

Search for filamentary accretion through low velocity shocks

Lars Bonne, Sylvain Bontemps, Nicola Schneider, Timea Csengeri, Hussein Yahia, Rolf Güsten, Guillaume Attuel, Arabindo Roy, Robert Simon

▶ To cite this version:

Lars Bonne, Sylvain Bontemps, Nicola Schneider, Timea Csengeri, Hussein Yahia, et al.. Search for filamentary accretion through low velocity shocks. 2018. hal-01724386

HAL Id: hal-01724386 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01724386

Submitted on 6 Mar 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ution of Structure in the InterStell



Search for filamentary accretion through low velocity shocks

L. Bonne¹, S. Bontemps¹, N. Schneider², T. Csengeri³, H. Yahia⁴, R. Güsten³, G. Attuel⁴, A. Roy¹, R. Simon² ¹ Laboratoire d'astrophysique de Bordeaux, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, B18N, allée Geoffrey Saint-Hilaire, 33615 Pessac, France ² I. Physik. Institut, University of Cologne, 50937 Cologne, Germany ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany ⁴ INRIA, 200 Avenue de la Vieille Tour, 33405 Talence, France

Email: lars.bonne@u-bordeaux.fr; sylvain.bontemps@u-bordeaux.fr

Filamentary star formation

Herschel observations revealed the ubiquitous presence of interstellar filaments and their importance in star formation (e.g. [1],[2],[3]). It is proposed that they form by dissipation of kinetic energy in large scale magnetohydrodynamic flows through low-velocity magnetised shocks (C-shocks) and then fragment in prestellar cores after reaching a density threshold of ~ 16 M_☉/pc [4],[5].

There are indications that these filaments accrete mass through inflow of matter from the sides along the magnetic field (e.g. [6],[7]). However, direct evidence of such shocks is vital to confirm this scenario.





Excess in observations of mid-J CO lines

Some promising mid-J CO excess in nearby clouds and a distant IRDC was interpreted as turbulence dissipation from low-velocity shocks [8],[9],[10]. But there is the need to clearly associate this finding with dense structure formation.

Things to keep in mind when looking for turbulence dissipation shocks:

- Excess emission can also arise from photodissociation regions (PDRs)
- Both PDR and shock models are still uncertain (e.g., chemistry)

• There can be confusion with low-velocity shocks related to protostars It is thus mandatory to look for these accretion shocks in a controlled direction which is free of protostars and where filamentary accretion is expected.





 250μ m map of Musca [7]. The two red boxes are the 2' \times 2' maps that were observed with APEX to look for indications of shocks.

Fig 1 (left): The map for Musca obtained with the INRIA/Geostat analysis tool, where the white areas have singular local behaviour. This singular behaviour is observed along the entire Musca filament.

Musca: Singularities in non-linear local analysis

A good candidate for the detection of such accretion shocks is **Musca**. It is a nearby filament that is free of protostars and located in a region with an expected low UV radiation field. The filament also shows indications of inflow from the sides along the magnetic field via a network of striations and strands [7].

So in the proposed scenario, it should have such low-velocity accretion shocks with low PDR confusion and no confusion from protostellar outflow.

A non-linear local gradient analysis tool developed by the Bordeaux IN-RIA/Geostat team established singular areas along the entire Musca-filament compared to the normal behaviour of the multifractal structure of the region (Fig 1).

Proposed interpretation: turbulence dissipation due to filamentary accretion shocks [11].

Fig 3) Left: The lines observed with APEX, here averaged over the northern map, show an excess component in CO(3-2) and CO(4-3). **Right:** In red the column density profile obtained from Herschel at the northern position. The blue and green profiles show the expected line intensity for CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) based on the column density and temperature profile from Herschel. This was predicted using RADEX [13]. In yellow and magenta: the observed CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) intensities of the filament component with APEX. The vertical black lines indicate the area imaged with APEX.

APEX: FLASH observations

CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) were imaged with FLASH at 2 positions slightly off the crest of Musca (red boxes in **Fig 2**). This can be compared with $^{13}CO(2-1)$ and $C^{18}O(2-1)$ pointings towards Musca [12] to look for indications of shocks.

This comparison shows CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) spectra with two components, shown in **Fig 3**, which cover the entire mapped area at both positions. One component corresponds to the ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ and $C^{18}O(2-1)$ peak (filament). The second component has no correspondance (excess). There is also a ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ shoulder, which has a large $\frac{CO(4-3)}{CO(3-2)}$ ratio.

Filament + warm excess

We focus on the map in the north with a clean separation of the filament and excess components.

The intensities obtained for the filament component agree with RADEX estimates based on the Herschel column density and temperature profile, assuming a central gas temperature of 8.5 K (Fig 3).



Fig 4) RADEX input that is consistent with both CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) emission of the excess component while keeping the ${}^{13}CO(2-1)$ and $C^{18}O(2-1)$ emission sufficiently low.

Excess: PDR or shock?

What is the origin of the CO excess emission: PDR or shock?

 Seen at both positions, it could be a PDR over the entire cloud. But shocks should also occur along the entire filament. • RADEX suggests a layer with a relatively high density ($> 10^3$ cm⁻³) and temperature (> 60 K). This might fit better with shocks. • The narrow linewidth of the excess could be an interesting result for shocks. • What is the reason for the velocity offset of the excess and the shoulder: decelerating layer at the surface of the filament?

RADEX setups also provide consistent results for the excess, see **Fig 4**: - warm: generally > 60 K, and possibly even > 150 K. - low ¹²CO column density: 3e14 /cm⁻² < Σ_{12} _{CO} < 8e14 /cm⁻² - H₂ density: $> 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$

References

[1] André, P. et al. 2010, A&A, 518, 102; [2] Molinari, S. et al. 2010, A&A, 518, 100; [3] Hennemann, M. et al. 2012, A&A, 543, L3; [4] Arzoumanian, D. et al. 2011, A&A, 529, L6; [5] André, P. et al. 2014, PPVI; [6] Palmeirim, P. et al. 2013, A&A, 550, 38; [7] Cox, N. et al. 2016, A&A, 590, 110; [8] Pon, A. et al. 2014, A&A, 445, 1508; [9] Pon, A. et al. 2015, ApJ, 577, 75; [10] Larson, R. et al. 2015, ApJ, 806, 70; [11] Bontemps, S. et al. in prep.; [12] Hacar, A. et al., A&A, 587 A97; [13] van der Tak, F. et al. 2007, A&A, 468, 627;

Acknowledgements. We thank F. Wyrowski for performing the APEX observations. L.B., N.S. and A.R. acknowledge support by the french ANR and the german DFG through the project "GENESIS" (ANR-16-ČE92-0035-01/DFG1591/2-1). L.B also acknowledges support by the Région Nouvelle-Aquitaine. TO DO: a direct comparison with shock and PDR models, combine with scheduled SOFIA observations of low-velocity shock tracers, obtain a larger CO(3-2) and CO(4-3) map,...

If the CO excess emission is the result from shocks: a clean detection of filament formation through low-velocity shock dissipation of supersonic turbulence.