



**HAL**  
open science

## Towards Practical Attribute-Based Identity Management: The IRMA Trajectory

Gergely Alpár, Bart Jacobs

► **To cite this version:**

Gergely Alpár, Bart Jacobs. Towards Practical Attribute-Based Identity Management: The IRMA Trajectory. 3rd Policies and Research in Identity Management (IDMAN), Apr 2013, London, United Kingdom. pp.1-3, 10.1007/978-3-642-37282-7\_1. hal-01470499

**HAL Id: hal-01470499**

**<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01470499>**

Submitted on 17 Feb 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Towards Practical Attribute-Based Identity Management: the IRMA Trajectory

Gergely Alpár      Bart Jacobs

Institute for Computing and Information Sciences (iCIS),  
Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

## Abstract

IRMA is an abbreviation for “I Reveal My Attributes”, and at the same time it is the name of a project run by the Digital Security group of the University of Nijmegen and its partners to get attribute-based identity management up and running. This hands-on approach forces us to elaborate many unexplored issues, leading to a better understanding of attributes and their possibilities and challenges.

Cryptographic techniques that enable secure and privacy-friendly attribute-based authentication have been around for more than a decade, see [3, 5, 6, 8]. But what is new is that the latest generation of smart cards is powerful enough to perform the required (non-trivial) cryptographic operations in an adequately efficient manner [9]. Hence only now we see efforts to actually deploy attributes in practice, like the IRMA project<sup>1</sup> at Nijmegen. Two other pilot projects should be mentioned, both of which are carried out by the EU-sponsored ABC4Trust consortium [4]. The Swedish pilot [2] gives anonymous access for elementary school pupils to on-line resources (*e.g.*, chat room), while the Greek pilot [1] enables university students to evaluate lectures anonymously. In both cases eligibility and privacy are of primary importance. Although the IRMA pilot uses the same underlying technology, the objective of our research is more general as we investigate a *broad variety* of attributes and applications. The associated kind of challenges does not appear in these ABC4Trust pilots since each focusses on a single context.

This document gives a brief overview of some of the more salient aspects of the IRMA project.

First of all, attributes are used in a very broad sense as describing some property of a person. This property may be anonymous (non-identifying), such as your gender, or whether or not you are over 18, but in the IRMA context it may also identify you, for example when the attribute is your bank account or social security number. While the underlying technology provides full unlinkability, the attribute values may provide linkability. This usage of identifying attributes may go against the original intention that attributes should be anonymous, but extending their interpretation to (partial) identification greatly extends the application scenarios. For instance, we foresee registration and status attributes for medical personnel (giving access to medical files), for employees (giving access to premises, networks, and PCs), and for customers (giving benefits, and online access to their purchase/bonus history). Additionally, attributes may be used for a micro medical dossier, with essential (emergency) information.

---

<sup>1</sup>See [www.irmacard.org](http://www.irmacard.org) for up-to-date information and developments.

Second, the IRMA project uses a smart card implementation [9] based on the Idemix technology [7]. However, the essential feature involved is selective disclosure of only a limited number (possibly only one) attributes, while hiding all other attributes. This focus on the core of the credential technology—using zero knowledge proofs—means that the system allows high level interfaces, beneath which other implementations, such as U-Prove, can also be employed. As a simplified view of the technology and the concepts, we may say that “credentials are issued and attributes are shown”. Thus, at this stage, only a small part of the power of Idemix is actually used on IRMA cards. Future upgrades may involve more functionality.

Next, the extensive use of all kinds of attributes within IRMA leads to *dependencies* between these attributes: attribute  $X$  can only be issued after attribute  $Y$  has been verified. As an example, before you can receive an attribute stating what your bank account or mobile phone number is, you need to authenticate properly. This authentication may involve a mixture of already issued attributes on your IRMA card (like your name and date of birth) and out-of-band communication (like a one-time SMS code). These dependencies lead to a tree structure for attributes. An interesting question then arises: what should be the “root” attributes that do not depend on any other attributes on the card. It turns out that this question has deep implications for the “identity fabric” in our society. For instance, one can imagine that your Facebook identifier is issued as an attribute, so that you can use your IRMA card as Facebook login. But should this Facebook attribute be root, or not? If it is a root, it cannot depend on any other attributes, and must be issued purely via out-of-band authentication. But if it is not a root, it will typically depend on your name and date of birth attributes; in that case one can no longer have a pseudonym Facebook account, enforcing Facebook’s real name policy.

Finally, who should decide about such delicate issues? We foresee an independent, non-profit foundation that runs the IRMA scheme and sets such policy issues. Also, this foundation should do the certificate management that regulates access to the card, both for issuing and verification of credentials. Within the IRMA project there is close coordination with both public and private parties to openly discuss such issues. Ongoing work involves a (experimental) connection between existing government identity management infrastructures and IRMA cards, for the issuing of credentials based on government data.

The use of a wide variety of attributes leads to a new activity that we label as “credential design”. It involves the organisation of individual attributes in signed containers (credentials), with dependencies between them. In the IRMA set-up a credential contains at most four (related) attributes, such as first name, family name, full names, initials. Our experience so far leads to the following principles of credential design.

1. Attributes in one credential form a coherent set.
2. Each attribute in one credential falls under the responsibility of a single most authoritative issuer.
3. Attribute duplication (same content, multiple issuers) should be avoided.
4. Verifiers should only be able to read a limited, predefined set of attributes.
5. Credential dependencies should be public.
6. An independent non-profit scheme manager should decide about such dependencies.

## References

- [1] Joerg Abendroth, Vasiliki Liagkou, Apostolis Pyrgelis, Christoforos Raptopoulos, Ahmad Sabouri, Eva Schlehahn, Yannis Stamatiou, and Harald Zwingelberg. D7.1 Application Description for Students. Technical report, ABC4Trust, 2012.
- [2] Souheil Bcheri, Norbert Goetze, Monika Orski, and Harald Zwingelberg. D6.1 Application Description for the School Deployment. Technical report, ABC4Trust, 2012.
- [3] Stefan A. Brands. *Rethinking Public Key Infrastructures and Digital Certificates: Building in Privacy*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2000.
- [4] Jan Camenisch, Ioannis Krontiris, Anja Lehmann, Gregory Neven, Christian Paquin, Kai Rannenberg, and Harald Zwingelberg. D2.1 Architecture for Attribute-based Credential Technologies. Technical report, ABC4Trust, 2011.
- [5] Jan Camenisch and Anna Lysyanskaya. An Efficient System for Non-transferable Anonymous Credentials with Optional Anonymity Revocation. In Birgit Pfitzmann, editor, *Advances in Cryptology — EUROCRYPT 2001*, volume 2045 of *LNCS*, pages 93–118. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2001.
- [6] Jan Camenisch and Anna Lysyanskaya. A Signature Scheme with Efficient Protocols. In Stelvio Cimato, Giuseppe Persiano, and Clemente Galdi, editors, *Security in Communication Networks*, volume 2576 of *LNCS*, pages 268–289. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2003.
- [7] IBM Research Zürich Security Team. Specification of the Identity Mixer cryptographic library, version 2.3.4. Technical report, IBM Research, Zürich, February 2012.
- [8] Eric Verheul. Self-Blindable Credential Certificates from the Weil Pairing. In Colin Boyd, editor, *Advances in Cryptology — ASIACRYPT 2001*, volume 2248 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 533–551. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2001.
- [9] Pim Vullers and Gergely Alpár. Efficient selective disclosure on smart cards using Idemix. In Chris Mitchell *et al.*, editor, *IFIP IDMAN 13*. Springer Science and Business Media, 2013.