Yet Another ADNI Machine Learning Paper? Paving The Way Towards Fully-reproducible Research on Classification of Alzheimer's Disease

Jorge Samper-González^{1,2}, Ninon Burgos^{1,2}, Sabrina Fontanella^{1,2}, Hugo Bertin³, Marie-Odile Habert³, Stanley Durrleman^{1,2}, Theodoros Evgeniou⁴, Olivier Colliot^{2,1} (\boxtimes), the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative

- 1. INRIA Paris, ARAMIS project-team, 75013 Paris, France
- 2. Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Inserm, CNRS, Institut du cerveau et la moelle (ICM), AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, 75013 Paris, France
- 3. Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Inserm, CNRS, LIB, AP-HP, 75013 Paris, France
 - 4. INSEAD, Bd de Constance, 77305 Fontainebleau, France

This document is a supplementary material for the submitted article.

IMAGE TYPE	CLASSIFIER	Task	AUC	Bal acc	SENS	Spec
T1	Linear SVM	AD vs CN	94.2%	88.8%	92.8%	84.8%
		MCIc vs CN	85.5%	80.5%	66.8%	89.3%
		MCIc vs MCInc	73.8%	66.5%	64.9%	69.3%
		$AD-A\beta+ \text{ vs CN-}A\beta-$	93.6%		90.5%	85.5%
		$MCIc-A\beta + vs MCInc-A\beta +$	73.6%		69.8%	
	Regul SVM	AD vs CN	94.9%	90%	92.5%	87.3%
		MCIc vs CN	86.5%	81.2%		85.4%
		MCIc vs MCInc	74.8%	67.3%	66.8%	68.8%
		AD-A β + vs CN-A β -	94.9%	88.1%	92.5%	84.2%
		$MCIc-A\beta + vs MCInc-A\beta +$	73.5%	65.4%	56.8%	76.2%
FDG PET	Linear SVM	AD vs CN	96.7%	91.1%	95%	87.2%
		MCIc vs CN	89.1%	83.5%	74.7%	89.2%
		MCIc vs MCInc	80.5%	75.2%	78.5%	69.4%
		$AD-A\beta+ \text{ vs CN-}A\beta-$	98.6%	94.4%	95.6%	93.4%
		$MCIc-A\beta + vs MCInc-A\beta +$			77%	67%
	Regul SVM	AD vs CN	96.7%	91.1%	94.8%	86.9%
		MCIc vs CN	88.8%	83.0%	76.5%	87.1%
		MCIc vs MCInc	80.4%	73.7%	74.5%	71.9%
		$AD-A\beta+ \text{ vs CN-}A\beta-$	98.7%			93.8%
		$MCIc-A\beta + vs MCInc-A\beta +$	78.0%	71.3%	73.5%	67.8%

Table 1. Classification results for T1 and FDG PET modalities (mean of 10 runs)

2 J. Samper-González et al.

IMAGE TYPE	Classifier	Task	Bal acc	SENS	Spec
		AD vs CN	90%	93%	87%
	Linear SVM	MCIc vs CN	81%	88%	73%
		MCIc vs MCInc	73%	79%	68%
		$AD-A\beta+ vs CN-A\beta-$	95%	95%	94%
T1+FDG PET		$MCIc-A\beta + vs MCInc-A\beta +$	73%	77%	69%
TITEDG I EI	Regul SVM	AD vs CN	92%	95%	89%
		MCIc vs CN	82%	87%	77%
		MCIc vs MCInc	72%	75%	69%
		$AD-A\beta+ vs CN-A\beta-$	96%	97%	95%
		$MCIc-A\beta + vs MCInc-A\beta +$	72%	77%	67%

 ${\bf Table~2.}$ Best classification results for MKL combining T1 and FDG PET