorithm requires to compute the distance between the model estimations and the observations at each sample time,
a discrete version of the model in Eq. 5 is used for the estimation. For this purpose, the Tustin discretization method is applied:

\[
s = \frac{2}{Ts} \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 + z^{-1}}; \quad z \geq 0 \tag{6}
\]

where \(Ts\) is the sample time of the identification algorithm and \(z\) the discrete operator. Model parameters are determined in real time employing a Particle Filter (PF) algorithm. It provides a fast and robust estimation of the parameters, even if the measurements are corrupted with sensor noise or if the system varies through the time.

A. Online Parameters Modelling Algorithm

An applied version of the Sequential-Importance-Resampling (SIR) PF proposed in [23] is employed in this work. Since the experimental platform models present a negligible transport delay (\(\theta \approx 0\)), only the natural frequency \(\omega_n\) and damping factor \(\xi\) parameters are considered to describe all the possible longitudinal low level controllers.

Model parameters are assumed to exist as \(\xi \in [\xi_{\text{min}}, \xi_{\text{max}}]\) and \(\omega_n \in [\omega_{n_{\text{min}}}, \omega_{n_{\text{max}}}]\). This assumption is carried out because PF algorithms require distribution in bounded parameters spaces. Each particle is defined as a two component vector: \(\theta = \{\log(\omega_n^0), \xi^0\}; \ j \in [1, N_p]\), having \(N_p\) as the number of particles. The first component is set as the natural frequency logarithm to ensure a more uniform distribution and resampling over the Bode frequency axis.

Initially, a set of particles \(\Theta_{k_0}\) is generated with a random distribution over the 2D parameter space. Afterwards, the PF is executed online with a sample period \(Ts\) recommended to be less than \(\pi/\omega_{\text{max}}\) to agree with Nyquist sampling principle, but high enough to allow the algorithm online execution without data loss. At each time step \(k\), an input-observation pair \(\langle u_k, y_k \rangle\) (reference and measured vehicle longitudinal speed respectively) is received through V2V and queued to the 2D vector \(\tilde{Z}_{k_0:k}\). The maximum size of \(\tilde{Z}_{k_0:k}\)—i.e. \(N_s\)—is selected as the highest amount of \(\langle u_k, y_k \rangle\) pairs that can be employed to determine the particles score, allowing real time execution.

At sample time \(k\), the PF computes every particle estimations within the time window between \(k_0\) to \(k\) as \(\tilde{y}_{k_0:k}\), employing a discrete-time state space model obtained combining Eq. 5 and 6. Each particle score is evaluated as the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) between each particle estimations \(\tilde{y}_{k_0:k}\) and the observations \(y_{k_0:k}\) along the time window.

After computing and normalizing each particle score, a resampling process [24] based on such scores is carried out to discard the particles with low fitting and increase the particles population in the areas where high scores have been obtained. For the first executions, as there is no previous knowledge or data to deliver a right parameter estimation with low variance, the preceding vehicle model is assumed to be similar than the ego-model—i.e. \(P_1(s) = 1\)–. As the vector \(\tilde{Z}_{k_0:k}\) is filled with input-output data, the PF algorithm converges to a stable solution presenting low variance and the estimated parameters \(\hat{\theta}_k\) are updated as the best scored particle’s parameters \(E(\hat{\theta}_k))\). The algorithm can be summarized as follows:

**Algorithm 1** SIR-based PF algorithm for parameters identification

1. Initial sampling at \(k = 1\)
   \[
   \hat{\theta}_0 \sim p(\theta^0); \ j \in [1, N_p] \quad \triangleright \text{Generate uniform distribution for } \theta^0_{\text{in } \Theta_k}
   \]

2. Compute particle estimation
   \[
   \tilde{y}_{k_0:k} = \text{ComputeEstimations}(u_{k_0:k}, \theta^0_j)
   \]

3. Particle score calculation
   \[
   w^j_k = 1 / \sum_{n=k_0}^k (y_n^j - y_n)^2 \quad \triangleright \text{Estimate score with RMSE}
   \]

4. Normalise weights and output estimation
   \[
   w^j_k = w_k^j / \sum_{j=1}^{N_p} w_k^j
   \]
   \[
   E(\theta_k) = \arg \max \theta \left( w^j_k \right) \quad \triangleright \text{Estimation with highest score}
   \]
   If \(\sigma_{\hat{\theta}_k} \geq \sigma_{\text{max}}\) then
   \[
   \hat{\theta}_k = \theta_{\text{ego}} \quad \triangleright \text{Unstable solution, set homogeneous case}
   \]
   Else
   \[
   \hat{\theta}_k = E(\theta_k) \quad \triangleright \text{Stable PF output, preceding model is updated with parameters estimation}
   \]

5. Particles resampling
   \[
   p(\theta^1_{k+1} = \theta^j_{k+1}) = w^j_k \quad \triangleright \text{Generate new set } \Theta_{k+1}
   \]
   \[
   \hat{\theta}_{k+1}^j = \hat{\theta}_{k+1}^j + \nu_k^j; \ \nu_k^j \sim N(0, \text{dev}^\theta)
   \]

The configuration of the PF parameters (numbers parameter \(N_p\), samples number \(N_s\), Gaussian noise standard deviation \(\text{dev}^\theta\) and highest tolerated estimation variance \(\sigma_{\text{max}}\)) are chosen to increase the convergence speed of \(\hat{\theta}_k \rightarrow \theta_{\text{real}}\) (having \(\theta_{\text{real}}\) as the parameters of \(G_{P_{i-1}}\)) and reduce the expectation variance \(\sigma_{\hat{\theta}_k}\) as much as possible.

The PF outputs the expectation \(\hat{\theta}_k = \langle \log(\hat{\omega}_n), \hat{\xi} \rangle\) at each sample time and such values are employed to configure \(G_{P_i}(s)\) in the FF filter \(F_1(s)\). Even though the application of the PF filter yields a good estimation of \(G_{P_i}(s)\), measurement noise and uncertainties introduce a variance over the algorithm output with respect to the real model \(G_{P_i}(s)\). As explained in Sec. II, the FF/FB structure demands a low \(\Delta G_{P_i}(s)\) to guarantee an enhanced reference tracking. Therefore, it is required to determine the PF accuracy on the parameters identification task. For this, an offline convergence study is performed modelling different type of vehicles (fast/slow and underdamped/overdamped) following a speed profile with several speed changes. Observation noise is considered as \(N(0, 0.15)\). The implemented PF parameters configuration for the study are set as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(N_s)</th>
<th>(N_p)</th>
<th>(Ts)</th>
<th>(\omega_{\text{min}})</th>
<th>(\omega_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>(\xi_{\text{min}})</th>
<th>(\xi_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>iterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study is depicted in Fig. 2, where it can be appreciated the parameters estimation of 9 different vehicle dynamics.
Based on the obtained performance, the preceding vehicle model uncertainty is going to be defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi &= \xi + \Delta_\xi; |\Delta_\xi| < 0.11; \\
\omega_n &= \tilde{\omega}_n + (1 + \Delta_\omega_n); |\Delta_\omega_n| < 0.12;
\end{align*}
\]

and consequently, the system performance and stability must be guaranteed for such uncertainties over the term \(G_{i-1}(s)\). In this work, the FB controller design is going to be oriented not only to improve the system stability but also to ensure the robustness against perturbations due to the presented uncertainties.

### IV. Feedback Controller Design

Fig. 3 presents the control structure, which FF filter \(F_i(s)\) is adapted with the preceding vehicle model with its uncertainties (see Eq. 7). In the literature, FF structures have been widely employed with lead controllers because while FF link improves reference tracking, the lead controller enhances the system damping and FB loop stability [25]. A fractional-order generalization of a lead compensator is employed to gain a more flexible and stable frequency response and avoid high frequency noise amplification. The mathematical form of the fractional-order lead controller is given by:

\[
C(s) = K_p \cdot \frac{(1 + s^\alpha/\omega_c)}{(1 + s^\alpha/\omega_p)};
\]

where \(K_p\), \(\alpha\), \(\omega_c\) and \(\omega_p\) stand for the controller DC gain, differentiation order, zero and pole frequencies. The differentiation order is bounded as \(\alpha \in (0, 2)\), modifying the magnitude and phase contribution of the controller as \(+\alpha 20 \text{ dB/dec} \text{ and } +\alpha \pi/2 \text{ rad/dec}\) respectively. Controller design objectives are:

1) Ensuring \(\|W_T \cdot T_i\|_\infty \leq 1\); \(\omega > 0\) for a time gap of \(\Delta T_h = 0.6\) to agree with the conclusions obtained in [26]
2) Minimizing \(\|W_S \cdot S_i\|_\infty\) to improve the robustness to perturbations and FB loop stability
3) Reducing the control effort to avoid actuator saturation \(\|W_U \cdot C_i(j\omega) \cdot S_i(j\omega)\|_\infty\) within the closed loop band-width

The transfer functions \(T_i, S_i\) represent the complementary sensitivity (string stability) and the FB loop sensitivity to perturbations respectively. The template functions \(W_{T_i}, W_{S_i}, W_{U_i}\) are selected as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
W_{T_i} &= 1; \\
W_{S_i} &= \frac{s}{w_s + s/M_s}; \\
W_{U_i} &= 1;
\end{align*}
\]

where \(w_s\) is selected as the plant natural frequency and \(M_s = 2\). An optimization algorithm (fsolve from Matlab) is applied to determine the controller parameters configuration that minimizes the infinite norms stated in the objectives above. For implementation on discrete embedded systems, a Tustin approximation (Eq. 6) is required with a continued fraction expansion (refer to [27] for more details).

#### A. Robustness Validation

Three different vehicle dynamics and controllers designed based on their models (Tab. II), are defined for validating the proposed algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>(\omega_n)</th>
<th>(\xi)</th>
<th>(K_p)</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>(\omega_c)</th>
<th>(\omega_p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>15.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Firstly, a sensitivity study is carried out to validate the robustness of the proposed online-adapted FF/FB algorithm. The system performance is evaluated for the worst possible modelling error in Eq. 7 that would result in the highest \(|\tilde{P}_i(s)|\).

The system sensitivity and stability is evaluated in Tab. III analysing \(\|S_i(s)\|_\infty \equiv \|E_i(s)/X_{i-1}(s)\|_\infty\). Three control structures are considered. A FB-only (ACC) where the FF link is replaced with the ego-speed as positive feedback to ensure no stationary state error. A FF/FB approach with the FF filter set as \(F_i(s) = 1/H(s)\) and the FF/FB structure with the proposed adapted FF filter \(F_i(s) = (\tilde{P}_i(s)H(s))^{-1}\).

Every possible ego-preceding combination of the three vehicle types presented on Tab. II is considered to compare the performance between FF-only (\(\|S_i^b\|_\infty\)), the conventional
FF/FB ($\|S_{i}^{ff,\text{conv}}\|_{\infty}$) and the proposed FF/FB approach ($\|S_{i}^{ff,\text{adap}}\|_{\infty}$). The analysis considers no temporal delay on the communication link and a time gap set of 0.6s.

### Table III

**Controller parameters for three different type of vehicles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ego</th>
<th>Prec.</th>
<th>$|S_{i}^{f}|_{\infty}$</th>
<th>$|S_{i}^{ff,\text{conv}}|_{\infty}$</th>
<th>$|S_{i}^{ff,\text{adap}}|_{\infty}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the FB-only approach, one can see that the system stability is independent from the preceding vehicle dynamics as would be expected from an ACC structure. In the case where $F(s) = 1/H(s)$, it is clear that when $P_{i}(s) \approx 1$ the performance improves. But when the vehicle dynamics differ, the system stability decreases as the magnitude of $P_{i}(s)$ becomes higher, introducing undesired behavior on the closed loop. When the ego-model has faster dynamics, the performance results better, while the worst behavior is obtained when its dynamics are slower than the preceding ones.

For the proposed structure, the system robustness/stability is improved for all scenarios. The enhancement with respect to the other solutions becomes more noticeable as the magnitude of $P_{i}(s)$ is higher. Generally, better results are obtained with the proposed solution if the ego-vehicle presents a faster model than the preceding one.

Finally, the string stability is analysed in Fig. 4 to demonstrate the fulfillment of the condition in Eq. 1. As in the previous study, the performances of FB-only, FF/FB with the conventional FF filter and the proposed approach are compared for all the possible ego-preceding combinations from Tab. II. As the communication delay directly affects the system string stability, a $\theta = 0.1s$ is set.

For the FB-only and the FF/FB structures with conventional FF filter, the string stability present an overshoot over the unity at higher frequencies if the ego-model has a low $\omega_{n}$, which is due to the incapacity of slower vehicles to track correctly high frequency speed changes. If the ego-model accounts with a bigger $\omega_{n}$, an overshoot is observed at lower frequencies given that faster vehicles overreact to the preceding speed changes.

When the proposed adapted-FF filter is employed, although in some frequency regions the magnitude of $\|T_{i}(s)\|$ may be higher than for the other static structures, its peak value is maintained below unity in all frequencies for all the possible scenarios, satisfying the string stability condition.

### V. Simulation Results

Two scenarios are considered to validate the FF/FB structure. The first where all the vehicles have a previously adapted FF filter considering the ego and preceding vehicle models, and the second where all of them have the conventional FF filter $F(s) = 1/H(s)$ as suggested in [10]. Communication links are available with a temporal delay of $\theta = 0.1s$. The string is composed by five vehicles with different dynamics distributed along the formation. The vehicle models parameters are chosen randomly among the range $\omega_{n} \in [0.9, 5] \text{rad/s}$ and $\xi \in [0.2, 1]$. A detailed description of the string members is presented in Tab. IV.

### Table IV

**Simulation parameters of string vehicles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Veh.1</th>
<th>Veh.2</th>
<th>Veh.3</th>
<th>Veh.4</th>
<th>Veh.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_{n}$ [rad/s]</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi$</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having the presented string configuration, speed change perturbations are introduced in the leader vehicle. In the upper plots of Fig. 5, the vehicles’ speeds are showed for both scenarios evolving after a leader acceleration and deceleration at $t = 55s$ and $t = 80s$ respectively. The proposed system attenuates uniformly the leader speed changes upstream. Whereas for the conventional FF filter, the second and fifth vehicles amplify their precedings’ oscillations. Such behavior is observed when the ego-vehicle has slower dynamics or is less damped than its preceding one, which agrees with the conclusions obtained in [13] and the studies presented in Sec. IV-A.

In the lower plots of Fig. 5 the spacing errors of the controlled vehicles are depicted when using the conventional and the proposed adapted FF filter. The most remarkable differences are that not only the spacing errors are attenuated upstream but also the maximum observed error is reduced from 0.42 to 0.13 meters when applying the online-adapted FF filter.
A. Simulation with Online Preceding Vehicle Modelling

A four vehicle scenario is set with the following string configuration: Type 2, Type 1, Type 3, Type 2; from leader to last vehicle. For the first test, the conventional FF filter is employed for all string members. In the second test, all the vehicles have only previous knowledge of their own models and their preceding vehicles’ models are obtained applying the PF over the received V2V data adapt online FF filter. The same communication delay of $\theta = 0.1s$ is considered with a reference time gap of 0.6s between vehicles.

Fig. 6 shows the vehicles’ speed evolution. When the conventional FF filter is applied, the vehicles’ speeds do not evolve uniformly as desired. It can be appreciated that slower vehicles present a higher speed overshoot than the faster ones, which introduces considerable spacing errors (see Fig. 7) that can reach up to 1.6 meters for the case of the third vehicle. When the proposed adapted FF filter is applied, in the first speed step the vehicles’ speeds evolve as in the conventional scenario due to the lack of knowledge of their preceding vehicle model. For the following speed changes, one can observe in Fig. 8 that the modelling parameters converge to their solutions as more V2V data is received to be modelled. This permits a more stable speed evolution as each vehicle is able to adapt the FF filter with the identified preceding vehicle parameters, compensating the dynamics difference. The spacing error peak value is also reduced from 1.8 up to 0.4 meters.

In CACC homogeneous strings, when the leader accelerates or decelerates the rest of the string members should face a positive or negative spacing error respectively due to the temporal delay in the V2V link. Instead, when the CACC string is heterogeneous, faster vehicles overreact to the speed change with respect to their slower preceding vehicle, which produces a negative distance error (see 2nd and 4th vehicle in upper plot of Fig. 7). If the ego-vehicle accounts with slower dynamics, the spacing error becomes positive as would be expected towards an increment in the leader speed but higher than desired due to the ego-vehicle limitation to track efficiently its faster preceding vehicle speed changes. This also agrees with the results obtained in Sec. IV-A.

To deal with this problem, the adaptive FF filter is configured to process the preceding vehicle’s reference considering both vehicles’ models. This can be appreciated on the lower plot of Fig. 7, where for the first speed change in time $t=10s$ the spacing errors evolve differently; but as each vehicle adapts its structure, not only the errors’ peak values are reduced but also their evolution results more likely as an homogeneous string scenario (positive or negative speed changes produce spacing errors of equal sign).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

An online adapted FF/FB control structure to deal with the CACC heterogeneous string challenge has been proposed, analysed and validated through simulation. Results show that by adapting the FF filter online considering the ego and preceding vehicle models, the disturbances introduced by the
vehicles dynamics difference can be attenuated and string stability can be ensured for constant time gap CACC. A PF has been proposed to identify the preceding vehicle model parameters online, using the V2V data. Analysis show that although the PF outputs the parameters within a estimation range, the proposed FB fractional-order lead controller is able to provide an enhanced reference tracking task, as well as ensure the string stability even for the worst uncertainty over the preceding vehicle model. Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed online adapted FF/FB structure outperforms structures that does not take into consideration the difference between vehicles’ dynamics, not only in terms of string stability but also on the spacing error regulation task. Future work includes considering uncertainties over the ego-vehicle model, emergency situations and tests over real platforms.
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