

Geo-analysis of Landscape Level Degradation and Natural Risk Formation under Uncertainty

Radka Báčová, Petr Kubíček, Jiří Jakubínský, Eva Svobodová, Vladimír

Herber

► To cite this version:

Radka Báčová, Petr Kubíček, Jiří Jakubínský, Eva Svobodová, Vladimír Herber. Geo-analysis of Landscape Level Degradation and Natural Risk Formation under Uncertainty. 10th International Symposium on Environmental Software Systems (ISESS), Oct 2013, Neusiedl am See, Austria. pp.285-293, 10.1007/978-3-642-41151-9_27. hal-01457458

HAL Id: hal-01457458 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01457458v1

Submitted on 6 Feb 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Geo-analysis of Landscape Level Degradation and Natural Risk Formation under Uncertainty

A Case Study of Selected Czech Urban Watercourses

Radka Báčová, Petr Kubíček, Jiří Jakubínský, Eva Svobodová, and Vladimír Herber

Department of Geography, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

rada.ba@mail.muni.cz, kubicek@geogr.muni.cz,
{jakubinsky, svobodova}@mail.muni.cz, herber@sci.muni.cz

Abstract. Rivers and streams in urban areas are losing their natural environmental qualities. A lack of river management and insufficient interest on the part of municipal authorities has become the norm, especially in the case of small watercourses. Here, an interdisciplinary approach to landscape-level degradation is applied to selected catchments in the Czech Republic (the streams known as the Lačnovský and the Leskava). This approach includes basic geographical approaches to the quantification of the relationship between anthropogenic pressure and ecological stability. The methods employed include hydromorphological field research, identification of changes in land use, and an inventory of anthropogenic landforms.

The existence of urban stream syndrome was established in model catchments. Parameters for these areas were compared with values for susceptibility to natural risk and preliminary results show a spatial pattern consistent with the areas at most risk also being prone to flooding. The observations were subjected to cartographic visualization and enriched with thematic and temporal uncertainty features, thus refining the results and highlighting any ambiguity.

Keywords: degradation of landscape, cartographic visualization, uncertainty, natural risk, river landscape, the Leskava stream, the Lačnovský stream

1 Introduction

River landscapes have always supported human development, providing conditions and functions without which today's settlements could not exist. The floodplains along rivers, in particular, have been an ideal environment for life. Step by step, people come to inhabit this landscape and to exploit it. This progress intensified with population growth. New ways of life developed and became more sophisticated, than unnatural processes began to affect river landscapes. Primary ecological values started to decline. Anthropogenic pressure, directly or indirectly, currently influences approximately 30% of Earth's surface. Tockner [17] and others used the epithet "the doubleedged face of floods" when describing the hazards and human benefits of flood

adfa, p. 1, 2011. © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011 plains. They had in mind the conflict between constantly-growing population density in floodplains and the increase in flood-related economic losses. Today, about half of Europe's population and even 70% of the inhabitants of Japan live on floodplains. This means that such areas are among the landscapes most altered by human activity and that natural flood plains are disappearing. The environmental problems that result from inappropriate land use changes have adverse effects on human society, at their most obvious in urbanized areas. Flood risk reduction is needed for them, but with existing scientific methods this is impossible for small watercourses.

In our study, a relationship between the landscape degradation of small urban watercourses and local predisposition to the occurrence of natural risks (floods) is assumed. The main aim of the project is the identification and verification of the relationship between landscape degradation and the propensity to natural risk formation, by the use of geographical analysis and cartographical visualization [16], the identification of the most degraded areas and their comparison with values for natural risk susceptibility under uncertainty.

2 Methods and Data

The basic approaches to geographical research appropriate to an interdisciplinary approach were employed in the catchment areas selected, the streams known as the Leskava and the Lačnovský. These consisted of hydromorphological field-work and an inventory of man-made landforms with the purpose of evaluating the relationship between anthropogenic pressure and ecological stability. These were supplemented by a study of land use changes and identification of uncertainty in the geographical data.

The model catchments were selected because they were comparable in terms of prevailing conditions, as areas around which human activities have been intensive. These streams are typical representatives of the small urban watercourses of the Czech Republic. More than half of their catchment areas are modified by human activities, and they flow through city/suburban areas – the Leskava stream through Brno, Moravia's capital in the south of the province, and the Lačnovský stream through the city of Svitavy in the north.

2.1 Hydromorphological Field Survey

Fluvial geomorphology is one of the critical indicators of river landscape degradation. Figure 1 shows a diagram of the channel of the watercourse (cross-section) and morphometric characteristics measured for the purposes of analysis. The following parameters were measured on the streams monitored: channel width (e.g. distance between opposite banks [ChW], riverbed width [RW], countersink of the channel [C], slope of the banks (average of both sides) [S] and the channel material making up the shores and bottom of the watercourse [ChM]. All these parameters were measured with a laser distance meter. These characteristics were recorded at predefined profiles that best represented the morphological status of the channel in a given stream segment. Each segment had relatively homogeneous properties in terms of stream morphology.



Fig. 1. Morphometric parameters measured in the channel

The acquired data was entered into the calculation of a pair of indicators that provide information on the status of channel morphometry and its patency: the channel morphology index (CMI) and the channel capacity coefficient (CCC) were further calculated. Both indicators may serve as sources of information about the potential for flooding, since they include a number of variables that may impact upon flooding processes. The aim of the CCC calculation is to identify the critical locations within the hydrographical network, where a flood can easily occur in the event of high discharge. Generally the higher the CCC, the lower the capacity of the channel and the greater the risk of flooding [7], [8].

The principle indicator calculation is represented by equations 1 and 2:

$$CCC = AW1 + BW2 + CW3 + DW4 + EW5 + FW6$$
 (1)

where the importance of variables and weights is: A ... undersized bridge (W1 = 1.5); B ... barrier within the channel (W2 = 1.2); C ... reduced channel capacity (W3 = 1.4); D ... narrow inundation area (W4 = 1.3); E ... unsuitably situated buildings (W5 = 1.0); F ... alternation of artificial and natural channel (W6 = 1.1).

$$CMI = (ChW-RW+C) * S/100 * ShM$$
⁽²⁾

Variables as Figure 1.

2.2 Inventory of Anthropogenic Landforms

The second method employed was to take an inventory of man-made landforms in model catchments. These were classified into nine categories on the basis of genesis [1]: mining landforms, industrial landforms, agricultural landforms, urban landforms, transport landforms, water landforms, funeral landforms, pilgrimage landforms, and recreational and sports landforms. We observed surface and subsurface man-made landforms [14], both of which may modify natural processes in the event of flooding.

The level of the natural process of flood modification was expressed as two weights, the first of which classified identified landforms into four categories, based on their effects on the process:

- Positive effect (0) man-made landform built for flood protection. Its main function is retention of water in the landscape (e.g. water reservoir, pond, dam) and reduction of flood (e.g. levee, protective wall).
- Without effect minimal negative effect (1) behaviour of these landforms does not significantly affect the process of floods. However, they can change the hydrological regime and accelerate or decelerate runoff water from the landscape (e.g. mine, sand pit, wildlife crossing (ecoduct), agricultural terrace, sewage disposal plant, graveyard).
- Moderate negative effect (2) landforms that can accelerate the flow of water in the watercourse (e.g. man-modified watercourse, canal). These may constitute a barrier to a flood or a source of sediments and debris that reduce the profile of the riverbed (e.g. mining heap, agricultural heap, industrial heap, bridge construction, waste dump). Land-forms that significantly reduce water infiltration and retention in the landscape are included here (e.g. industrial estate, urban planes, transport platforms, parking-sites, agricultural platforms).
- Very negative effect (3) undersized bridge, weir, spillway and sewage network in the category of man-made landforms, strongly influencing the process of floods. These create barriers and radically change the flow of the flood.

These categories are valid for point (e.g. weir) and areal landforms (e.g. polder). However, the linear types are affected by their orientation with reference to the watercourse. They may fall into any of the categories; this principal is illustrated in Figure 2.



Fig. 2. Position of linear landform with reference to watercourse and effects on the process of flooding

The second of the weights specifies the location of anthropogenic form within the catchment area of the stream. We defined three zones – up to 5 metres from the watercourse (3), river landscape area beyond 5 metres from the watercourse (2) remainder of the catchment beyond the river landscape (1). All these weights were identified on the basis of general experience in flood risk and catchment management, but they contain a certain degree of uncertainty, which is discussed below.

2.3 Identification of Uncertainty in Geodata

Uncertainty is generally considered as a quantitative or a qualitative variable characteristic of the spatial, typological or temporal value of a given reference attribute and may be identified at all stages of modelling reality. For this paper we have selected a definition arising out of a number of scientific studies [5], [12], [13], [15], [18] and [20], in which uncertainty is an indicator of systematic and random errors, together with accuracy, and vagueness (see Fig. 3.). However, the components of the entire concept have pure definitions that may be used with different meanings from author to author. Our purposes are best served by adoption of inherent and epistemic uncertainty-like components.



Fig. 3. Conceptualization of uncertainty in geographical data (Based on [12], [20])

This approach to data quality description involves significant spatial variability. A visualization method was therefore employed to identify the spatial pattern. A visualization of inherent uncertainty (measurable and precisely defined) appeared for the purposes of this study to be a suitable approach to supporting critical thinking and the identification of epistemic uncertainty, which is more subjective. Epistemic uncertainty depends largely on the individual user's knowledge and experience. Qualification was prioritized over quantification of uncertainty expressed with probability in this case study. Thus expert assessment of uncertainty was applied where quantification was not possible.

Most of the available visualization techniques focus strictly on a single factor, with respect to only a few selected parameters of uncertainty. For each parameter there are a number of recommendations as to the most appropriate method of communication of the phenomenon, but only a few of them are empirically based. Examples of these may be found in the literature, e.g. [2], [3], [9], [10], [11], [13]. Cartographic visualization of uncertainty is a challenge for cartographers [4], and in this case study the possibilities of multidimensional form have been explored. Two visualization methods were employed – comparatives and combined maps [12].

The system of uncertainty identification was discussed between geographers and cartographers. The objective was to evaluate thematic and temporal uncertainty together, with no accentuation of individual components. Thematic uncertainty was determined as the role of man-made landforms in the natural process of flood and corresponds with the three distance zones from the watercourse (above). The uncertainty grows with increasing distance because we are not able to make a determination of the impact of anthropogenic landforms in the watershed catchment. Thematic uncertainty was identified in the selected landforms in which experience was lacking of their impact in modelling floods. Temporal uncertainty was fixed in landforms where temporal change is obvious, e.g. the highest temporal uncertainty was reflected at a farm track, the lowest at a bridge construction.

3 Results and Discussion

The above analyses enable identification of the most degraded areas that may affect natural flood processes. Both hydromorphological indexes, CCC (channel coefficient capacity) and CMI (channel morphology index), define a level of natural state degradation conditioned by human activities in homogenous sections of the watercourse. The situation is at its worst in permanently occupied urban areas where people co-habit with streams. The anthropogenic landforms inventory supports these hypotheses.

Figure 4 clearly shows the river network and it easy to confirm that the most degraded area is river landscape, also the most vulnerable. The hexagonal net map is used as the main cartographic visualization method; it can take the ideal neighbourhood of every hexagon subarea into account. The area of these polygons is 1 ha, but changing this parameter may result in a different pattern. The efficiency of the techniques employed needs to be discussed. The rare visualization of single landforms might be more accurate, but its ability to be entered into future analyses is reduced.

The data quality is characterized by level of uncertainty. The reliable areas appear in the illustration in Fig. 5. Maps of comparatives (Fig. 5, upper picture) are created by two different maps, one showing the hexagonal net maps from the previous case and the other showing the bivariate map of temporal and thematic uncertainty by changing size and colours of symbols. The growing thematic uncertainty of the watershed basin with the most confident areas near the watercourse is clearly visible. Combined maps (Fig. 5, lower picture) show the same situation in one presentation. This is one typical example, where the most degraded hexagon (in the left part) in dark red is disputed, with a high level of temporal uncertainty. Its temporal change is highly variable and it is therefore not permanent enough to enter analysis. One future plan is to verify the benefit of qualitative and quantitative expressions of uncertainty. The au-



thors remain, meanwhile, convinced that quantitative definition would be more confusing.

Fig. 4. The most degraded areas

These results confirmed the existence of urban stream syndrome, characterized by negative impact of running waters in urban areas. These are more prone to flood formation and increased risk of damage, since the incoming flood is of swift onset and the catchment is less able to cope with higher rainfall through infiltration. Other characteristics of urban stream syndrome are given in [6], [19].

Our preliminary results confirmed a relationship between the spatial pattern of flood risk and the pattern of degraded areas. We diagnosed that the most degraded territory corresponds with the potential zone of flooding (in the case of the Leskava stream), or with historically flooded areas (in the case of the Lačnovský stream). Therefore the contribution of this study may be summarised as a positive tool for defining flood risk areas in small watercourses, where the standard approach of the flood risk area definition based on risk matrix cannot be applied. The future development of this theme is an expansion of the model areas and possible verification of our results in other natural conditions in the Czech Republic.



Fig. 5. Comparatives (upper) and combined (lower) uncertainty maps (illustration)

References

1. Demek, J., Embleton, C. [eds.]: Guide to Medium – Scale Geomor-phological Mapping. IGU Commission on Geomorphological Survey and Mapping, Brno. (1978)

- Dvorsky, J., Snasel, V., Vozenilek, V.: Map Similarity Testing Using Matrix Decomposition. In: Badr, Y.K.; Caballe, S.; Xhafa, F.; Abraham, A.; Gros, B., (eds.) International conference on intelligent networking and collaborative systems. pp. 290-295 (2009)
- Edwards, L.D., Nelson, E.S.: Visualizing data certainty: A case study using graduated circles maps. Cartographic Perspectives 38, 19–36 (2001)
- Feiden, K., Kruse, F., Řezník, T., Kubíček, P., Schentz, H., Eberhardt, E., Baritz, R.: Best practice network GS SOIL promoting access to European, interoperable and INSPIRE compliant soil information. IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology 359, 226-234 (2011)
- Goodchild, M.: Visualizing fizzy maps In H. Hearnshaw, editor, Visualization in geographical information systems. pp. 158-167, John Willey & Sons., London (1994)
- Gurnell, A. et al.: Urban rivers: Hydrology, geomorphology, ecology and opportunities for change. Geography Compass 1/5, 1118-1137 (2007)
- 7. Jakubínský, J.: The influence of watercourses regulations on runoff processes and the development of floods (a case study of the Košátecký stream), Diploma Thesis, (2011)
- Jakubínský, J. and Báčová, R.: Mapping environmental values: Case study from the Dunajovický and the Košátecký stream catchments, Czech Republic, Journal of Maps, Taylor and Francis (in print).
- Kubíček, P., Šašinka, Č.: Thematic Uncertainty Visualization Usability Comparison of Basic Methods. Annals of GIS 17, 20 (2011)
- Leitner, M., Buttenfield, B.P.: Guidelines for the display of attribute uncertainty. Cartography and geographic information science 27, 3 – 14 (2000)
- MacEachren, A. et al.: Visualizing uncertain information. Cartographic Perspectives, 13 (3):10-19 (1992).
- 12. MacEachren, A. et al.: Visualising geospatial information uncertainty. What we know and what we need to know. Cartography and Geographic Information Science 32, 139–160 (2005)
- Pang, A. et al.: Visualizing uncertainty in geo-spatial data. In. Proceedings of the Workshop on the Intersections between Geospatial Information and Information Technology. Washington, D. C., National Academies Committee of the Computer Science and Telecommunications Board, pp. 1-14 (1997)
- Pechanec, V., Janíková, V., Brus, J., Kiliánová, H.: Typological data in the process of landscape potential identification with using GIS. Moravian Geographical Reports 17, 12-24, (2009)
- 15. Shi, W.: Principles of modelling uncertainties in spatial data and spatial analyses. Taylor and Francis, London (2010)
- Staněk, K., Friedmannová, L., Kubíček, P., Konečný, M.: Selected issues of cartographic communication optimization for emergency centers. International Journal of Digital Earth 3, 316-339 (2010)
- Tockner, K., Bunn, S.E., Gordon, C., Naiman, R. J., Quinn, G.P., Stanford, J.: Flood plains: critically threatened ecosystems. In: Polunin, N. (ed.): Aquatic systems, trends and global prospects. Cambridge University Press, pp. 45 – 61 (2008)
- Tucek, P., Paszto, V., Vozenilek, V.: Regular use of entropy for studying dissimilar geographical phenomena. Geografie-Sbornik CGS 114, 117-129 (2009)
- Walsh, CH.J. et al.: The urban stream syndrome: current knowledge and the search for a cure. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 24, 706-723 (2005)
- Zhang, J. and Goodchild, M.: Uncertainty in Geographical Information. Taylor and Francis, London, (2002)