



HAL
open science

ERP Implementation in an Indian Context: Examining Perceptions on Success Factors

Yogesh K. Dwivedi, Raghav Sukumar, Anastasia Papazafeiropoulou, Michael D. Williams

► **To cite this version:**

Yogesh K. Dwivedi, Raghav Sukumar, Anastasia Papazafeiropoulou, Michael D. Williams. ERP Implementation in an Indian Context: Examining Perceptions on Success Factors. Governance and Sustainability in Information Systems: Managing the Transfer and Diffusion of IT (Working conference), Sep 2011, Hamburg, Germany. pp.357-363, <10.1007/978-3-642-24148-2_29>. <hal-01571743>

HAL Id: hal-01571743

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01571743v1>

Submitted on 3 Aug 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 - Attribution - International License

ERP Implementation in an Indian Context: Examining Perceptions on Success Factors

Yogesh K. Dwivedi

School of Business and Economics, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK
+44 (0) 1792 602340
ykdwivedi@gmail.com

Raghav Sukumar

Business & Decision, 8th Floor, 55 Old Broad Street, London, EC2M 1RX, UK
+44 (0) 207 997 6060
sukumar.raghav@gmail.com

Anastasia Papazafeiropoulou

Department of IS & Computing, Brunel University, Uxbridge, UB8 8PP, UK
+44 (0) 1895 266035
Anastasia.Papazafeiropoulou@brunel.ac.uk

Michael D. Williams

School of Business and Economics, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK
+44 01792 295181
m.d.williams@swansea.ac.uk

Abstract. This research aims to explore factors responsible for successful implementation of ERP systems in Indian organizations. The paper also aims to explore the similarities and differences in ERP system adoption between locally-owned and multinational companies in India. The data was collected from 56 project managers and business analysts with ERP expertise from both locally-owned Indian and multinational companies. The findings suggest that Business Plan and Vision, Project Management and Top Management Support were perceived as the three most important factors responsible for successful implementation. The result revealed no significant differences between Indian and multinational companies, hence indicating that both types of firms undertake the ERP implementations in the same manner.

Keywords: ERP, Implementation Factors, Locally-owned Indian Organizations, Multinational Organizations

1 INTRODUCTION

ERP is widely implemented by organisations in developed countries and is considered as the backbone of E-business (Al-Mashari et al. 2001; Rajapakse and Seddon 2005). However, its implementation is less prevalent in the developing countries context. Although India is considered an important provider of IT services to other countries, its own organizations are comparatively slower in technology adoption. Considering India's position in IT development and the fact that it is a developing country, this study aims to examine the implementation of ERP systems in an Indian context. There have been numerous studies listing the critical success factors (CSF) for successful ERP system implementation for developed countries. However, very few studies have examined these factors from a developing country perspective and have compared the factors from locally-owned and multinational companies.

This paper therefore aims to explore factors responsible for successful implementation of ERP systems in an Indian context. The paper also aims to explore the similarities and differences in ERP system adoption between locally-owned and multinational companies in India.

The remaining paper is organized as follows: The next section will provide an overview of the research method utilized. The findings will then be presented and discussed in subsequent sections. The last section of this paper will outline the conclusions.

2 RESEARCH METHOD

To achieve the specified research aim, this research considered survey as an appropriate method to collect data on factors important for implementation of ERP in an Indian context. Considering the research context, it was decided to collect data from project managers and business analysts from Indian organisations that have experienced ERP implementation process. These are the two positions which play key roles in the ERP implementation process and generally are proficient in both business and technical knowledge. A total of 56 responses were received from contacted organisations.

2.1 Survey Questionnaire

Based on factors identified from a systematic literature review there were fourteen questions (representing 14 success factors listed in Table 5) in the questionnaire. The questions were Likert scale type and were designed to examine the respondents' perception of the importance of different factors (adapted from Arunthari and Hasan 2005; Ehie and Madsen 2005; Nah et al. 2003) that determines successful implementation of ERP in Indian organisations. The respondents were requested to rate each of the factors on a five-point scale: "1 = Neither critical nor important for success"; "2 = important but not critical/necessary for success"; "3 = somewhat

critical and important for success”; “4 = critical and important for success”; “5 = extremely critical and important for success”. The fourteen success factors included in the questionnaire are listed in Table 5. The first twelve factors are adapted from Nah et al. (2003), Cost/Budget from Ehie and Madsen (2005) and Vendor Selection from Arunthari and Hasan (2005).

3 FINDINGS

3.1 Demography of Profile of Respondents

Considering the responses from an organization type perspective, as shown in Table 1, out of the total 56 responses, 41.1 percent (Count = 23) were locally-owned Indian companies and 58.9 percent (Count = 33) were from multinational companies in India.

Table 1. Organization Types: Locally-owned Indian vs. Multinational Companies

Organization Type	Frequency	Percent
Indian Companies	23	41.1
Multinational Companies	33	58.9
Total	56	100.0

Considering the responses from the perspective of type of position held (by the respondent), as shown in Table 2, the total of 56 responses have been split into almost equal halves with 51.8 percent (Count = 29) coming from project managers and 48.2 percent (Count = 27) coming from business analysts.

Table 2. Respondent Types: Project Managers vs. Business Analysts

Respondent Type	Frequency	Percent
Project Manager	29	51.8
Business Analyst	27	48.2
Total	56	100.0

Consolidating Table 1 and Table 2 gives a cross tabulation of both organization type and respondent type to better understand the break-up of the responses as shown in Table 3. The number of responses from project managers from locally-owned Indian companies and multinational companies are almost equal with 14 and 15 respectively. The number of responses from business analysts in multinational companies is 18, which is twice the number that came from Indian companies (see Table 4).

Table 3. Organization Type and Respondent Type: Cross Tabulation

Organization Type		Respondent Type		
		Project Manager	Business Analyst	Total
Locally-owned Indian Companies	Count	14	9	23
	% of Total	25.0%	16.1%	41.1%
Multinational Companies	Count	15	18	33
	% of Total	26.8%	32.1%	58.9%
Total	Count	29	27	56
	% of Total	51.8%	48.2%	100.0%

3.2 Descriptive Statistics: Importance of Examined Factors

Table 4 illustrates the number of responses, the mean score and the standard deviation for each of the identified success factors. It is evident from Table 5 that F2 (Business Plan and Vision), F10 (Project Management) and F12 (Top Management Support) are the three most important success factors as perceived by project managers and business analysts regarding the implementation of ERP systems (see Table 4).

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of the 14 Success Factors

Factors	N	Mean	S.D.
Business Plan and Vision	F2	56	4.52 .786
Project Management	F10	56	4.25 .769
Top Management Support	F12	56	4.21 .986
Software Development, Testing and Troubleshooting	F11	56	4.16 .804
Cost / Budget	F13	56	4.09 .959
Vendor Selection	F14	56	4.09 .996
Effective Communication	F6	56	3.98 .842
User Training and Education	F5	56	3.89 .947
Business Process Reengineering	F3	56	3.73 .842
ERP Teamwork and Composition	F7	56	3.66 .815

Appropriate Business and Information Technology (IT) Legacy Systems	F1	56	3.62	.983
Change Management Culture	F4	56	3.54	.972
Project Champion	F9	56	3.48	.972
Monitoring and Evaluation of Performance	F8	56	3.43	.912

The t – test for equality of means for organization type (see Table 5) indicates that there is no significant difference in the perceptions of the success factors between the two types of companies. Among the 14 success factors, there is not a single factor with a significance value less than or equal to 0.05. This indicates that locally-owned Indian companies are weighing ERP implementation projects in the same lines as multinational companies.

Table 5. Group Statistics and t – test for Organization Type and Success Factors

FACTORS	Organization Type	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	t-test for Equality of Means for Organization Type		
					t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
F1	IC	23	3.57	1.080	-.377	54	.708
	MN	33	3.67	.924			
F2	IC	23	4.70	.559	1.426	54	.160
	MN	33	4.39	.899			
F3	IC	23	3.83	.576	.694	54	.491
	MN	33	3.67	.990			
F4	IC	23	3.61	.988	.466	54	.643
	MN	33	3.48	.972			
F5	IC	23	4.04	.706	.993	54	.325
	MN	33	3.79	1.083			
F6	IC	23	3.96	.767	-.188	54	.851
	MN	33	4.00	.901			
F7	IC	23	3.52	.665	-1.066	54	.291
	MN	33	3.76	.902			
F8	IC	23	3.26	1.054	-1.153	54	.254
	MN	33	3.55	.794			
F9	IC	23	3.39	.783	-.580	54	.564
	MN	33	3.55	1.092			

F10	IC	23	4.48	.593	1.899	54	.063
	MN	33	4.09	.843			
F11	IC	23	4.17	.576	.102	54	.919
	MN	33	4.15	.939			
F12	IC	23	4.43	.788	1.410	54	.164
	MN	33	4.06	1.088			
F13	IC	23	4.09	.733	-.015	54	.988
	MN	33	4.09	1.100			
F14	IC	23	4.35	.832	1.647	54	.105
	MN	33	3.91	1.071			

4 DISCUSSION

Many organizations in developing countries may not be able to invest the initial cost of ERP implementations (Rajapakse and Seddon 2005). Hence cost is a critical success factor which needs to be considered during the start or proposal of ERP projects. In terms of a project, the business case should reflect rigorous cost benefit analysis to clearly illustrate viability of the project and to convince and gain management support. Top management support in terms of resource remittance and making major decisions is very crucial during ERP implementations (Al-Mashari et al. 2001). Considering the low regulation levels and unconventional business processes, it may be a challenge to find an appropriate fit between the business process and the ERP system, hence the business process re-engineering becomes crucial (Rajapakse and Seddon 2005). Training and education is an important factor and it may need extra emphasis in developing countries where ERP knowledge is less for end users (Rajapakse and Seddon 2005). The best human resources when used effectively lead to a successful implementation of ERP projects. Hence the composition and teamwork of an ERP project must be given importance and it is recommended that managers do not compromise on technical expertise when assigned to the project. Vendor selection is another fundamental key to success because a wrong decision in choosing a vendor may lead to aligning issues of the business process and the ERP system (Arunthari and Hasan 2005). “Software development, testing and troubleshooting” and “Monitoring and evaluation of performance” should run parallel as they are closely associated. However, the finding from Table 5 reveals that the level of importance given to software development, testing and troubleshooting is much higher than monitoring and evaluation of performance. The reason may be because India is one of the largest outsourcing hubs for IT development and the importance of software development has become a part of the culture. According to the findings, a business plan and vision are considered the most critical and important ingredients for success (Table 5). This study recommends that managers must make sure that the business plan and vision are transparent to all the

members of the team. A successful ERP implementation is possible only if all the contributing members have a common business vision and goal.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This study made an initial attempt to understand the adoption of Enterprise Resource Planning Systems in an Indian context. The success factors identified from the literature review were rated on the level of criticality and importance of success by project managers and business analysts from locally-owned Indian companies and multinational companies in India. The findings illustrate that Business Plan and Vision, Project Management, Top Management Support, Software Development, Cost/Budget and Vendor Selection are the factors that play the most important role in ERP implementation. The results also revealed that there was no significant difference in the perception towards the success factors between locally-owned Indian companies and multinational companies. This information may be considered useful when locally-owned Indian companies and firms outside decide to implement ERP systems. However, there existed a significant difference in the level of importance given to two of the fourteen success factors by project managers and business analysts.

REFERENCES

- Al-Mashari, M., Al-Mudimigh, A., and Zairi, M. 2001. "ERP implementation: An integrative methodology," *European Journal of Information Systems* (10:4), pp. 216.
- Arunthari, S., and Hasan, H. 2005. "ERP System Adoption and Vendor Selection by Locally-owned and Multinational Companies in Thailand," *Proceeding of Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems*, available at <http://www.pacis-net.org/file/2005/241.pdf>.
- Ehie, I. C. and Madsen, M. 2005. "Identifying critical issues in enterprise resource planning (ERP) implementation," *Computers in Industry* (56:6), pp. 545-557.
- Nah, F. F. H., Zuckweiler, K. M., and Lau, J. L. S. 2003. "ERP implementation: Chief Information Officers' perceptions of critical success factors," *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* (16:1), pp. 5-22.
- Rajapakse, J., and Seddon, P.B. 2005. "Why ERP may not be suitable for organizations in developing countries in Asia," *Proceedings of PACIS*, 1382-1388.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Yogesh K. Dwivedi is a Senior Lecturer in IS/E-Business at the School of Business and Economics, Swansea University, UK. He obtained his PhD and MSc in Information Systems from Brunel University, UK. He has co-authored several papers which have appeared in international journals such as CACM, EJIS, ISJ, JIT, and JORS. He is Senior Editor of DATA BASE, Assistant Editor of TGPPP and member of the editorial board/review board of several journals. He is a member of the AIS and IFIP WG8.6. He can be reached at ykdwivedi@gmail.com.

Raghav Sukumar is a Consultant at Business & Decision, London, UK. He obtained his MSc in Management from University of Wales, Swansea, UK. He is currently working on a Solvency II project with a large insurance firm. He has also

worked on an E-procurement project in junction with the Official Journal of European Union. He can be reached at sukumar.raghav@gmail.com

Dr Anastasia Papazafeiropoulou is a lecturer in the Information Systems and Computing department at Brunel University, UK. Her PhD was awarded by Brunel University and she also holds a first degree in Informatics and a M.Sc. in Information Systems both from the Athens University of Economics and Business, Greece. She has been involved in a number of European and UK funded research projects with emphasis on electronic commerce and small and medium size enterprises (SMEs). She teaches information systems management and business integration at the postgraduate level. She also supervises researchers in the field of technology adoption by organisations with special interest in developing countries. She has 10 years of research experience on the study of diffusion and adoption of electronic commerce, broadband Internet, Enterprise Resource Planning Systems (ERPs), Customer Relationship Management systems (CRMs), IP-telephony and mobile TV.

Michael D. Williams is a Professor in the School of Business and Economics at Swansea University in the UK. He holds a BSc from the CNAAB, an MEd from the University of Cambridge, and a PhD from the University of Sheffield. He is a member of the British Computer Society and is registered as a Chartered Engineer. Prior to entering academia Professor Williams spent twelve years developing and implementing ICT systems in both public and private sectors in a variety of domains including finance, telecommunications, manufacturing, and local government, and since entering academia, has acted as consultant for both public and private organizations. He is the author of numerous fully refereed and invited papers within the ICT domain, has editorial board membership of a number of academic journals, and has obtained external research funding from sources including the European Union, the Nuffield Foundation, and the Welsh Assembly Government. He can be reached at m.d.williams@swansea.ac.uk