

Requirements Gathering and Domain Understanding for Assistive Technology to Support Low Vision and Sighted Students

Stephanie Ludi

► To cite this version:

Stephanie Ludi. Requirements Gathering and Domain Understanding for Assistive Technology to Support Low Vision and Sighted Students. 1st and 2nd International Workshop on Usability- and Accessibility-Focused Requirements Engineering (UsARE 2012 / UsARE 2014), Jun 2012, Zurich, Switzerland. pp.117-132, 10.1007/978-3-319-45916-5_8. hal-01631317

HAL Id: hal-01631317 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01631317v1

Submitted on 9 Nov 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Requirements Gathering and Domain Understanding for Assistive Technology to Support Low Vision and Sighted Students

Stephanie Ludi

Department of Software Engineering, Department of Computer Science Rochester Institute of Technology Rochester, USA

salvse@rit.edu

Abstract. Accessibility often concerns compatibility with third-party software in order to meet the needs of users who are disabled. The AccessLecture/Math project seeks to transform the Apple iPad into a tool to make Math and Science class more accessible to visually impaired students. Accessing lecture material during lecture is a challenge to low vision students, in terms of the limited options that can be costly or can allow access only upon the completion of the lecture. This paper presents the strategies and techniques used to help the team gather the needs and tasks of math/science instructors and visually impaired students. These groups are distributed geographically and represent diverse constituencies. The analysis of the environment, user groups and the tasks related to the course lecture were modeled in order to ascertain domain knowledge and to specify the system's requirements.

Keywords. component; Accessibility, elicitation, visually impaired

1 Introduction

Imagine you have low vision and are enrolled in a math or science course. The instructor writes the course material on the whiteboard. You try to capture notes based on what is said by the instructor but you can't grasp the material until you get a copy notes after class. But after class it is too late to connect what the instructor is saying to the material he/she has written. This is the common state of Math and Science class for visually impaired students in the United States.

Access to science and math education is critical to facilitating science, math, engineering and technology careers. The goal of AccessLecture/Math is to develop a system that provides visually impaired students enrolled in secondary school and at the university level with greater access to science and math presentations in the classroom. The project leverages portable hardware, part of which attaches to a whiteboard that communicates with a computer that archives the lecture and broadcasts it to the tablet. This enables students with low vision to participate in math and science lectures, thus improving achievement. Students will use a portable tablet to enlarge and adjust the material as needed, navigate through material and refer to prior material and notes. The real-time presentation of material enables students to ask questions at the time the material and activities are presented. The AccessLecture/Math (AL/M) project has a hardware side and a software side. For the initial prototype, existing hardware will be used to capture lecture material. The focus of AL/M is the software side, where the whiteboard marker strokes are sent to the iPad for display, annotation, and archiving via a server (the instructor's station for the prototype).

The hardware used for the prototype is commercially available (Mimio Interactive) and uses wireless communication (between the pens and a bar placed on the whiteboard) and USB (between the bar and a computer). The proposed software will be developed for the iPad and will enable the student to use touch to zoom in/out of the captured whiteboard information and to navigate through the lecture material. Student notes are entered via a stylus or through an attached keyboard using USB or the iPad's keyboard.

This paper will present the diverse approaches used to elicit requirements and model both the user classes and tasks for AccessLecture/Math, including working with stakeholders with special needs (geographically distributed, visually impaired). Other research in the area focuses on developing software for use with other assistive technology (e.g. a screen reader), rather than the development of assistive technology itself [15, 16]. The methods used in this paper take elicitation and modeling techniques and applies them to an assistive tool that has two primary user groups.

AL/M users consist of Math and Science instructors and of the low vision students enrolled in their classes. In order to meet the needs of students and instructors, detailed surveys and interviews were conducted at both the secondary school level and university level. The information and process used to attain the user needs, task and environmental information is used to devise detailed user and task profiles. The analysis results have implications for the design and testing of the AccessLecture/Math system itself.

2 Background

STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math) professionals remain in demand and careers in computing have been identified as professions that will continue to be in high demand for years to come [3]. However, a gap exists in the participation by students with disabilities, particularly with the approximately 93,400 students with visual impairments currently in US schools. The proposed project seeks to better prepare visually impaired students by increasing their access to science and math lecture material. The current lack of access is seen as contributing to the lack of career options for students who are visually impaired [12].

Unlike the statistical data in STEM that is gathered for female and ethnic minority students, very little information is available on the achievement and participation of students with disabilities [7]. The gap is intensified in terms of information on students in specific disability groups, such as students with visual impairments as at best students with disabilities are all grouped together statistically. The 2000 Report of the Congressional Commission on the Advancement of Women and Minorities in Science, Engineering and Technology Development identifies the lack of precollege

preparation for students with disabilities [7]. This lack of preparation shows in high school science and math classrooms, subjects in which students with disabilities take fewer courses, and, when enrolled, typically receive lower grades than students without disabilities [7]. To compound the problems that arise from the visual nature of instruction, often traditional lecture or the addition of active learning components, the visual display of problems and issues with gaining immediate access to the material while instruction is occurring makes math and science content difficult for students with visual impairments to interpret. Students often receive notes after class. The 2000 Report of the Congressional Commission on the Advancement of Women and Minorities in Science, Engineering and Technology Development explored issues throughout the pipeline for women, minorities and people with disabilities. The report states that the Commission favors precollege reform efforts focusing on better preparation, support, and the professional development of teachers [7]. The AccessLecture/Math project focuses on the need for better support, in terms of assistive technology to facilitate the real-time presentation of lecture material to support the learning process and facilitate participation in lecture.

Among all working-age legally blind Americans, the unemployment rate is approximately 70% [2]. Regardless of visual acuity, a factor contributing to the lack of preparation for the workplace is education. According to American Foundation for the Blind statistics, approximately 93,600 school age children are visually impaired or blind [2]. The high school completion rates vary by race disproportionately to the rate of visual impairment where visually impaired students who are white, African-American and Hispanic graduate with at least a high school education at 62%, 41% and 44%, respectively [2]. Of those who graduated from high school, students who are legally blind are as likely to have completed some college courses as their sighted counterparts; however, students who are visually impaired are less likely to graduate from college [2].

The exact numbers of students with visual impairments who pursue STEM education is not known, but anecdotal evidence suggests the numbers are very low, as statistics either are only filtered for gender and ethnicity or all disability groups are combined. Academic preparation in science and math courses that channel students into STEM careers is low in part due to the difficulty in accessing information, especially the highly abstract and visually oriented information [11]. Many concepts in math and science are highly visual, with diagrams, graphs and equations that are difficult to explain in static text and the dynamic changes are difficult to capture and interpret in isolation. As such, science and math achievement by young students who are visually impaired is less than that of their sighted peers. While groups such as the National Federation of the Blind [9] offer outreach efforts to encourage young people to pursue STEM, such pioneering efforts are sorely in need of the follow-up and classroom support to provide young people the tools to ultimately pursuit STEM careers. Much research focuses on the blind rather than the visually impaired, which includes those with low vision. For example, the audio depiction of information such as math through lecture or calculators has been investigated [6].

The AccessLecture/Math project focuses on the presentation of material conveyed in lecture. Others have explored lecture in terms of distance education such as embedding text-to-speech features in slides used in web-based lecture [4, 10, 13]. In terms of science instruction, research has shown the importance of involving visually impaired students in the classroom experience beyond contrived, trivial experiences/activities that do not challenge the student or include them in the experiences that the rest of the class experiences [5]. AccessLecture/Math seeks to address this gap, in terms of access to material presented on the whiteboard during lecture, enabling the student to ask questions at the time of presentation and participate in class activities that rely on presented material. As such the focus on real-time presentation to low vision students is innovative.

The AccessLecture/Math project focuses on the needs of students who are visually impaired, specifically those with functional, low vision. The phrase, visually impaired, encompasses the spectrum of impaired vision. The term "legally blind" is defined through US federal law, referring "central visual acuity of 20/200 or less in the better eye with the best possible correction, as measured on a Snellen vision chart, or a visual field of 20 degrees or less" [2]. As of 1995, approximately 1.3 million Americans are considered legally blind [8]. "Of these individuals, 80% (1,040,000) had some "useful vision" (a rate of 40 per 1,000). The other 20% (260,000) had only light perception or less vision (a rate of 1 per 1,000). Half of these individuals were totally blind (130,000), that is, had no light perception (a rate of 0.5 per 10,000)." [9] The range that this project will focus on is that of students with vision of 20/100 to 20/800, corrected.

Reaching representative users, in this case secondary-school students (grades 6 - 12) as well as university students is critical in order to provide a usable and useful, accessible system. By targeting students who are low vision, we propose to prototype a solution that supports both increased math and science achievement, and thus increased choices for students in their future endeavors.

AccessLecture/Math may also help Math, Science, and itinerant (VI Support) teachers with strategies to support low vision students. Presentation of math and science material is significant in terms of accessible textbooks, lecture material, or class activities. The AL/M system seeks to enable educators to move from the challenges of material presentation to deeper concept understanding and linking understanding to the material (ultimately with student annotation features that are out of scope in this proposal). Students can have more ownership over their learning and the ability to ask questions based on the immediate material in class, while educators can provide more immediate feedback to visually impaired students in class. Currently this is a difficult dialog to attain either via extensive enlargement of printed material (which may not be exactly what the teacher is presenting on the whiteboard) or through notes that the student must view after class. Current approaches to supporting students who are visually impaired in the classroom consist of note taking by another student or an in-class aide, possibly alongside recording the lecture. The use of tactile tablets and other assistive technologies are very expensive and require extensive teacher training. A study publicized in the Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness studied the use of various assistive technologies used by teachers in the classroom [1]. The study showed that a majority of teachers are not prepared to use such technologies and thus students are impacted. The AccessLecture/Math system will leverage the skill of writing on the whiteboard, which is common in most math and science classrooms. The intended result will be for little to no training on the teacher's part in terms of presenting material on the whiteboard. Such low overhead will be important for teacher buy-in to use the system.

3 Survey and Interview Design

The population of visually impaired students is a diverse group that is distributed in low numbers across the US. In addition to the socioeconomic diversity of students in general, the varied characteristics include:

- Year in school (for secondary and university students)
- Major (university students only)
- Gender
- Geographical location
- Math education experience
- · Degree of visual impairment and implications on the classroom experience
- · Experience with classroom accommodations and instructional settings

Two factors, geographical location and the degree of visual impairment's bearing on accommodations to read print, lead to the search for and design of an accessible online survey. A survey was designed over an interview due to the number of participants and the difficulty in scheduling interviews between student participants in different time zones and the student researchers who would have interviewed the participants. If the scheduling issue did not exist, then interviews would have been a preferred method to explore the participant experiences. Many researchers conduct surveys, but we required an online survey that can accommodate participants who use assistive technology (e.g. screen readers, magnification software). At the time, the best solution that allowed for complex, branching surveys and produced useful reports was the Surveymonkey.com service.

A survey was developed to capture students' pre-college (middle school and high school) and university experience in Math and Science courses. The university students' majors were not important given that each student would have had several pre-college Math and Science courses in order to be admitted to their university and non-STEM majors require some college level Math and Science courses. This approach provides a wide view of the varied pre-college and university campuses that the students have attended. The diversity includes rural, urban, and sub-urban campuses in different socio-economic levels across the United States.

3.1 Student Survey Design and Sampling

The design of the survey entailed the questions as well as the answer mechanisms. The survey system selected is accessible to students with low vision who may need to use magnification software or screen readers. Survey services such as SurveyMonkey or RIT's survey service (Clipboard) has a wide array of question types. Although the on-campus survey service was selected due to its commitment to accessibility, careful question type selected was needed in order to balance the type of feedback needed with ease of answering for the participants. The only question type that is considered difficulty to answer is where the respondent needs to drag and drop items in order to present their ranked list. Due to the fact that persons who are blind do not use a mouse, the ranked list type of question is not accessible. However open-ended questions, multiple or single selection questions, or those with a drop-down list are accessible to all users since the questions can be navigated with a keyboard solely if need be.

The questions themselves were divided into general demographic questions, reflection on pre-college math and science classes, and their experience in university math and science classes. For both pre-college and university courses, questions asked about:

- General Information: major in college, year in university, experience with touch screen, multitouch, and smartphone devices
- Pre-college Specific Information: served by an IEP (Individualized Education Program)
- Pre-college and University Specific Information: classes taken in math and science, accommodations used and those that were helpful in accessing material, difficulties encountered in class due to vision-related issues, issues with getting accommodations and working with instructors, assistive technology used and interest level in trying AccessLecture/Math in their Math and Science classes

Gathering information about the diverse backgrounds of students with visual impairments from the students themselves is critical due to the diverse experiences and access to resources that impact their educational experience (in this case in Math and Science). In addition, students' individual experiences and access to resources and support often varies as they progress from one school to another, as well as between K12 schools and the university setting. Part of the variance is due to the more rigorous legal mandates set for pre-college schools.

The survey was primarily of closed-ended questions (single/multiple selection and Likert scale), with some open-ended questions where students could elaborate on answers or provide information on accommodations or issues with them. The focus on the survey is to assess current class accommodations and issues rather than taking an inventory of visual impairments. The team wanted the survey participants to feel comfortable reflecting on their classroom experiences and related technology experience.

The survey was conducted online, through the use of an on-campus survey resource that is accessible. Students in the sample were contacted through the Disabled Student Services office (or related services) at their respective institutions. The survey was shared with three American universities varying in size and location but whose minimum size is 15,000 students in order to provide a likelihood of a critical mass of students with visual impairments. The team also found that larger universities were more likely to have a larger number of students with visual impairments due to the support infrastructure that is in place to support students with various disabilities.

Eleven students responded to the survey. The students represented a variety of majors including English, Mechanical Engineering, Psychology, and Computer Science. All but one student was an undergraduate student. The analysis of the results will follow.

3.2 Instructor Interview Design and Sampling

The resources and infrastructure in both the pre-college and university settings vary across the US. As such, the team needed to gather environmental and instructional information from the educator's perspective. Due to the need to gather the idea of the broad landscape for instruction and interaction, the educators did not necessarily need to have worked with students who are visually impaired (though some coverage was sought). Also, the instructor participants were not the instructors who taught any of the student participants.

The interview approach was taken after the team found that instructors did not want to take surveys. Instead instructors wanted to be interviewed either on the phone or in-person by the student researchers (depending on the instructor's location and availability). Interviews also had the advantage of allowing for the exploration of topics in-depth in a semi-structured manner.

The questions focused the following areas of the educator's day-to-day experiences:

- Description of the classroom environment
- Use of instructional technology in the classroom
- · Teaching and lecture style in content presentation and how technology is used
- Writing style when conveying material on the board (directionality)
- Experience in working with visually impaired students in terms of strategies and logistics rather than student performance
- Division of lecture to recitation/lab
- Degree of access that students are given to teacher notes
- Willingness to allow visually impaired students to use an iPad in class

The interview was highly structured though primarily open-ended questions to enable the instructors to elaborate on their style, preference, and experience. The researchers followed a consistent process for conducting the interviews in terms of question coverage.

Interviews were conducted with eight Math, Science, and Computer Science instructors across the middle school, high school and university level. The student researchers contacted past instructors, in addition to other instructors that they located on departmental Website at those schools. The middle and high school instructors are from the Northeast region, representing both urban/suburban and rural schools. Most of the instructors had no experience with working with students with low vision. A couple of the instructors had experience working with one or two students with low vision over the course of several years.

The pre-college instructors were as open with their answers as the university instructors. As the instructors were self-selected there was little surprise that even when no experience existed with working with visually impaired students, the instructors were generally amenable to accommodating these students. The one exception was one university-level computer science instructor who was less amendable and was against the use of the system. While further analysis would provide more data from computer science instructors, the participant's views were noted as being representative of his personality than of computer science instructors as a population. Given that personal experience of some of the authors in seeking accommodations, most university computer science instructors are have a more positive attitude. The analysis of the results will follow.

4 Domain Analysis

Complimentary to gathering information about the students and instructors, information also needs to be gathered regarding the domain of math and science education, specifically the classroom environment and related education concepts. The constraints are middle school, high school, and university level math and science education. Middle school is considered to be in grades 6 to 8, where students are typically 11-14 years old. High school is considered to be grades 9 to 12, where students are between the ages of 14 to 18.

Student researchers gathered information about environmental and presentations factors that are relevant to understanding the users and their tasks, in addition to the deployment of AccessLecture/Math.

4.1 Classroom Environment

Educational settings are varied in terms of several organizational, logistical and environmental factors. The factors were determined initially by taking an inventory of the environmental components in a typical classroom at Rochester Institute of Technology. The student members of the research team added additional factors. As a partial bottom-up approach, some factors (e.g. chalkboards, use of interactive whiteboards such as Smartboard and document projects such as the ELMO) were added during analysis of the instructor interview data. Environmental components include:

- · Student capacity
- Type and number of chalkboards/whiteboards, and whether the boards are movable (generally vertically)
- Use and placement of a projector, Smartboard, or related technology

- Types of desks (full desk, half desk, tables)
- Location of the instructor's desk/table
- Location of electrical outlets
- Whether there is wireless communication available to the students
- Duration of the class meeting and how often the class meets per week

Due to the diverse nature of the classroom, for pre-college or college use, instructors and students alike were asked about their learning environment in math and science classes. Both perspectives are needed as part of user and task analysis. Each group was questioned separately since the students were not expected to be enrolled in the classes of the instructors who were interviewed.

The results of the interviews are presented in Table 1, where pre-college and college results are categorized.

The common use of chalkboards in pre-college courses was a surprise to the author, but the student researchers were not as surprised given their own experiences.

4.2 Classroom Presentation

In addition to the structure of the learning environment, there are constraints and factors that impact the students' learning experience in the classroom. The factors were selected in terms of those that would impact the design and use of the AccessLecture/Math system. They include:

- Extent and Manner to Which Chalkboard or Whiteboard is Used
- Use of a projector, Smartboard, or related technology
- Style of Instruction
- What Type of Material is Written
- Type of Information Written on the Board
- Classroom Activities, including Teamwork
- Use of PowerPoint, and If Whether Slides are Shared

Instructors were asked specifically about their teaching style while students were asked about the presentation of class material. Both perspectives were needed as part of user and task analysis, in order capture classroom instructor diversity across the many courses that instructors teach and that students are enrolled in over time.

The results of the interviews are presented in Table 2.

Factor	Pre-College	College Classrooms
	Classrooms	Concec Classi collis
Student Capacity	Typically 15-35 students	Often between 15 – 50 students, though some schools may use larger lecture halls
Use & Layout of Chalkboard or Whiteboard	Chalkboards more common in middle school; typically the boards are at the front of the room only; some science classroom boards are vertically moveable;	Some classrooms may have chalkboards, but most have white- boards; Boards are in front of room and often on at least one side, though use of front boards most common; In science class or large lecture halls, some boards are vertically moveable
Place of Projector or other technology	Usually present in more affluent schools, LCD Projector may be on a cart or mounted to ceiling, Smartboards more common in affluent schools, ELMO's may be present	LCD projector is of- ten present, usually mounted in middle; Smartboards and ELMO's usually not present
Types of Desks	Typically full desks or half desks; some science classes have table seating	Often depends on size of room or age of school; lecture halls often have stadium like seating with half-desks or tables; traditional classrooms often have half desks
Place of Instructor Desk	Front of room, to one side; usually a desk, often has an instructor computer; the room is generally the instructor's room	Front of room, typi- cally to one side; often has a table setup where the instructor connects laptop, other equipment; the room is typically shared with other classes

 Table 1. Classroom environment interview results.

Factor	Pre-College Classrooms	College Classrooms
Location of Electrical Outlets	Varies greatly, but usually at front of the room and on some side walls	Varies greatly, at least at the front of the room; sometimes stu- dent tables may have their own outlets
Duration of Class Meeting	Depends on course scheduling; most often 1 hour every day or 1.5 -2 hours 3 times per week	Depends on course scheduling; most often either 1 hour 3-4 times per week or 2 hours for 2 times per week
Availability of Wireless for Students	Usually at more affluent schools, though many schools can at least accommodate wireless needs for assistive technology	Usually at least asso- ciated with specific buildings, often the science and technology department buildings; many schools are either entirely wireless or increasing coverage

The classroom presentation of material is often dependent on the individual instructor's teaching style.

Factor	Pre-College Students	College Students
Factor Use of Chalkboard or Whiteboard Use of Technology	Pre-College Students The common means o formation Some teachers use overhead projectors and document projectors, but most write on the board; Some science classes project diagrams; Use of interactive whiteboards is not common in most schools	f conveying class in- LCD projects are the most com- mon in order to display any Power- Point slides or diagrams. Most material is still written on the board. Some Math
		classes do use PowerPoint slides, but that is not common.
Style of Instruction	Lecture is common; science labs are at	Primary Lec- ture, labs/recitation

 Table 2. Classroom presentation interview results.

Factor	Pre-College Students	College Students
	designated times	are separate meet- ings; Some instruc- tors have group activities during lecture
TypeofWrittenMaterialTypeofWrittenInformationon Board	Textual material and d ence courses contain mor including annotating proj Course material, annot questions	lrawn diagrams; Sci- e elaborate diagrams, ected diagrams uncements, quiz/lab
Classroom Activities, including Teamwork	When conducted, often integrated in class rather than a separate meeting; the exception of some science class labs	Some instructors have short in-class activities with partners or small teams during class, but many of the group-based activi- ties are kept for special class recita- tion or lab meetings
Use of PowerPoint and Extent of Slide Sharing	When used, the slides generally not shared with students though instructors were will do share them with low vision students	When used, in- structors often shared the slides on class websites; may be before or after class depending on teacher but they were willing to share with low vision students

5 User Analysis

With the student surveys and additional research on visual impairments as input, the need to construct the potential users' profile is needed to better meet the needs of prospective users. While some overlap exists, specific needs and characteristics do separate pre-college and university students. The primary focus is on the students, as they will be interacting with the AccessLecture/Math system on the iPad as the primary user. Educators are analyzed separately given that they will be writing on the board using the same process that they would otherwise (the whiteboard marker and eraser will have sensors attached).

Table 3. Student User Analysis.

_		
Factor	Pre-College Students	College Students
Age	In general, typical age for grade – Middle School: 11-14, High School: 14-18	Typically 18 and older
Cognitive Developme nt	Generally able to complete grade level work or greater, though some remediation may exist	High enough to enroll in university, even though some remedial classes may be needed
Visual Acuity	Visual acuity of 20/200-2	
Experience with Own Visual Impairment	Visual impairment may recent; may be stale or pr	ogressive
Experience with Tablets, Laptops, or Smartphon es	More experience with la college have experien (iPhone in particular)	ce with smartphones
Accommod ations in Class	Longer test time, use of aids to view class material are most common	
Use of Assistive Technology	CCTV, handheld magnifier, laptop, enlarged/large print and electronic course materials are most common	CCTV, handheld magnifier, laptop, large print and electronic course materials, in class note takers are most common
Common Difficulties in Class	Not able to discern material on the board,	Not able to discern material on the board,
Preferences for System	Is portable, fits in backpack, accessibility features can be selected, can be used at home, does not make student stand out with peers.	Is portable, fits in backpack, lightweight, long battery life, customized accessibility feature (fonts, substitute colors)
Frequency of Use of AL/M in class	Nearly every class meeti other courses as well.	ng; sees possible use in

The general user analysis characteristics are based on those presented in [14]. Additional characteristics were selected based on the needs of visually impaired students. User profiles were not used due to the differences in visual impairment, but the categorization of characteristics is similar. Table 3 presents the user analysis

The ranges of skills and characteristics present in the student's user profile reflect those collected in the student surveys. Subsequent user interface design feedback sessions will provide follow-up opportunities for more detailed student visual characteristics and accessibility preferences for the tablet-based/multitouch system. Beyond the user profiles themselves, several nonfunctional requirements are derived, including:

- Students with visual acuity of 20/200 to 20/800 must be able to read content and navigate the user interface independently.
- The text within the user interface must be understandable by a user who reads at the sixth grade level.
- The icons used within the user interface must be high contrast and flat (rather than 3D or realistically styled).
- The user must be able to choose between high contrast, color icons or black and white icons.
- The user must be able to adjust the visual display to their preferences and those preferences must be persistent across sessions.
- Notes taken by the student must be in a font size that is readable and adjustable.
- The system software must be able to be used independently by the student, assuming no other disabilities.
- The system (hardware) must be portable in terms of weight (less than 6 pounds) and footprint so that a typical user can carry the system from class to class if needed either in their backpack or similar case.
- The system (hardware) must be usable throughout the day with no more than 1 charging period.
- The system software must be compatible with iOS accessibility features.

6 Task Analysis

Upon analysis of the student user class and the elicitation, a workflow of the tasks of conducting a class lecture is needed in order to target specific aspects of instruction where AccessLecture/Math fits. The details and flow of the Conveying Material (Educator) task and the Attending Lecture (Student) tasks were elaborated using the Hierarchical Task Analysis (HTA) approach shown below.

- 0. Conveying Material (Educator)
 - 1. Before Class
 - 1.1. Preparing Lecture Notes with Text, Formulae, Diagrams
 - 1.1.1. Lecture Material
 - 1.1.2. Course Announcements
 - 1.1.3. Quiz or Activity Questions
 - 1.2. Preparing PowerPoint slides or Diagram
 - 1.3. Prepare Class Handouts

- 1.4. Post Slides or Notes to Course Webpage
 - 2. During Class
- 2.1. Make class announcements or reminders
- 2.2. Go over questions from homework
- 2.3. Present course material, while speaking
 - 2.3.1. Writing on board
 - 2.3.1.1. Left to right, top to bottom across all contiguous boards
 - 2.3.1.2. Left to right, top to bottom on each board, before moving across to the next one
 - 2.3.1.3. Erase material.
 - 2.3.2. Projecting diagram and writing on it
 - 2.3.3. Drawing diagram
- 2.4. Conduct a quiz or in-class activity
- 2.5. Answer questions from students
 - 3. After Class, post slides or notes to course webpage

Plan 0: Do steps 1, 2, and 3 in that order

Plan 1: At minimum, complete step 1.1. Steps 1.2 through 1.4 are optional, where steps 1.2 and 1.3 can be completed in any order. If step 1.4 is completed, it is last.

Plan 1.1: Step 1.1.1 is required to whatever depth the instructor wishes. Steps 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 are optional. If the multiple steps are completed, order is not important.

Plan 2: Step 2.1 is usually completed first and often repeated at the end of class. Steps 2.2 is optional, but if completed is usually completed next. Step 2.3 is next, optionally interrupted by the optional steps 2.4 and 2.5.

Plan 2.3: Steps 2.3.1, 2.3.2, and 2.3.3 are completed in any order and to the degree needed by the instructor for a given class meeting.

Plan 2.3.1: Style can be any combination of 2.3.1.1 or 2.3.1.2, though it is usually one or the other. Do step 2.3.1.3 as needed to correct mistakes or for space needs.

The counterpart to the teacher's perspective is the student perspective, shown below:

- 0. Attend Lecture (Student)
 - 1. Setup
 - 1.1. Place course text on desk
 - 1.2. Place note taking materials on desk
 - 1.3. Setup assistive technology (e.g. CCTV, magnifier, etc.)
 - 2. Listen to lecture and write down notes, mark information that is particularly important (for review)
 - 3. View lecture and write down notes, mark information that is particularly important (for review)
 - 4. Ask questions
 - 5. Take quiz
 - 6. Work with partner/team on in-class activity
 - 7. Pick up notes from note taker

Plan 0: Complete 1.1 first. Complete steps 2 and 3 where possible. Steps 4 - 6 are optional, and are completed depending on how class is conducted (step 5 and 6) and if student feels comfortable asking a question (step 4). If a note taker is used, complete step 7 after class (most likely). If an in-class aide is writing down the lecture material in front of the student in a large font, step 3 will be easier. Plan 1: Steps 1.1 and 1.3 are optional. Complete step 1.2.

The focus of the task analysis is the in-class activities, excluding preparation and grading activities due to the proposed system integration into the lecture itself. The educator's task is more complicated than the student's task in terms in terms of the time needed to complete. However the student's task has different nuances depending on the assistive technology used that are not cleanly captured in the HTA. This demonstrates the need to use the student user profile as a compliment to the HTA. Both have implications on the design of AccessLecture/Math.

7 Implications on Requirements and Design

In addition to understanding the prospective users, their needs and tasks, the elicited information has direct implications on the system features and the system design. The user interface and the system architecture must meet the student needs in order to be successful.

AccessLecture/Math's high-level features are focused on the student's (iPad) interface. The features for the instructor are out of scope for this paper. Student features include:

- The student shall zoom in and out of the displayed material as desired using a pinch and zoom gesture.
- The student shall navigate the view of the board while zoomed in or out using a finger or stylus.
- The student shall center their view on the currently active part of the written material, when desired.
- The system shall snap to the current place where the instructor is writing/erasing on the whiteboard by default.
- The recorded lecture session shall include the video of the strokes/erasure and audio of the instructor.
- The system shall provide time shifting during the recording, to enable the student to rewind the material (including notes) during a lecture or after a lecture.
- The student shall flag parts of the lecture to denote the presence of notes.
- The student shall be able to bookmark spots in the lecture for later reference.

Features focus on the real-time access to content in class and to the related note taking/studying tasks. These features are mostly stated in a user-focused manner,

The features must be complemented by nonfunctional requirements, including usability and accessibility requirements. Other requirements such as performance and security requirements are also documented. Several of the usability requirements are derived from the student surveys and instructor interviews, as reflected in the user profile and HTA's.

The detailed usability requirements follow additional best practices for requirement specification in terms of stating relevant benchmarks. The list above presents demonstrates the scope of the usability needs in terms of accessibility, productivity, ease of learning, ease of recall, understandability, and user satisfaction. Each of these requirements will be tested to the same level of rigor as the features, given that the lack of usability will result in a system that will not be used or could possibly impact student performance in a class.

The analysis and resulting requirements have direct implications on the design of AccessLecture/Math. This work is ongoing as the team also becomes familiar with the technologies. Some implications directly involve the iOS libraries to be used, with architectural implications. The most notable aspects of the system design are modularity, adherence to standards, and the separation of concerns (specifically the user interface from the underlying processing and storage). AccessLecture/Math will be evolving over time, with multiple rounds of user testing and with trials of the feasibility of various hardware. As such flexibility and maintainability are critical, where the modularity and separations of concerns will be appreciated. The adherence to Apple's iPad/iPhone Human Interface Guidelines will aid in the user experience. As the design activities move forward, the mapping of the usability and accessibility features are a driver for the system's architecture.

8 Conclusions and Future Work

The information gathered during domain exploration and requirement elicitation has kept the team grounded in the students' needs. Since each student's classroom experience can vary due to prior history, visual characteristics, and the style of instruction, the study of this variety has been helpful in acquiring a big picture view. Some members have personal experience in such accommodations, but the activities completed gave the team a wide view of the student diversity, the needs of the educators, and the constraints of the classroom environment. The data gathering will give a benchmark for comparison later with the usability test participants.

The user profiles and task analysis will be revisited throughout the design and testing activities to ensure that the needs of the students are met while unobtrusively integrating the AccessLecture/Math system. Testing will be conducted for both students and educators. The status of the system is currently design and initial usability testing to determine the preferred interaction schemes. The tasks of text entry for note taking and screen navigation with multitouch are being evaluated before the overall iPad system design is completed.

9 Acknowledgment

Thank you to the CRA (Computing Research Association) for supporting Alex Canter and Lindsey Ellis' efforts as part of the CREU (Collaborative Research Experiences for Undergraduates) program. This project also receives support from the National Science Foundation (Award # IIS-1218801)

10 References

- G. Abner, and E. Lahm. "Implementation of assistive technology with students who are visually impaired: teachers' readiness", Journal of Visually Impairment and Blindness. 2005.
- American Foundation for the Blind, "Statistics and sources for professionals", http://www.afb.org/section.asp?SectionID=15&DocumentID=1367
- 3. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Tomorrow's jobs", http://www.bls.gov/oco/oco2003.htm
- B. Davison, and B. N. Walker. "Sonification sandbox overhaul: software standard for auditory graphs". In Proceedings of the International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD'07). pp. 386—390, 2007.
- 5. W. J. Fraser, and M. O. Maguvhe. 'Teaching life sciences to blind and visually impaired learners', Journal of Biological Education, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 84-89. 2008.
- J. A. Gardner. "The accessible graphing calculator: a self-voicing graphing scientific calculator for windows". http://dots.physics.orst.edu/calculator/. 1999.
- National Science Foundation. "Land of plenty diversity as america's competitive edge in science, engineering and technology: report of the congressional commission on the advancement of women and minorities in science, engineering and technology development" September 2000, http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2000/cawmset0409/cawmset_0409.pdf
- 8. National Eye Institute, "Statistics on blindness in the model reporting area, 1969-70,"(Publication No. [NIH] 73-427).
- 9. National Federation of the Blind, http://www.nfb.org/nfbrti/programs.htm
- S. Rughooputh, and M. Santally. "Integrating text-to-speech software into pedagogically sound teaching and learning scenarios", Journal of Educational Technology Research and Development, Springer, Boston, Volume 57, Number 1, pp. 131-145. 2009.
- 11. A. C. Smith, J. M. Francioni, and S. D. Matzek. "A java programming tool for Students with visual disabilities," in proceedings of Assets 2000, Washington D.C., November 2000.
- 12. P. Stanley. "Assessing the mathematics related communication requirements of the blind in education and career" in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, Berlin, Vol. 5105/2008. 2008.
- B. Walkter, and Mauney, "Universal design of auditory graphs: a Comparison of sonification mappings for visually impaired and sighted listeners", ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS), Volume 2, Issue 3. 2010.
- S. Heim. The resonant interface: HCI foundations for interaction design. Addison Wesley; New York. 2007.
- P. Brunet, B. A. Feigenbaum, K. Harris, C. Laws, R. Schwerdtfeger, and L. Weiss. "Accessibility requirements for systems design to accommodate users with vision impairments." IBM Systems Journal, pp. 445-465. 2005.

Al Khanifer, A., Ludi. S. (2014, August), Towards a Situation Awareness Design to Improve Visually Impaired Orientation in Unfamiliar Buildings: Requirements Elicitation Study, 22nd International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE), Karlskrona, Sweden.