

# A data warehouse to explore multidimensional simulated data from a spatially distributed agro-hydrological model to improve catchment nitrogen management

Tassadit Bouadi, Marie-Odile Cordier, Pierre Moreau, René Quiniou, Jordy Salmon-Monviola, Chantal Gascuel

# ▶ To cite this version:

Tassadit Bouadi, Marie-Odile Cordier, Pierre Moreau, René Quiniou, Jordy Salmon-Monviola, et al.. A data warehouse to explore multidimensional simulated data from a spatially distributed agro-hydrological model to improve catchment nitrogen management. Environmental Modelling and Software, 2017, 97, pp.229 - 242. 10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.07.019. hal-01597840

# HAL Id: hal-01597840 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01597840v1

Submitted on 29 Sep 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	A data warehouse to explore multidimensional simulated data from a spatially
2	distributed agro-hydrological model to improve catchment nitrogen management
3	
4	Tassadit Bouadi <sup>a</sup> , Marie-Odile Cordier <sup>a</sup> , Pierre Moreau <sup>b</sup> , René Quiniou <sup>c</sup> , Jordy Salmon-
5	Monviola <sup>b</sup> , Chantal Gascuel-Odoux <sup>b</sup>
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> University of Rennes 1, IRISA, F-35042 Rennes, France
8	<sup>b</sup> UMR 1069, Soil Agro and hydroSystem, INRA, Agrocampus Ouest, F-35000 Rennes, France
9	<sup>c</sup> INRIA Bretagne Atlantique, IRISA, F-35042 Rennes, France
10	
11	Highlights
12	• A data warehouse (DW) as a tool to explore simulated agro-environmental data
13	• N-Catch as an example of a DW for analyzing N emissions across a catchment
14	DWs for catchment N management
15	

#### 16 Abstract

#### 17

Spatially distributed agro-hydrological models allow researchers and stakeholders to 18 represent, understand and formulate hypotheses about the functioning of agro-environmental 19 20 systems and to predict their evolution. These models have guided agricultural management by simulating effects of landscape structure, farming system changes and their spatial arrangement 21 22 on stream water quality. Such models generate many intermediate results that should be managed, analyzed and transformed into usable information. We describe a data warehouse (N-23 24 Catch) built to store and analyze simulation data from the spatially distributed agro-hydrological model TNT2. We present scientific challenges to and tools for building data warehouses and 25 26 describe the three dimensions of N-Catch: space, time and an original hierarchical description of cropping systems. We show how to use OLAP to explore and extract all kinds of useful high-27 28 level information by aggregating the data along these three dimensions and how to facilitate 29 exploration of the spatial dimension by coupling N-Catch with GIS. Such tool constitutes an efficient interface between science and society, simulation remaining a research activity, 30 exploration of the results becoming an easy task accessible for a large audience. 31 32

- <u>Keywords</u>: multidimensional modeling, simulation data, data warehouse, OLAP, water quality,
   nitrogen, catchment, distributed agro-hydrological model.
- 35
- 36
- 37

# 38 Software Availability

- 39 Name of software : N-Catch (Nitrogen in Catchment data warehouse)
- 40 Developers : Tassadit Bouadi and Sylvain Dousset
- 41 Contact : tbouadi@irisa.fr

42 Hardware required : Experiments were performed on an Intel Core i7 CPU at 2.8 GHz and 16

- 43 GB of RAM on a Mac OSX platform
- 44 Software required : The relational database management system MySQL, Quantum GIS (QGIS)
- 45 and Microsoft Windows, Mac OSX or Linux operating system
- 46 Program language : Perl, Python
- 47 Software availability : source code can be provided through collaborative arrangements

- 48 **1. Introduction**
- 49

Agro-hydrological models have been used extensively by researchers and stakeholders as the scientific basis for environmental management by estimating nonpoint-source pollution, identifying source areas, predicting effects of climate and land-use changes and testing the efficiency of mitigation plans to improve water quality at the catchment level (Ferrant et al., 2014; Rode et al., 2010; Wellen et al., 2015). Extensive research has focused on improving the ability of these models to consider the heterogeneity of structures and processes within agricultural landscapes by representing their spatial distributions.

These models generate a large volume of spatiotemporal results of various formats and semantics. Generally, only daily flux and concentrations at the catchment outlet are analyzed, even though finer-grained variable, temporal or spatial resolutions are potentially available. The main reason for this is a technological barrier that prevents efficient data processing. To address this issue, efficient tools are needed to store, display and analyze this spatiotemporal information and turn it into useful knowledge that enables better understanding of agroenvironmental systems and adaptations to meet environmental targets.

64 Tools that analyze and visualize simulated data distributed in space and time could help 65 researchers and stakeholders explore effects of different scenarios at multiple temporal and spatial resolutions, such as plot or sub-catchment levels, or for specific periods of the year. 66 67 Furthermore, they should provide the means to analyze and cooperatively identify effective and locally-adapted solutions to improve water quality in agricultural catchments. For 68 69 illustration, scenarios that can be tested with agro-hydrological models include agricultural 70 practices, such as the introduction of catch crops (Moreau et al., 2012a) or hedgerow spatial 71 arrangement (Benhamou et al., 2013) within a catchment. The water and solute recharge into 72 the groundwater calculated at the plot level results from interactions between environmental 73 conditions and agricultural practices, which can vary greatly across a catchment due to soil or 74 hydrological conditions. Exploring simulation data is a useful means to analyze these local 75 interactions, if considering them is in the modelling structure, and to propose specific changes according to the location within the catchment. 76

To this end, recent studies have shown how a data warehouse (DW) and On Line Analytical Processing (OLAP) technologies are used to analyze environmental simulations (Boulil et al., 2013; Mahboubi et al., 2010). A DW is a subject-oriented, integrated, timevariant, non-volatile collection of data that supports the management decision-making processes (Chaudhuri and Dayal, 1997; Immon, 2005). DWs are emerging as a key technology for organizations seeking to use their data to keep track of activities and improve data analysis. DWs are used (i) to provide access to massive data accumulated over time from many sources and in various formats (computer files, traditional databases, text documents, etc.) and (ii) to support multi-dimensional data analysis to make strategic and tactical decisions. DW users can extract trends and variability from the data according to various criteria to better support decision-making or to discover hidden information.

88 Few DWs have been developed in the agro-environmental sciences (Abdullah, 2009; Boulil 89 et al., 2013, 2014; Nilakanta et al., 2008; Pinet et al., 2010). They support analyses of agricultural data along different dimensions. Nilakanta et al. (2008) developed the National 90 Agricultural Resources Information System DW for the Indian agricultural sector. This DW 91 provides strategic and periodic information to researchers and planners to facilitate decision 92 93 making. Abdullah et al. (2009) developed an OLAP tool, ADSS-OLAP, to analyze mealybug incidence on cotton crops. The dimensional model of ADSS-OLAP includes different 94 95 dimensions for analysing the effect of climate, pesticide and geography. These dimensions are aggregated as a logical OLAP cube, with a classical multidimensional model. Pinet et al. 96 97 (2010) considered ways to use the Unified Modeling Language to design agricultural DWs. 98 They presented a method for designing a DW and applied it to analyze spatial impacts of 99 pesticide use in agriculture. Boulil et al. (2013) developed an OLAP system to store and 100 analyze pesticide transfer data generated at the soil-column scale by a model called MACRO, 101 to validate the model and compare results of different versions of the model. Boulil et al. (2014) then applied the OLAP system to analyze data on stream water quality. The 102 103 architecture was extended with complex aggregate functions used to define indicators.

104 Recent studies have investigated Spatial OLAP (SOLAP) to study stream water quality in 105 rivers. SOLAP systems integrate advanced OLAP and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) 106 functions in a unique framework in which explicit representation of the spatial dimension 107 allows users to visualize query results on maps and to use topological, metrical and directional 108 operators when "slicing" multidimensional data (Bimonte and Miquel, 2010). Vernier et al. 109 (2013) considered a SOLAP system to characterize agricultural activities and calculate agro-110 environmental indicators. Similarly, Berrahou et al. (2015) developed a solution that 111 facilitates spatiotemporal analysis of hydroecological data by considering different levels of data quality within the system. These studies show that agro-environmental DWs are rarely 112 113 developed. The most likely explanation for this is the difficulty in collecting field data in agroenvironmental sciences, since data collection remains a slow and expensive process. In the 114 115 past, DWs and OLAP systems were used mainly to analyze observed data. Their use for

simulation data is poorly developed, particularly in the field of distributed models, despitetheir potential utility.

118 This work aims to develop methods to store, display and analyze simulation data obtained 119 from an agro-hydrological model, and to design and implement operational tools for 120 researchers and stakeholders to represent, understand, explain and formulate hypotheses about 121 the catchment system they study. Fundamental to this application is development of "what if" 122 management questions meant to evoke possible outcomes in different scenarios (e.g., "Which plots and cropping systems emit the least nitrogen to air and groundwater?"). Since the 123 development of DWs for water-quality issues is quite new, we first present basic concepts of 124 125 DWs, as well as studies of OLAP, particularly in the agro-environmental domain. Next, we describe the N-Catch DW, dedicated to simulation data generated by the distributed agro-126 127 hydrological model TNT2 (Topography-based Nitrogen Transfer and Transformations), a process-based and spatially explicit model that simulates transfer and transformation of 128 129 nitrogen (N) in agricultural catchments and predicts water and N fluxes at a daily time step at 130 their outlets (Beaujouan et al., 2001, 2002). We describe how the DW was designed and built 131 and we use a case study to demonstrate how exploring simulated data can be used to extract knowledge to help users better understand drivers of N emissions in the environment. We then 132 133 discuss the generality of the case study.

134

# 135 2. Main concepts of DWs and OLAP technologies

136

#### 137 2.1. A DW as a multidimensional and hierarchical data model

138

DWs and OLAP systems are widely recognized as decision-support systems for analysis of huge volumes of data generated by a multidimensional model, which defines the concepts of "facts" and "dimensions" (Kimball, 1996). DWs allow users to deliver highly aggregated data from heterogeneous sources to respond to complex queries and perform analyses, and in this way, discover implicit properties.

Facts represent the subjects of analysis and are described by quantitative "measures", which are analyzed at different "granularities", i.e. at different hierarchical levels of the dimensions (Berrahou et al., 2015; Sautot et al., 2015). For example, the fact "agricultural crop" can be represented according to three dimensions (crop, time and location) and described by the measure "crop yield" (kg. ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 1). Dimensions represent measureanalysis criteria and allow measures to be viewed and analyzed from different perspectives.



Figure 1 : Example of a multidimensional data model with three dimensions (crop (Id-Crop), time (Id-Time), and location (Id-Loc)) and a measure (crop yield)

150 Measures at upper levels of a hierarchical dimension are obtained by aggregating the

151 measures at the next lower level in the hierarchy.

152 Aggregation functions (e.g., sum, average, maximum) can be defined for each measure in the

153 hierarchical data model. For example, in a hierarchy associated with the dimension

154 "Location", each mesh belongs to a plot, and each plot belongs to a catchment (Fig. 2).

155



156

Figure 2 : Example of a hierarchical structure for the dimension "Location"

157

158 During data analysis, users exploit the DW by considering different combinations of dimensions and different levels of their hierarchies. To select the right data at the right 159 160 abstraction level, users express and submit queries to the DW. Generally, such queries often require scanning thousands or millions of records. Consequently, computing a query can be 161 162 highly time-consuming, while, ideally, the analysis process should be interactive. To reconcile these two conflicting needs (i.e. a quick response to a query to a large database), the concept 163 164 of a "data cube", the central OLAP concept, was introduced (Gray et al., 1996) to store precomputed partial results. A data cube (or OLAP Cube) is a data abstraction that allows 165

users to view aggregated data according to a set of dimensions related to a pre-determined structure. The cells of a data cube contain the measures selected from the DW according to the dimensions and their hierarchies. A data cube is generally n-dimensional, though examples of data cubes are often 3-dimensional for illustration purposes. As in the previous example, datacube representation of agricultural crop data with three dimensions (crop, time and location) can be used to calculate a measure, i.e. a crop yield, for a given crop at different levels of time and location with a sum function (Fig. 3).





Figure 3 : Example of a data cube for agricultural crops

174

### 175 2.2. Relational platforms of DWs, OLAP analysis and data cubes

176

DWs contain multidimensional and summarized data. OLAP queries are defined to 177 178 explore and perform complex analysis by interactively generating different views of these data 179 from different perspectives. OLAP operations aim to select planes from the DW and change the granularity at which data are observed. The main OLAP operations are "roll-up", to move 180 181 to a more abstract level on one dimension; "drill-down", the inverse of roll-up, to move to 182 finer-grained data; "slice and dice", to focus on data related to subsets of dimension values; and "pivot", to rotate the axes on which data are viewed to provide an alternative display of 183 184 the data. For example, two OLAP queries can calculate corn yield for three years in France (Fig. 3); they consist of selecting (i.e. slicing) the value "Corn" in the "Crop" dimension and 185

the value "France" in the "Location" dimension. They can also calculate the sum of yields of all crops for three years in all countries, using roll-up operations on all dimensions of the agricultural crop cube (Fig. 3).

189 DW and OLAP data cubes are generally implemented in relational platforms consisting of 190 four tiers (Fig. 4): (i) the ETL (Extract, Transform and Load), which extracts and precomputes 191 data from heterogeneous sources and loads them into the DW; (ii) the DW itself, the relational 192 database that stores the finest data; (iii) the OLAP server, which calculates data cubes from the DW; and (iv) the OLAP client, which displays data-cube information using tables, 193 diagrams (e.g. pie charts, histograms) and reports (Boulil et al., 2014). An OLAP client makes 194 it easy to optimize manipulation of the cube to change focal areas, granularity, or analysis 195 functions, for example. In addition, data-mining tools are sometimes available to perform 196 197 trend analysis or predictions.

198

199



Figure 4 : Typical Data-Warehousing architecture

# **3. TNT2 model and N-Catch DW design and implementation**

201

#### 202 **3.1.** The spatially distributed agro-hydrological model TNT2

203

204 TNT2 (Beaujouan et al., 2002) is a process-based and spatially-distributed model designed to capture spatial and temporal interactions of hydrological and agronomic processes 205 throughout catchments. TNT2, developed to study water and N fluxes in small agricultural 206 catchments (<50 km<sup>2</sup>), is dedicated to improving understanding of catchment systems by 207 performing virtual experiments (Benhamou et al., 2013; Liao et al., 2016; Salmon-Monviola 208 209 et al., 2013), including testing effects of numerous and diverse mitigation options proposed by 210 local stakeholders (Moreau et al., 2012b) or public-policy makers (Durand et al., 2006) to decrease nitrate concentrations at the catchment outlet (Durand et al., 2015; Ferrant et al., 211 212 2011; Moreau et al., 2012a), as well as to support management and decision-making.

213 The TNT2 model comprises (i) the generic soil-vegetation atmosphere transfer model 214 STICS (Brisson et al., 1998); (ii) the fully-distributed hydrological model TNT, based on 215 TOPMODEL hypotheses (Beven, 1997); and (iii) a sub-model adapted from NEMIS (Hénault 216 and Germon, 2000; Oehler et al., 2009) that simulates heterotrophic denitrification in soils. 217 More details about hydrological and agronomical sub-model with each water and nitrogen processes are described in (Beaujouan et al., 2002, Salmon-Monviola et al., 2013; Ferrant et 218 219 al., 2016). To represent the heterogeneity of water and N inputs and pathways, TNT2 220 performs calculations explicitly on a grid, from cell to cell. The catchment is thus modeled by 221 a cluster of three-dimensional columns, each corresponding to a pixel of a Digital Elevation 222 Model (DEM) of the catchment (Moreau et al., 2013). Each column is divided into two 223 compartments: a soil layer and an underlying shallow aquifer layer. Soil and aquifer porosity 224 is determined by both retention (micro) and drainage (macro) porosities. Water flow in TNT2 225 is modeled according to main hypotheses derived from TOPMODEL: i) the hydraulic gradient in each cell is constant and controlled by topography, and the gradient is calculated from the 226 227 DEM at the beginning of the simulation; ii) the hydraulic transmissivity decreases exponentially with depth, and the model is based on a daily water-balance calculation for each 228 229 cell and computes an explicit cell-to-cell multi-directional routing (water in one cell can flow 230 to several cells), based on a D8 algorithm (Ocallaghan and Mark, 1984). Three outgoing flows 231 are calculated for each cell in TNT2 : i) overland flow, resulting from soil saturation, which is 232 routed to the soil surface of the downslope cells; ii) exfiltration that comes from excess 233 groundwater and is also routed to the surface of the downslope cells; iii) subsurface flow that

234 comes from groundwater and is routed to the downslope cell groundwater. Water balance in 235 river cells is calculated as in other cells, but all the calculated outflows (overland flow, 236 exfiltration and subsurface flow) are assumed to be drained by the river and are routed directly 237 from the river cell to the outlet without any interaction with other cells. The travel time in the 238 stream is ignored. Nitrogen processes in soil and aquifer layer compartment of nonagricultural zones (urban and woodlands) of the catchment are taking account for. A part of 239 240 surface water and nitrogen flow (overland flow, exfiltration flow) from upslope cells and rainfall input infiltrate in soil of non-agricultural cells. The other part is routed to the outlet. 241 242 For non-agricultural cell as in other cells, subsurface flow of water and nitrogen, that comes 243 from groundwater is routed to the downslope cell groundwater. Model inputs and parameters include (i) a DEM in raster format; (ii) a map in raster format delineating agricultural plots, 244 roads and the hydrological network; (iii) a map in raster format of homogeneous soil zones; 245 246 (iv) a map in raster format representing homogenous climate zones, which allow climate gradients to exist within the catchment; (v) daily climate data (i.e., minimum and maximum 247 air temperatures, precipitation, potential evapotranspiration, total solar radiation) for each 248 249 climate zone; (vi) 20 soil properties for each soil type in the soil-zone map; and (vii) cropping 250 systems for each plot during the simulation period. Cropping systems are defined at two levels 251 (Leenhardt et al., 2010): (i) crops and their succession over time in each plot, called a crop 252 rotation; and (ii) the crop management system (CMS), representing an organized series of 253 cultivation techniques or crop operations (e.g. sowing, mineral and organic N applications, 254 harvesting, grazing, mowing) applied to a crop to obtain a given product. A crop operation has 255 a duration defined by starting and ending dates, as well as a chronological position in the CMS in which it occurs. 256

257 Cropping systems were described by temporal sequences, allowing us to manage (i) 258 temporal relations between crop operations and CMSs and between crop types and crop 259 rotations and (ii) multiple occurrences of the same element in the same sequence (e.g. 260 "fertilization" may occur on two different dates in a CMS) (Fig. 5). The model CSAM (Salmon-Monviola et al., 2012) was used to generate cropping systems as input to TNT2. 261 Using CSAM, classification systems for farms and fertilization practices were defined to 262 263 classify farm-level organization for crop-succession and CMSs, in particular N fertilization. Winter cover crops and multiple agricultural strategies per crop at the farm level can be 264 represented. Cropping systems are modeled with CSAM in three steps: (i) model crop-cover 265 succession in summer with Markov chains (Isaacson et al., 1976) based on empirical data and 266 267 (ii) in winter with rules based on expert agronomic knowledge and (iii) use, a Knapsack-based

algorithm (Salmon-Monviola et al., 2012) to allocate a CMS to plots, with constraints on
several CMS of each crop type.

270

# 271 3.2. Design of the N-Catch DW

272

Building an agro-environmental DW is a complex task: no relevant data should be 273 excluded, in order to avoid incorrect or incomplete information in the DW. Therefore, the 274 275 architecture, measures, facts, dimensions and ETL of the DW must be carefully designed. We designed the DW using the open-source business intelligence platform Pentaho® (ver. 3.5) 276 (http://www.pentaho.com/), a high-quality conceptual and technical solution. Specifically, 277 we used the Pentaho module Mondrian (http://community.pentaho.com/projects/mondrian/) 278 to design the related data cube. Mondrian is an OLAP engine that enables designing, 279 publishing and querying multidimensional cubes. 280



\* IRG : Italian Ryegrass

281

Figure 5 : Description of the agricultural dimension of the N-Catch data warehouse

282

#### 283 **3.2.1. Measures stored in the N-Catch DW**

284

To study how agricultural practices influence N emissions to the air, groundwater and stream water, measures in the N-Catch fact table are composed of input data (i.e. cropping systems, catchment description, meteorological and hydrological parameters) and extensive output data generated by TNT2 (i.e. water fluxes and N concentration and fluxes at a daily time step for each plot in the catchment). These simulated results are stored in two types of files: (i) N variables predicted by the model for each operation *i.e* sowing, fertilizing, harvesting, grazing, mowing) for crop sequences on each plot throughout the simulation period, and (ii) water and N concentrations and fluxes at a daily time step for each plot. Out of 44 variables, 16 that describe water and N flux in the groundwater and air, water and N stored in the soil and groundwater and N denitrification are stored in N-Catch (Table 1). From these 16 variables, we added two calculated measures that correspond to N flux from soil to groundwater and from soil to air, defined as:

297  $NFlux_{Soil to GW} = N\_Atm - N\_Denit - N\_Volat\_Ferti + N\_Mine\_Manure + N\_Mine\_Grazing$ 298  $+ N\_Mine + N\_Fix - \Delta N\_Soilwater + N\_Fertilizer - N\_Plant(kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>)$ 

299

300  $NFlux_{Soil to Atm} = N_Denit + N_Volat_Ferti + N_Volat_Manure (kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>)$ 

301 With  $\Delta N$ \_Soilwater, the stock variation of nitrogen stored in soil water storage (kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>). Each

302 variable is defined as in Table 1.

303 A three-dimensional data model of N-Catch includes the dimensions of location, time and

304 agricultural practices, as well as selected measures (Table 1), as detailed below:

Variable	Description
GW	Groundwater table (height from the impermeable layer) (m)
WSC	Soil water storage capacity (mm)
N_Soilwater	Nitrogen stored in soil water storage (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_Weathered	Nitrogen stored in weathered layer (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_GW	Nitrogen stored in groundwater (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_Fix	Atmospheric nitrogen fixed by plants (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_Mine	Mineral nitrogen resulting from mineralization (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_Denit	Denitrified nitrogen (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_Sequestre	Nitrogen stored in organic matter (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_Plant	Nitrogen fixed by plants (kg.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N_Mine_Grazing	Amount of mineral N returns from cows during grazing (kg.ha-1)
N_Mine_Manure	Amount of mineral N from manure (kg.ha-1)
N_Volat_Manure	Amount of N from manure to atmosphere by volatilization (kg.ha-1)
N_Volat_Ferti	Amount of N from fertilizers to atmosphere by volatilization (kg.ha-1)
N_Atm	Amount of nitrogen from atmospheric deposition (kg.ha-1)
N_Fertilizer	Amount of N mineral fertilizer (kg.ha-1)
	Table 1: Description of 16 TNT2 output variables

305

- **306 3.2.2. The dimensions of the N-Catch DW**
- 307

308 N-Catch has three dimensions: spatial, temporal and agricultural.

*Spatial dimension.* The spatial dimension (i.e. location) is useful for quantifying interactions such as those between plot location and agricultural practices. In N-Catch, the location dimension has two levels: plot and catchment. TNT2 inputs (e.g. crop, fertilizer amount, operation date) and outputs (e.g. N emissions from soil to air and groundwater) are available at the plot and whole-catchment levels. Because of this dimension, any attribute of plot data (e.g., soil type, surface area) is easily aggregated, using classical aggregation operators (such as COUNT, SUM, etc.) or specific aggregation functions, at the catchment level.

*Temporal dimension.* The temporal dimension is useful to allow users to analyze effects of changes in the sequence of agricultural activities or events. In N-Catch, the temporal dimension has four levels: day, month, year and simulation period. Simulation results have a daily time step, from which users can aggregate simulated results per month, per year or for the entire simulation period.

*Agricultural dimension*. The agricultural dimension is a key part of N-Catch, since the main purpose of simulation is to predict impacts of agricultural practices on stream water quality. Cropping system data used as input to TNT2 were stored in the DW. We define four levels from these data: crop operation, CMS, crop type and crop rotation (Fig. 5). As an example of the agricultural dimension, the crop operation "fertilization" appears in the CMS RGA\_minPFP0 <pasture, fertilization, mowing>, which belongs to the crop type "IGSgrassland" in the crop rotation <corn, catch-IRG, wheat>.

- 328
- 329

#### **330 3.3. Implementing the N-Catch DW**

331

332 DW tiers are generally managed using a relational database in which data are structured 333 following three main schema types: star, constellation and snowflake modeling (IBM, 1998). 334 The first is the most common and consists of a central fact and dimensions visually represented by a star. In contrast, a constellation model merges multiple star models using 335 336 common dimensions; it therefore includes several facts and common or specific dimensions. Finally, the snowflake model is an offshoot of the star model: the fact is maintained, while the 337 338 dimensions are split into several tables according to their hierarchies. The snowflake model is required for flexible querying of complex dimension relations. Because this corresponds to 339

- 340 our case study, based on a set of multilevel dimensions with complex hierarchical structures
- and relations, we chose the snowflake model to design N-Catch (Fig.6).
- 342



Figure 6 : Multidimensional data model of the N-Catch data warehouse

343

Once the preliminary steps are completed (i.e., identification of user needs, data collection,
 multidimensional model design), the ETL step is developed.

Extraction. The design of the data warehouse is driven by user needs and relevance of the 346 simulation data to them. A list of example user requests was made (e.g., "Which plots and 347 cropping systems emit the lowest amounts of N to air and groundwater?"), from which TNT2 348 input and output variables were identified and loaded into the data warehouse. Finally, 16 349 daily variables (e.g. mineral fertilizer, organic fertilizer, N volatilization, N exported by 350 crops), three dimensions (location, time and agricultural dimension) and two flows, N 351 emissions from soil to groundwater and to the atmosphere (calculated from the 16 selected 352 variables), were extracted from TNT2 out-put files. Scripts written in the Perl programming 353 language were used to extract the selected data from simulation results. 354

*Transformation.* The transformation of extracted data required fairly substantial preprocessing, especially (i) adapting the structure of cropping system input data to the four levels of the agricultural dimension in the DW and (ii) calculating nitrate and water balances from TNT2 output variables. Other types of transformations were performed on the raw data: decoding, cleaning, normalizing, de-normalizing, harmonizing and merging heterogeneoussources.

*Loading.* The last step consists of loading and integrating the extracted and transformed data into the data warehouse. To perform this step, we used scripts written in the Perl programming language.

364

# 365 **3.4. Visualization and exploration of the data stored in N-Catch**

366

367 There is a clear need for tools to help end users visualize and explore geo-referenced data within large amounts of simulation results (Boulil et al., 2013; Laniak et al., 2013). Maps 368 369 display information (e.g. distance between two isolated phenomena, extent of a phenomenon, 370 shape of a phenomenon along a river bank) that would not have been revealed by using any 371 other representation. In the case of N-Catch, end users have expressed the need to analyze 372 whether the spatial distributions of processes show patterns among plots, hillslopes or sub-373 catchments and to examine the details of a specific region to compare them to those of non-374 neighboring region. A map used as an exploration and visualization tool becomes a decision-375 aid tool because it is closer to the reality of end users and requires less abstraction; this 376 increases the effectiveness of N-Catch (e.g. perform spatio-temporal analysis as drilling down mapping component of the spatio-temporal data cube, identify potential spatio-temporal 377 378 patterns, etc.). To this end, to exploit data to their full potential, we developed a spatial 379 component in N-Catch to allow users to view stored simulation data on a map by querying N-380 Catch, which thus facilitates decision support.

381 Coupling cartographic components and online analysis requires new tools. Two 382 technologies represent potential candidates: GIS and SOLAP. GIS applications process 383 geographic information, but most of them have few or no data-analysis functions. Indeed, 384 some GIS can be considered digital mapping systems, while analysis is performed by external software or plugins. The aim of SOLAP systems is to combine OLAP tools (e.g. decision 385 support, graphics) with geographic tools (e.g. mapping, geographic aggregators). SOLAP 386 387 systems can handle three types of spatial dimensions: i) descriptive, for which the spatial 388 references are textual (e.g. location name); ii) geometric, for which each hierarchical level 389 consists of a set of geometric shapes (e.g. polygons, points); and iii) mixed, a combination of 390 the two previous dimensions, allowing for both textual and geometric references.

391 Processing mixed and geometric dimensions implies redefining OLAP aggregation 392 operators for such data. In the N-Catch DW, the spatial dimension contains only two 393 hierarchical levels (plot and catchment). Ultimately, N-Catch required only cartographic visualization of simulation results; thus, spatio-multidimensional operators were not essential, 394 395 and coupling of GIS and OLAP was sufficient. We used QGIS (http://www.ggis.org), which 396 is free GIS software shared under the GNU GPL. One of the main advantages of working with 397 QGIS is the ability to integrate plugins that can enhance its features. To facilitate users' 398 interactions with N-Catch and the spatial visualization tool, we used a plugin with a graphical 399 interface that allows users to select data from N-Catch (variable, duration and location) and view them on a map. This plugin allows users to create a new map (i.e. a new data layer) and 400 401 save it or to select a pre-existing map.

- 402
- 403

# 4. Illustrating N-Catch in a case study

404

#### 405 4.1. Study site and simulation procedure

406

407 The TNT2 model was applied to the Yar catchment, comprising 10 sub-catchments, which 408 discharge into the "Lieue de Grève" bay, located in Brittany, western France (Fig. 7). The Yar 409 flows towards the English Channel and drains an area of 61.5 km<sup>2</sup>, of which 8% is urban, 28% 410 is woodlands and 64% is agricultural land, the latter comprising 4620 agricultural plots. Plot boundaries were based on an aerial survey. In 2007, 194 farms had all or part of their 411 agricultural area in the catchment (Moreau et al., 2012b). Despite moderate nitrate 412 concentrations around 6.8 mg N-NO<sub>3</sub>.l<sup>-1</sup> (i.e., much lower than the 11.3 mg N-NO<sub>3</sub>.l<sup>-1</sup> limit 413 414 defined by national and European directives), the coastal bay at the catchment outlet has 415 experienced macro-algal blooms every summer for the past 40 years. The physiographic 416 context and the hydrodynamic conditions (confined bay, low currents) in the "Lieue de Grève" bay makes it very sensitive to coastal eutrophication. In this bay, algae proliferation is 417 418 important because they are well fed by continental inputs and marine currents cannot take them offshore. Better understanding of catchment functioning requires detailed analysis of 419 420 effects of current cropping systems and changes in them on N emissions at different locations 421 and periods, i.e. different spatial and temporal levels. Data used for the case study, which 422 correspond both to input and output of the TNT2 model, span from 1 Sep 1996 to 31 Aug 423 2008. For this simulation (Moreau et al., 2012b), 16 output variables per plot on 4620 plots 424 were stored for 4380 days (i.e., 20,235,600 records with 16 data fields, with a total database 425 size of 9 GB). Daily weather data were acquired from Météo France.

426



427

Figure 7 : Plot map of the Yar catchment, Brittany, France

## 428 4.2. N-Catch illustration

429

430 N-Catch can be used to analyze more deeply, in space and time, effects of agricultural 431 practices on N emissions to stream water and the air. Benefits of the multidimensional 432 structure of N-Catch are illustrated by considering each dimension, based on simulation data 433 from a 4380-day period (i.e. 12 years), and demonstrating that N-Catch can reveal new 434 knowledge within each dimension.

435

### 436 4.2.1. Temporal dimension

437

The temporal dimension facilitates data aggregation at different time steps, as well as analysis of temporal dynamics. Dynamics of daily N emissions from soil to groundwater show a seasonal trend with some outliers, while at a monthly time step, well-defined seasonal differences appear, with a net increase in autumn and more frequent outliers during the rewetting period (Fig.8). At a yearly time step, higher values occur during the beginning of the simulation period, and differences occur among years, with higher flows during 1996-1999 and 2005-2006 due to higher precipitation.

- 445 **4.2.2. Spatial dimension**
- 446

447 The spatial dimension can be used to analyze spatial relations between measures, for 448 example, between those of permanent grassland (P-Grassland) and temporary grassland (T-Grassland) (Fig. 9). In this example, the aggregation function used is the sum of simulated 449 450 values over time, divided by the rotation duration, to obtain mean values per year. A high 451 positive correlation (r = 0.8) exists between denitrification and N emissions to air, while the 452 correlation between N mineralization and N emissions to groundwater depends on the type of 453 grassland: for the same amount of mineralization, N emissions to groundwater are much lower in permanent grasslands than in temporary grasslands (Fig. 9). A slight positive correlation (r 454 = 0.3) is observed between N emissions to groundwater and N mineralization; however, the 455 higher the amount of mineralization, the more variable are the N emissions to groundwater. 456 457 No correlation was found between fertilization and N emissions from soil to groundwater, but above a certain amount of N fertilization (76 kg N.ha<sup>-1</sup>.y<sup>-1</sup>), variability in N emissions to 458 groundwater among plots increases (Fig. 9c). The correlation between N emissions from soil 459 460 to groundwater and that to air is again scattered around two groups according to land cover 461 (Fig. 9d). For the same N emission from soil to air, N emission from soil to groundwater is 462 much lower in permanent grasslands than in temporary grasslands. Such analysis is interesting 463 and has never been performed in previous applications of TNT2.

- 464
- 465 **4.2.3. Agricultural dimension**
- 466

467 Considering all plots in the catchment and analyzing daily variations in N emissions 468 from soil to groundwater reveals complex relations between agricultural practices and nitrate 469 leaching at the plot scale. The aggregation function used in this case corresponds to mean 470 daily N emission from soil to groundwater per month across the catchment. Differences 471 among crops are small (Fig. 10a), but they do exist, such as those between wheat and corn. 472 Intra-crop variability is surprisingly high. For example, half of the monthly N emissions from soil to groundwater for potatoes vary strongly. This variability can be due to climate, 473 474 topographic position within the catchment or crop management. Effects of agricultural 475 practices can be seen in variations in mean daily N emission from soil to groundwater per 476 CMS, which integrates crop type and crop operations at the catchment level (Fig. 10b). 477 Differences are much smaller among CMSs than among crop types, which indicates that crops 478 must be considered in their agricultural and environmental contexts. The type of CMS

particularly influences the presence of outliers. N-Catch is particularly effective at analyzingvariability in effects of crop management, identifying high and low values and searching for

- 481 factors that explain them, and ultimately proposing recommendations or mitigation options.
- 482



Figure 8 : Simulated temporal dynamics of N emissions from soil to groundwater a) per day, b) per month, and c) per year based on a 12- year time series



Figure 9 : Process analysis at the plot scale: a) N denitrification versus N emission from soil to air; b) N mineralization versus N emission from soil to groundwater; c) N fertilization versus N emission from soil to groundwater versus N emission from soil to air

#### 486 4.2.4. Coupling N-Catch with QGIS

487

In the previous examples, displaying plots in a point cloud identified the most polluting plots in the Yar catchment; however, plot ID numbers provide limited information. Users should be able to visualize these plots on a map, for example, to know their topographic position in a catchment (i.e. upper, middle or lower part of the hillslope) and their extent in the catchment. Simple visualization of this spatial query helps users understand the phenomenon in question (i.e. nitrate pollution) by seeing its position and extension within a geographicframe of reference.



497

Figure 10 : Effect of agricultural practice dynamics on simulated N emission from soil to groundwater,
based on a 12-year time series, for temporary grass-clover grassland with <40% white clover (*RG-WC-less*) or >40% white clover in aboveground biomass (*RG-WC-more*), averaged over the entire
catchment and expressed a) per month or b) per crop management system.

502

For example, one can visualize the sum of daily N emission from soil to groundwater at the plot level for the hydrological year 1997-1998 (Fig. 11) or the mean daily N emission from soil to air for the corn crop during 2003 (Fig. 12). In the former, the highest amounts of N emitted to groundwater are frequently located in patches, probably by farm. In the latter, the highest amounts of N emitted to air are scattered throughout the catchment. This kind of visual display can help users interact with the model and develop hypotheses about catchment functioning and remediation.

510



Figure 11: Sum of daily N emission from soil to groundwater at the plot level for the hydrological year 1997-1998 in the Yar catchment, Brittany, France

# 511 **5. Discussion**

#### 512

#### 513 **5.1. Purpose, scope and generality of the N-catch DW**

514

515 N-Catch was specifically built to store simulated data from the TNT2 model, better 516 analyze effects of agricultural practices on the landscape-level N cycle at multiple 517 spatiotemporal levels and provide strategic information for decision making.

In table 2, we present some characteristics of the storage size of the N-catch data warehouse. The storage size of the N-Catch DW is increasing with the number of: plots, agricultural operations and days. This is due to its fact table size in O(p\*g\*d) (*p* is the number of plots, *g* the number of agricultural operations, and *d* the number of simulation days) which induces a substantial increase of the storage size of N-Catch. Also, in order to speed up the execution time of queries and data retrieval, we used: (i) database indexes (*i.e.* data structures used to quickly locate and access the data in a database table) to improve execution time of



Figure 12: Mean daily N emission from soil to air for maize in 2003 at the plot level in the Yar catchment, Brittany, France

525	queries by a factor of 5, to vary between 0,01 seconds to 0,4 seconds (a complex query
526	template leads to a significant increase in the query execution time), and (ii) materialized
527	views to improve query performance by pre-calculating complex query templates (e.g.
528	expensive join and aggregation operations) and storing the results in the data warehouse.
529	

Stored data	Description
TNT2 inputs/outputs	9000 processed files (8,9 GB)
N-Catch size	9 GB
Database indexes	2,1 GB
Materialized views	2,7 GB

Table 2: Storage size of the N-Catch data warehouse

The main methodological contributions of this work include methods to (i) preprocess, transform and load simulated data to DW; (ii) describe agricultural practices in an original manner by representing hierarchies in cropping systems; and (iii) analyze simulation results by combining spatiotemporal simulation data, data warehousing and online analysis. The QGIS plugin associated with this DW architecture allows end users to visualize and explore geo-referenced data, which has been identified as an important need (Boulil et al., 2013, 2014).

The N-Catch application can be used effectively to explore spatiotemporal dimensions of 537 538 simulation data; analyze agro-hydrological processes and extract relations between measures 539 and dimensions; provide finer analysis to help with decision making (i.e. improve decision makers' access to data); and summarize environmental information and understand N 540 541 emissions by using comparative and personalized views of archived data. These methodological contributions can be applied to a variety of agro-environmental issues and 542 situations. More generally, this approach can be used to analyze effects of agricultural 543 544 practices on water quantity and quality as well as other environmental impacts. Generic steps 545 include identification of user needs, extraction of selected data from the set of simulation data, dimensional modeling, and use of OLAP to access and exploit multidimensional and 546 547 aggregated data.

This kind of DW tool is particularly effective when common requests can be defined for big-data environments (i.e. when high data fluxes are involved and explored by infrequent and pre-defined requests), which is usually the case for agro-environmental data generated by simulations. Simulation generates numerous data, while queries are often small but sophisticated. This could become even more relevant when using multiple sets of simulation data, in which they would be considered as a set of potential future realities of the catchment (e.g. various land uses, agricultural management practices, and climate scenarios).

555 Such a set of simulations, whether for the case study or any other agro-environmental 556 system, would benefit from the tools developed. For such a purpose, the original description 557 of the multiple levels of the agricultural dimension could be reused, since it is completely 558 generic. As basic dimensions of any spatially and explicitly distributed model, the spatial and 559 temporal dimensions are therefore easily transposable to any model simulating at landscape or 560 regional levels.

561

#### 562 5.2. Limits and potential improvements of the N-Catch DW

563

The spatial data within N-Catch was limited to plot and catchment levels. This is a limitation for users who exploit the spatial dimension, since TNT2 considers several spatial granularities (e.g. hillslope, riparian areas, sub-catchment, farm, soil type, topographic indexes). It would be useful to increase the number of hierarchies in the spatial dimension and provide users with new spatial navigation capabilities. Integrating a SOLAP system in the DW architecture, in which spatial data (e.g. geometry, topology, description) are represented explicitly within the dimension, could be one way to improve the approach within N-Catch.

571 N-Catch should be expanded with data-mining or information-retrieval methods, such as skyline queries, to perform advanced analyses to find meaningful patterns and relations in the 572 573 simulation data (Bouadi et al., 2012). Data-mining techniques are powerful tools for 574 identifying and extracting interesting knowledge from large data collections. To extend OLAP analysis from simple aggregate operations on data cubes for prediction or decision objectives, 575 many studies investigated the combination of OLAP analysis with data mining. Three main 576 577 approaches are found in the literature: i) modifying OLAP operators (Bentayeb and Favre, 2009; Chen et al., 2000; Goil and Choudhary, 2001; Han, 1997; Sarawagi, 1999; Sathe and 578 579 Sarawagi, 2001) to simulate data-mining techniques; (ii) adapting multidimensional structures (Chen et al., 2001; Goil and Choudhary, 2001; Pinto et al., 2001) by reorganizing the 580 581 multidimensional data to make them usable with data-mining methods; and (iii) adapting data-582 mining algorithms (Bodin-Niemczuk et al., 2008; Giacometti et al., 2008; Sarawagi et al., 583 1998) to cope with the multidimensional data environment. Coupling data warehousing and allows users to navigate along dimensions' 584 skyline queries hierarchies (i.e. 585 specialize/generalize) while ensuring online calculation of the skyline. Bouadi et al. (2014) developed an efficient approach for simulating the effect of the OLAP "drill-down" operator 586 587 on the computation of skyline queries. Further discussions with agronomists and agro-588 hydrologists will test and illustrate their uses, but we can already say that they enable queries 589 to be formulated in the DW by combining conflicting environmental indicators and finding 590 compromise solutions associated with these requests that meet stakeholders' expectations. 591 Subsequently, it would be interesting to design a user-friendly interface for N-Catch that 592 provides users with centralized access to all the functions developed (e.g. OLAP analysis, 593 skyline queries).

N-catch was applied for specific results of TNT2 simulation of the Yar catchment in an offline manner. One challenge would be to couple N-catch and TNT2 online. In this way, simulation results of TNT2 could be loaded automatically into the DW. This integration can be achieved by using tools for manipulating data (Chuffart et al., 2010; Laniak et al., 2013) to 598 manage simulation runs and process and load model output into the DW. To automate 599 creation of the DW, one approach could be to not set an "a priori" process for the DW, but to 600 use algorithms to automatically design DW schemas and OLAP cubes. Sautot et al. (2015) 601 developed such an approach by using hierarchical agglomerative clustering with a metric 602 (similarity index) to automatically build hierarchical dimensions in an OLAP cube. With this 603 similarity index, one can perform hierarchical clustering in heterogeneous datasets that 604 contain qualitative and quantitative variables. Their approach, based on data-mining methods, can supplement expert knowledge during the design of an OLAP cube. With this method, one 605 606 could build new dimensions based on hierarchies in the data that are initially hidden.

607 The objectives of such developments would be to completely transform the use of 608 integrated models, which are generally complex and only developed and used in a research 609 context. The general idea is that such models could be used by end users, such as consultants 610 or knowledgeable laypeople, to acquire and transfer the knowledge obtained from the models. An alternative could be to keep such models, as well the corresponding simulation data and 611 responsibility for the procedure, in the research domain and to make the simulation results 612 widely accessible to the larger public through interface and exploration tools, as is the case for 613 614 environmental data. This development could be considered the first step for a framework 615 leading to an automatic and user-friendly procedure to explore not the model itself, but the 616 model results.

617

#### 618 **6. Conclusion**

619

A DW is expected to (i) provide dynamic multidimensional analysis, supporting end users 620 621 with analytical and navigational properties, and (ii) offer a rapid response to queries, 622 regardless of the DW's size and complexity. Such a framework was developed and applied to 623 quantify impacts of agricultural practices on N emissions at multiple spatiotemporal levels by 624 providing relevant ways to identify and analyze where and why N pollution is present in a 625 catchment. The method developed shows how OLAP and the data-cube concept can be useful for analyzing the huge amount of data produced by modeling activities. This design was 626 627 applied by developing the N-Catch DW, which was built to store and manage simulation data from the agro-hydrological model TNT2, and was illustrated in a case study. The N-Catch 628 629 DW allows users to explore N emissions in space and time, to more accurately analyze transfer and transformation processes as a function of cropping systems, and to obtain new 630 631 knowledge that facilitates making specific and detailed decisions in space and time. The

632 approach adopted for developing N-Catch is not specific to this case study. It can be applied 633 to explore the functioning of any agro-environmental system. In particular, the spatiotemporal 634 modeling and agricultural dimension are generic to all models with landscape and regional 635 dimensions. Instead of transferring models, the future of agro-environmental modeling could 636 be to allow users to easily navigate the simulation data of numerous models that were developed to help make decisions about effects of human activities on the environment. 637 638 639 Acknowledgments 640 641 642 This research was supported by grants from the ANR Systerra project ACASSYA (ANR-643 08-STRA-01). Special thanks go to Patrick Durand for providing the opportunity to use the 644 TNT2 model, and to Sylvain Dousset for QGIS-NCatch coupling. 645 References 646 647 Abdullah, A. (2009). Analysis of mealybug incidence on the cotton crop using ADSS-OLAP 648 649 (Online Analytical Processing) tool. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 69(1):59-72 650 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2009.07.003 651 Beaujouan, V., Durand, P., and Ruiz, L. (2001). Modelling the effect of the spatial distribution 652 of agricultural practices on nitrogen fluxes in rural catchments. Ecological Modelling, 653 137(1):93–105 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(00)00435-X Beaujouan, V., Durand, P., Ruiz, L., Aurousseau, P., and Cotteret, G. (2002). A hydrological 654 655 model dedicated to topography-based simulation of nitrogen transfer and transformation: rationale and application to the geomorphology denitrification relationship. Hydrological 656 657 Processes, 16(2):493-507 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.327 Benhamou, C., Salmon-Monviola, J., Durand, P., Grimaldi, C., and Merot, P. (2013). 658 659 Modeling the interaction be-tween fields and a surrounding hedgerow network and its impact on water and nitrogen flows of a small watershed. Agricultural Water Management, 660 661 121:62-72 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2013.01.004 Bentayeb, F. and Favre, C. (2009). ROK: Roll-Up with the K-Means clustering method for 662 recommending OLAP queries. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Database 663

- and Expert Systems Applications, pages 501–515 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-035739\_43
- 666 Berrahou, L., Lalande, N., Serrano, E., Molla, G., Berti-Équille, L., Bimonte, S., Bringay, S.,
- 667 Cernesson, F., Grac, C., Ienco, D., et al. (2015). A quality-aware spatial data warehouse for
  668 querying hydroecological data. Computers & Geosciences, 85:126–135
- 669 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2015.09.012
- 670 Beven, K. (1997). Topmodel: a critique. Hydrological Processes, 11(9):1069-1085
- 671 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199707)11:9<1069::AID-HYP545>3.0.CO;2-O
- Bimonte, S., Miquel, M. (2010). When spatial analysis meets OLAP: multidimensional model
- and operators. International Journal of Data Warehousing and Mining. 6 (4), 33–60
  http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/jdwm.2010100103
- Bodin-Niemczuk, A., Ben Messaoud, R., Loudcher Rabaséda, S., and Boussaid, O. (2008).
- 676 Vers l'intégration de la prédiction dans les cubes OLAP. In Proceedings of the confrence
  677 Extraction et Gestion des Connaissances, pages 203–204.
- Bouadi, T., Cordier, M.-O., and Quiniou, R. (2012). Incremental computation of skyline
  queries with dynamic preferences. In Proceedings of the International Conference on
  Database and Expert Systems Applications, pages 219–233 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3642-41221-9\_2
- Bouadi, T., Cordier, M.-O., and Quiniou, R. (2014). Computing hierarchical skyline queries
  "on-the-fly" in a data warehouse. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data
  Warehousing and Knowledge Discovery, pages 146–158 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3319-10160-6 14
- Boulil, K., Le Ber, F., Bimonte, S., Grac, C., and Cernesson, F. (2014). Multidimensional
  modeling and analysis of large and complex watercourse data: an olap-based solution.
  Ecological Informatics, 24:90–106 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2014.07.001
- Boulil, K., Pinet, F., Bimonte, S., Carluer, N., Lauvernet, C., Cheviron, B., Miralles, A., and
  Chanet, J.-P. (2013). Guaranteeing the quality of multidimensional analysis in data
  warehouses of simulation results: application to pesticide transfer data produced by the
  macro model. Ecological Informatics, 16:41–52
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2013.04.004
- Brisson, N., Mary, B., Ripoche, D., Jeuffroy, M., Ruget, F., Nicoullaud, B., Gate, P.,
- 695 Devienne-Barret, F., Antonioletti, R., Durr, C., Richard, G., Beaudoin, N., Recous, S.,
- Tayot, X., Plenet, D., Cellier, P., Machet, J., Meynard, J., and Delécolle, R. (1998). STICS:
- a generic model for the simulation of crops and their water and nitrogen balances. I. Theory

- and parameterization applied to wheat and corn. Agronomie, 18(1):311–346
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/agro:19980501
- 700 Chaudhuri, S. and Dayal, U. (1997). An overview of data warehousing and OLAP technology.
- 701 ACM Sigmod record, 26(1):65–74 http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/248603.248616
- 702 Chen, M., Zhu, Q., and Chen, Z. (2001). An integrated interactive environment for knowledge
- discovery from heterogeneous data resources. Information & Software Technology,
- 704 43(8):487–496 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0950-5849(01)00159-8
- 705 Chen, Q., Dayal, U., and Hsu, M. (2000). An olap-based scalable web access analysis engine.
- In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Warehousing and Knowledge
   Discovery, pages 210–223 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44466-1 21
- Chuffart, F., Dumoulin, N., Faure, T., Deffuant, G., (2010). SimExplorer: programming
   experimental design on models and managing quality of modelling process. International
   Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Information Systems 1, 55–68.
- 711 Durand, P., Ferchaud, F., Salmon-Monviola, J., Goetschel, F., and Martin, C. (2006). Etude
- sur l'évolution des paramètres nitrates dans les eaux brutes des bassins versants bretagne
  eau pure et des autres bassins versants bretons. Démarche Evaluation Programme Bretagne
  Eau Pure, Rapport INRA, INRA, Paris.
- Durand P., Moreau P., Salmon-Monviola J., Ruiz L., Vertes F., Gascuel-Odoux C. (2015).
  Modelling the interplay between nitrogen cycling processes and mitigation options in
  farming catchments. Journal of Agricultural Science, 153: 959
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0021859615000258
- Ferrant, S., Bustillo, V., Burel, E., Salmon-Monviola, J., Claverie, M., Jarosz, N., Yin, T.,
  Rivalland, V., Dedieu, G., Demarez, V., Ceschia, E., Probst, A., Al-Bitar, A., Kerr, Y.,
  Probst, J.-L., Durand, P., Gascoin, S. (2016). Extracting Soil Water Holding Capacity
  Parameters of a Distributed Agro-Hydrological Model from High Resolution Optical
  Satellite Observations Series. Remote Sensing http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/rs8020154
- Ferrant, S., Gascoin, S., Veloso, A., Salmon-Monviola, J., Claverie, M., Rivalland, V.,
  Dedieu, G., Demarez, V., Ceschia, E., Probst, J.-L., Durand, P., and Bustillo, V (2014).
  Agro-hydrology and multi-temporal high-resolution remote sensing: toward an explicit
  spatial processes calibration, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18, 5219-5237
  http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-5219-2014.
- 729 Ferrant, S., Oehler, F., Durand, P., Ruiz, L., Salmon-Monviola, J., Justes, E., Dugast, P.,
- 730 Probst, A., Probst, J.-L., and Sanchez-Perez, J.-M. (2011). Understanding nitrogen transfer
- dynamics in a small agricultural catchment: comparison of a distributed (TNT2) and a semi

- distributed (SWAT) modeling approaches. Journal of Hydrology, 406(1):1–15
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.05.026
- 734 Giacometti, A., Marcel, P., and Negre, E. (2008). A framework for recommending OLAP
- queries. In Proceedings of the ACM international workshop on data warehousing and
  OLAP, pages 73–80 http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1458432.1458446
- Goil, S. and Choudhary, A. (2001). PARSIMONY: An infrastructure for parallel
   multidimensional analysis and data mining. Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing,
- 739 61(3):285–321 http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jpdc.2000.1691
- Gray, J., Bosworth, A., Layman, A., and Pirahesh, H. (1996). Data Cube: A relational aggregation operator generalizing Group-By, cross-tab, and Sub-Total. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engineering, pages 152–159 http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1009726021843
- Han, J. (1997). OLAP mining: An integration of OLAP with data mining. In Proceedings of
- the Working Conference on Database Semantics, pages 1–9 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/9780-387-35300-5\_1
- Hénault, C. and Germon, J. C. (2000). NEMIS, a predictive model of denitrification on the
  field scale. European Journal of Soil Science, 51(2):257–270
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2000.00314.x

750 IBM (1998). Data modeling techniques for data warehousing. IBM Corporation International

- 751 Technical Support Organization.
- Inmon, W.H. (2005). Building the data warehouse. John wiley & sons.
- Isaacson, D. L., & Madsen, R. W. (1976). Markov chains, theory and applications (Vol. 4).
  New York: Wiley.
- Kimball, R. (1996). The Data Warehouse Toolkit: Practical Techniques for Building
  Dimensional Data Warehouses. John Wiley & Sons.
- 757 Laniak, F.G., Olchin, G., Goodall, J., et al. (2013). Integrated environmental modelling: a
- vision and roadmap for the future. Environmental Modelling and Software. 39, 3-23
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.09.006
- Leenhardt, D., Angevin, F., Biarnès, A., Colbach, N., and Mignolet, C. (2010). Describing and
- 100 locating cropping systems on a regional scale. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable
- 762 Development, 30(1):131–138 http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/agro/2009002
- Liao, W., van der Werf, H. M. G., Salmon-Monviola, J. (2015). Improved Environmental Life
- 764 Cycle Assessment of Crop Production at the Catchment Scale via a Process-Based Nitrogen

- 765 Simulation Model. Environmental Science & Technology 49(18), 10790-10796
  766 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01347
- Mahboubi, H., Bimonte, S., Faure, T., Pinet, F. (2010). Data warehouse and OLAP for
  environmental simulation data. International Journal of Agricultural and Environmental
  Systems 1, 1–19
- 770 Moreau, P., Ruiz, L., Mabon, F., Raimbault, T., Durand, P., Delaby, L., Devienne, S., and
- Vertès, F. (2012b). Reconciling technical, economic and environmental efficiency of
  farming systems in vulnerable areas. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 147: 89–99
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.06.005
- Moreau, P., Ruiz, L., Raimbault, T., Vertès, F., Cordier, M., Gascuel-Odoux, C., Masson, V.,
- Salmon-Monviola, J., and Durand, P. (2012a). Modeling the potential benefits of catch-crop
  introduction in fodder crop rotations in a Western Europe landscape. Science of the Total
  Environment, 437: 276–284 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.07.091
- 778 Moreau, P.; Viaud, V.; Parnaudeau, V.; Salmon-Monviola, J.; Durand, P. (2013). An approach
- for global sensitivity analysis of a complex environmental model to spatial inputs and
  parameters: A case study of an agro-hydrological model. Environmental Modelling
  Software, 47, 74–87 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.04.006
- Nilakanta, S., Scheibe, K., and Rai, A. (2008). Dimensional issues in agricultural data
  warehouse designs. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 60(2):263–278
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2007.09.009
- O'Callaghan, J.F. and Mark, D.M. (1984) The Extraction of Drainage Networks from Digital Elevation
   Data. Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing, 28, 323-344.
- Oehler, F., Durand, P., Bordenave, P., Saadi, Z., and Salmon-Monviola, J. (2009). Modelling
  denitrification at the catchment scale. Science of The Total Environment, 407(5):1726–
  1737 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.10.069
- Pinet, F., Miralles, A., Bimonte, S., Vernier, F., Carluer, N., Gouy, V., and Bernard, S. (2010).
- The use of UML to design agricultural data warehouses. In Proceedings of the InternationalConference on Agricultural Engineering.
- Pinto, H., Han, J., Pei, J., Wang, K., Chen, Q., and Dayal, U. (2001). Multi-dimensional
- sequential pattern mining. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Information
- and Knowledge Management, pages 81–88 http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/502585.502600
- 796 Rode, M., Arhonditsis, G., Balin, D., Kebede, T., Krysanova, V., van Griensven, A., van der
- Zee, S.E.A.T.M. (2010). New challenges in integrated water quality modeling.
  Hydrological Processes, 24, 3447–3461 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7766

- 799 Salmon-Monviola, J., Durand, P., Ferchaud, F., Oehler, F., and Sorel, L. (2012). Modelling
- spatial dynamics of cropping systems to assess agricultural practices at the catchment scale.
  Computers and electronics in agriculture, 81:1–13

802 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2011.10.020

- Salmon-Monviola, J., Moreau, P., Benhamou, C., Durand, P., Merot, P., Oehler, F., and
  Gascuel-Odoux, C. (2013). Effect of climate change and increased atmospheric co2 on
  hydrological and nitrogen cycling in an intensive agricultural headwater catchment in
  western France. Climatic change, 120(1-2):433–447 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-0130828-y
- Sarawagi, S. (1999). Explaining differences in multidimensional aggregates. In Proceedings of
   the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, pages 42–53
- Sarawagi, S., Agrawal, R., and Megiddo, N. (1998). Discovery-driven exploration of OLAP
  data cubes. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Extending Database
  Technology: Advances in Database Technology, pages 168–182
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0100984
- Sathe, G. and Sarawagi, S. (2001). Intelligent rollups in multidimensional OLAP data. In
  Proceedings of the International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, pages 531–540
- Sautot, L., Faivre, B., Journaux, L., Molin, P. (2015). The hierarchical agglomerative
  clustering with Gower index: A methodology for automatic design of OLAP cube in
  ecological data processing context. Ecological Informatics 26 (2) 217-230
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2014.07.011
- Vernier, F., Miralles, A., Pinet, F., Carluer, N., Gouy, V., Molla, G., and Petit, K. (2013). Eis
  pesticides: An environmental information system to characterize agricultural activities and
  calculate agro-environmental indicators at embedded watershed scales. Agricultural
  Systems, 122:11–21 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2013.07.005
- Viaud, V., Durand, P., Merot, P., Sauboua, E., and Saadi, Z. (2005). Modeling the impact of
  the spatial structure of a hedge network on the hydrology of a small catchment in a
  temperate climate. Agricultural Water Management, 74(2):135–163
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2004.11.010
- Wellen, C., Kamran-Disfani, A.R., Arhonditsis, G.B. (2015). Evaluation of the Current State
  of Distributed Watershed Nutrient Water Quality Modeling. Environmental Science
- 830 Technology 49(6) 3278-3290 http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es5049557