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SHORT REPORT

Germline CDKN2A/P16INK4A mutations contribute to genetic determinism of sarcoma
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ABSTRACT

Background Sarcomas are rare mesenchymal malignancies whose pathogenesis is poorly understood; both environmental and genetic risk factors could contribute to their aetiology.

Methods and results We performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) in a familial aggregation of three individuals affected with soft-tissue sarcoma (STS) without TP53 mutation (Li-Fraumeni-like, LFL) and found a shared pathogenic mutation in CDKN2A tumour suppressor gene. We searched for individuals with sarcoma among 474 melanoma-prone families with a CDKN2A/-/+ genotype and for CDKN2A mutations in 190 TP53-negative LFL families where the index case was a sarcoma. Including the initial family, eight independent sarcoma cases carried a germline mutation in the CDKN2A/p16INK4A gene. In five out of seven formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sarcomas, heterozygosity was lost at germline CDKN2A mutations sites demonstrating complete loss of function. As sarcomas are rare in CDKN2A/p16INK4A carriers, we searched in constitutional WES of nine carriers for potential modifying variants.13-14 Beyond these syndromes, there may be other complex heritable predispositions and others not yet identified.

Conclusion Germline mutations in CDKN2A/P16INK4A, a gene known to predispose to hereditary melanoma, pancreatic cancer and tobacco-related cancers, account also for a subset of hereditary sarcoma. In addition, we identified PDGFRA as a candidate modifier gene.

Sarcomas are a complex group of rare malignant tumours derived from cells that originate from the mesenchyma. These tumours, which can affect both bone and soft tissue, include more than 50 different subtypes. The annual incidence of soft-tissue sarcomas (STS) is around five new cases per 100,000 population, whereas it is 0.8 for bone sarcomas in Caucasians. They account for nearly 20% of all paediatric solid malignant cancers, but less than 1% of all adult solid malignant cancers. The pathogenesis of most sarcomas is still poorly understood, and both environmental and genetic risk factors could contribute to their aetiology. The main environmental factors are carcinogens, viruses and ionising radiation, particularly radiation therapy received for a first cancer. The risk of sarcoma is enhanced in several hereditary cancer syndromes, including Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS), a rare, dominant Mendelian cancer syndrome linked to TP53 mutations and possibly to POT1 mutations. Beyond these syndromes, there may be other complex heritable predispositions and others not yet identified.

The potential for intrafamilial exome-sequencing approach to identify additional cancer susceptibility genes has been demonstrated. Therefore, we conducted germline whole-exome sequencing (WES) in two affected members of a three sarcoma-cases family (Patients I-2 and II-1, Family 7389, table 1, figure 1A). We performed data mining applying classical filtering strategies using Inge-nuity Variant Analysis (IVA) software (Qiagen). With very stringent frequency filtering (MAF) <0.001%, using a Biological Context of sarcoma, three germline variants shared by both sarcoma-affected relatives (uncle and nephew) were identified in CDKN2A, PDGFRA and SKA3 genes (figure 1B). Because of the loss of function mutation detected in CDKN2A and the well-known role of CDKN2A in somatic sarcomagenesis, both in humans and mice, we focused first on this gene. CDKN2A is a known tumour suppressor gene and the first familial melanoma gene identified; it encodes two distinct proteins, p16INK4A and p14ARF, which both function in cell cycle regulation. We confirmed the germ-line splice mutation (c.151-2A>G) with Sanger sequencing and also in DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumorous tissue from the third case, deceased patient I-1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collections*</th>
<th>Family ID</th>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Cases Clinical context</th>
<th>P16 exon</th>
<th>p16&lt;sup&gt;INK4A&lt;/sup&gt; mutation&lt;sup&gt;‡&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p14&lt;sup&gt;INK4A&lt;/sup&gt; AA change</th>
<th>Sarcoma LOH at CDKN2A/P16&lt;sup&gt;‡&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Melanoma/pancreatic cancer reports</th>
<th>P16INK4A Loss of function</th>
<th>PDGFRA variants</th>
<th>Other candidate modifiers&lt;sup&gt;§&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7389</td>
<td>I-1</td>
<td>LPS (57)</td>
<td>IVS 1</td>
<td>c.151-2A&gt;G</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Splice</td>
<td>p.Leu112Arg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I-2</td>
<td>AGS (67)</td>
<td>IVS 1</td>
<td>c.151-2A&gt;G</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>(unpublished data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>STS (33)</td>
<td>IVS 1</td>
<td>c.151-2A&gt;G</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATM c.8584+1G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18998</td>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>LPS (32)</td>
<td>OS (24)</td>
<td>1α c.146T&gt;G, p.Ile49Ser</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II-2</td>
<td>OS (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c.194T&gt;C, p.Leu65Pro</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14288</td>
<td>I-1</td>
<td>OS (16)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c.194T&gt;C, p.Leu65Pro</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>UCS (43)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c.225_243del p.Ala76fs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14289</td>
<td>I-1</td>
<td>FS (69)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c.301G&gt;T, p.Gly101Trp</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III-2</td>
<td>OS (12)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATM c.8584+1G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14291</td>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>OS (13)+ MM (26,27)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c.301G&gt;T, p.Gly101Trp</td>
<td>p.Arg115Leu</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATM c.8584+1G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15118</td>
<td>II-1</td>
<td>SVS (57)+ MM (39,58)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c.301G&gt;T, p.Gly101Trp</td>
<td>p.Arg115Leu</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>idem</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATM c.8584+1G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20473</td>
<td>I-4</td>
<td>OS (7)+8 MM (35)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>c.95T&gt;G, p.Leu32Pro</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATM c.8584+1G&gt;A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All subjects provided written informed consent for participation in these onco genetic research studies, which were approved by the local research ethics committees. Collection (A) comprised 190 families with suspected Li-Fraumeni syndrome that included at least one member with sarcoma. Collection (B) comprised 296 melanoma-prone French families CDKN2A/p16INK4A<sup>‡</sup>. Collection (C) comprised 178 CDKN2A/p16INK4A<sup>‡</sup> melanoma-prone families from the international GenoMEL database.<sup>‡</sup>CDKN2A/P16<sup>INK4A</sup> exons 1α, 2 and 3 were sequenced by Sanger method based on transcript NM_000077.4 to screen for mutations in collections A, B and C. None were present in public controls databases such as 1000 genomes or ExAC.<sup>‡</sup>LOH analyses were performed by Sanger sequencing at germline CDKN2A mutations sites in DNA extracted from sarcoma FFPE samples. Ages (years) at diagnosis appears in parentheses.

AGS, angiosarcoma; FS, fibrosarcoma; LPS, liposarcoma; MM, cutaneous melanoma; NA, not analysed; ND, not determined; OS, osteosarcoma; STS, soft-tissue sarcoma; SVS, synovial sarcoma; UCS, uterine carcinosarcoma; RMS, rhabdomyosarcoma.

**Table 1** CDKN2A/P16INK4A germline mutations identified in eight families with members affected by sarcoma and candidate modifiers

- **Collections**: A, B, C
- **Family ID**: Individual family identifier
- **Patient ID**: Individual patient identifier
- **Cases Clinical context**: Description of the clinical context in which the patient was diagnosed
- **P16 exon**: Exon number in the CDKN2A/P16INK4A gene
- **p16<sup>INK4A</sup> mutation**: Mutation in the p16<sup>INK4A</sup> gene
- **p14<sup>INK4A</sup> AA change**: Change in the p14<sup>INK4A</sup> amino acid
- **Sarcoma LOH at CDKN2A/P16**: Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the CDKN2A/P16 locus
- **Melanoma/pancreatic cancer reports**: Reports related to melanoma or pancreatic cancer
- **P16INK4A Loss of function**: Loss of function of the P16INK4A gene
- **PDGFRA variants**: Variants in the PDGFRA gene
- **Other candidate modifiers**: Other candidate modifiers identified in the study
Figure 1  Whole-exome sequencing in a three sarcoma cases family without TP53 germline mutation: identification of CDKN2A and PDGFRA germline mutations, co-segregating with sarcomas (A) Pedigree of the Li-Fraumeni-like family. Cancer diagnosis and age at onset are indicated for affected members; hatched circles/squares indicate sarcoma: AGS, angiosarcoma; LPS, liposarcoma; STS, soft-tissue sarcoma. Genotypes of CDKN2A and PDGFRA for all samples available for testing are shown. Patients with WES data are indicated with a black star. (B) Whole-exome sequencing (WES) germline SNV filtering and interpretation, for two patients of Family 7389. We used Ingenuity Variant Analysis software (v.2.1.20130711, IVA, Qiagen) and predetermined filters (see Bioinformatics analysis, online supplementary material). Starting with 307 690 variants spanning 17 673 genes, successive filters lead to 3 variants spanning 3 genes (CDKN2A, PDGFRA and SKA3). (C) Structural properties of platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFRA) wild-type and variants. (Top row) the PDGFRA protein has a modular structure composed of five Ig-like domains (D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5), a trans-membrane domain (TMD) and a cytoplasmic region. The cytoplasmic region consists of a regulatory juxtamembrane region (JM) and a catalytic kinase domain, with an N-lobe and a C-lobe, which harbours a kinase insert domain (KID). (Bottom row) The X-ray analysis structures are represented as ribbon diagrams, based on the KIT oncogene structural data. D1 and D5 are denoted as ovals. (Middle row) Schematic representations of D1 and D5 topologies. (Bottom figures) Superimposed conformations of wild-type PDGFRA (blue) and PDGFRA germline variants (pink), obtained from molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Representative conformations were selected by RMSDs clustering and are presented as ribbon diagrams.
We previously identified this specific mutation in three independent, melanoma-prone families. Transcript analysis was performed for a proband, indicating that CDKN2A exon two had been skipped in both p16INK4A and p14ARF transcripts, creating putative frameshifts (online supplementary figure S3).

Next, we performed Sanger sequencing of CDKN2A for germline mutations in full collection A (190 unrelated families with suspected LFS or Li-Fraumeni-like, LFL, whose index case was a sarcoma without detectable TP53 germline mutation). We identified a second carrier of a CDKN2A/P16INK4A germline mutation (p.Ile49Ser), a patient diagnosed with a pleomorphic liposarcoma at the age of 32 years whose brother died of osteosarcoma at the age of 24 years (Family 18 998, table 1, online supplementary S1A and S2B).

To explore further the potential connection between CDKN2A germline mutations and sarcoma, we reviewed the phenotypes in our collection of 296 melanoma-prone French families with CDKN2A/P16INK4A germline mutations (collection B; mutations were partially described previously) and found eight kindreds that contained at least one member with sarcoma. Among them, five probands with sarcoma carried the pathogenic familial CDKN2A/P16INK4A germline mutation (table 1, families 14288, 2 225, 1 4289, 14291 and 15 118, online supplementary figure S1B) and three families had incomplete data (two untested index cases and one unconfirmed STS; see online supplementary figure S4A, S4C; material and methods). Overall, among the 296 families, the difference in sarcoma incidence between CDKN2A mutation carriers (5/593; 0.84%; 95% CI 0.3% to 2%) and non-carriers (1/298; 0.34%; 95% CI 0.02% to 2.16%) did not reach statistical significance (p=0.67; Fisher’s exact test). Considering the yearly incidence in Caucasians of 5.8 per 100 000, the mean follow-up in collection B of 46 years, the probability of observing at least five sarcomas in the 593 CDKN2A carriers population was 0.02 (assuming a binomial distribution). In the 298 CDKN2A WT populations, the mean follow-up was 39 years, and the probability of observing at least 1 sarcoma was 49%.

Next, we searched for biological arguments. As loss of heterozygosity (LOH) is considered in tumour biology as a strong indicator to the causative role of a tumour suppressor, we performed Sanger sequencing in seven FFPE sarcoma blocs available from French patients. We identified LOH at the CDKN2A/P16INK4A germline mutation site in five out of seven samples (table 1; online supplementary figure S2). These LOHs demonstrate the occurrence of a second genetic hit on CDKN2A and, therefore, complete loss of p16INK4A function in five sarcomas, in accordance with the driver role of CDKN2A tumour suppressor gene in sarcomagenesis.

Finally, we interrogated the GenoMEL database containing 178 CDKN2A+ melanoma-prone families (collection C), after removal of 60 French families already included in collection B. We identified three additional independent CDKN2A mutation carriers affected with a sarcoma. One family was from Australia and carried a CDKN2A/p16INK4A p.Leu32Pro germline mutation (Family 20 473, table 1; online supplementary figure S1C). The second family was from the UK (21 kb deletion targeting CDKN2A/p14ARF exon 1b) (data not shown), and the third family was from the Netherlands, but the initial diagnosis of fibrosarcoma case was revised to melanoma and, therefore, was excluded.

Overall, in collections A, B and C, ascertainment for Li-Fraumeni (A) or multiple cases of melanoma and/or pancreatic cancer (B and C), we identified eight independent families in which at least a CDKN2A/P16INK4A mutation carrier had a sarcoma (table 1). Therefore, based on probabilistic and biological arguments, CDKN2A/P16INK4A germline mutations can be strongly suspected to increase sarcoma risk. Interestingly, in the literature, two sarcoma cases in CDKN2A/P16INK4A mutation carriers were identified in families with melanoma/pancreatic cancer and very recently germline CDKN2A mutations were identified in two independent patients presenting with LFS. In addition to the well-known role of CDKN2A in somatic sarcomagenesis, other observations in animals suggested a germline effect. First, in a mouse model, deletion of the Cdkn2a locus could substitute for mutations in Trp53 to generate STSs. Second, in a naturally occurring, canine breed-specific histicytic sarcoma, a genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified a haplotype near CDKN2A. In conclusion to our work and published data, germline mutations in CDKN2A/P16INK4A, a gene known to predispose to hereditary melanoma, pancreatic cancer and tobacco-related cancers, account also for a subset of hereditary sarcoma.

As melanoma risk in CDKN2A mutation carriers is clearly associated with MC1R frequent alleles acting as modifiers, we formulated the hypothesis that the very low frequency of sarcoma cases observed in CDKN2A/P16INK4A-positive melanoma-prone families could be explained by rare modifiers alleles. In a model of oligogenic inheritance, it is challenging to identify rare germline variants that act in synergy to initiate cancer, and GWAS is unable to identify rare disease-predisposing variants. Candidate pathogenic variants for sarcoma risk in ATM, ATR, BRCA2 and ERCC2 genes were identified recently in a large sarcoma case-control study as well as POT1 variants in cardiac angiosarcoma, but other genes not yet identified could also play a role. To explore this hypothesis, we considered the two additional germline variants identified in PDGFRα and SKA3 genes in the WES data of patients I-2 and I-1, both sarcoma-affected (initial Family 7389). In SKA3 gene, an insertion of 2T was supposed to have occurred in a stretch of 12T but was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (online supplementary figure S5). The platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha gene (PDGFRα) harboured a germline missense mutation, c.335T>G, p.Leu112Arg, located in the extracellular receptor domain and predicted deleterious by two computational methods (GVDG and SIFT). This mutation was verified by Sanger sequencing and was also found in DNA extracted from FFPE-sarcoma tissue from the third family member, patient I-1, therefore being present in the three sarcoma-affected patients (Family 7389, figure 1A; online supplementary S7A).

Next, we performed additional WES analyses in blood-extracted DNA from seven probands affected with sarcoma that carried germline CDKN2A mutations (family 18 998-II.1 in collection A; families 14 288-II.1, 2 225-II.1, 14 289-I.1, 14 291-II.1 and 15 118-II.1 in collection B and family 20 473-I.4 in collection C). Subsequently, we data mined the WES available for a total of nine CDKN2A/P16INK4A carriers affected with sarcoma, including two relatives. We applied classical filtering strategies using the IVA software (Qiagen) (online supplementary figure S6). For variant frequency, we defined rare variants as those with a minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.5%. The outcome of our filtering strategy was the selection of 82 variants spanning 76 genes. Among previously published sarcoma susceptibility genes, we found no mutations in TP53, ATR, BRCA2 and ERCC2. We found a c.8584+1G→A putative splice site mutation in ATM gene in patient 7389-I.2, but this variant was absent in the sarcoma-affected relative, II.1. We also found, in patient 14 291-II.1, a POT1 c.1127A>G, p.Gln376Arg missense variant, present at a frequency of 0.07% in Eur-Am ESP and predicted deleterious by four prediction methods (SIFT, MutationTaster, Polyphen 2 and Condel). This variant
was also present in the unaffected mother. More interestingly, we detected two other germline missense mutations (verified by Sanger sequencing, online supplementary figure S7B, S7C) located in the extracellular receptor domain of the platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha gene (PDGFRα), including one absent in public databases. The PDGFRα missense variant c.227A>G, p.Asn76Ser, predicted deleterious by four computational methods (GVGD, SIFT, Mutation Taster and Polyphen 2), was not present in unaffected mother that carried the CDKN2A p.Gly101Trp mutation (Family 14 291, online supplementary figure S1B). In the sarcoma-proband I-4 of family 20 473 (online supplementary figure S1C), we identified another germline PDGFRα variant, c.1388C>G, p.Thr463Ser, described with an allelic frequency of 0.02%, and predicted deleterious by two computational methods (Mutation Taster and Condel). Co-segregation analysis was not informative (online supplementary figure S1C).

The PDGFRα, composed of extracellular, trans-membrane and intracellular domains (figure 1C), is activated by the binding of its ligand, which induces dimerisation, followed by kinase domain activation.17 Germline oncogenic gain-of-function mutations in PDGFRα cause familial gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) associated with other tumours.18 19 Accordingly, the variants described above were not oncogenic in classical cell transformation assays (data not shown). Nevertheless, these variants could favour sarcomagenesis by interfering with various PDGFRα molecular functions, either canonical or not.20 To study the impact of PDGFRα germline variants on the 3D receptor structure, we performed molecular modelling of three PDGFRα missense variants identified in CDKN2A carriers with sarcoma, the two variants absent from public databases, p.Asn76Ser (N76S), p.Leu112Arg (L112R) and the rare variant, p.Thr463Ser (T463S) (ESP Eur. Am. 0.02%). We added as a control, a frequent SNP, p.Ser478Pro (S478P) described with an allelic frequency of 10.26% (ESP Eur. Am.) and predicted neutral by five computational methods (GVGD, SIFT, Mutation Taster, Polyphen 2 and Condel), identified in patients 14 288-II.1 and 14 289-I.1 (online supplementary figure S7D). As the PDGFRα signalling complex has remaining uncharacterised at the structural level, we modelled two extracellular immunoglobulin (Ig)-like domains (D1 and D5; figure 1C) containing these variants by homology with the related extracellular domains in KIT, CSF-1R, FLT3 and PDGFRβ. Structurally, all these domains feature five to eight β-strands that form two β-sheets (a β-sandwich). Figure 1C illustrates how the variants N76S and L112R affect the structure of D1. In particular, N76S promoted larger β-strands fold (β3 and β4) before and after the mutation site, contributing to stabilisation of a perfect antiparallel β-sheet, constituted with β1, β3 and β4 strands and maintained by a regular, stable H-bond network that contrasted with the fluctuating network in the native protein. Moreover, this variant promoted destabilisation of two small β-strands (β2 and β3) that were present in the native protein. Variant L112R induced β-strand (β5) formation in place of the random coil rather observed in the native protein and increased β-folding in segments more distant from the mutation point (β strands β1, β2 and β4). Our analysis of the impact of T463S and S478P variants in the D5 domain suggested only a slight increase in residual flexibility, but all its structural features were well preserved with respect to the native protein. It should be noted that a comprehensive characterisation of PDGFRα variants located in the extracellular domains may require detailed analysis of the full-length protein structure in the native and mutated states.

Overall, our data identified PDGFRα as a new sarcoma candidate modifier gene. Unfortunately, PDGFRα was not included in the 72 genes panel studied in the recent study of 1162 patients with sarcoma.21 PDGFRα belongs to the large family of membrane RTKs and plays primary roles in mesenchymal tissue development. Recent whole-genome or whole-exome analyses have revealed numerous somatic mutations localised in the RTK-III extracellular domain, which could have transforming potential, based on their structural and physicochemical effects on the receptor.21 These mutations in PDGFRα extracellular domains could affect non-canonical RTK functions. On ligand activation, RTKs are internalised and translocated into endosomal compartments for signalling.20 Overall, our genetic and molecular modelling results suggested that PDGFRα germline variants that affect the extracellular domain could play a role in sarcomagenesis, but the functional mechanism remains unknown.
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