ution of Structure in the InterStell



Search for filamentary accretion through low velocity shocks

L. Bonne¹, S. Bontemps¹, N. Schneider², T. Csengeri³, H. Yahia⁴, R. Güsten³, G. Attuel⁴, A. Roy¹, R. Simon² ¹ Laboratoire d'astrophysique de Bordeaux, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, B18N, allée Geoffrey Saint-Hilaire, 33615 Pessac, France ² I. Physik. Institut, University of Cologne, 50937 Cologne, Germany ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany ⁴ INRIA, 200 Avenue de la Vieille Tour, 33405 Talence, France

Email: lars.bonne@u-bordeaux.fr; sylvain.bontemps@u-bordeaux.fr

Filamentary star formation

Herschel observations revealed the ubiquitous presence of interstellar filaments and their importance in star formation (e.g. [1],[2],[3]). It is proposed that they form by dissipation of kinetic energy in large scale magnetohydrodynamic flows through low-velocity magnetised shocks (C-shocks) and then fragment in prestellar cores after reaching a density threshold of ~ 16 M_☉/pc [4],[5].

There are indications that these filaments accrete mass through inflow of matter from the sides along the magnetic field (e.g. [6],[7]). However, direct evidence of such shocks is vital to confirm this scenario.





Excess in observations of mid-J CO lines

Some promising mid-J CO excess in nearby clouds and a distant IRDC was interpreted as turbulence dissipation from low-velocity shocks [8],[9],[10]. But there is the need to clearly associate this finding with dense structure formation.

Things to keep in mind when looking for turbulence dissipation shocks:

- Excess emission can also arise from photodissociation regions (PDRs)
- Both PDR and shock models are still uncertain (e.g., chemistry)

• There can be confusion with low-velocity shocks related to protostars It is thus mandatory to look for these accretion shocks in a controlled direction which is free of protostars and where filamentary accretion is expected.





 250μ m map of Musca [7]. The two red boxes are the 2' \times 2' maps that were observed with APEX to look for indications of shocks.

Fig 1 (left): The map for Musca obtained with the INRIA/Geostat analysis tool, where the white areas have singular local behaviour. This singular behaviour is observed along the entire Musca filament.

Musca: Singularities in non-linear local analysis

A good candidate for the detection of such accretion shocks is **Musca**. It is a nearby filament that is free of protostars and located in a region with an expected low UV radiation field. The filament also shows indications of inflow from the sides along the magnetic field via a network of striations and strands [7].

So in the proposed scenario, it should have such low-velocity accretion shocks with low PDR confusion and no confusion from protostellar outflow.

A non-linear local gradient analysis tool developed by the Bordeaux IN-RIA/Geostat team established singular areas along the entire Musca-filament compared to the normal behaviour of the multifractal structure of the region (Fig 1).

Proposed interpretation: turbulence dissipation due to filamentary accretion shocks [11].

Fig 3) Left: The lines observed with APEX, here averaged over the northern map, show an excess component in CO(3-2) and CO(4-3). **Right:** In red the column density profile obtained from Herschel at the northern position. The blue and green profiles show the expected line intensity for CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) based on the column density and temperature profile from Herschel. This was predicted using RADEX [13]. In yellow and magenta: the observed CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) intensities of the filament component with APEX. The vertical black lines indicate the area imaged with APEX.

APEX: FLASH observations

CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) were imaged with FLASH at 2 positions slightly off the crest of Musca (red boxes in **Fig 2**). This can be compared with $^{13}CO(2-1)$ and $C^{18}O(2-1)$ pointings towards Musca [12] to look for indications of shocks.

This comparison shows CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) spectra with two components, shown in **Fig 3**, which cover the entire mapped area at both positions. One component corresponds to the ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ and $C^{18}O(2-1)$ peak (filament). The second component has no correspondance (excess). There is also a ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ shoulder, which has a large $\frac{CO(4-3)}{CO(3-2)}$ ratio.

Filament + warm excess

We focus on the map in the north with a clean separation of the filament and excess components.

The intensities obtained for the filament component agree with RADEX estimates based on the Herschel column density and temperature profile, assuming a central gas temperature of 8.5 K (Fig 3).



Fig 4) RADEX input that is consistent with both CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) emission of the excess component while keeping the ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ and $C^{18}O(2-1)$ emission sufficiently low.

Excess: PDR or shock?

What is the origin of the CO excess emission: PDR or shock?

 Seen at both positions, it could be a PDR over the entire cloud. But shocks should also occur along the entire filament. • RADEX suggests a layer with a relatively high density ($> 10^3$ cm⁻³) and temperature (> 60 K). This might fit better with shocks. • The narrow linewidth of the excess could be an interesting result for shocks. • What is the reason for the velocity offset of the excess and the shoulder: decelerating layer at the surface of the filament?

RADEX setups also provide consistent results for the excess, see **Fig 4**: - warm: generally > 60 K, and possibly even > 150 K. - low ¹²CO column density: 3e14 /cm⁻² < Σ_{12} _{CO} < 8e14 /cm⁻² - H₂ density: $> 10^3$ cm⁻³

References

[1] André, P. et al. 2010, A&A, 518, 102; [2] Molinari, S. et al. 2010, A&A, 518, 100; [3] Hennemann, M. et al. 2012, A&A, 543, L3; [4] Arzoumanian, D. et al. 2011, A&A, 529, L6; [5] André, P. et al. 2014, PPVI; [6] Palmeirim, P. et al. 2013, A&A, 550, 38; [7] Cox, N. et al. 2016, A&A, 590, 110; [8] Pon, A. et al. 2014, A&A, 445, 1508; [9] Pon, A. et al. 2015, ApJ, 577, 75; [10] Larson, R. et al. 2015, ApJ, 806, 70; [11] Bontemps, S. et al. in prep.; [12] Hacar, A. et al., A&A, 587 A97; [13] van der Tak, F. et al. 2007, A&A, 468, 627;

Acknowledgements. We thank F. Wyrowski for performing the APEX observations. L.B., N.S. and A.R. acknowledge support by the french ANR and the german DFG through the project "GENESIS" (ANR-16-ČE92-0035-01/DFG1591/2-1). L.B also acknowledges support by the Région Nouvelle-Aquitaine. TO DO: a direct comparison with shock and PDR models, combine with scheduled SOFIA observations of low-velocity shock tracers, obtain a larger CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) map,...

If the CO excess emission is the result from shocks: a clean detection of filament formation through low-velocity shock dissipation of supersonic turbulence.