



HAL
open science

Low Cost Inertial Measurement Unit for Motion Capture in Biomedical Applications

João Lourenço, Leonardo Martins, Rui Almeida, Claudia Quaresma, Pedro Vieira

► **To cite this version:**

João Lourenço, Leonardo Martins, Rui Almeida, Claudia Quaresma, Pedro Vieira. Low Cost Inertial Measurement Unit for Motion Capture in Biomedical Applications. 7th Doctoral Conference on Computing, Electrical and Industrial Systems (DoCEIS), Apr 2016, Costa de Caparica, Portugal. pp.151-158, 10.1007/978-3-319-31165-4_16 . hal-01438239

HAL Id: hal-01438239

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01438239>

Submitted on 17 Jan 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Low Cost Inertial Measurement Unit for Motion Capture in Biomedical Applications

João Lourenço¹, Leonardo Martins², Rui Almeida¹, Claudia Quaresma³,
Pedro Vieira³

¹ Department of Physics, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologias, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Quinta da Torre, 2829-516, Caparica, Portugal

² UNINOVA, Institute for the Development of New Technologies, Quinta da Torre, 2829-516, Caparica, Portugal

³ LIBPhys-UNL, Department of Physics, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologias, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Monte da Caparica, Portugal
jp.lourenco@campus.fct.unl.pt, l.martins@uninova.pt, rui.almeida@ngns-is.com,
{ q.claudia, pmv }@fct.unl.pt

Abstract. A low-cost inertial measurement unit has been developed for accurate motion capture, allowing real-time spatial position registration (linear and angular) of the user's whole-body. For this, we implemented a dedicated circuit for 9 degrees of freedom motion sensors, composed of an accelerometer, gyroscope and a magnetometer. We also applied signal processing and data fusion algorithms to prevent the inherent drift of the position signal. This drift is known to exist during the sensor integration process and the implemented algorithms showed promising results. This system is meant to be used in two specific biomedical applications. The first one is linked to the development of a low-cost system for gait analysis of the whole-body, which can be used in home-based rehabilitation systems. The second application is related to the real-time analysis of working postures and the identification of ergonomic risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders.

Keywords: motion capture, sensor fusion, cyber-physical systems, home rehabilitation, work risk analysis.

1 Introduction

Pervasive and ubiquitous environments have been spreading in our society, especially focusing on tracking user's location and activities by monitoring physiological signals, motion and orientation.

There are two main cyber-physical approaches to this field, using two different sensorial components, the first is based on computer vision devices for human motion capture, such as using single or a network of cameras, infrared cameras or other optical devices [1], [2], while the task of human movement analysis has also been influenced by using inertial sensors, such as accelerometers and gyroscopes that are present in common smartphones or by using dedicated sensors connected to microcontrollers (which can also communicate to smartphones or other computation devices) [2], [3].

The remote analysis of the user's position and body posture can provide major benefits in the healthcare and manufacturing industries. Our studies will focus on the real-time analysis of two main problems. The first problem will analyse the position of each sensor to enable the gait analysis of the whole-body, which can in turn be used in low-cost and home-based rehabilitation systems. The second will use pattern recognition techniques to analyse static working postures, taking into account potential ergonomic risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders caused by poor work situations. From these open problems, there is a main research question that arises:

“How to design a low-cost system that is capable of accurate detection in real-time of the user's whole-body?”

In this paper we propose a system that answers this question (a relation of this work to Cyber-Physical Systems is presented in section 2). Section 3 gives a more detailed overview of the state of the art and the related literature to inertial measurement units and the associated applications of such systems. Section 4 provides a summarized description of our proposed physical system and the associated algorithms of signal processing, the 9 degrees of freedom data fusion and the implementation of a real-time data transmission protocol. The initial laboratory results are presented in Section 5, while Section 6 gives a summarized conclusion of our working progress and a preview of our future work plan.

2 Research Connection with Cyber-Physical Systems

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) have been emerging as the next computing revolution because they integrate the available communication and computational capacities to create new interactions between cyber and physical components [4]. These systems are merging the physical and virtual world and several applications have been identified in communication, transportation, infrastructure, energy, robotics, manufacturing and healthcare [4], [5].

Cyber-Physical frameworks have already been proposed for use in rehabilitation systems [6]. Such systems can be used for home-based physiotherapy services processes, such as the monitoring of users in senior care, patients with reduced mobility (for example with hip or knee replacement surgery), stroke and heart attack patients and other subjects that require occupational therapy to regain day to day skills.

CPS applications are already inside the healthcare system, which has been extensively reviewed [7], and require a special architecture that can handle the privacy of the data, that can also handle communication between the hospital/rehabilitation centre, the storage unit and the sensors. Finally they also need to handle the computational resources that arise from a feedback system that receives real-time data from various patients and a large network of sensors and requires a real-time classification or response back to the users [8].

In the manufacturing area, there have been several advances in hazard risk management and their approach to the preservation of worker's safety and health has

been highly improved, by developing new work equipment features and definition of more secure tasks [9].

A big trend that has been emerging in this area is the definition of a sensing and smart factory, which uses information from a network of physical sensors and virtual databases to make real-time risk assessments [9], which has been extensively overviewed for the construction industry [10]. These sensors can also be used to monitor and track the assembly line, by using real-time information to guide the manual assembly process [11]. Right now, most studies in this area use visual based motion capture frameworks for to identify workers ergonomic risk factors [12], even adapting systems such as the Kinect™ sensor for body kinematic measurement in the workplace [13]. Most of these studies lack the possibility of real-time management and will be hard to implement in a real setting, due to inherent problems in these systems: there is necessity of adaptation of the background (other objects can influence the field of view, the lightning can affect the measurements) and the user's to be completely inside the field of view of the cameras. To solve such a problem, some groups have been fusing data coming from physiological sensors (e.g. ECG, EEG, EMG) location sensors (e.g. GPS or Ultra-Wideband technology) and normal cameras to make a real-time monitor tasks in the workplace and analysis of ergonomic risks [14].

3 State of the Art

Motion capture sensorial systems are usually divided into two categories: optical sensors and non-optical sensors [2]. Optical systems use cameras to acquire motion information of the subject being studied. Due to their scalability and high sensitivity to the motion, they are regarded as the standard on several fields such as gait analysis, film production and video game animation. However, they have some disadvantages: they are usually very expensive, do not work on every lighting environment and require special software for different tasks. On the other hand, there are the non-optical systems, which are comprised of every other system e.g. magnetic arrays, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS)-based [2].

MEMS-based inertial motion capture systems use inertial sensors (e.g. gyroscopes and accelerometers) to acquire motion information, have been subject of great interest due to constant miniaturization of the sensors, ability to work on any environment and relative low cost when compared with other systems. They do, however, also have several drawbacks, namely the lack of information about the full 3D motion and the inherent noise in some sensors.

The MEMS-based sensors have been more recently implemented for real-time human motion tracking in various physical activities, such as boxing, golf swinging, and table tennis [15], but also have been able to actually identify some simple activities using fuzzy logic, such as walking, sitting or stair climbing [16]. These human activity measurements can be useful in the identification of bad postures in the workplace and the identification of ergonomic hazard risks in the workplace.

Both issues have been tackled simultaneously in two fronts, the first is using combinations of sensors to get the most information (e.g. combining 3D

accelerometers with 3D gyroscopes to get information about the acceleration and angular velocity in 3 Dimensions). The second is by using Data Fusion algorithms to mitigate the effect of the measurement noise, for example using Kalman filtering in a limited frequency band [17] or other methodologies to filter the inherent noise over the whole frequency band of interest [18].

A final approach is by fusing both visual (both with and without using optical markers) and inertial sensors, in order to solve the intrinsic problems in each system [19]. Concerning the rehabilitation procedure of gait disorders, all the approaches, such as using just inertial sensors, or optical sensors or fusing both of them have been tested and studied [20], [21], [22], [23].

4 Materials and Methods

In this section we provide a representation of the physical sensor architecture, with the implemented sensor elements and a description of the fusion and signal processing algorithms.

Our current prototype is using the LSM9DS1, a 9 degrees of freedom (gyroscope, accelerometer, magnetometer) digital sensor unit from STMicroelectronics, communicating the sensor output to a Raspberry Pi acting as signal processor, data logger and as gateway to a local network, which allows not only the sensor to be configured remotely but also the sensor output data to be accessed by any computer on the network using a software that allows the user to watch not only the data in text format, but also a 3D Model that mimics the sensor orientation in real-time. In Figure 1 we show a simple scheme of the prototype and the experimental assembly of the prototype.

To address the noise issue described in Section 3, we implemented the Mahony's filter in Direction Cosine Matrix form in both Python and MATLAB following the algorithm in [24], a detailed discussion about the problem and filtering algorithms have been extensively discussed in [24, 25] respectively.




Fig. 1. (A) Prototype schematic (B) Experimental assembly of the prototype.

5 Initial Laboratory Results and Discussion

In Figure 2 we present the results of the static test in which the sensor was idle for 15 minutes with a sampling rate of 119 Hz on both the gyroscope and accelerometer and 20 Hz on the magnetometer, it shows the difference between the uncorrected signal (A) and the corrected signal (B), the initial behaviour is the filter response. Alpha, beta and gamma correspond to the relative rotation (to the initial orientation) around the x,y,z axis respectively. We can notice that although there is an offset after the drift correction, the values tend to be stable after 15 minutes. This offset is a consequence of the filters signal response and can be easily removed after a few a few minutes of its stabilization. It is important to note, that this drift issue is still a problem, even in a recent study on rehabilitation systems for gait disorders, which solved this problem by fusing the inertial sensors with information coming from an infrared camera [26]




Fig. 2. Difference between the uncorrected signal (A) and the corrected signal (B)

For the next experiment, we tested the sensor for rapid and slow movements to check the filter response, using a goniometer to rotate the sensor around the z axis (gamma), while trying to maintain the other axis stabilized. The rotation sequence was the following: at 2.5 s, we moved from 0° (all the angles are relative to this starting point) to 24° , and then we moved to 165° with 2 additional steps of 5° . Afterwards we move to -100° (8 s), which followed to a fast rotation to 90° (11.5 s) then to -45° , then to 150° . At 15 s, we rotated back to 0° , then a fast rotation to 160° , with a slow rotation back to 90° , then again to 160° , with a gradual slow rotation to -

25°. At 36 s, we rotated to 45°, back to -110°, then to 120° and a slow rotation to -125°, finalized by a rotation to 110°.

Figure shows the respective test around the z axis (gamma) with a sampling rate of 60 Hz of both the gyroscope and accelerometer and 20 Hz on the magnetometer, (A) represents the uncorrected signal and (B) the corrected one. Although the differences are barely noticeable in gamma plot, on the alpha and beta plots there is a significant effect of the drift, showing that we can also correct this problem when the sensor is moving.




Fig. 3. Difference between the uncorrected signal (A) and the corrected signal (B)

6 Conclusions and Future Work Plan

In this work, we implemented a low-cost cost measurement unit that been developed for accurate motion capture, by implementing a dedicated circuit with 9 degrees of freedom sensors (accelerometer, gyroscope and magnetometer), connected to a Raspberry Pi, which transmits the data to a local network, allowing access by authorized computers. We implemented signal processing and data fusion algorithms to correct the inherent drift process that occurs even when the sensor is stopped, as shown in figure 2. As future work we can use an absolute position coordinate system (e.g. north east down system) instead of the existing coordinate system.

We are planning to use this system in two specific biomedical applications, as the first one is linked to the real-time analysis of working postures and the identification of ergonomic risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders. For this we are planning to start with a network of at least 3 sensors to test the analysis in the upper limbs. We

will make a feedback system that uses the ISO 11226 recommendations for the holding time for upper arm elevation in a specific posture and the acceptable shoulder, forearm and hand positions in a static posture [27]. To analyse a dynamic working posture, we will use a similar framework to the project FAST ERGO_X [28], which is based on fuzzy rules. Instead of using camera sensors, we will be using inertial sensors, which will give the ability to make the analysis in real-time.

The second application is related to the development of a low-cost system for gait analysis of the whole-body, which can be used in home-based rehabilitation systems, we will use the same network of sensors for the upper limbs to validate them using the gold standard sensors used in rehabilitation centres.

Acknowledgments

Leonardo Martins is supported by a PhD Scholarship with the reference SFRH/BD/88987/2012 and is also partially funded by FCT Strategic Program UID/EEA/00066/203 of UNINOVA, CTS, funded by the Portuguese funding institution FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia. We also acknowledge the technical support of the engineers of NGNS - Ingenious Solutions.

References

1. Moeslund, T.B., Hilton, A., Krüger, V.: A survey of advances in vision-based human motion capture and analysis. *Comput. Vis. Image Underst.* 104, 90–126 (2006).
2. Corke, P., Lobo, J., Dias, J.: An introduction to inertial and visual sensing. *Int. J. Rob. Res.* 26, 519–535 (2007).
3. Yang, C.-C., Yeh-Liang Hsu: A Review of Accelerometry-Based Wearable Motion Detectors for Physical Activity Monitoring. *Sensors*. 10, 7772–7788 (2010).
4. Geisberger, E., Cengarle, M.V., Keil, P., Niehaus, J., Thiel, C., Thönnißen-Fries, H.-J.: *Cyber-Physical Systems - Driving force for innovation in mobility, health, energy and production.* (2011).
5. Khaitan, S.K., Member, S., McCalley, J.D.: Design Techniques and Applications of Cyberphysical Systems : A Survey. *IEEE Syst. J.* 9, 350–365 (2015).
6. Ma, X., Tu, X., Huang, J., He, J.: A Cyber-physical System based Framework for Motor. *ACWR '11 Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Wireless Technologies for Humanitarian Relief.* pp. 285–290 (2011).
7. Haque, S.A., Aziz, S.M., Rahman, M.: Review of Cyber-Physical System in Healthcare. *Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Networks.* 2014, 1–20 (2014).
8. Wang, J., Abid, H., Lee, S., Shu, L., Xia, F.: A secured health care application architecture for cyber-physical systems. *Control Eng. Appl. Informatics.* 13, 101–108 (2011).
9. Lazaro, O., Moyano, A., Uriarte, M., Gonzalez, A., Meneu, T., Fernández-Llatas, C., Traver, V., Molina, B., Palau, C., Lopez, O., Sanchez, E., Ros, S., Moreno, A., Gonzalez, M., Antonio, J., Sepulcre, M., Gozalvez, J., Collantes, L., Prieto, G.: Integrated and Personalised Risk Management in the Sensing Enterprise. In: Banaitiene, N. (ed.) *Risk Management - Current Issues and Challenges.* pp. 285–312. *InTech* (2012).
10. Pinto, A., Nunes, I.L., Ribeiro, R.A.: Occupational risk assessment in construction industry – Overview and reflection. *Saf. Sci.* 49, 616–624 (2011).

11. Bader, S., Aehnelt, M.: Tracking Assembly Processes and Providing Assistance in Smart Factories. 6th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence, ICAART 2014. Proceedings. Vol.1 : ESEO, Angers, Loire Valley, France, 6 - 8 March, 2014. pp. 161–168. SciTePress - Science and Technology Publications (2014).
12. Han, S., Lee, S.: A vision-based motion capture and recognition framework for behavior-based safety management. *Autom. Constr.* 35, 131–141 (2013).
13. Dutta, T.: Evaluation of the Kinect™ sensor for 3-D kinematic measurement in the workplace. *Appl. Ergon.* 43, 645–649 (2012).
14. Cheng, T., Migliaccio, G.C., Teizer, J., Gatti, U.C.: Data fusion of Real-time Location Sensing and Physiological Status Monitoring for Ergonomics Analysis of Construction Workers. *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 27, 320–335 (2012).
15. Liu, H., Wei, X., Chai, J., Ha, I., Rhee, T.: Realtime human motion control with a small number of inertial sensors. *Symp. Interact. 3D ...* 133–140 (2011).
16. Liu, S., Ph, D., Chang, Y.: Using Accelerometers for Physical Actions. *Telem. e-HEALTH.* 15, 867–876 (2009).
17. Ligorio, G., Sabatini, A.: Extended Kalman Filter-Based Methods for Pose Estimation Using Visual, Inertial and Magnetic Sensors: Comparative Analysis and Performance Evaluation. *Sensors.* 13, 1919–1941 (2013).
18. Skaloud, J., Bruton, a M., Schwarz, K.P.: Detection and Filtering of Short-Term ($1/f\gamma$) Noise in Inertial Sensors. *J. Inst. Navig.* 46, 97–107 (1999).
19. Hol, J.D., Schön, T.B., Luinge, H., Slycke, P.J., Gustafsson, F.: Robust real-time tracking by fusing measurements from inertial and vision sensors. *J. Real-Time Image Process.* 2, 149–160 (2007).
20. Ali, A., Sundaraj, K., Ahmad, B., Ahamed, N., Islam, A.: Gait disorder rehabilitation using vision and non-vision based sensors: A systematic review. *Bosn. J. Basic Med. Sci.* 12, 193–202 (2012).
21. Zhou, H., Hu, H.: Human motion tracking for rehabilitation—A survey. *Biomed. Signal Process. Control.* 3, 1–18 (2008).
22. Zheng, H., Black, N.D., Harris, N.D.: Position-sensing technologies for movement analysis in stroke rehabilitation. *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.* 43, 413–420 (2005).
23. Tao, Y., Hu, H., Zhou, H.: Integration of Vision and Inertial Sensors for Home-based Rehabilitation. *IEEE Int. Conf. Robot. Autom.* (2005).
24. Premerlani, W., Bizard, P.: Direction cosine matrix IMU: Theory. (2009).
25. Madgwick, S.O.H., Harrison, A.J.L., Vaidyanathan, R.: Estimation of IMU and MARG orientation using a gradient descent algorithm. *IEEE Int. Conf. Rehabil. Robot.* 179–185 (2011).
26. Ma, E., Popovic, M., Masani, K.: Wearable Gait Analysis using Vision-aided Inertial Sensor Fusion. *Book of abstracts - IUPESM 2015 World Congress on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, Toronto, June 7-12.* p. 386 (2015).
27. International Organization for Standardization: ISO 11226 - Ergonomics—Evaluation of static working postures, (2000).
28. Nunes, I.: FAST ERGO_X - a tool for ergonomic auditing and work-related musculoskeletal disorders prevention. *Work.* 34, 133–148 (2009).