## **Lecture Notes** in Business Information Processing

267

#### Series Editors

Wil M.P. van der Aalst

Eindhoven Technical University, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

John Mylopoulos

University of Trento, Trento, Italy

Michael Rosemann

Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Michael J. Shaw

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA

Clemens Szyperski

Microsoft Research, Redmond, WA, USA

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/7911

Jennifer Horkoff · Manfred A. Jeusfeld Anne Persson (Eds.)

# The Practice of Enterprise Modeling

9th IFIP WG 8.1. Working Conference, PoEM 2016 Skövde, Sweden, November 8–10, 2016 Proceedings



Editors
Jennifer Horkoff
City University London
London
UK

Manfred A. Jeusfeld University of Skövde Skövde Sweden Anne Persson University of Skövde Skövde Sweden

ISSN 1865-1348 ISSN 1865-1356 (electronic) Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing ISBN 978-3-319-48392-4 ISBN 978-3-319-48393-1 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-48393-1

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016955498

#### © IFIP International Federation for Information Processing 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

#### **Preface**

The 9th IFIP Working Conference on the Practice of Enterprise Modeling (PoEM 2016), was held during November 8–10 in Skövde, Sweden, hosted by the University of Skövde.

Enterprise modeling (EM) includes a set of activities by which knowledge about several perspectives of an organization is elicited, documented, analyzed, and communicated, typically through a structured, iterative, stakeholder-centric, and model-based approach. This way, the knowledge of the enterprise is made explicit and further actions can be performed, such as making strategic decisions, undertaking organizational reengineering, standardizing ways of working, developing or acquiring information and communication technology. As a consequence, EM has an impact on large economic markets such as consulting and information system development, making it a relevant field of research and industrial practice.

The PoEM conferences, starting in 2008, have contributed to establishing a dedicated forum where the use of EM in practice is addressed by bringing together researchers, users, and practitioners. The main focus of the PoEM conferences is EM methods, approaches, and tools as well as how they are used in practice. More specifically the goals of the conference are to contribute to a better understanding of the practice of EM, to contribute to improved EM practice, as well as to share knowledge among researchers and practitioners.

PoEM is supported by the IFIP WG8.1 and is a very interesting and dynamic event where new research challenges emerge from success and failure stories related to EM practices, and practitioners take the opportunity to learn about new EM methods and tools.

This year PoEM received 54 paper submissions covering a wide variety of EM topics. Each paper was evaluated by at least three members of our expert Program Committee members, providing constructive feedback. We were able to accept 18 full papers and nine short papers, all published in this volume. The acceptance rate for full papers was thus below 35%.

The conference audience enjoyed an excellent keynote by Prof. Robert Winter, from the Institute of Information Management, University of St. Gallen, Switzerland. Prof. Winter's talk was entitled "Establishing 'Architectural Thinking' in Organizations".

This year, the PoEM conference included two associated events, occurring on the first day. A Doctoral Consortium was organized to highlight upcoming EM doctoral research, providing students with valuable feedback. For the first time, PoEM hosted the OMI (Open Models Initiative) Symposium, a gathering to discuss and promote the result of the Erasmus+ project OMI that focusses on developing a shared repository of tools and meta-models for EM.

We hope that this PoEM conference contributed to further strengthening and integrating the field of EM. PoEM is a working conference. Hence, the focus lies on practical concepts, tools, and methods, as well as on the evaluation of the usefulness of

#### VI Preface

EM. However, we appreciate the community trend of identifying cross-links to related domains, such as requirements modeling.

To conclude, we would like to express our gratitude to a number of people who spent their time and energy in organizing and successfully running PoEM 2016. We would like to thank the Program Committee members and additional reviewers for their help in selecting the papers for the scientific program of the conference, the authors of the papers for their confidence in PoEM, and the presenters and session chairs for lively presentations and discussions. We are grateful to the PoEM Steering Committee chairs for their continuous assistance and the chairs of the doctoral consortium for creating an exciting event. Finally, we extend our gratitude to the local organizing team at the University of Skövde for their hospitality and for organizing this conference. We would also like to thank our colleagues in the local IT department and administration of the University of Skövde for their strong support and enthusiasm.

September 2016

Jennifer Horkoff Manfred A. Jeusfeld Anne Persson

#### **Organization**

#### **Steering Committee**

Anne Persson University of Skövde, Sweden Janis Stirna Stockholm University, Sweden Kurt Sandkuhl University of Rostock, Germany

**General Chair** 

Anne Persson University of Skövde, Sweden

**Program Chairs** 

Manfred A. Jeusfeld University of Skövde, Sweden Jennifer Horkoff City University London, UK

#### **Doctoral Consortium Chairs**

Eva Söderström University of Skövde, Sweden Kurt Sandkuhl University of Rostock, Germany

#### **Local Organizing Committee**

Joeri van Laere University of Skövde, Sweden Jesper Holgersson University of Skövde, Sweden Kristens Gudfinnsson University of Skövde, Sweden

#### **Program Committee**

Raian Ali

Marko Bajec

Judith Barrios Albornoz

Bournemouth University, UK

University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

University of Los Andes, Colombia

Giuseppe Berio Université de Bretagne Sud and IRISA UMR, France Robert Andrei Buchmann Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj Napoca, Romania Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Lithuania

Albertas Caplinskas Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Lithuania Tony Clark Middlesex University, UK

Wolfgang Deiters

Dulce Domingos

Winducsex University, OR

Fraunhofer ISST, Germany

Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

Ulrich Frank Universität of Duisburg Essen, Germany

Giovanni Giachetti Universidad Andres Bello, Chile

#### VIII Organization

Jaap Gordijn Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Jānis Grabis Riga Technical University, Latvia

Stijn Hoppenbrouwers HAN University of Applied Sciences, The Netherlands

Paul Johannesson Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

Håvard Jørgensen Commitment AS, Norway

Monika Kaczmarek University of Duisburg Essen, Germany

Dimitris Karagiannis University of Vienna, Austria
Lutz Kirchner Scape Consulting GmbH, Germany
Marite Kirikova Riga Technical University, Latvia

John Krogstie IDI, NTNU, Norway

Robert Lagerström Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden Birger Lantow University of Rostock, Germany

Ulrike Lechner Universität der Bundeswehr München, Germany

Pericles Loucopoulos The University of Manchester, UK

Florian Matthes Technische Universität München, Germany

Raimundas Matulevičius University of Tartu, Estonia Graham McLeod Inspired.org, South Africa

Christer Nellborn Nellborn Management Consulting AB, Sweden Selmin Nurcan Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France

Andreas L Opdahl University of Bergen, Norway

Oscar Pastor Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain

Anne Persson University of Skövde, Sweden Michaël Petit University of Namur, Belgium University of Manchester, UK

Henderik Proper Public Research Centre Henri Tudor, Luxembourg

Jolita Ralyté University of Geneva, Switzerland

Colette Rolland Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France

Kurt Sandkuhl University of Rostock, Germany Ulf Seigerroth Jönköping University, Sweden

Khurram Shahzad Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

Nikolay Shilov
Pnina Soffer
University of Haifa, Israel
Janis Stirna
Stockholm University, Sweden
Darijus Strasunskas
Eva Söderström
University of Skövde, Sweden

Victoria Torres Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain

Olegas Vasilecas Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania

Hans Weigand Tilburg University, The Netherlands
Robert Winter University of St. Gallen, Switzerland
Eric Yu University of Toronto, Canada
Jelena Zdravkovic Stockholm University, Sweden

#### **Additional Reviewers**

Aleatrati Khosroshahi, Pouya Blaschke, Michael Bock, Alexander Borchardt, Ulrike Bork, Dominik de Kinderen, Sybren Dännart, Sebastian Heumüller, Erich Holgersson, Jesper Huth, Dominik Kapocius, Kestutis Korman, Matus Marosin, Diana Normantas, Kestutis Pant, Vik Razo-Zapata, Iván S. Savickas, Titas Schilling, Raphael Tantouris, Nikolaos Uludag, Ömer Välja, Margus Walch, Michael

### Contents

Keynote	
Establishing 'Architectural Thinking' in Organizations	3
Regular Papers	
Causes and Consequences of Application Portfolio Complexity – An Exploratory Study	11
Enterprise 2.0 – Literature Taxonomy and Usage Evaluation	26
Towards Support for Strategic Decision Processes Using Enterprise  Models: A Critical Reconstruction of Strategy Analysis Tools	41
Strategic Enterprise Architectures	57
Data Journey Modelling: Predicting Risk for IT Developments	72
Data Model Development for Process Modeling Recommender Systems Michael Fellmann, Dirk Metzger, and Oliver Thomas	87
Value-Driven Risk Analysis of Coordination Models  Dan Ionita, Jaap Gordijn, Ahmed Seid Yesuf, and Roel Wieringa	102
A Semi-automated Method for Capturing Consumer Preferences for System Requirements	117
Scaffolding Stakeholder-Centric Enterprise Model Articulation Stefan Oppl and Stijn Hoppenbrouwers	133
An Artifact-Based Framework for Business-IT Misalignment Symptom Detection	148

Cooperation with Frenemies: Towards Modeling of Simultaneous  Cooperation and Competition Among Enterprises	164
Defining the Responsibility Space for the Information Systems  Evolution Steering	179
A Textual Description Based Approach to Process Matching	194
Securing Airline-Turnaround Processes Using Security Risk-Oriented Patterns	209
Enterprise Modelling for the Masses – From Elitist Discipline to Common Practice	225
Exploring and Conceptualising Software-Based Motivation Within Enterprise	241
Modeling Organizational Capabilities on a Strategic Level Janis Stirna, Jelena Zdravkovic, Martin Henkel, Pericles Loucopoulos, and Christina Stratigaki	257
Explorative Survey into Goals, Focus, Experiences and Extent of Enterprise-Wide Process Modelling in Practice	272
Short Papers	
Enterprise Modeling as a Decision Making Aid: A Systematic Mapping Study	289
The Value of Enterprise Modelling: Towards a Service-centric Perspective Martin Benkenstein, Michael Fellmann, Michael Leyer, and Kurt Sandkuhl	299
The Goal-Based Selection of the Business Process Modeling Language Ligita Businska and Marite Kirikova	307

Contents	AIII
A Toolbox Supporting Agile Modelling Method Engineering: ADOxx.org Modelling Method Conceptualization Environment	317
Using Attack-Defense Trees to Analyze Threats and Countermeasures in an ATM: A Case Study	326
Towards a Classification Framework for Approaches to Enterprise Architecture Analysis	335
Measuring and Visualising Projects' Collective Method Rationale  Fredrik Linander, Kai Wistrand, and Fredrik Karlsson	344
An Integrated Conceptual Model for Information System Security Risk Management and Enterprise Architecture Management Based on TOGAF Nicolas Mayer, Jocelyn Aubert, Eric Grandry, and Christophe Feltus	353
Separation of Modeling Principles and Design Principles in Enterprise Engineering	362
Author Index	375