

How specific classes of retinal cells contribute to vision: A Computational Model

Evgenia Kartsaki, Bruno Cessac, Gerrit Hilgen, Evelyne Sernagor

▶ To cite this version:

Evgenia Kartsaki, Bruno Cessac, Gerrit Hilgen, Evelyne Sernagor. How specific classes of retinal cells contribute to vision: A Computational Model. C@uca 2018 Meeting, Jun 2018, Fréjus, France. hal-01816921

HAL Id: hal-01816921 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01816921

Submitted on 13 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

How specific classes of retinal cells contribute to vision: A Computational Model

Evgenia Kartsaki^{1,2}, Bruno Cessac¹, Gerrit Hilgen², Evelyne Sernagor²

Université Côte d'Azur, Inria, France

²Institute of Neuroscience, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, UK

Introduction

Vision begins with the photoreceptors converting light from the visual scene into electrical signals, compressing our visual world into a code of action potentials sent to the brain by the retinal ganglion cells (RGCs). A human retina contains almost I million RGCs and each of these cells interprets different features of the visual scene (shape, motion, color, etc.). It is all these parallel streams of information received by the brain, that eventually lead to visual perception.

Currently, there exist over 30 RGCs subtypes based on:


- > common anatomical features,
- functional properties,
- common gene expression.

Contemporary questions:

- ✓ What role does each RGC subtype play in vision? ✓ How is vision impaired if one of these subtypes is inactivated?
- We propose a novel approach combining for the first time pharmacogenetics, electrophysiology, morphology, behavior and mathematical modelling in order to selectively inactivate specific RGCs types and decipher their role in vision, both at the single cell and population level.


Experiments

Pharmacogenetics: Modify neuronal activity noninvasively and reversibly by using the Designer Receptors **Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs (DREADDs)** technique. DREADD-expressing RGCs' activity can be reduced or silenced when the synthetic designer drug clozapine N-oxide (CNO) is added to the solution.




Source: https://benchling.com/pub/dreadds


Light stimulation and electrophysiological recordings: Record light responses from the RGC layer at pan retinal level in vitro with the APS MEA in control and CNO conditions




Active Pixel Sensor MEA Chip featuring 4,096 electrodes(42 μ m spacing) arranged in a 64x64 configuration, covering an active area of 7.12 mm². From Maccione et al., J Physiol 2014

Pan-retinal recordings in control (left) and CNO (right) conditions






Morphology: Visualize cells and their dendritic trees by imaging under confocal microscopy post-recording.



Behavioral testing: Perform behavioral tests in control and CNO conditions




Disclaimer: All the experimental work is done at Pr. Sernagor's lab

Computational analysis

How does CNO impact the response of RGCs to visual stimuli?

RGCs response to light stimuli is characterized by their spatio-temporal receptive field (RF)


Spatial profile: the region of the visual field in which light stimuli evoke responses in a RGC. The simplest RF is organized into a center-surround structure, responding oppositely to light. Temporal profile: the temporal course of this response.




Methods


Use mathematical modelling

The difference of Gaussians function can model the **spatial** RF





The center-surround mechanism is **temporally** biphasic




Use numerical simulations

PRANAS: A platform for retinal analysis and simulation, developed by the Biovision team at Inria.

MACULAR: A platform for large scale simulations of the retina in pathological conditions (currently under co-development by the Biovision team and the CED engineering team at Inria).

NEURON: A simulation platform for modelling individual and networks of neurons, developed at Yale and Duke.



Temporal profile

Develop a generalized RGC model

Model single cell dynamics with **Hodgkin-Huxley** conductances using the NEURON simulation platform in order to reproduce what we observe in the experiments.

$$c\frac{dV}{dt} = (-g_L(V - V_L) - g_{Na}m^3h(V - V_{Na}) - g_Kn^4(V - V_K) - g_{CNO}(V - V_K)$$


 $\frac{dm}{dt} = a_m(V)(1-m) - m(V)m$

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = a_h(V)(1-h) - \beta_h(V)h$$

 $\frac{dn}{dt} = a_n(V)(1-n) - \beta_n(V)n$

To study the effect of CNO on the behavior of the cell, we added a leak potassium channel depended on [CNO], represented by the extra current g_{CNO} .

Simulations



Spatial profile


RF estimation using **PRANAS**



Receptive fields (peak activity)





Time (-99 ms)



Estimated receptive field of an OFF RGC (A) In control condition (B) In CNO condition

Receptive fields (peak activity)





Estimated receptive field of an ON RGC (A) In control condition (B) In CNO condition

Conclusions

We reproduced the strong effect of CNO on the temporal part, as in the experiments.

Next steps:

-Relate the CNO conductance to the CNO concentration -Fit the experimental data, to tune the model's parameters

- There isn't a clear effect on the spatial part yet.
 - -There might be, if amacrine cells are also affected by CNO
- Mimic experimental setup with MACULAR.

