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Introduction

mSAT: a SAT solving library in OCaml. It solves the satisfiability of propositional clauses. It is Modular: the user provides the theory. And it produces formal proofs.

Conflict Driven Clause Learning

Propagation: If there exists a clause \( C = C' \lor a \), where \( C' \) is false in the partial model, then add \( a \rightarrow \bot \) to the partial model, and record \( C \) as the reason for \( a \).

Decision: Take an atom \( a \) that is not yet in the partial model, and add \( a \rightarrow \bot \) to the model.

Conflict: A conflict is a clause \( C \) that is false in the current partial model.

Analyze: Perform resolution between the analyzed clause and the reason behind the propagation of its most recently assigned literal, until the analyzed clause is suitable for backjumping.

Backjump: A clause is suitable for backjumping if its most recently assigned literal \( a \) is a decision. We can then backtrack to before the decision, and add the analyzed clause to the solver, which will then enable to propagate \( a \rightarrow \bot \).

SMT: Formulas using first-order theories can be handled using a theory.

Each formula propagated or decided is sent to the theory, which then has the duty to check whether the conjunction of all formulas seen so far is satisfiable, if not, it should return a theory tautology (as a clause), that is not satisfied in the current partial model.

Implementation

- Imperative design
- 2-watch literal
- Backtrackable theories (less demanding than immutable theories)

Features

- Functored design, using generative functors
- Local assumptions
- Model output and proof output (Coq, dot)

Solver Interface

```ocaml
module Make(Th: Theory_intf.S)() : sig
    type 'f slice = { start:int; length:int; get:int -> 'c } * 'p
    type ?assumptions:atom list -> unit -> res = Sat of formula sat_state
    type res = Sat of formula sat_state
    | Unsat of (clause, proof) unsat_state
    val assume : ?tag:int -> atom list list -> unit
    val current_level : unit -> level
    val backtrace : level -> unit
    val assume : formula slice -> (formula, proof) res
    val solve : ?assumptions:atom list -> unit -> res
end
```

Proof Generation

- Each clause records its "history" which is the clauses used during analyzing.
- Minimal impact on proof search (already done to compute unsat-core).
- Sufficient to rebuild the whole resolution tree.
- A proof is a clause and proof nodes are expanded on demand.
- → no memory issue
- Enables various proof outputs:
  - Dot/Graphviz (see example above)
  - Coq (and soon Dedukti) formal proofs

Other Solvers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAT</th>
<th>binary only</th>
<th>only pure SAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>minisat</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>C bindings</td>
<td>only pure SAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sattools</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>C bindings</td>
<td>only pure SAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ocaml-sat-solvers</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>C bindings</td>
<td>only pure SAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt-ergo</td>
<td>SMT</td>
<td>binary only</td>
<td>Fixed theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt-ergo-zero</td>
<td>SMT</td>
<td>OCaml lib</td>
<td>Fixed theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yices2</td>
<td>SMT</td>
<td>C bindings</td>
<td>Fixed theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Problem Example

Are the following hypotheses satisfiable?

- \( H_1: a = b \)
- \( H_2: b = c \lor b = d \)
- \( H_3: a \not< c \)
- \( H_4: a \not< c \)

Theory Interface

```ocaml
type (module type S = sig
    val assume : formula slice -> (formula, proof) res
    val current_level : unit -> level
    val backtrace : level -> unit
    type res = Sat of formula sat_state
    | Unsat of (clause, proof) unsat_state
    val solve : ?assumptions:atom list -> unit -> res
end

val assume : formula slice -> (formula, proof) res
val current_level : unit -> level
val backtrace : level -> unit
val assume : formula slice -> (formula, proof) res
val solve : ?assumptions:atom list -> unit -> res
```

Performances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alt-ergo-zero</th>
<th>mSAT</th>
<th>minisat</th>
<th>cryptominisat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(package)</td>
<td>(sattools)</td>
<td>(minisat)</td>
<td>(xissat)</td>
<td>(xissat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uu100 (100 pbs)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uu125 (100 pbs)</td>
<td>2.217</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uu150 (100 pbs)</td>
<td>67.563</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pigeon/hole6</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pigeon/hole7</td>
<td>4.257</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pigeon/hole8</td>
<td>31.450</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>2.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pigeon/hole9</td>
<td>timeout (600)</td>
<td>8.886</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>4.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pigeon/hole10</td>
<td>timeout (600)</td>
<td>161.478</td>
<td>9.579 (xissat)</td>
<td>160.376 (xissat)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>