

Lecture Notes
in Business Information Processing

165

Series Editors

Wil van der Aalst

Eindhoven Technical University, The Netherlands

John Mylopoulos

University of Trento, Italy

Michael Rosemann

Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Qld, Australia

Michael J. Shaw

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA

Clemens Szyperski

Microsoft Research, Redmond, WA, USA

Janis Grabis
Marite Kirikova
Jelena Zdravkovic
Janis Stirna (Eds.)

The Practice of Enterprise Modeling

6th IFIP WG 8.1 Working Conference, PoEM 2013
Riga, Latvia, November 6-7, 2013
Proceedings



Springer

Volume Editors

Janis Grabis
Riga Technical University
Department of Management Information Technology
Riga, Latvia
E-mail: grabis@iti.rtu.lv

Marite Kirikova
Riga Technical University
Department of System Theory and Design
Riga, Latvia
E-mail: marite.kirikova@cs.rtu.lv

Jelena Zdravkovic
Stockholm University
Department of Computer and Systems Sciences
Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail: jelenaz@dsv.su.se

Janis Stirna
Stockholm University
Department of Computer and Systems Sciences
Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail: js@dsv.su.se

ISSN 1865-1348

ISBN 978-3-642-41640-8

DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-41641-5

e-ISSN 1865-1356

e-ISBN 978-3-642-41641-5

Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013951012

© IFIP International Federation for Information Processing 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Typesetting: Camera-ready by author, data conversion by Scientific Publishing Services, Chennai, India

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

The EM research discipline aims to solve business-IT alignment in a holistic manner by providing the techniques, languages, tools, and best practices for using models to represent organizational knowledge and information systems from different perspectives. Described complex business and technology conditions upraise the role of enterprise modeling (EM) in its responsibility in reaching the alignment. The quality attributes such as agility, sensitivity, responsiveness, adaptability, autonomy, and interoperability are emerging as the norms for modern enterprise models. Solving them will allow all components of an enterprise to operate together in the cooperative manner for the purpose of maximizing overall benefit to the enterprise. PoEM 2013—the 6th IFIP WG 8.1 Conference on the Practice of Enterprise Modeling—took place in November 2013 in Riga, Latvia. The conference series is a dedicated forum where the use of EM in practice is addressed by bringing together the academic community and practitioners from industry to contribute to improved EM practice, as well as to share knowledge and experience. PoEM 2013 attracted 80 submissions with authors from 31 countries (Argentina, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Czech Republic, Estonia, France, FYR Macedonia, Germany, Greece, Italy, Kazakhstan, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Morocco, The Netherlands, Norway, Pakistan, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, South Africa, Sweden, Switzerland, UK, and USA), out of which the Program Committee selected 19 high-quality papers for the presentation on the conference, which are included in this proceedings volume. Furthermore, selected short papers were accepted for publication as CEUR online proceedings (CEUR-WS.org). The program of PoEM 2013 reflected different topics of EM, including modeling approaches and tools for agility and flexibility, quality, transformations and management of models, as well as technical aspects, tools, and cases. Additionally, the program featured two keynotes: *Keynote 1: “A New Contract Between Business and Business Analyst,”* by Dr. Baiba Apine, from PricewaterhouseCoopers Consulting, Latvia. This keynote summarized the conclusions from ten years of business modeling practice in Latvia, highlighted the major challenges, and discussed the latest tendencies faced by business analysts. The focus of business analysis has changed significantly over the years. Initially business analysts focused on discovery and modeling of business processes. They aimed to identify opportunities for application of information technologies in business process automation and to determine resources needed for business process execution. More recently, the attention has shifted toward business process monitoring and optimization, while current trends are concerned with business process intelligence for agile decision-making. Businesses expect that the business analysts will identify opportunities for continuous business process improvement by providing contextualized, high-quality, and secure information. In light of these new business expectations, the keynote

speech identified today's challenges faced by the business analysts and described the current practice in dealing with these challenges. *Keynote 2: "Designing Intelligent Enterprises with the Viable Systems Approach,"* by Jose Perez Rios, Professor at the University of Valladolid, Spain. Managers in companies or organizations, politicians in their different areas of responsibility and, in general, any decision-maker should have at their disposal the necessary tools for tackling the problem facing them. At the start of the 1970s, Conant and Ashby had argued, in the famous theorem that bears their name, that a good regulator of a system must be a model of the system. However, both this model and the regulating system should possess a degree of variety (complexity) in accord with that of the system they are trying to regulate (manage). The aim of this keynote was to show how the Viable System Model, a systemic methodological approach created by S. Beer, can provide us with the possibility of constructing models with sufficient variety (the capacity to deal with complexity) to respond to current problems. After exploring the functions that the VSM considers necessary and sufficient for viability in an enterprise/organization, along with the model's recursive nature, we will show the role played by the communication channels that connect all the VSM functions/systems and other internal and external elements. This side of the VSM application is fundamental for designing an organization's or company's information systems. A deep understanding of the different functions (systems) of an organization and the communication channels that connect them offers a comprehensive framework for both designing information systems and diagnosing the quality and adaptation of existing ones.

The conference program also included short paper sessions presenting research in progress – new research ideas, including method and tools. Furthermore, the panel session and the exhibition offered the possibility for researchers and practitioners to explore the topics of enterprise practice through open discussions. We owe special thanks to the members of the International Program Committee for promoting the conference, their support in attracting submissions, as well as for providing valuable reviews for the submitted papers. We also thank the external reviewers. Special thanks go to Riga Technical University for an engaging organization of the conference.

September 2013

Janis Grabis
Marite Kirikova
Jelena Zdravkovic
Janis Stirna

Organization

Steering Committee

Anne Persson
Janis Stirna

Skövde University, Sweden
Stockholm University, Sweden

Organizers

Jānis Grabis
Gundega Lazdane
Lilita Sparane
Renate Strazdina

Riga Technical University, Latvia
IIBA Latvia Chapter, Latvia
Latvian IT Cluster, Latvia
Riga Technical University (Industrial
Cooperation Chair)
Riga Technical University, Latvia

Jānis Kampars

Program Committee

Daniel Amyot
Marko Bajec
Janis Barzdins
Guiseppe Berio
Robert Buchmann
Rimantas Butleris
Albertas Caplinskas
Steinar Carlsen
Wolfgang Deiters
Sergio España
Xavier Franch
Janis Grabis
Norbert Gronau
Remigijus Gustas
Patrick Heymans
Stijn Hoppenbrouwers

Jennifer Horkoff
Paul Johannesson
Håvard Jørgensen
Marite Kirikova

University of Ottawa, Canada
University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
University of Latvia, Latvia
University of South Brittany, France
University of Vienna, Austria
Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania
VU IMI, Lithuania
Computas, Norway
Fraunhofer ISST, Germany
Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain
Riga Technical University, Latvia
University of Potsdam, Germany
Karlstad University, Sweden
University of Namur, Belgium
Radboud University Nijmegen,
The Netherlands
University of Trento, Italy
Stockholm University, Sweden
Commitment AS, Norway
Riga Technical University, Latvia

VIII Organization

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| John Krogstie | Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway |
| Marc Lankhorst | Novay, The Netherlands |
| Birger Lantow | University of Rostock, Germany |
| Ulrike Lechner | Munich University of the Armed Forces, Germany |
| Michel Leonard | Université de Genève, Switzerland |
| Peri Loucopoulos | Harokopio University of Athens, Greece/ University of Loughborough, UK |
| Florian Matthes | Munich University of Technology, Germany |
| Raimundas Matulevicius | University of Tartu, Estonia |
| Graham McLeod | inspired.org, South Africa |
| Jan Mendling | Vienna University of Economics, Austria |
| Christer Nellborn | Nellborn Management Consulting AB, Sweden |
| Björn Nilsson | Anatés AB, Luxembourg |
| Andreas Opdahl | University of Bergen, Norway |
| Sietse Overbeek | University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany |
| Oscar Pastor | Valencia University of Technology, Spain |
| Anne Persson | University of Skovde, Sweden |
| Michael Petit | University of Namur, Belgium |
| Tomas Pitner | Masaryk University, Czech Republic |
| Naveen Prakash | GCET, India |
| Erik Proper | Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands |
| Jolita Ralyté | Université de Genève, Switzerland |
| Colette Rolland | Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France |
| Irina Rychkova | Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France |
| Kurt Sandkuhl | Jönköping Technical University, Sweden |
| Ulf Seigerroth | Jönköping International Business School, Sweden |
| Khurram Shahzad | University of the Punjab, Pakistan |
| Nikolay Shilov | SPIIRAS, Russia |
| Pnina Soffer | University of Haifa, Israel |
| Maarten Steen | Novay, The Netherlands |
| Janis Stirna | University of Stockholm, Sweden |
| Darijus Strasonskas | DS Applied Science, Norway |
| Renate Strazdina | Ernst&Young SIA, Latvia |
| Olegas Vasilecas | Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania |
| Mathias Weske | University of Potsdam, Germany |
| Eric Yu | University of Toronto, Canada |
| Jelena Zdravkovic | Stockholm University, Sweden |

Additional Reviewers

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Gundars Alksnis | Riga Technical University, Latvia |
| Solviata Berzisa | Riga Technical University, Latvia |
| Nelly Condori-Fernandez | University of Twente, The Netherlands |
| Sybrein De Kinderen | Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands |
| Arturo Gonzalez | Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain |
| Matheus Hauder | Munich University of Technology, Germany |
| Martin Henkel | Stockholm University, Sweden |
| Amin Jalali | Stockholm University, Sweden (PhD student) |
| Oleh Khovalko | University of Potsdam, Germany |
| Ivan Monahov | Munich University of Technology, Germany |
| Laila Niedrite | University of Latvia, Latvia |
| Georgios Plataniotis | CRP Henri Tudor, Luxembourg |
| Inese Polaka Riga | Technical University, Latvia |
| Diego Prado-Gesto | Valencia University of Technology, Spain |
| Luise Pufahl | Munich University of Technology, Germany |
| Marcela Ruiz | Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain |
| Agris Sostaks | University of Latvia, Latvia |
| Inese Supulniece | Riga Technical University, Latvia |
| Vladimir Tarasov | Jönköping International Business School, Sweden |
| Justas Trinkunas | Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania |
| André Ullrich | University of Potsdam, Germany |
| Dirk van der Linden | CRP Henri Tudor, Luxembourg |
| Marin Zec | Munich University of Technology, Germany |

Table of Contents

Keynote

| | |
|---|---|
| A New Contract between Business and Business Analysts | 1 |
| <i>Baiba Apine</i> | |

Quality of Enterprise Models

| | |
|--|----|
| Visualizing and Measuring Enterprise Architecture: An Exploratory BioPharma Case | 9 |
| <i>Robert Lagerström, Carliss Baldwin, Alan MacCormack, and David Dreyfus</i> | |
| An Empirical Evaluation of Design Decision Concepts in Enterprise Architecture | 24 |
| <i>Georgios Plataniotis, Sybren de Kinderen, Dirk van der Linden, Danny Greefhorst, and Henderik A. Proper</i> | |
| Evaluating Data Quality for Integration of Data Sources | 39 |
| <i>John Krogstie</i> | |

Change Management and Transformation

| | |
|---|-----|
| Planning Support for Enterprise Changes | 54 |
| <i>Florian Lautenbacher, Philipp Diefenthaler, Melanie Langermeier, Mariana Mykhashchuk, and Bernhard Bauer</i> | |
| From Information Systems to Information Services Systems: Designing the Transformation | 69 |
| <i>Jolita Ralyté, Abdelaziz Khadraoui, and Michel Léonard</i> | |
| Making Process Model Versions Comparable by Quantifying Changes . . . | 85 |
| <i>Nico Herzberg and Mathias Weske</i> | |
| Modeling the Transformation of Application Landscapes | 101 |
| <i>Stefan Hofer</i> | |

Enterprise Modelling Approaches and Tools for Agility and Flexibility

| | |
|--|-----|
| From Business Intelligence Insights to Actions: A Methodology for Closing the Sense-and-Respond Loop in the Adaptive Enterprise . . . | 114 |
| <i>Soroosh Nalchigar and Eric Yu</i> | |

Improving Documentation by Repairing Event Logs 129
Andreas Rogge-Solti, Ronny S. Mans, Wil M.P. van der Aalst, and Mathias Weske

An Android Tablet Tool for Enterprise Architecture Modeling in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises 145
Maxime Bernaert, Joeri Maes, and Geert Poels

Enterprise Modelling and Business Processes

A Qualitative Research Approach to Obtain Insight in Business Process Modelling Methods in Practice 161
Céline Décosse, Wolfgang A. Molnar, and Henderik A. Proper

A Dynamic Approach to Process Design: A Pattern for Extending the Flexibility of Process Models 176
Jiri Kolar, Lubomir Dockal, and Tomas Pitner

Creating and Updating Personalized and Verbalized Business Process Descriptions 191
Jens Kolb, Henrik Leopold, Jan Mendling, and Manfred Reichert

Enterprise Modelling and Information Systems

Modeling the Organizational Regulatory Space: A Joint Design Approach 206
João Barata and Paulo Rupino da Cunha

Integrating Process Modeling Methodology, Language and Tool – A Design Science Approach 221
Jörg Becker, Nico Clever, Justus Holler, Johannes Püster, and Maria Shitkova

An Experimental Study on the Design and Modeling of Security Concepts in Business Processes 236
Maria Leitner, Sigrid Schefer-Wenzl, Stefanie Rinderle-Ma, and Mark Strembeck

Enterprise Modelling Cases

“Product-Process-Machine” System Modeling: Approach and Industrial Case Studies 251
Alexander Smirnov, Kurt Sandkuhl, Nikolay Shilov, and Alexey Kashevnik

| | |
|--|-----|
| A Business and Solution Building Block Approach to EA Project Planning | 266 |
| <i>Graham McLeod</i> | |
| A Demonstration Case on Steps and Rules for the Transition from Process-Level to Software Logical Architectures in Enterprise Models | 277 |
| <i>Nuno Ferreira, Nuno Santos, Pedro Soares, Ricardo J. Machado, and Dragan Gašević</i> | |
| Author Index | 293 |