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Abstract

A graph \(G\) has maximal local edge-connectivity \(k\) if the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths between every pair of distinct vertices \(x\) and \(y\) is at most \(k\). We prove Brooks-type theorems for \(k\)-connected graphs with maximal local edge-connectivity \(k\), and for any graph with maximal local edge-connectivity 3. We also consider several related graph classes defined by constraints on connectivity. In particular, we show that there is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given a 3-connected graph \(G\) with maximal local connectivity 3, outputs an optimal colouring for \(G\). On the other hand, we prove, for \(k \geq 3\), that \(k\)-colourability is NP-complete when restricted to minimally \(k\)-connected graphs, and 3-colourability is NP-complete when restricted to \((k-1)\)-connected graphs with maximal local connectivity \(k\). Finally, we consider a parameterization of \(k\)-colourability based on the number of vertices of degree at least \(k+1\), and prove that, even when \(k\) is part of the input, the corresponding parameterized problem is FPT.
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1 Introduction

We consider the problem of finding a proper vertex \(k\)-colouring for a graph for which, loosely speaking, the “connectivity” is somehow constrained. For example, if we consider the class of
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graphs of degree at most \( k \), then, by Brooks’ theorem, it is easy to find if a graph in this class is \( k \)-colourable.

**Theorem 1.1** (Brooks, 1941). Let \( G \) be a connected graph with maximum degree \( k \). Then \( G \) is \( k \)-colourable if and only if \( G \) is not a complete graph or an odd cycle.

On the other hand, if we consider the class of graphs with maximum degree 4, then the decision problem 3-COLOURABILITY is well known to be NP-complete, even when restricted to planar graphs \([9]\). Moreover, for any fixed \( k \geq 3 \), \( k \)-COLOURABILITY is NP-complete.

The classes we consider are defined using the notion of local connectivity. The local connectivity \( \kappa(x, y) \) of distinct vertices \( x \) and \( y \) in a graph is the maximum number of internally vertex-disjoint paths between \( x \) and \( y \). The local edge-connectivity \( \lambda(x, y) \) of distinct vertices \( x \) and \( y \) is the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths between \( x \) and \( y \). Consider the following classes:

- \( C_0^k \): graphs with maximum degree \( k \),
- \( C_1^k \): graphs such that \( \lambda(x, y) \leq k \) for all pairs of distinct vertices \( x \) and \( y \),
- \( C_2^k \): graphs such that \( \kappa(x, y) \leq k \) for all pairs of distinct vertices \( x \) and \( y \), and
- \( C_3^k \): graphs such that \( \kappa(x, y) \leq k \) for all edges \( xy \).

In each successive class, the connectivity constraint is relaxed; that is, \( C_0^k \subseteq C_1^k \subseteq C_2^k \subseteq C_3^k \). For each class, there is a bound on the chromatic number; we give details shortly. Note also that each of the four classes is closed under taking subgraphs.

A graph \( G \) is \( k \)-connected if it has at least 2 vertices and \( \kappa(x, y) \geq k \) for all distinct \( x, y \in V(G) \). The connectivity of a graph \( G \) is the maximum integer \( k \) such that \( G \) is \( k \)-connected. A graph contained in one of the above classes has connectivity at most \( k \). So, for each class, it may be of interest to start by considering the graphs that have connectivity precisely \( k \). For each class \( C_i^k \), we denote by \( \hat{C}_i^k \) the subclass containing the \( k \)-connected members of \( C_i^k \). A Hasse diagram illustrating the partial ordering of these classes under set inclusion is given in Figure 4.

A graph in \( C_1^k \) is said to have maximal local edge-connectivity \( k \). Our first main result is a Brooks-type theorem for graphs with maximal local edge-connectivity \( k \). An odd wheel is a graph obtained from a cycle of odd length by adding a vertex that is adjacent to every vertex of the cycle.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( G \) be a \( k \)-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity \( k \), for \( k \geq 3 \). Then \( G \) is \( k \)-colourable if and only if \( G \) is not a complete graph or an odd wheel.

Note that an odd wheel is not 4-connected, so the condition that \( G \) is not an odd wheel is only required when \( k = 3 \).

Although every graph with maximum degree \( k \) has maximal local edge-connectivity \( k \), Theorem 1.2 is not, strictly speaking, a generalisation of Brooks’ theorem, since it only concerns such graphs that are \( k \)-connected. However, for \( k = 3 \) we prove an extension of Brooks’ theorem that characterises which graphs with maximal local edge-connectivity 3 are 3-colourable, with no requirement on 3-connectivity.

Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be graphs and, for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), let \((u_i, v_i)\) be an ordered pair of adjacent vertices of \( G_i \). We say that the Hajós join of \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) with respect to \((u_1, v_1)\) and \((u_2, v_2)\) is the graph obtained by deleting the edges \( u_1v_1 \) and \( u_2v_2 \) from \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), respectively, identifying the vertices \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \), and adding a new edge joining \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \). A block of a graph \( G \) is a maximal connected subgraph of \( G \) for which every two vertices or edges are contained in a simple cycle.
Theorem 1.3. Let $G$ be a graph with maximal local edge-connectivity 3. Then $G$ is 3-colourable if and only if each block of $G$ cannot be obtained from an odd wheel by performing a (possibly empty) sequence of Hajós joins with an odd wheel.

For convenience, we call a graph that can be obtained from an odd wheel by performing a sequence of Hajós joins with odd wheels, a wheel morass. Suppose that $G_1$ and $G_2$ are wheel morasses. It can be shown, by a routine induction argument, that the Hajós join of $G_1$ and $G_2$ is itself a wheel morass.

It follows from Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 that there is a polynomial-time algorithm that finds a $k$-colouring for a $k$-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, or determines that no such colouring exists; and there is a polynomial-time algorithm for finding an optimal colouring of any graph with maximal local edge-connectivity 3.

A graph in $\hat{C}_k^2$ is also said to have maximal local connectivity $k$. These graphs have been studied previously; primarily, the problem of determining bounds on the maximum number of possible edges in a graph with $n$ vertices and maximal local connectivity $k$ has received much attention (see [2, 14, 20, 23]). Note that for a $k$-connected graph $G$ with maximal local connectivity $k$ (that is, for $G$ in $\hat{C}_2^k$), we have $\kappa(x, y) = k$ for all distinct $x, y \in V(G)$. When $k = 3$, it turns out that $\hat{C}_1^3 = \hat{C}_2^3$ (see Lemma 4.1). This leads to the following:

Theorem 1.4. Let $G$ be a 3-connected graph with maximal local connectivity 3. Then $G$ is 3-colourable if and only if $G$ is not an odd wheel. Moreover, there is a polynomial-time algorithm that finds an optimal colouring for $G$.

However, we give an example in Section 4 to demonstrate that $\hat{C}_1^1 \neq \hat{C}_2^1$ (see Figure 5).

The class $\hat{C}_3^k$ is well known. A graph $G$ is minimally $k$-connected if it is $k$-connected and the removal of any edge leads to a graph that is not $k$-connected. It is easy to check that a graph is in $\hat{C}_3^k$ if and only if it is minimally $k$-connected (see, for example, [2] Lemma 4.2).
We now review known results regarding the bounds on the chromatic number of these classes. Mader proved that any graph with at least one edge contains a pair of adjacent vertices whose local connectivity is equal to the minimum of their degrees [21]. It follows that any graph in $C^3_k$ has a vertex of degree at most $k$. This, in turn, implies that a graph in $C^3_k$ is $(k+1)$-colourable. In particular, minimally $k$-connected graphs, and graphs with maximal local connectivity $k$, are all $(k+1)$-colourable.

Despite these results, it seems that, so far, the tractability of computing the chromatic number, or finding a $k$-colouring, for a graph in one of these classes has not been investigated. For fixed $k$, let $k$-COLOURING be the search problem that, given a graph $G$, finds a $k$-colouring for $G$, or determines that none exists. An overview of our findings in this paper is given in Figure 2, where we illustrate the complexity of $k$-COLOURING when restricted to the various classes defined by constraints on connectivity.

If $k = 1$, then $C^3_3$ is the class of forests, so all the classes are trivial. For $k = 2$, since it is easy to determine if a graph is 2-colourable, and all graphs in $C^3_3$ are 3-colourable, we may compute the chromatic number of any graph in $C^3_3$ in polynomial time.

When $k = 3$, Theorem $1.4$ implies that 3-COLOURING is polynomial-time solvable when restricted to $C^3_3$. For the class $C^3_3$, this problem remains polynomial-time solvable, by Theorem $1.3$. One might hope to generalise these results in one of two other possible directions: to the class $C^3_2$, or to $C^3_3$. But any such attempt is likely to fail, due to the following results (see Sections 4 and 5 respectively):

**Proposition 1.5.** For fixed $k \geq 3$, the problem of deciding if a $(k-1)$-connected graph with maximal local connectivity $k$ is 3-colourable is NP-complete.

**Proposition 1.6.** For fixed $k \geq 3$, the problem of deciding if a minimally $k$-connected graph is $k$-colourable is NP-complete.

Now consider when $k \geq 4$. It follows from Theorem $1.2$ that $k$-COLOURING is polynomial-time
solvable when restricted to $\hat{C}_k^1$. However, the complexity for the more general class $\hat{C}_k^2$ remains an interesting open problem:

**Question 1.7.** For fixed $k \geq 4$, is there a polynomial-time algorithm that, given a $k$-connected graph $G$ with maximal local connectivity $k$, finds a $k$-colouring of $G$, or determines that none exists?

We also show that $3$-colourability is NP-complete for a graph in $C_k^1$, when $k \geq 4$, so computing the chromatic number for a graph in this class, or in $C_k^2$, is NP-hard, as is $3$-colouring. However, the complexity of $k$-colouring (or $k$-colourability) for these classes is unresolved. We make the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.8.** For fixed $k \geq 4$, there is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given a graph $G$ with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, finds a $k$-colouring of $G$, or determines that none exists.

It is worth noting that the class $\hat{C}_k^1$ is non-trivial. All $k$-connected $k$-regular graphs are members of the class, as are $k$-connected graphs with $n-1$ vertices of degree $k$ and a single vertex of degree more than $k$. A member of the class can have arbitrarily many vertices of degree at least $k+1$. To see this for $k = 3$, consider a graph $G'_{3,x}$, for $x \geq 3$, that is obtained from a grid graph $G_{3,x}$ (the Cartesian product of path graphs on 3 and $x$ vertices) by adding two vertex-disjoint edges linking vertices of degree 2 at distance 2. The graph $G'_{3,x}$ is in $\hat{C}_3^1$, and has $x-2$ vertices of degree 4. A similar example can be constructed for any $k > 3$; for example, see Figure 3 for when $k = 4$.

Finally, we consider a parameterization of $k$-colouring based on the number $p_k$ of vertices of degree at least $k+1$. By Brooks’ theorem, a graph $G$ for which $p_k(G) = 0$ can be $k$-coloured in polynomial time, unless it is a complete graph or an odd cycle. We extend this to larger values of $p_k$, showing that, even when $k$ is part of the input, finding a $k$-colouring for a graph is fixed-parameter tractable (FPT) when parameterized by $p_k$.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let $G$ be a graph with at most $p$ vertices of degree more than $k$. There is a $\min\{k^p, p^k\} \cdot O(n+m)$-time algorithm for $k$-colouring $G$, or determining no such colouring exists.

This paper is structured as follows. In the next section, we give preliminary definitions. In Section 3, we consider graphs with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, and prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We then consider the more general class of graphs with maximal local connectivity $k$, in Section 4, and prove Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.5. We present the proof of Proposition 1.6 in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we consider the problem of $k$-colouring a graph parameterized by the number of vertices of degree at least $k+1$, and prove Theorem 1.9.
2 Preliminaries

Our terminology and notation follows \[8\] unless otherwise specified. Throughout, we assume all graphs are simple. We say that paths are \textit{internally disjoint} if they have no internal vertices in common. A \textit{k-edge cut} is a \textit{k}-element set \( S \subseteq E(G) \) for which \( G \backslash S \) is disconnected. A \textit{k-vertex cut} is a \textit{k}-element subset \( Z \subseteq V(G) \) for which \( G - Z \) is disconnected. We call the vertex of a \( 1 \)-vertex cut a \textit{cut-vertex}. For distinct non-adjacent vertices \( x \) and \( y \), and \( Z \subseteq V(G) \backslash \{x,y\} \), we say that \( Z \) \textit{separates} \( x \) and \( y \) when \( x \) and \( y \) belong to different components of \( G - Z \). More generally, for disjoint, non-empty \( X, Y, Z \subseteq V(G) \), we say that \( Z \) \textit{separates} \( X \) and \( Y \) if, for each \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \), the vertices \( x \) and \( y \) are in different components of \( G - Z \). We call a partition \( (X, Z, Y) \) of \( V(G) \) a \textit{k-separation} if \( |Z| \leq k \) and \( Z \) separates \( X \) from \( Y \). When \( G \) is \( k \)-connected and \( (X, Z, Y) \) is a \textit{k-separation} of \( G \), we have that \( |Z| = k \). By Menger’s theorem, if \( \kappa(x, y) = k \) for non-adjacent vertices \( x \) and \( y \), then there is a \( k \)-vertex cut that separates \( x \) and \( y \). If \( \kappa(x, y) = k \geq 2 \) for adjacent vertices \( x \) and \( y \), then there is a \((k - 1)\)-vertex cut in \( G \backslash xy \) that separates \( x \) and \( y \). We use these freely in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

We view a proper \( k \)-colouring of a graph \( G \) as a function \( \phi : V(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \) where for every \( uv \in E(G) \) we have \( \phi(u) \neq \phi(v) \). For each \( x \subseteq V(G) \), we write \( \phi(x) \) to denote the image of \( x \) under \( \phi \).

Given graphs \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), the graph with vertex set \( V(G_1) \cup V(G_2) \) and edge set \( E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \) is denoted \( G_1 \cup G_2 \).

A \textit{diamond} is a graph obtained by removing an edge from \( K_4 \). We call the two degree-2 vertices of a diamond \( D \) the \textit{pick} vertices of \( D \).

3 Graphs with maximal local edge-connectivity \( k \)

In this section we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Lovász provided a short proof of Brooks’ theorem in \[18\]. The proof can easily be adapted to show that graphs with at most one vertex of degree more than \( k \) are often \( k \)-colourable. We make this precise in the next lemma; the proof is provided for completeness. A vertex is \textit{dominating} if it is adjacent to every other vertex of the graph.

\textbf{Lemma 3.1.} Let \( G \) be a 3-connected graph with at most one vertex of degree more than \( k \), for \( k \geq 3 \), and no dominating vertices. Then \( G \) is \( k \)-colourable.

\textit{Proof.} Let \( h \) be a vertex of \( G \) with maximum degree. Since \( G \) has no dominating vertices and is connected, there is a vertex \( y \) at distance two from \( h \). Let \( z_1 \) be a common neighbour of \( h \) and \( y \). Since \( G \) is 3-connected, \( G - \{h, y\} \) is connected. Let \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-2} \) be a search ordering of \( G - \{h, y\} \) starting at \( z_1 \); that is, an ordering of \( V(G - \{h, y\}) \) where each vertex \( z_i \), for \( 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \), has a neighbour \( z_j \) with \( j < i \). We colour \( G \) as follows. Assign \( h \) and \( y \) the colour 1, say. We can then (greedily) assign one of the \( k \) colours to each of \( z_{n-2}, z_{n-3}, \ldots, z_2 \) in turn, since at the time one of these vertices is considered, it has at most \( k - 1 \) neighbours that have already been assigned colours. Finally, we can colour \( z_1 \), since it has degree at most \( k \), but at least two of its neighbours, \( h \) and \( y \), are the same colour.

Now we show that we can decompose a \( k \)-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity \( k \) into components each containing a single vertex of degree more than \( k \).
Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, for $k \geq 3$, and at least two vertices of degree more than $k$. Then there exists a $k$-edge cut $S$ such that one component of $G\backslash S$ contains precisely one vertex of degree more than $k$, and the edges of $S$ are vertex disjoint.

Proof. We say that a set of vertices $X_1 \subseteq V(G)$ is good if $|X_1| \leq n/2$ and $d(X_1) = k$, where $d(X_1)$ is the number of edges with one end in $X_1$ and the other end in $V(G) \setminus X_1$. If two good sets $X_1$ and $X_2$ have non-empty intersection, then $|X_1 \cup X_2| < n$, so $d(X_1 \cup X_2) \geq k$ by $k$-connectivity. As $d(X_1) + d(X_2) \geq d(X_1 \cup X_2) + d(X_1 \cap X_2)$ (see, for example, [3, Exercise 2.5.4(b)]), it follows that $d(X_1 \cap X_2) = k$. Thus, if a good set $X_1$ meets a good set $X_2$, then $X_1 \cap X_2$ is also good. This implies that if a vertex of degree more than $k$ is in a good set, then there is a unique minimal good set containing it. Since there is a $k$-edge cut between any two vertices, one of any two vertices is in a good set. Thus, all but at most one vertex of $G$ is in a good set. Let $X$ be a minimal good set containing at least one vertex of degree more than $k$. Suppose $X$ contains distinct vertices $x$ and $y$, each with degree more than $k$. Then there is a $k$-edge cut separating them, so there is a good set containing exactly one of them. By taking the intersection of this good set with $X$, we obtain a good set that is a proper subset of $X$ and contains at least one vertex of degree more than $k$; a contradiction. So $X$ contains precisely one vertex of degree more than $k$. Now $d(X) = k$, since $X$ is good, hence the $k$ edges with one end in $X$ and the other in $E(G) \setminus X$ give an edge cut $S$.

It remains to show that the edges of $S$ are vertex disjoint. Set $Y = V(G) \setminus X$, and let $X_S$ (respectively, $Y_S$) be the set of vertices of $X$ (respectively, $Y$) incident to an edge of $S$. Let $|X| = q$. Since every vertex in $X$ has degree at least $k$, and $X$ contains some vertex of degree more than $k$, we have that $\sum_{v \in X} d(v) \geq qk + 1$. If $q \leq k$, then, since each vertex in $X$ has at most $q - 1$ neighbours in $X$, we have that $\sum_{v \in X} d(v) \leq q(q - 1) + k \leq k(q - 1) + k = qk$; a contradiction. So $X_S \neq X$ and, similarly, $Y_S \neq Y$. Now, since $G$ is $k$-connected, there are $k$ internally disjoint paths from any vertex in $X \setminus X_S$ to any vertex in $Y \setminus Y_S$. Each of these paths must contain a different edge of $S$. Thus $S$ satisfies the requirements of the lemma.

Next we show, loosely speaking, that if a graph $G$ has a $k$-edge cut $S$ where the edges in $S$ have no vertices in common, then the problem of $k$-colouring $G$ can essentially be reduced to finding $k$-colourings of the components of $G \setminus S$; the only bad case is when the vertices incident to $S$ are coloured all the same colour in one component, and all different colours in the other.

Lemma 3.3. Let $G$ be a connected graph with a $k$-edge cut $S$, for $k \geq 3$, such that the edges of $S$ are vertex-disjoint, and $G \setminus S$ consists of two components $G_1$ and $G_2$. Let $V_i$ be the set of vertices in $V(G_i)$ incident to an edge of $S$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(i) Then $G$ is $k$-colourable if and only if there exists a $k$-colouring $\phi_1$ of $G_1$ and a $k$-colouring $\phi_2$ of $G_2$ such that $\{|\phi_1(V_1)|, |\phi_2(V_2)|\} \neq \{1, k\}$.

(ii) Moreover, if $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are $k$-colourings of $G_1$ and $G_2$, respectively, for which $\{|\phi_1(V_1)|, |\phi_2(V_2)|\} \neq \{1, k\}$, then there exists a permutation $\sigma$ such that

$$
\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 
\phi_1(x) & \text{for } x \in V(G_1), \\
\sigma(\phi_2(x)) & \text{for } x \in V(G_2)
\end{cases}
$$

is a $k$-colouring of $G$. 7
Proof. First, we prove (ii), which implies that (i) holds in one direction. Let $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ be $k$-colourings of $G_1$ and $G_2$, respectively, for which $\{|\phi_1(V_1)|,|\phi_2(V_2)|\} \neq \{1,k\}$. We will construct an auxiliary graph $H$ where the vertices are labelled by subsets of $V_1$ or $V_2$ in such a way that if we can $k$-colour $H$, then there exists a permutation $\sigma$ such that $\phi$, as defined in the statement of the lemma, is a $k$-colouring of $G$.

Let $(T_1,T_2,\ldots,T_{|\phi_1(V_1)|})$ be the partition of the vertices in $V_1$ into colour classes with respect to $\phi_1$ and, likewise, let $(W_1,W_2,\ldots,W_{|\phi_2(V_2)|})$ be the partition of $V_2$ into colour classes with respect to $\phi_2$. We construct a graph $H$ consisting of $|\phi_1(V_1)|+|\phi_2(V_2)|$ vertices: for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,|\phi_1(V_1)|\}$, we have a vertex $t_i \in V(H)$ labelled by $T_i$, and, for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,|\phi_2(V_2)|\}$, we have a vertex $w_i \in V(H)$ labelled by $W_i$. Let $T = \{t_i : 1 \leq i \leq |\phi_1(V_1)|\}$ and let $W = \{w_i : 1 \leq i \leq |\phi_2(V_2)|\}$. Each $t \in T$ (respectively, $w \in W$) is adjacent to every vertex in $T - \{t\}$ (respectively, $W - \{w\}$). Finally, for each edge $v_1v_2$ in $S$, we add an edge between the vertex $t \in T$ labelled by the colour class containing $v_1$, and the vertex $w \in W$ labelled by the colour class containing $v_2$, omitting parallel edges. Thus there are at most $k$ edges between vertices in $T$ and vertices in $W$.

Now we show that $H$ is $k$-colourable. Consider a vertex $t \in T$. If it has $x$ neighbours in $W$, then it represents a colour class consisting of at least $x$ vertices of $V_1$. So there are at most $k - x$ vertices in $T - \{t\}$, and hence $t$ has degree at most $x + (k - x)$. It follows, by Brooks’ theorem, that $H$ is $k$-colourable unless it is a complete graph, as $k \geq 3$. Moreover, if $|V(H)| \leq k$, then $H$ is $k$-colourable, so assume that $|V(H)| > k$. Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that $|T| > k/2$. Since there are at most $k$ edges between vertices in $T$ and vertices in $W$, and each vertex of $T$ has the same number of neighbours in $W$, it follows that each vertex in $T$ has a single neighbour in $W$. Since $H$ is a complete graph, we have $|W| = 1$, and hence, recalling that $|V(H)| > k$, we have $|T| = k$. That is, $|\phi_1(V_1)| = k$ and $|\phi_2(V_2)| = 1$; a contradiction.

Now $H$ is $k$-colourable. By permuting the colours of a $k$-colouring of $H$, we can obtain a $k$-colouring $\psi$ such that $\psi|_{V_1} = \phi_1$. Then $\psi|_{V_2}$ induces a permutation $\sigma$ of $\phi_2$, in the obvious way, with the desired properties. This completes the proof of (ii).

Finally, we observe that when $\{|\phi_1(V_1)|,|\phi_2(V_2)|\} = \{1,k\}$ for every $k$-colouring $\phi_1$ of $G_1$ and every $k$-colouring $\phi_2$ of $G_2$, then $G$ is not $k$-colourable. This completes the proof of (i). \qed

Suppose that a graph $G$ has a $k$-edge cut $S$ that separates $X$ from $Y$, where $(X,Y)$ is a partition of $V(G)$. We fix the following notation for the remainder of this section. Let $Y_S$ (respectively, $X_S$) be the subset of $Y$ (respectively, $X$) consisting of vertices incident to an edge in $S$. Let $G_X$ (respectively, $G_Y$) be the graph obtained from $G[X \cup Y_S]$ (respectively, $G[Y \cup X_S]$) by adding edges so that $Y_S$ (respectively, $X_S$) is a clique.

Lemma 3.4. Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph, for $k \geq 3$, with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, and a $k$-edge cut $S$ that separates $X$ from $Y$, where $(X,Y)$ partitions $V(G)$. Then $G_X$ is $k$-connected and has maximal local edge-connectivity $k$.

Proof. First we show that $G_X$ has maximal local edge-connectivity $k$. The only vertices of degree more than $k$ in $G_X$ are in $X$. Suppose $u$ and $v$ are vertices in $X$ of degree more than $k$. Clearly, for each $uv$-path in $G_X[X]$ there is a corresponding $uv$-path in $G[X]$. We show that there are at least as many edge-disjoint $uv$-paths that pass through an edge of $S$ in $G$ as there are in $G_X$; it follows that $\lambda_{G_X}(u,v) \leq \lambda_G(u,v) \leq k$. Since $S$ is a $k$-edge cut in $G_X$, there are at most $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint paths in $G_X$ starting and ending at a vertex in $X_S$. Let $y$ be a vertex in $Y$. Since $G$ is $k$-connected, the Fan Lemma (see, for example, [3 Proposition 9.5]) implies that there are $k$ paths from $y$ to each member of $X_S$ that meet only in $y$. Hence, there are $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint paths in
$G[Y \cup X_S]$ starting and ending at a vertex in $X_S$. Thus, we deduce that $G_X$ has maximal local edge-connectivity $k$.

We now show that $G_X$ is $k$-connected, by demonstrating that $\kappa_{G_X}(u,v) \geq k$ for all distinct $u,v \in V(G_X)$. First, suppose that $u,v \in X$. Evidently, for each $uv$-path in $G[X]$ there is a corresponding $uv$-path in $G_X[X]$. Moreover, each $uv$-path in $G$ that traverses an edge of $S$ traverses two such edges $xy$ and $x'y'$, say, where $x,x' \in X_S$ and $y,y' \in Y_S$. By replacing the $x'y'$-path in $G$ with the edge $x'y'$ in $G_X$, we obtain a $uv$-path of $G_X$. We deduce that $\kappa_{G_X}(u,v) \geq \kappa_G(u,v) \geq k$ for any $u,v \in X$. Now suppose $u,v \in Y_S$. Then there are $k-1$ internally disjoint $uv$-paths in $G_X[Y_S]$. Pick $u',v' \in X_S$ such that $uu'$ and $vv'$ are in $S$. Since $G_X[X]$ is connected, there is at least one $u'v'$-path in $G_X[X]$, so there are $k$ internally disjoint $uv$-paths in $G_X$. Finally, let $u \in X$ and $v \in Y_S$. Since $G$ is $k$-connected, the Fan Lemma implies that there are $k$ paths from $u$ to each vertex of $Y_S$ in $G$ that meet only in $u$. Hence there are $k$ such paths in $G_X$. Since $Y_S$ is a clique in $G_X$, there are $k$ internally disjoint $uv$-paths in $G_X$. Thus $\kappa_{G_X}(u,v) \geq k$ for all distinct $u,v \in V(G_X)$, as required.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph, for $k \geq 3$, with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$ and at least two vertices of degree more than $k$. Then $G$ is $k$-colourable.

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on the number of vertices of degree more than $k$. First we show that the proposition holds when $G$ has precisely two vertices of degree more than $k$. Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct vertices of $G$ with degree more than $k$. By Lemma 3.2, there is a $k$-edge cut $S$ that separates $X$ from $Y$, where $x \in X, y \in Y, (X,Y)$ is a partition of $V(G)$, and $X$ contains precisely one vertex of degree more than $k$. Consider the graph $G_X$; this graph is $3$-connected by Lemma 3.4 and has no dominating vertex by definition. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, $G_X$ is $k$-colourable. Moreover, in such a $k$-colouring, the vertices in $Y_S$ are given $k$ different colours, since they form a $k$-clique, and hence the vertices in $X_S$ are not all the same colour. So $G_X[X] = G[X]$ is $k$-colourable in such a way that the vertices in $X_S$ are not all the same colour. By symmetry, $G[Y]$ is $k$-colourable in such a way that the vertices in $Y_S$ are not all the same colour. It follows, by Lemma 3.3, that $G$ is $k$-colourable.

Now let $G$ be a graph with $p$ vertices of degree more than $k$, for $p > 2$. We assume that a $k$-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, and $p-1$ vertices of degree more than $k$ is $k$-colourable. By Lemma 3.2, there is a $k$-edge cut $S$ that separates $X$ from $Y$, where $X$ contains precisely one vertex of degree more than $k$, and $(X,Y)$ is a partition of $V(G)$. The graph $G_Y$ is $k$-connected and has maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, by Lemma 3.4. Thus, by the induction assumption, $G_Y$ is $k$-colourable. It follows that $G[Y]$ is $k$-colourable in such a way that the vertices in $Y_S$ are not all the same colour. The graph $G_X$ is $3$-connected, by Lemma 3.4, so is $k$-colourable, by Lemma 3.3. So $G[X]$ is $k$-colourable in such a way that the vertices in $X_S$ are not all the same colour. Thus, by Lemma 3.3, $G$ is $k$-colourable. The proposition follows by induction. 

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Clearly if $G$ is a complete graph, then $G$ is $K_{k+1}$ and is not $k$-colourable. If $G$ is an odd wheel, then, since $G$ is not $4$-connected, we have $k = 3$, and $G$ is not $3$-colourable. This proves one direction. Now suppose $G$ is not $k$-colourable and has $p$ vertices of degree more than $k$. Then $p < 2$, by Proposition 3.5. If $p = 0$, then $G$ is a complete graph, by Brooks’ theorem (an odd cycle is not $k$-connected for any $k \geq 3$). If $p = 1$, then $G$ has a dominating vertex $v$, by Lemma 3.1. Since $G - \{v\}$ is not $(k-1)$-colourable, and $G - \{v\}$ has maximum degree $k-1$, it follows, by Brooks’ theorem, that $G - \{v\}$ is a complete graph or an odd cycle. Thus $G$ is a complete graph or an odd wheel.


Corollary 3.6. Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$. There is a polynomial-time algorithm that finds a $k$-colouring for $G$ when $G$ is $k$-colourable, or a $(k+1)$-colouring otherwise.

Proof. Suppose $G$ has at most one vertex of degree more than $k$. If $G$ has no dominating vertices, then the proof of Lemma 3.1 leads to an algorithm for $k$-colouring $G$. Otherwise, when $G$ has a dominating vertex $v$, the problem reduces to finding a $(k-1)$-colouring for $G - \{v\}$, where $G - \{v\}$ has maximum degree $k-1$. In either case, we have a linear-time algorithm for colouring $G$.

When $G$ has at least two vertices $x$ and $y$ of degree more than $k$, we use the approach taken in the proof of Proposition 3.5. We can find a $k$-edge cut $S$ that separates $x$ and $y$ in $O(km)$ time, by an application of the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Without loss of generality, $x$ is contained in a component of $G \setminus S$ with at most $n/2$ vertices. It follows, by the proof of Lemma 3.2, that with $O(n)$ applications of the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm we can obtain an edge cut $S'$ such that $x$ is the only vertex of degree more than $k$ in one component $X$ of $G \setminus S'$. Thus we can find the desired $k$-edge cut $S'$ in $O(knm) = O(nm)$ time. Let $Y = V(G) \setminus X$, and let $G_X$ and $G_Y$ be as defined just prior to Lemma 3.4. As $G_X$ is 3-connected by Lemma 3.4, and has no dominating vertices by definition, we can find a $k$-colouring $\phi_X$ for $G_X$ in linear time by Lemma 3.1. To find a $k$-colouring $\phi_Y$ for $G_Y$, if one exists, we repeat this process recursively. Then, by Lemma 3.3 we can extend $\phi_Y$ to a $k$-colouring of $G$ by finding a permutation for $\phi_X$, which can be done in constant time. When $G$ has $p$ vertices of degree more than $k$, this process takes $O(pnm)$ time. Since $p \leq n$, the algorithm runs in $O(n^2m)$ time. \qed

An extension of Brooks’ theorem when $k = 3$

We now work towards proving Theorem 1.3. Recall that a wheel morass is either an odd wheel, or a graph that can be obtained from odd wheels by applying the Hajós join. We restate the theorem here in terms of wheel morasses:

Theorem 3.7. Let $G$ be a graph with maximal local edge-connectivity 3. Then $G$ is 3-colourable if and only if each block of $G$ is not a wheel morass.

Let us now establish some properties of wheel morasses. A graph $G$ is $k$-critical if $\chi(G) = k$ and every proper subgraph $H$ of $G$ has $\chi(H) < k$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $G$ be a wheel morass. Then

(i) $G$ is 4-critical, and

(ii) for every two distinct vertices $x$ and $y$, we have $\lambda(x, y) \geq 3$.

Proof. (i) It is well known that the Hajós join of two $k$-critical graphs is $k$-critical (see, for example, [3, Exercise 14.2.9]). Since the odd wheels are 4-critical, we immediately get, by induction, that every wheel morass is 4-critical.

(ii) We prove this by induction on the number of Hajós joins. The result can easily be checked for odd wheels.

Assume now that $G$ is the Hajós join of $G_1$ and $G_2$ with respect to $(u_1, v_1)$ and $(u_2, v_2)$. Let $x$ and $y$ be two vertices in $G$. If $x \in V(G_1)$ and $y \in V(G_1)$, then, by the induction hypothesis, there are three edge-disjoint $xy$-paths in $G_1$. If one them contains $v_1u_1$, then replace it by the concatenation of $v_1v_2$ and a $v_2u_2$-path in $G_2 \setminus u_2v_2$ (such a path exists since $\lambda_{G_2}(u_2, v_2) \geq 3$ by the
induction hypothesis). This results in three edge-disjoint \(xy\)-paths, so \(\lambda_G(x,y) \geq 3\). Likewise, if \(x \in V(G_2)\) and \(y \in V(G_2)\), then \(\lambda_G(x,y) \geq 3\).

Assume now that \(x \in V(G_1)\) and \(y \in V(G_2)\). Let us prove the following:

**Claim 3.8.1.** In \(G_1 \setminus u_1v_1\), there are three edge-disjoint paths \(P_1, P_2\) and \(P_3\) such that \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) are \(xu_1\)-paths and \(P_3\) is an \(xv_1\)-path.

**Proof.** By the induction hypothesis, there are three edge-disjoint \(xu_1\)-paths \(R_1, R_2, R_3\) in \(G_1\). If \(v_1 \in V(R_1) \cup V(R_2) \cup V(R_3)\), then we may assume, without loss of generality, that \(v_1 \in V(R_3)\) and \(u_1v_1 \notin E(R_1) \cup E(R_2)\). Hence \(R_1, R_2\) and the \(xv_1\)-subpath of \(R_3\) are the desired paths. Now we may assume that \(v_1 \notin V(R_1) \cup V(R_2) \cup V(R_3)\). Let \(Q\) be a shortest path from \(z_1 \in V(R_1) \cup V(R_2) \cup V(R_3)\) to \(v_1\) in \(G \setminus u_1v_1\) (such a path exists by our connectivity assumption). Without loss of generality, \(z_1 \in V(R_3)\). Hence the desired paths are \(R_1, R_2\) and the concatenation of the \((xz_1)\)-subpath of \(R_3\) and \(Q\). This proves Claim 3.8.1.

By Claim 3.8.1 and symmetry, there are three edge-disjoint paths \(Q_1, Q_2\) and \(Q_3\) in \(G_2 \setminus u_2v_2\) such that \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) are \(uv_2\)-paths and \(Q_3\) is a \(v_2y\)-path. The paths obtained by concatenating \(P_1\) and \(Q_1\); \(P_2\) and \(Q_2\); and \(P_3, v_1v_2\) and \(Q_3\) are three edge-disjoint \(xy\)-paths in \(G\), so \(\lambda_G(x,y) \geq 3\).

**Proof of Theorem 7.3.** If a block of \(G\) is a wheel morass, then this block has chromatic number 4 by Proposition 3.8(ii), and thus \(\chi(G) \geq 4\).

Conversely, assume that no block of \(G\) is a wheel morass. We will show that \(G\) is 3-colourable by induction on the number of vertices. We may assume that \(G\) is 2-connected (since if each block is 3-colourable, then it is straightforward to piece these 3-colourings together to obtain a 3-colouring of \(G\)). Moreover, if \(G\) is 3-connected, then the result follows from Theorem 1.2 since \(G\) is not an odd wheel. Henceforth, we assume that \(G\) is not 3-connected.

Let \((A, \{x, y\}, B)\) be a 2-separation of \(V(G)\). Let \(H_A\) (respectively, \(H_B\)) be the graph obtained from \(G_A = G[A \cup \{x, y\}]\) (respectively, \(G_B = G[B \cup \{x, y\}]\)) by adding an edge \(xy\) if it does not exist. Observe that since \(G\) is 2-connected, there is at least one \(xy\)-path in \(G_B\), so \(H_A\) (and, similarly, \(H_B\)) has maximal local edge-connectivity 3.

Assume first that neither \(H_A\) nor \(H_B\) are wheel morasses. By the induction hypothesis, both \(H_A\) and \(H_B\) are 3-colourable. Thus, by piecing together a 3-colouring of \(H_A\) and a 3-colouring of \(H_B\) in both of which \(x\) is coloured 1 and \(y\) is coloured 2, we obtain a 3-colouring of \(G\).

Henceforth, we may assume that \(H_A\) or \(H_B\) is a wheel morass. Without loss of generality, we assume that \(H_A\) is a wheel morass. Observe first that \(xy \notin E(G)\). Indeed, if \(xy \in E(G)\), then \(\lambda_{H_A}(x,y) \leq 2\), since there is an \(xy\)-path in \(G_B\), as \(G\) is 2-connected. Hence, by Proposition 3.8(ii), \(H_A\) is not a wheel morass; a contradiction.

Furthermore, Proposition 3.8(ii) implies that there are three edge-disjoint \(xy\)-paths in \(H_A\), two of which are in \(G_A\). Now, since \(\lambda_G(x,y) \leq 3\), it follows that \(\lambda_{G_B}(x,y) \leq 1\). But \(G_B\) is connected, since \(G\) is 2-connected, so there exists an edge \(x'y'\) such that \(G_B \setminus x'y'\) has two components: one, \(G_x\), containing both \(x\) and \(x'\); and the other, \(G_y\), containing \(y\) and \(y'\). We now distinguish two cases depending on whether or not \(x = x'\) or \(y = y'\).

- Assume first that \(x \neq x'\) and \(y \neq y'\). Let \(H_x\) (respectively, \(H_y\)) be the graph obtained from \(G_x\) (respectively, \(G_y\)) by adding the edge \(xx'\) (respectively, \(yy'\)), if it does not exist. Observe that the concatenation of an \(xy\)-path in \(G_A\), a \(yy'\)-path in \(G_y\), and \(y'x'\) is a non-trivial \(xx'\)-path in \(G\) whose internal vertices are not in \(V(G_x)\). Hence \(\lambda_{G_x}(x, x') \leq 2\), so \(H_x\) has maximal
local edge-connectivity 3. Moreover, \( G_x \) is not a wheel morass, by Proposition 3.8(ii), and hence \( G_x \) is 3-colourable, by the induction hypothesis. Let \( J \) be the graph obtained from \( G - (V(G_x) \setminus \{x\}) \) by adding the edge \( xy' \). Since there is an \( xx' \)-path in \( G_x \), the graph \( J \) has maximal local edge-connectivity 3. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, either \( J \) is 3-colourable or \( J \) is a wheel morass. In both cases, \( G - (V(G_x) \setminus \{x\}) \) is 3-colourable, by Proposition 3.8(i).

Suppose that \( xx' \in E(G) \). Then, in every 3-colouring of \( G_x \), the vertices \( x \) and \( x' \) have different colours. Consequently, one can find a 3-colouring \( c_1 \) of \( G_x \) and a 3-colouring \( c_2 \) of \( G - (V(G_x) \setminus \{x\}) \) such that \( c_1(x) = c_2(x) \) and \( c_1(x') \neq c_2(y') \). The union of these two colourings is a 3-colouring of \( G \). Similarly, the result holds if \( yy' \in E(G) \).

Henceforth, we may assume that \( xx' \) and \( yy' \) are not edges of \( G \). If both \( H_x \) and \( H_y \) are wheel morasses, then \( G \) is also a wheel morass, obtained by taking the Hajós join of \( H_A \) and \( H_x \) with respect to \( (x,y) \) and \( (x,x') \), and then the Hajós join of the resulting graph and \( H_y \) with respect to \( (y,x') \) and \( (y,y') \). Hence, we may assume that one of \( H_x \) and \( H_y \), say \( H_x \), is not a wheel morass. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, \( H_x \) admits a 3-colouring \( c_1 \), which is a 3-colouring of \( G_x \) such that \( c_1(x) \neq c_1(x') \). Since \( G - (V(G_x) \setminus \{x\}) \) is 3-colourable, one can find a 3-colouring \( c_2 \) of \( G - (V(G_x) \setminus \{x\}) \) such that \( c_1(x) = c_2(x) \) and \( c_1(x') \neq c_2(y') \). The union of \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) is a 3-colouring of \( G \).

- Assume now that \( x = x' \) or \( y = y' \). Without loss of generality, \( x = x' \). Let \( H_y \) be the graph obtained from \( G_y \) by adding the edge \( yy' \), if it does not exist. The graph \( H_y \) has maximal local edge-connectivity 3. If \( H_y \) is a wheel morass, then \( G \) is the Hajós join of \( H_A \) and \( H_y \) with respect to \((y,x)\) and \((y,y')\), so \( G \) is also a wheel morass; a contradiction. If \( H_y \) is not a wheel morass, then by the induction hypothesis \( H_y \) admits a 3-colouring \( c_2 \), which is 3-colouring of \( G_y \) such that \( c_2(y) \neq c_2(y') \). Now \( H_A \) is a wheel morass, so it is 4-critical by Proposition 3.8(i). Thus \( G_A \) admits a 3-colouring \( c_1 \) such that \( c_1(x) = c_1(y) \). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( c_1(y) = c_2(y) \). Then the union of \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) is a 3-colouring of \( G \).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.9.** Let \( G \) be a graph with maximal local edge-connectivity 3. Then there is a polynomial-time algorithm that finds an optimal colouring for \( G \).

## 4 Graphs with maximal local connectivity \( k \)

We now consider the more general class of graphs with maximal local (vertex) connectivity \( k \). First, we show that for a 3-connected graph, the notions of maximal local edge-connectivity 3 and maximal local connectivity 3 are equivalent.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( G \) be a 3-connected graph with maximal local connectivity 3. Then \( G \) has maximal local edge-connectivity 3.

**Proof.** Consider two vertices \( x \) and \( y \) with four edge-disjoint paths between them. We will show that there is a pair of vertices with four internally disjoint paths between them, contradicting that \( G \) has maximal local connectivity 3. First we assume that \( x \) and \( y \) are not adjacent. Let \((X,S,Y)\) be a 3-separation with \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \) such that \( X \) is inclusion-wise minimal. Let \( S = \{v_1,v_2,v_3\} \); note that 3-connectivity implies that every vertex in \( S \) has a neighbour both in \( X \) and \( Y \). Each of
the four paths has, when going from \( x \) to \( y \), a last vertex in \( X \cup S \). This vertex has to be in \( S \), so we can assume, without loss of generality, that \( v_1 \) is the last such vertex of at least two of the four edge-disjoint paths. This means that \( v_1 \) has at least two neighbours in \( Y \).

We will show that there are four internally vertex-disjoint paths in \( G[X \cup S] \): two \( xv_1 \)-paths, an \( xv_2 \)-path and an \( xv_3 \)-path. Let \( G' \) be the graph obtained from \( G[X \cup S] \) by introducing a new vertex \( v'_1 \) that is adjacent to every neighbour of \( v_1 \) in \( X \cup S \). If \( G' \) contains four paths connecting \( x \) and \( S' := \{v_1, v'_1, v_2, v_3\} \) that meet only in \( x \), then the required four paths exist in \( G[X \cup S] \). If there are no four such paths in \( G' \), then a max-flow min-cut argument (with \( x \) having infinite capacity and every other vertex having unit capacity) shows that there is a set \( S^* \) of at most three vertices, with \( x \notin S^* \), that separate \( x \) and \( S' \). It is not possible that \( S^* \subset S' \): then every vertex in the non-empty set \( S' \setminus S^* \) remains reachable from \( x \) (using that every vertex of \( S' \) has a neighbour in \( X \)). Therefore, \( S^* \) has at least one vertex in \( X \) and hence the set of vertices reachable from \( x \) in \( G' - S^* \) is a proper subset of \( X \). It follows that \( S^* \) implies the existence of a 3-separation contradicting the minimality of \( X \).

Next we prove that there are internally disjoint \( v_1v_2 \)- and \( v_1v_3 \)-paths in \( G[S \cup Y] \). Recall that \( v_1 \) has two neighbours in \( Y \). Suppose, towards a contradiction, that given any \( v_1v_2 \)-path and \( v_1v_3 \)-path in \( G[S \cup Y] \), these paths are not internally disjoint. Then, in \( G[S \cup Y] \), there is a cut-vertex \( w \) that separates \( v_1 \) and \( \{v_2, v_3\} \). Since \( v_1 \) has two neighbours in \( Y \), there is a vertex \( q \in Y \) that is adjacent to \( v_1 \) and distinct from \( w \). As \( w \) is a cut-vertex in \( G[S \cup Y] \), every \( qv_2 \)- or \( qv_3 \)-path passes through \( w \). Hence \( \{w, v_1\} \) separates \( q \) from \( x \) in \( G \), contradicting 3-connectivity.

Now there are internally disjoint \( xv_1 \)-, \( xv_2 \)-, \( xv_3 \)-paths in \( X \) and internally disjoint \( v_1v_2 \)- and \( v_1v_3 \)-paths in \( Y \). Thus, as shown Figure 4, there are four internally disjoint \( xv_1 \)-paths, contradicting the fact that the local connectivity \( \kappa(x, v_1) \) is at most 3.

A similar argument applies when \( x \) and \( y \) are adjacent. In this case, \( G \setminus xy \) has a 2-vertex cut. Let \((X, S, Y)\) be a 2-separation of \( G \setminus xy \) with \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \) such that \( X \) is inclusion-wise minimal, and let \( S = \{v_1, v_2\} \). Since \( G \setminus xy \) is 2-connected, \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) each have a neighbour in \( X \) and a neighbour in \( Y \). Each of the three \( xy \)-paths in \( G \setminus xy \) has a last vertex in \( S \), so we may assume, without loss of generality, that \( v_1 \) is the last vertex of at least two of the three, and hence \( v_1 \) has at least two neighbours in \( Y \). Let \( G' \) be the graph obtained from \( G[X \cup S] \) by introducing a new vertex \( v'_1 \) that is adjacent to every neighbour of \( v_1 \) in \( X \cup S \), and let \( S' = \{v_1, v'_1, v_2\} \). If \( G' \) does not contain three paths from \( x \) to \( S' \) that meet only in \( x \), then, by a max-flow min-cut argument as in the case where \( x \) and \( y \) are not adjacent, we deduce there is a set \( S^* \) of at most two vertices that separate \( x \) and \( S' \). Since \( S^* \not\subset S' \), this contradicts the minimality of \( X \).

It remains to prove that there are internally disjoint \( v_1y \)- and \( v_1v_2 \)-paths in \( G[Y \cup S] \). Suppose
not. Then, in $G[Y \cup S]$, there is a cut-vertex $w$ that separates $v_1$ and $\{v_2, y\}$. Since $v_1$ has at least two neighbours in $Y$, one of these neighbours $q$ is distinct from $w$. As every $qv_2$- or $qy$-path in $G[Y \cup S]$ passes through $w$, it follows that $\{w, v_1\}$ separates $q$ from $x$ in $G$, contradicting 3-connectivity. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

At this juncture, we observe that the proof of Lemma 4.1 relies on properties specific to 3-connected graphs with local connectivity 3. For $k \geq 4$, a $k$-connected graph with maximal local connectivity $k$ may not have maximal local edge-connectivity $k$; an example is given in Figure 5.

Theorem 1.4 now follows immediately from Theorem 1.2, Corollary 3.6, and Lemma 4.1. One might hope to generalise this result to all graphs with maximal local connectivity 3, for a result analogous to Theorem 1.3. But this hope will not be realised, unless P=NP, since deciding if a 2-connected graph with maximal local connectivity 3 is 3-colourable is NP-complete. We prove this using a reduction from the unrestricted version of 3-COLOURABILITY. Given an instance of this problem, we replace each vertex of degree at least four with a gadget that ensures that the resulting graph has maximal local connectivity 3. Shortly, we describe this gadget; first, we require some definitions.

We call the graph obtained from two copies of a diamond, by identifying a pick vertex from each, a serial diamond pair and denote it $D_2$. We call the two degree-2 vertices of $D_2$ the ends. A tree is cubic if all vertices have either degree one or degree three. A degree-1 vertex is a leaf; and an edge that is incident to a leaf is a pendant edge, whereas an edge that is incident to two degree-3 vertices is an internal edge.

For $l \geq 4$, let $T$ be a cubic tree with $l$ leaves. For each pendant edge $xy$, we remove $xy$, take a copy of a diamond $D$ and identify, firstly, the vertex $x$ with one pick vertex of $D$, and, secondly, $y$ with the other pick vertex of $D$. For each internal edge $xy$, we remove $xy$, take a copy of $D_2$ and identify, firstly, the vertex $x$ with one end of $D_2$, and, secondly, $y$ with the other end of $D_2$. A degree-2 vertex in the resulting graph $T'$ corresponds to a leaf of $T$; we call such a vertex an outlet. We also call $T'$ a hub gadget with $l$ outlets. Observe that for any integer $l \geq 4$, there exists a hub gadget with exactly $l$ outlets. When $T'$ is used to replace a vertex $h$, we say $T'$ is the hub gadget of $h$. An example of a hub gadget with four outlets is shown in Figure 6.

**Proposition 4.2.** The problem of deciding if a 2-connected graph with maximal local connectivity 3 is 3-colourable is NP-complete.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be an instance of 3-COLOURABILITY. We may assume that $G$ is 2-connected. For each $v \in V(G)$ such that $d(v) \geq 4$, we replace $v$ with a hub gadget with outlets $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{d(v)}$,
pass through some hub gadget and are not outlets. So $v$ is a vertex distinct from $x$. Let $D$ be a serial diamond pair in $G$. Clearly, each outlet is given a different colour to its neighbours in $V$. Start by colouring each vertex $h$ of $G$ corresponding to a vertex $h$ of $D$, we construct a $(j+1)$-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$. Then $x$ and $y$ belong to a hub gadget and are not outlets. So $x$ belongs to either two or three diamonds, each with a pick vertex distinct from $x$. Let $P$ be the set of these pick vertices. When $y \notin P$, an $xy$-path must pass through some $p \in P$, so $\kappa(x,y) \leq 3$ as required. Otherwise, $x$ and $y$ are pick vertices of a diamond $D$, and there are two internally vertex disjoint $xy$-paths in $D$. But $D$ is contained in a serial diamond pair $D_2$, and all other $xy$-paths must pass through the end of $D_2$ distinct from $x$ and $y$. So $\kappa(x,y) \leq 3$, as required.

Suppose $G$ is 3-colourable and let $\phi$ be a 3-colouring of $G$. We show that $G'$ is 3-colourable. Start by colouring each vertex $v$ in $V(G) \cap V(G')$ the colour $\phi(v)$. For each hub gadget $H$ of $G'$ corresponding to a vertex $h$ of $G$, colour every pick vertex of a diamond in $H$ the colour $\phi(h)$. Clearly, each outlet is given a different colour to its neighbours in $V(G)$ since $\phi$ is a 3-colouring of $G$. The remaining two vertices of each diamond contained in $H$ have two neighbours the same colour $\phi(h)$, so can be coloured using the other two available colours. Thus $G'$ is 3-colourable.

Now suppose that $G'$ is 3-colourable. Each pick vertex of a diamond must have the same colour in a 3-colouring of $G'$, so all outlets of a hub gadget have the same colour. Let $H$ be the hub gadget of $h$, where $h \in V(G)$. We colour $h$ with the colour of all the outlets of $H$ in the 3-colouring of $G'$. For each vertex $v \in V(G) \cap V(G')$, we colour $v$ with the same colour as in the 3-colouring of $G'$, thus obtaining a 3-colouring of $G$. \hfill \Box

A similar approach can be used to show that 3-COLOURABILITY remains NP-complete for $(k-1)$-connected graphs with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, for any $k \geq 4$. To prove this, we first require the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $k \geq 3$ and $j \geq 1$. Then $k$-COLOURABILITY remains NP-complete when restricted to $j$-connected graphs.

**Proof.** We show that $k$-COLOURABILITY restricted to $j$-connected graphs is reducible to $k$-COLOURABILITY restricted to $(j+1)$-connected graphs, for any fixed $j \geq 1$. Let $G_0$ be a $j$-connected graph; we construct a $(j+1)$-connected graph $G'$ such that $G_0$ is $k$-colourable if and only if $G'$ is. Let $S_0$ be a $j$-vertex cut in $G_0$, let $s \in S_0$, and let $G_1$ be the graph obtained from $G_0$ by introducing a single vertex $s'$ with the same neighbourhood as $s$. Now if $S'$ is a $j'$-vertex cut in $G_1$, for $j' \leq j$, then $S'$, or $S' \{s'\}$, is a $j'$-vertex cut, or $(j'-1)$-vertex cut, in $G_0$. Since $S_0$ is not a $j$-vertex cut in $G_1$, it follows that $G_1$ has strictly fewer $j$-vertex cuts than $G_0$. Repeat this process for each $j$-vertex cut $S_i$ in $G_i$ (there are polynomially many), and let $G'$ be the resulting graph. Then $G'$
has no vertex cuts of size at most $j$, so $G'$ is $(j + 1)$-connected. Moreover, it is straightforward to verify that $G'$ is $k$-colourable if and only if $G_0$ is $k$-colourable.

We perform a reduction from $k$-COLOURABILITY restricted to $(k - 1)$-connected graphs (which is NP-complete by Lemma 4.3). Let $G$ be a $(k - 1)$-connected graph. For each vertex $v$ with $d(v) \geq k + 1$, we will “replace” it with a gadget in such a way that the resulting graph $G'$ remains 

$(k - 1)$-connected, $G'$ is 3-colourable if and only if $G$ is 3-colourable, and no vertex of $G'$ has degree greater than $k$.

We will describe, momentarily, a gadget $G_{l,k}$ used to replace a vertex $v$ of degree $l$, where $l > k$, with vertices $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_l \in V(G_{l,k})$ called the outlets of $G_{l,k}$. Let $G_{l,k}$ be a gadget, and let $G$ be a graph with a vertex $v$ of degree $l > k$. We say that we attach $G_{l,k}$ to $G$ at $v$ when we perform the following operation: relabel the vertices of $G$ such that $V(G) \cap V(G_{l,k}) = N_G(v) = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_l\}$, and construct the graph $(G \cup G_{l,k}) - \{v\}$.

We now give a recursive description of $G_{l,k}$. First, suppose that $l \leq (k - 2)(k - 1)$. Let $a = \lceil l/(k - 1) \rceil$, and let $(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-1})$ be a partition of $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_l\}$ into $k - 1$ cells of size $a - 1$ or $a$. We construct $G_{l,k}$ starting from a copy of the complete bipartite graph $K_{k-1,k-a}$ where the vertices of the $(k - 1)$-vertex partite set are labelled $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}$, and the remaining vertices are labelled $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k-a}$. Since $k \geq 4$ and $2 \leq a \leq k - 2$, we have $k - a \geq 2$. Add an edge $u_1u_2$, and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k - 1\}$ and $w \in B_i$, add an edge $wb_i$. We call the resulting graph $G_{l,k}$ and it is illustrated in Figure 7(a).

Now suppose $l > (k - 2)(k - 1)$. Let $(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-1})$ be a partition of $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_l\}$ such that $|B_i| = k - 2$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k - 2\}$, and $|B_{k-1}| > k - 2$. Take a copy of $K_{k-1,1,1}$, labelling the vertices of the $(k - 1)$-vertex partite set as $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}$, and the remaining vertices $u_1$ and $u_2$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k - 1\}$, and for each $w \in B_i$, we introduce an edge $wb_i$. Label the resulting graph $H_{l,k}$; we call $H_{l,k}$ an intermediate gadget (see Figure 7(b)). Let $l_1 = d_{H_{l,k}}(b_{k-1})$. Since $l_1 = l - (k - 2)^2 + 2$, we have $k + 1 \leq l_1 \leq l - 2$. The graph $G_{l_1,k}$ is obtained by attaching $G_{l_1,k}$ to $H_{l,k}$ at $b_{k-1}$. An example of such a gadget, for $l = 10, k = 4$, is given in Figure 8 and the intermediate gadgets involved in its construction are given in Figure 9.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\subfigure[$G_{l_1,k}$, with $l \leq (k - 2)(k - 1)$]{
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure7a.png}
\label{fig:G_l1_k_small}
}
\hfill
\subfigure[$H_{l,k}$, with $l > (k - 2)(k - 1)$]{
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure7b.png}
\label{fig:H_l_k_large}
}
\caption{Gadgets and intermediate gadgets used in the proof of Proposition 4.4.}
\end{figure}
Figure 8: An example of a gadget, $G_{10,4}$.

Figure 9: The intermediate gadgets used in the construction of $G_{10,4}$.
Proposition 4.4. For any fixed $k \geq 4$, the problem of deciding if a $(k-1)$-connected graph with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$ is 3-colourable is NP-complete.

Proof. Let $G$ be a $(k-1)$-connected graph, and let $G'$ be the graph obtained by attaching a gadget $G_{d(v),k}$ to $G$ at $v$ for each vertex $v$ of degree at least $k+1$. It is not difficult to verify that $G'$ can be constructed in polynomial time and that every vertex of $G'$ has degree at most $k$, so $G'$ has maximal local edge-connectivity $k$. Moreover, for all distinct $i,j \in \{1,2,\ldots,k-1\}$, the vertices $\{b_i,b_j,u_1,u_2\}$ induce a diamond in $G'$, so the pick vertices $\{b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{k-1}\}$ of these diamonds must have the same colour in a 3-colouring of $G'$. Now, given a 3-colouring of $G'$, we can 3-colour $G$, where a vertex $v \in V(G)$ replaced by a gadget $G_{i,k}$ in $G'$ is given the colour shared by the vertices $\{b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{k-1}\}$ of $G_{i,k}$. It is also straightforward to verify that if $G$ is 3-colourable, then $G'$ is 3-colourable.

It remains to show that $G'$ is $(k-1)$-connected. We may assume, by induction, that $G'$ is obtained from $G$ by attaching one gadget $G_{l,k}$, where $l > (k-2)(k-1)$. We will prove that $G'_{l,k} = G_{l,k} \cup K_l$ is $(k-1)$-connected. Let $(X,Z,Y)$ be a $j$-separation of $G'_{l,k}$ for some $j < k-1$, such that $Z$ is a minimal vertex cut. Suppose $u_1 \in X$. Then $N(u_1) = \{b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{k-1}\}$ and $|N(u) \cap \{b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{k-1}\}| \geq 3$. If $|N(u)| \geq 3$ and there exists $u \in U \setminus \{u_1,u_2\}$ such that $u \in Y$, then $N(u) = \{b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{k-1}\} \subseteq Z$, contradicting $|Z| < k-1$. So $U \cup \{b_1,\ldots,b_{k-1}\} \subseteq X \cup Z$ and thus $Y \subseteq \{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_l\}$. For $i = 1,\ldots,k-1$, either $b_i \in Z$ or $b_i \subseteq Z$, so $|Z| \geq k-1$, a contradiction. Now we may assume that $u_1 \in Z$ and, by symmetry, $u_2 \in Z$. Since $Z$ is minimal, $u_1$ has a neighbour in $X$ and a neighbour in $Y$. Suppose $b_i \in X$ and $b_j \in Y$ for $i,j \in \{1,2,\ldots,k-1\}$. In order for $Z$ to separate $b_i$ and $b_j$, we require $U \cup B_i \subseteq Z$ or $U \cup B_j \subseteq Z$, so $|Z| \geq k-1$, a contradiction. Thus $G'_{l,k}$ is $(k-1)$-connected.

We now return to proving that $G'$ is $(k-1)$-connected. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that $G'$ is not $(k-1)$-connected. Let $(X,Z,Y)$ be a $j$-separation of $G'$ for some $j < k-1$, such that $Z$ is a minimal vertex cut. We denote by $G'_{l,k}$ the subgraph of $G_{l,k}$ obtained by deleting the vertices in common with $G$, namely $N_G(v)$. Note that $V(G')$ is the disjoint union of $V(G-v)$ and $V(G'_{l,k})$.

First, suppose that $Z \subseteq V(G-v)$. Since $G'_{l,k}$ is connected, and $Z \subseteq V(G-v)$, we deduce that, without loss of generality, $V(G'_{l,k}) \subseteq Y$. It follows that $Z$ is a $j$-vertex cut that separates $X$ from $(Y \setminus V(G'_{l,k})) \cup \{v\}$ in $G$; a contradiction.

Now suppose that $Z \not\subseteq V(G-v)$. Moreover, suppose that $X \cap V(G-v)$ and $Y \cap V(G-v)$ are both non-empty. Then $Z \cup V(G'_{l,k})$ separates $X \cap V(G-v)$ from $Y \cap V(G-v)$ in $G'$, so $(Z \setminus V(G'_{l,k})) \cup \{v\}$ is a vertex cut of $G'$ and since $Z \not\subseteq V(G)$, we have $|Z \setminus V(G'_{l,k})| < j < k-1$, a contradiction to the fact that $G$ is $(k-1)$-connected. Thus, either $X \subseteq V(G'_{l,k})$ or $Y \subseteq V(G'_{l,k})$. Assume without loss of generality that $X \subseteq V(G'_{l,k})$ and $V(G-v) \subseteq Y \cup Z$. Since $|N_G(v)| \geq k$, $Y \cap N_G(v) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $Z \cap (V(G'_{l,k}) \cup N_G(v))$ separates $Y \cap (V(G'_{l,k}) \cup N_G(v))$ from $X$ in $G'_{l,k}$, a contradiction. □

Proposition 1.5 now follows from Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.4. Note that Proposition 4.4 rules out (unless $\text{P} = \text{NP}$) the possibility of a polynomial-time algorithm that computes the chro-
matics number (or finds an optimal colouring) for a graph with maximal local edge-connectivity $k$, for $k \geq 4$. However, there may exist a polynomial-time algorithm that, given such a graph, finds a $k$-colouring or determines that none exists. Thus, a result in the style of Theorem 1.3 that characterises when graphs with maximal local edge-connectivity 4 are 4-colourable remains a possibility.

5 Minimally $k$-connected graphs

In this section we prove that deciding if a minimally $k$-connected graph is $k$-colourable is NP-complete. To do this, we perform a reduction from the following problem, where $k$ is a fixed integer at least three. A hypergraph is $k$-uniform if each hyperedge is of size $k$.

$k$-uniform hypergraph $k$-colourability

Instance: A $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$.

Question: Is there a $k$-colouring of $H$ for which no edge is monochromatic?

The problem of deciding if a hypergraph is 2-colourable is well known to be NP-complete [17], and the search problem of finding a $k$-colouring for a $k$-uniform hypergraph, for $k \geq 3$, is shown in [7] to be NP-hard, even when restricted to such hypergraphs that are $(k-1)$-colourable. However, to the best of our knowledge, no proof that $k$-uniform hypergraph $k$-colourability is NP-complete has been published, so we provide one here for completeness.

Proposition 5.1. The problem $k$-uniform hypergraph $k$-colourability is NP-complete for fixed $k \geq 3$.

Proof. Let $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$ be $k$ disjoint sets each consisting of $k$ distinct vertices, and let $H_0$ be the $k$-uniform hypergraph with vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_k$ whose hyperedges consist of all $k$-element subsets of $V(H_0)$ not in $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k\}$. Then, in a $k$-colouring of $H_0$, for each subset $X$ of $V(H_0)$ of size at least $k$, either $X$ is not monochromatic or $X$ is one of $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$. It follows that a $k$-colouring of $H_0$ is unique, up to a permutation of the colours: each $V_i$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, is monochromatic, and for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, the colours given to $v_i \in V_i$ and $v_j \in V_j$ are distinct.

We perform a reduction from $k$-colourability. Let $G$ be a graph. We construct a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ as follows. Start with the hypergraph on the vertex set $V(H_0) \cup V(G)$, where $V(H_0)$ and $V(G)$ are disjoint, and containing all the hyperedges of $H_0$. For each edge $uv$ of $G$ and each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, introduce a hyperedge consisting of $u$, $v$, and $k-2$ vertices of $V_i$. Each such hyperedge enforces that in a $k$-colouring of $H$, the vertices $u$ and $v$ do not both have colour $i$. Thus, if $H$ is $k$-colourable, then $G$ is $k$-colourable. Now suppose that $\phi$ is a $k$-colouring of $G$. Then, by assigning a vertex $v \in V(G)$ the colour $\phi(v)$ in $H$, and colouring each vertex $v \in V(H_0)$ the colour $i$ if $v \in V_i$, we obtain a $k$-colouring of $H$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Proposition 1.6. We perform a reduction from $k$-uniform hypergraph $k$-colourability. Let $H$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_h\}$. We will construct a minimally $k$-connected graph $G$ with $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_h\} \subseteq V(G)$. For each hyperedge $e = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$, where $u_i \in \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_h\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, let $P_e$ be the graph on $2k$ vertices that is the union of the complete graph $K_k$ on the vertices $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_k\}$, and $k$ vertex-disjoint edges $\{u_1 k_1, u_2 k_2, \ldots, u_k k_k\}$. For $k = 3$, this graph is given in Figure 10. For each $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}$,
let $Q_l$ be the graph on $3k - 1$ vertices obtained from the complete bipartite graph $K_{k,k-1}$ with $k$-element partite set $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k\}$ by adding $k$ vertex-disjoint edges $b_iv_i$ where $j \equiv i + l \pmod{h}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. For $k = 3$, this graph is given in Figure 10. Finally, we obtain $G$ from the union of the $i$ graphs $Q_i$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}$, and the $|E(H)|$ graphs $P_e$, for each $e \in E(H)$. Note that a vertex $v_i$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}$, is common to $Q_{i-k}, Q_{i-k+1}, \ldots, Q_{i-1}$ (with indices interpreted modulo $h$) and $P_e$ for any hyperedge $e$ containing $v_i$.

Suppose we have a $k$-colouring for $G$. Then, since each vertex of a $K_k$ subgraph is coloured a different colour, each set of vertices $\{u_1, v_2, \ldots, u_k\}$ corresponding to a hyperedge $e$ is not monochromatic. So the vertex colouring of the graph $G$ gives us a colouring of the hypergraph $H$ where no hyperedge is monochromatic.

Now suppose we have a colouring $\phi$ of $H$ where no hyperedge is monochromatic. Starting from the colouring on $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_h\}$ given by $\phi$, we can extend this to a colouring of $G$ as follows. Consider a $Q_l$ subgraph. For each $v \in \{v_{l+1}, v_{l+2}, \ldots, v_{l+k}\}$, if $\phi(v) \neq 1$, we assign the vertex adjacent to $v$ in $Q_l$ colour 1; otherwise, it is assigned colour 2. The remaining $k-1$ vertices of $Q_l$ can then be assigned colour 3. Now consider a $P_e$ subgraph. Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$, let $C$ be the set of colours $\phi(U)$, and let $\sigma$ be a permutation of $C$ with no fixed points; such a permutation exists since no hyperedge of $H$ is monochromatic, so $|C| > 1$. For each $c \in C$, pick a vertex $u \in U$ with $\phi(u) = c$, and colour the vertex adjacent to $u$ in $P_e$ the colour $\sigma(\phi(u))$. Now each of the remaining $k - |C|$ uncoloured vertices can be assigned one of the $k - |C|$ unused colours arbitrarily. So $G$ is $k$-colourable if and only if $H$ is $k$-colourable.

For every edge $xy$ of $G$, at least one of $x$ or $y$ has degree $k$, so $G \setminus xy$ is at most $(k-1)$-connected. Moreover, it is not difficult to see there are at least $k$ internally disjoint paths between any pair of vertices, so $G$ is $k$-connected. Hence $G$ is minimally $k$-connected, as required.

6 Graphs with a bounded number of vertices of degree more than $k$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.9. The proof of this result relies on a generalisation of Brooks’ theorem established independently by Borodin [4] and by Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [8].

A list assignment for a graph $G$ is a function $L$ that associates to every vertex $v \in V(G)$ a set $L(v)$ of integers that are called the colours associated with $v$. A degree-list-assignment of a graph $G$ is a list assignment $L$ such that $|L(v)| \geq \delta_G(v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$. An $L$-colouring of $G$ is a function $c$ from $V(G)$ such that, for all $v \in V(G)$, we have $c(v) \in L(v)$, and, for all edges $uv$, we have $c(u) \neq c(v)$. A graph $G$ is $L$-colourable if it admits at least one $L$-colouring. A graph $G$ is degree-choosable if $G$ is $L$-colourable for any degree-list-assignment $L$. A graph is a Gallai tree if
it is connected and each of its blocks is either a complete graph or an odd cycle.

**Theorem 6.1** (Borodin [4], Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [8]). Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $G$ is degree-choosable if and only if $G$ is not a Gallai tree. Moreover, if $G$ is not a Gallai tree, then there is an $O(m)$-time algorithm that, given a degree-list-assignment $L$, finds an $L$-colouring.

We need now to study $L$-colourings of Gallai trees. Let $G$ be a Gallai tree together with a list assignment $L$. Suppose that $G$ has a cut-vertex and consider a leaf block $B$ attaching at $v$. We say that $L$ is $B$-uniform if the list $L(u)$ is the same for all $u \in V(B) \setminus \{v\}$ and satisfies $|L(u)| = d(u)$. When $L$ is $B$-uniform, we define the list assignment $L_{\overline{B}}$ of $G - V(B - \{v\})$ as follows: for all $w \neq v$, $L_{\overline{B}}(w) = L(w)$ and $L_{\overline{B}}(v) = L(v) \setminus L(u)$ for some, and thus any, $u \in V(B) \setminus \{v\}$.

**Lemma 6.2.** If a Gallai tree $G$ has a cut-vertex $v$, a leaf block $B$ attaching at $v$, and a list assignment $L$ such that $L$ is $B$-uniform, then $G$ is $L$-colourable if and only if $G - V(B - \{v\})$ is $L_{\overline{B}}$-colourable.

**Proof.** We deal only with the case when $B$ is an odd cycle (the case when $B$ is a complete graph is similar). Up to a relabelling of the colours, we may assume that every vertex of $B - \{v\}$ is assigned the list $\{1, 2\}$.

Suppose that $G - V(B - \{v\})$ is $L_{\overline{B}}$-colourable. In the colouring of $G - V(B - \{v\})$, the colours $\{1, 2\}$ are not used for $v$ (from the definition of $L_{\overline{B}}$), so they can be used to colour $B - \{v\}$, showing that $G$ is $L$-colourable.

Suppose conversely that $G$ is $L$-colourable. We note that $B - \{v\}$ is a path of odd length and, in any $L$-colouring, its ends must receive colours 1 and 2, because of the parity. It follows that $v$ is not coloured with 1 or 2. Therefore, the restriction of the colouring to $G - V(B - \{v\})$ is an $L_{\overline{B}}$-colouring, showing that $G - V(B - \{v\})$ is $L_{\overline{B}}$-colourable.

When $G$ is a graph together with a degree-list-assignment $L$, we say a vertex has a long list $L(v)$ when $|L(v)| > d(v)$.

**Lemma 6.3.** There is an $O(m)$-time algorithm whose input is a connected graph $G$ together with a degree-list-assignment $L$ such that at least one vertex has a long list, and whose output is an $L$-colouring of $G$.

**Proof.** In time $O(m)$, a vertex $v$ whose list is long can be identified. The algorithm then runs a search of the graph (a depth-first search, for instance) starting at $v$. This gives a linear ordering of the vertices starting at $v$: $v = v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_n$, such that, for every $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$, the vertex $v_i$ has at least one neighbour $v_j$ with $j < i$. The greedy colouring algorithm starting at $v_n$ then yields an $L$-colouring of $G$.

**Proposition 6.4.** There is an $O(m)$-time algorithm whose input is a Gallai tree $G$ together with a degree-list-assignment $L$, and whose output is an $L$-colouring of $G$ or a certificate that no such colouring exists.

**Proof.** The algorithm first checks whether one of the lists is long, and if so runs the algorithm from Lemma 6.3. Otherwise the classical $O(m)$-time algorithm of Tarjan [24] finds the block decomposition of $G$.

Loop step: If $G$ is not a clique or an odd cycle, then it has a cut-vertex $v$ and a leaf block $B$ attaching at $v$. The algorithm checks whether $L$ is $B$-uniform (which is easy in time $O(|V(B)|)$),
and if so, as in the proof of Lemma 6.2, colours the vertices of $B - \{v\}$, removes them, updates the list $L(v)$, and repeats the loop step again.

If $B$ is not uniform, then the algorithm identifies in $B - \{v\}$ two adjacent vertices $u, u'$ with different lists. So, up to swapping $u$ and $u'$, there is a colour $c$ in $L(u)$ that is not present in $L(u')$. Then the algorithm gives colour $c$ to $u$, removes $u$ from $G$, and removes colour $c$ from the lists of all neighbours of $u$. The resulting graph is a connected graph together with a degree-list-assignment, and the list of $u'$ is long. Therefore, we may complete the colouring by Lemma 6.3.

Hence, we may assume that the algorithm repeats the loop step until the removal of leaf blocks finally leads to a clique or an odd cycle. Then, if all lists of vertices are equal, obviously no colouring exists, and the sequence of calls to Lemma 6.2 certifies that $G$ has no $L$-colouring. Otherwise, a colouring can be found by Lemma 6.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.9.** Let $X$ be the set of $p$ vertices of degree more than $k$ in $G$. We guess what could be the colouring on those vertices. There are at most $k^p$ possibilities.

For each, we check whether it can be extended to a $k$-colouring of the whole graph. To do so we consider $H = G - X$, and for every vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus X$, we use the list assignment $L(v)$ given by the list of colours in $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ that are not used on a neighbour of $v$ in $X$. Clearly, $|L(v)| \geq k - |N(v) \cap X| \geq d_H(x)$, so we have a degree-list-assignment.

Next we find the connected components of $H$ in $O(n + m)$. Then for each component $C$, we check if $C$ is a Gallai tree or not. If not, then we use the $O(m)$ algorithm of Theorem 6.1 to $L$-colour $C$. If it is a Gallai tree, then we rely on Proposition 6.4.

The running time of the algorithm described above is $k^pO(n + m)$. If $k > p$, then we may assume, without loss of generality, that only the first $p$ colours are used on the $p$ vertices of degree more than $k$. Therefore, we have to try only $p^p$ possibilities for colouring these vertices. Thus, we obtain an algorithm that runs in time $\min\{k^p, p^p\} \cdot O(n + m)$.

Theorem 1.9 immediately implies a fixed-parameter tractability result.

**Corollary 6.5.** The problem $k$-COLOURABILITY, when parameterized by the number of vertices of degree more than $k$, is FPT.

Let us consider now the problem restricted to the case when $G$ is planar. Then only the $k = 3$ case makes sense: for $k \leq 2$, the problem is polynomial-time solvable, while for $k \geq 4$ the colouring always exists by the Four Colour Theorem. For $k = 3$, Theorem 1.9 gives an algorithm with running time $3^p \cdot O(n + m)$. On general graphs, this is essentially best possible, in the following sense. The Exponential-Time Hypothesis (ETH), formulated by Impagliazzo, Paturi, and Zane [10], implies that $n$-variable 3-SAT cannot be solved in time $2^{o(n)}$. It is known that ETH further implies that 3-COLOURABILITY on an $n$-vertex graph cannot be solved in time $2^{o(n)}$ [15]. It follows that in the algorithm given by Theorem 1.9 for 3-COLOURABILITY, the exponential dependence on $p$ cannot be improved to $2^{o(p)}$: as $p$ is at most the number of vertices, such an algorithm could be used to solve 3-COLOURABILITY in time $2^{o(n)}$ on any graph.

**Corollary 6.6.** Assuming ETH, there is no $2^{o(p)} \cdot n^{O(1)}$ time algorithm for 3-COLOURABILITY, where $p$ is the number of vertices with degree more than 3.

However, on planar graphs we can do substantially better. There are several examples in the parameterized-algorithms literature [5] [6] [12] [13] [16] [22] where significantly better algorithms are known when the problem is restricted to planar graphs, and, in particular, a square root appears in
the running time. In most cases, the square root comes from the use of the Excluded Grid Theorem for planar graphs, stating that if a planar graph has treewidth \( w \), then it contains an \( \Omega(w) \times \Omega(w) \) grid minor. Often this result is invoked not on the input graph itself, but on some other graph derived from it in a nontrivial way. This is also the case with this problem.

**Theorem 6.7.** Let \( G \) be a planar graph with at most \( p \) vertices of degree more than 3. There is a \( 2^{O(\sqrt{p})}(n + m) \)-time algorithm for 3-colouring \( G \), or determining no such colouring exists.

**Proof.** Let \( X \) be the set of \( p \) vertices of degree more than 3 in \( G \). If a component \( C \) of \( G - X \) is not a Gallai tree, then, by Theorem 6.1, we can extend a colouring of \( G \setminus C \) to a colouring of \( G \) in linear time (similar to the proof of Theorem 1.9). Thus, we may assume that each component of \( G - X \) is a Gallai tree. It is well known that the treewidth of a graph is the maximum treewidth of one of its blocks (see, for example, [19]). Since a planar Gallai tree has no cliques of size more than 4, and an odd cycle has treewidth 2, a planar Gallai tree has treewidth at most 3. Therefore, the deletion of \( X \) from \( G \) reduces the treewidth of the resulting graph to a constant. Let \( w \) be the treewidth of \( G \). Since \( G \) is planar, it contains a \( \Omega(w) \times \Omega(w) \) grid minor, so \( \Omega(w^2) \) vertices need to be deleted in order to reduce the treewidth of \( G \) to a constant. This implies that \( p = |X| = \Omega(w^2) \), or in other words, \( G \) has treewidth \( O(\sqrt{p}) \). Therefore, after computing a constant-factor approximation of the tree decomposition (using, for example, the algorithm of Bodlaender et al. [1] or Kammer and Tholey [11]), we can use a standard 3-colouring on the tree decomposition to solve the problem in time \( 2^{O(\sqrt{p})} \cdot n \).

It is known that, assuming ETH, 3-COLOURABILITY cannot be solved in time \( 2^{o(\sqrt{n})} \) on planar graphs [15]. This implies that the \( 2^{O(\sqrt{p})} \) factor in Theorem 6.7 is best possible: assuming ETH, it cannot be replaced by \( 2^{o(\sqrt{p})} \).
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