Paving the WAI: Defining Web-Augmented Interactions

Fabien Gandon UCA, Inria, CNRS, I3S Wimmics Team fabien.gandon@inria.fr

Alain Giboin UCA, Inria, CNRS, I3S Wimmics Team alain.giboin@inria.fr





Related Work







Proposal: Web-Augmented Interactions (WAIs) as a new class of interactions

Definition: a Web-Augmented Interaction (WAI) is a user's interaction with a system that is improved by allowing the system to access Web resources in the background in order to analyze, specify or enrich the user's requests or the system's responses, for the benefit of the user (matching her goals, tasks and interaction context).

Example: a robot accessing the Web to gain knowledge and improve the interactions it can offer

Motivation and goal





- Goal: to elaborate an approach to designing WAIs that will include guidelines and standards.
- First step : to characterize the WAI class of interactions.

Real cases of WAIs

- ALOOF [15] : interact with a robot learning missing knowledge from the Web about surrounding objects, places, etc.
- AZKAR [4] : interact with museum objects and scenes remotely via a robot using the Web to get/provide knowledge about the museum

- Instrumental interaction [1]: interactions between users and domain objects are mediated by software instruments in general. Knowledge-based augmented reality (e.g. [8]) :
- local knowledge bases used to support a specific type of interaction
- Ontology-driven document enrichment (e.g. [12]) : improving information retrieval and document access using ontologies
- Augmented browsing (e.g. [13, 14]): specific sources to add definitions, links and additional resources when browsing
- Web Augmentation [2]: augmenting the functionality of the Web itself.

objects and scenes.

- QAKIS [6] : interact with a Question Answering system learning users' linguistic variations from the Web.
- OCKTOPUS [11] : interact with on-line epistemic communities' analysis results through a Webbased labeling of the communities.
- WASABI [3, 5] : interact with a music exploratory system exploiting Web resources.
- Sealife [14] and SMILK [16]: interact with a browser automatically linking Web resources (data, references) to the Web content in real time myCampus [9] : interact with on-line services using Web-based user's personal knowledge.



access or analysis of Web resources.

- the augmentation is performed by the system during the interaction.
- the processing and Web access are intended to be transparent to the user.
- the resulting interaction is improved in terms of user experience.

References



- [1] Michel Beaudouin-Lafon. 2000. Instrumental interaction: an interaction model for designing post-WIMP user interfaces. In SIGCHI. ACM, 446–453.
- [2] Niels Olof Bouvin. 1999. Unifying strategies for Web augmentation. In Proc. Hypertext and hypermedia. ACM, 91–100.
- [3] Michel Buffa, Maxime Demetrio, and Nouriel Azria. 2016. Guitar pedal board using WebAudio. In Web Audio Conference.
- [4] Michel Buffa, Catherine Faron Zucker, Thierry Bergeron, and Hatim Aouzal. 2016. Semantic Web Technologies for improving remote visits of museums, using a mobile robot. ISWC Posters & Demonstrations. (Oct. 2016).
- [5] Michel Buffa, Amine Hallili, and Philippe Renevier. 2015. MT5: a HTML5 multitrack player for musicians. In WAC Web Audio Conference.
- [6] Elena Cabrio, Julien Cojan, Alessio Palmero Aprosio, and Fabien Gandon. 2012. Natural Language Interaction with the Web of Data by Mining its Textual Side. Intelligenza Artificiale Special Issue on Natural Language Processing in the Web Era (2012), 137–154.
- [7] Marianela Ciolfi Felice, Sarah Fdili Alaoui, and Wendy Mackay. 2016. How Do Choreographer-Technology Partnership. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Movement and Computing, ACM (Ed.). Thessaloniki, Greece.
- [8] Steven Feiner, Blair Macintyre, and Dorée Seligmann. 1993. Knowledge-based augmented reality. Commun. ACM 36, 7 (1993), 53–62.
- [10] Fabien Gandon, Michel Buffa, Elena Cabrio, Olivier Corby, Catherine Faron-Zucker, Alain Giboin, Nhan Le Thanh, Isabelle Mirbel, Peter Sander, Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, and Serena Villata. 2013. Challenges in Bridging Social Semantics and Formal Semantics on the Web. In Proc. ICEIS 2013 (LNBIP), Vol. 190. Springer, 3–15.
- [9] Fabien Gandon and Norman Sadeh. 2004. Semantic web technologies to reconcile privacy and context awareness. Journal of Web Semantics 1, 3 (2004), 20.
- [11] Zide Meng. 2016. Temporal and semantic analysis of richly typed social networks from user-generated content sites on the web. Theses. Université Côte d'Azur.
- [12] Enrico Motta, Simon Buckingham Shum, and John Domingue. 2000. Ontology driven document enrichment: principles, tools and applications. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies 52, 6 (2000), 1071–1109.
- [13] Evangelos Pafilis, Seán I O'Donoghue, Lars J Jensen, Heiko Horn, Michael Kuhn, Nigel P Brown, and Reinhard Schneider. 2009. Reflect: augmented browsing for the life scientist. Nature biotechnology 27, 6 (2009), 508–510.
- [14] Michael Schroeder, Albert Burger, Patty Kostkova, Robert Stevens, Bianca Habermann, and Rose Dieng-Kuntz. 2006. Sealife: A Semantic Grid Browser for the Life Sciences Applied to the Study of Infectious Diseases. In Proceedings of Healthgrid. 167–178.
- [15] Jay Young, Valerio Basile, Lars Kunze, Elena Cabrio, and Nick Hawes. 2016. Towards Lifelong Object Learning by Integrating Situated Robot Perception and Semantic Web Mining. In Proceedings ECAI. [16] Cedric Lopez, Farhad Nooralahzadeh, SMILK Plugin: Linked-Data and NLP Based Intelligence in Browsing the Web, Industry Track, Semantics 2015, Vienna