



HAL
open science

Small-world networks and RNA secondary structures

Defne Surujon, Yann Ponty, Peter Clote

► **To cite this version:**

Defne Surujon, Yann Ponty, Peter Clote. Small-world networks and RNA secondary structures. 2017. hal-01424452v1

HAL Id: hal-01424452

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01424452v1>

Preprint submitted on 2 Jan 2017 (v1), last revised 11 Sep 2018 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Small-world networks and RNA secondary structures

Defne Surujon

Biology Department
Boston College

Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

defne.surujon@bc.edu

Yann Ponty

Laboratoire d'Informatiques(LIX)
Ecole Polytechnique

91128 Palaiseau Cedex - France

ponty@lix.polytechnique.fr

Peter Clote

Biology Department
Boston College

Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

Corresponding author: clote@bc.edu

Abstract—Let \mathcal{S}_n denote the network of all RNA secondary structures of length n , in which undirected edges exist between structures s, t such that t is obtained from s by the addition, removal or shift of a single base pair. Using context-free grammars, generating functions and complex analysis, we show that the asymptotic average degree is $O(n)$ and that the asymptotic clustering coefficient is $O(1/n)$, from which it follows that the family \mathcal{S}_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ of secondary structure networks is not small-world.

1. Introduction

In this section, we define notions of RNA secondary structure, move sets MS_1, MS_2 , and small-world networks. An RNA secondary structure of length n , subsequently called length n structure, is defined to be a set s of ordered pairs (i, j) , with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, such that: (1) There are no base triples; i.e. if $(i, j), (k, \ell) \in s$ and $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, \ell\} \neq \emptyset$, then $i = k$ and $j = \ell$. (2) There are no pseudoknots; i.e. if $(i, j), (k, \ell) \in s$, then it is not the case that $i < k < j < \ell$. (3) There are at least $\theta = 3$ unpaired bases in a hairpin loop; i.e. if $(i, j) \in s$, then $j - i > \theta = 3$. Note that base pairs are *not* required to be Watson-Crick or wobble pairs, as is the case for RNA molecules, such as that depicted in Figure 1a. This definition, sometime called *homopolymer* secondary structure, permits the combinatorial analysis we employ to show that RNA networks are not small-world.

Let \mathcal{S}_n denote the set of all length n structures. The move sets MS_1 and MS_2 , defined in [8] for RNA secondary folding kinetics, describe elementary moves that transform a structure s into another structure t . Move set MS_1 [resp. MS_2] consists of either removing or adding [resp. removing, adding or shifting] a single base pair, provided the resulting set of base pairs constitutes a valid structure, where shift moves are depicted in Figure 2. We overload the notation \mathcal{S}_n to also denote

the MS_1 network [resp. MS_2 network], whose nodes are the length n structures, where an undirected edge between structures s, t exists when t is obtained from s by a single move from MS_1 [resp. MS_2]. Figure 1b shows the MS_1 network (8 red edges) [resp. MS_2 network (8 red and 8 blue edges)] for length 7 structures, where there are 8 nodes, MS_1 degree $\frac{16}{8} = 2$ and MS_2 degree $\frac{32}{8} = 4$. See [3], [4] for dynamic programming algorithms that compute, respectively, the MS_1 and MS_2 degree for the network of secondary structures of a given RNA sequence.

Small-world networks [12], ubiquitous in biology, sociology, and technology, satisfy two conditions: (1) on average, the minimum path length between any two nodes is small, (2) neighbors of a node tend to be connected to each other. The *global clustering coefficient*, defined in equation (77) of [11], is given by

$$\mathfrak{C}_g(G) = \frac{3 \times \text{number of triangles}}{\text{number of connected triples}} \quad (1)$$

where a *triangle* is a set $\{x, y, z\}$ of nodes, each of which is connected by an edge, and a (connected) triple is a set $\{x, y, z\}$ of nodes, such that there is an edge from x to y and an edge from x to z . Following [5], the family $\{\mathcal{S}_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ of RNA networks is small-world if the following conditions hold. (1) There is a constant $c_1 \geq 0$, such that the minimum path length between any two nodes of \mathcal{S}_n is bounded above by $c_1 \ln n$. (2) There is a constant $c_2 \geq 0$, such that the average network degree of \mathcal{S}_n is bounded above by $c_2 \ln n$. (3) The global clustering coefficient is bounded away from zero. By Theorem 2, the network size of \mathcal{S}_n is exponential in n . Since there are at most $n/2$ base pairs in any length n structure, condition (1) is satisfied for both the MS_1 and MS_2 networks of RNA structures. It is easy to see that the clustering coefficient of the MS_1 network of RNA structures is zero, so in the remainder of the paper, we concentrate on conditions (2) and (3) for the MS_2 RNA network.

The overall method used is as follows: (1) Give a context-free grammar that generates the set of all secondary structures, possibly containing a specific motif. (2) Use Table 1 to derive and then solve a functional relation for the complex generating function $S(z)$, with the property that the n th Taylor coefficient of $S(z)$, denoted $[z^n]S(z)$, is equal to the number of length n structures, possibly containing a specific motif. (3) Determine the dominant singularity and apply complex analysis [6] to obtain the asymptotic value of $[z^n]S(z)$. For step (3), we use the Flajolet-Odlyzko Theorem, stated as Corollary 2, part (i) on page 224 of [6]. Before stating the theorem, we define the *dominant singularity* of complex function $f(z)$ to be the complex number ρ having smallest absolute value (or modulus) at which $f(z)$ is not differentiable.

Theorem 1 (Flajolet and Odlyzko). Assume that $f(z)$ has a dominant singularity at $z = \rho > 0$, is analytic for $z \neq \rho$ satisfying $|z| \leq |\rho|$, and that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} f(z) = K(1 - z/\rho)^\alpha. \quad (2)$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if $\alpha \notin 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$f_n = [z^n]f(z) \sim \frac{K}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \cdot n^{-\alpha-1} \cdot \rho^{-n}$$

where \sim denotes asymptotic equality and Γ denotes the Gamma function.

The plan of the paper is now as follows. In Section 2, we show that the average MS_2 degree of S_n is $O(n)$. In Section 3.1 [resp. 3.2] we prove that the average number of triangles [resp. triples] per structure is $O(n)$ [resp. $O(n^2)$], which implies that the asymptotic global clustering coefficient is $O(1/n)$, hence not bounded away from zero. It follows that the family of RNA secondary structure networks is not small-world.

2. Expected network degree

Due to space constraints, details for the computation of the asymptotic number of secondary structures as well as for MS_1 expected degree for homopolymers cannot be given in this paper. Nevertheless, these computations can be found in [2], from which we take the following results. Recalling the notation \sim for asymptotic equality, we have

Theorem 2. If $S(z)$ is the generating function for the number of secondary structures for a homopolymer, then

$$[z^n]S(z) \sim 0.713121 \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot 2.28879^n$$

If $MS_1 \text{degree}(n)$ denotes the MS_1 expected network degree for a homopolymer, then

$$MS_1 \text{degree}(n) \sim 0.473475 \cdot n$$

Define the grammar G to consist of the terminal symbols $(, \bullet,)$, \langle, \star, \rangle , nonterminal symbols $\widehat{S}, \widehat{T}, S, R, \theta$, with start symbol \widehat{S} . Shift moves are represented in the grammar by one of the three expressions: $\star \rangle$, $\langle \star, \langle \star$, as depicted in Figure 2. In particular, $\star \rangle$ represents the right shift depicted in Figure 2a (ignoring possible intervening structure), where base pair (x, y) is transformed to (x, y') for $x < y' < y$; alternatively, the $\star \rangle$ can represent the shift (x, y) to (x, y') for $x < y < y'$, as depicted in Figure 2b. The expression $\langle \star$ can represent the left shift depicted in Figure 2c, where base pair (x, y) is transformed to (x', y) for $x < x' < y$; alternatively, $\langle \star$ can represent the shift (x, y) to (x', y) for $x' < x < y$, as depicted in Figure 2d. The expression $\langle \star$ can represent the right-to-left shift depicted in Figure 2e, where base pair (x, y) is transformed to (y', x) for $y' < x < y$; alternatively, $\langle \star$ can represent the shift (x, y) to (y, x') for $x < y < x'$, as depicted in Figure 2f. The grammar G allows us to count the number of secondary structures, that additionally contain a unique occurrence of exactly one of the three expressions: $\star \rangle$, $\langle \star$, $\langle \star$. Since two shift moves correspond to each of the previous three expressions, it follows that the total number of $MS_2 - MS_1$ (shift-only) moves, summed over all structures for a homopolymer of length n with $\theta = 1$, is equal to $2[z^n]S^\dagger(z)$.

The production rules of grammar G are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S} &\rightarrow \widehat{S} \bullet \mid (\widehat{S}) \mid S(\widehat{S}) \mid \widehat{S}(R) \mid \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} &\rightarrow \star R \rangle \mid S \star R \rangle \mid \star R \rangle S \mid S \star R \rangle S \mid \\ &\quad \langle \langle R \star \mid S \langle \langle R \star \mid \langle S \langle R \star \mid S \langle S \langle R \star \mid \\ &\quad \langle R \star R \rangle \mid S \langle R \star R \rangle \\ S &\rightarrow \bullet \mid S \bullet \mid (R) \mid S(R) \\ R &\rightarrow \theta \mid R \bullet \mid (R) \mid S(R) \\ \theta &\rightarrow \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The nonterminal S is responsible for generating all secondary structures of length greater than or equal to 1. In contrast, the nonterminal \widehat{S} is responsible for generating all well-balanced expressions of length greater than or equal to 1, that involve exactly one of the three expressions: $\star \rangle$, $\langle \star$, $\langle \star$. To that end, the nonterminal \widehat{T} is responsible for generating all such expressions, in which the rightmost symbol is either \rangle or \star , but not \bullet or $)$. By induction on length of sequence generated, one can show that G is an nonambiguous context-free grammar that generates

all secondary structures having a unique occurrence of one of \star \rangle , $\langle \star$, $\langle \star$. As mentioned before, 2 times the number of such expressions of length n is equal to the number of $MS_2 - MS_1$ edges in the network of secondary structures.

As explained in [10] and [7], it is possible to automatically transform the previous production rules into equations that relate the corresponding generating functions, where we denote generating functions of $\widehat{S}(z)$, \widehat{T} , $S(z)$, $R(z)$ by the same symbols used for the corresponding nonterminals \widehat{S} , \widehat{T} , S , R . This technique is known in the literature as DSV methodology [10], or as the *symbolic method* [7] – see Table 1. In this fashion, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{S} &= z\widehat{S} + z^2\widehat{S} + z^2S\widehat{S} + z^2R\widehat{S} + \widehat{T} \\ \widehat{T} &= 2z^3R + 4z^3RS + 2z^3RS^2 + z^3R^2 + z^3SR^2 \\ S &= z + zS + z^2R + z^2RS \\ R &= \theta + zR + z^2R + z^2RS \\ \theta &= z^3\end{aligned}$$

and by eliminating all variables except \widehat{S} and z , we use Mathematica to obtain the quadratic equation in \widehat{S} having two solutions, for which the only solution analytic at 0 is the following:

$$\widehat{S}(z) = \widehat{S} = \frac{A + B\sqrt{P}}{C} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}P &= 1 - 2z - z^2 + z^4 + 3z^6 + 2z^7 + z^8 \\ A &= 3 - 15z + 23z^2 - 9z^3 - z^4 - 9z^5 + \\ &\quad 23z^6 - 25z^7 + 7z^8 - z^9 + 6z^{10} - \\ &\quad 8z^{11} + 2z^{12} + 2z^{13} + 2z^{14} \\ B &= -3 + 12z - 14z^2 + 4z^3 + 5z^5 - 10z^6 + \\ &\quad 8z^7 - 2z^{10} \\ C &= 2(-z^3 + 3z^4 - z^5 - z^6 - z^7 + z^8 - \\ &\quad 3z^9 + z^{10} + z^{11} + z^{12})\end{aligned}$$

The *dominant singularity* ρ of $\widehat{S}(z)$ in equation (4) is the complex number having smallest absolute value (or modulus) at which $\widehat{S}(z)$ is not differentiable. For the functions in this paper, the dominant singularity will always be the (complex) root of polynomial P under the radical, having smallest modulus – since the square root function is not differentiable over the complex numbers at zero.

Letting $\widehat{F}(z) = \frac{B\sqrt{P}}{C}$ and noting that the dominant singularity $\rho = 0.436911$, a calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} \widehat{F}(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} \frac{B \cdot \sqrt{P'} \cdot (1 - z/\rho)}{C' \cdot (1 - z/\rho)} \\ P' &= \frac{P}{1 - z/\rho} \\ &= 1 + 0.288795z - 0.339007z^2 - \\ &\quad 0.775919z^3 - 0.775919z^4 - 1.775919z^5 - \\ &\quad 1.064714z^6 - 0.436911z^7 \\ C' &= \frac{C}{1 - z/\rho} \\ &= -2z^3 + 1.422410z^4 + 1.255605z^5 + \\ &\quad 0.873822z^6 + 2z^8 - 1.422410z^9 - \\ &\quad 1.255605z^{10} - 0.873822z^{11}\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} \widehat{F}(z) &= 0.684877 \cdot \lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} (1 - z/\rho)^{-1/2} \\ &= 0.684877 \cdot \lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} (1 - z/0.436911)^{-1/2}\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\alpha = -1/2$ in the Flajolet-Odlyzko Theorem [6], we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}[z^n]\widehat{F}(z) &\sim \frac{0.684877}{\Gamma(1/2)} \cdot n^{-1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^n \\ &= 0.3864 \cdot n^{-1/2} \cdot 2.28879^n\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 the asymptotic number of secondary structures for a homopolymer when $\theta = 3$ is $0.713121 \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot 2.28879^n$, and so we have the following result.

Theorem 3. The asymptotic $MS_2 - MS_1$ degree of \mathcal{S}_n is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2[z^n]\widehat{F}(z)}{[z^n]S(z)} &\sim \frac{0.772801 \cdot n^{-1/2} \cdot 2.28879^n}{0.713121 \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot 2.28879^n} \\ &= 1.083688 \cdot n\end{aligned}$$

Adding the asymptotic values from Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we determine the MS_2 degree.

Corollary 4. The asymptotic MS_2 degree for the network \mathcal{S}_n of RNA structures is $1.557164 \cdot n$.

Using a Taylor series expansion at zero for the functions used to determine both the MS_1 and $MS_2 - MS_1$ degree, we have verified that the numerical results for \mathcal{S}_n are identical with those independently computed by the dynamic programming C-implementations described in [3] and [4]. We also note that the current approach is *much* simpler than the program in [4], although the latter is more general, since it computes the MS_2 degree for any user-specified RNA sequence.

3. Asymptotic MS_2 clustering coefficient

Subsection 3.1 describes a grammar to count the number of triangles for S_n with respect to MS_2 moves, while Subsection 3.2 describes a grammar to count two particular triples.

3.1 Counting triangles. Let G be the grammar with terminal symbols $(,), \bullet, \star$, nonterminal symbols $S^\Delta, S_1, \dots, S_8, S, R, X, \theta$, start symbol S^Δ and the following production rules:

$$\begin{aligned} S^\Delta &\rightarrow S_1 | S_2 | S_3 | S_4 | S_5 | S_6 | S_7 | S_8 \\ S &\rightarrow \bullet | S \bullet | (R) | S(R) \\ R &\rightarrow \theta | R \bullet | (R) | S(R) \\ X &\rightarrow \lambda | R \\ \theta &\rightarrow \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{aligned}$$

where λ denotes the empty word, and S_1, \dots, S_8 are specified in the following 8 exhaustive and mutually exclusive cases. Note that S_1, \dots, S_3 generate structures containing type A triangles, while S_4, \dots, S_8 generate structures containing type B triangles.

Rule 1 $\langle \star \rangle$. The following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, y)\}$ and $s \cup \{(y, z)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence form a triangle:

$$S_1 \rightarrow S_1 \bullet | (S_1) | S(S_1) | S_1(R) | X \langle R \star R \rangle$$

with corresponding DSV equations

$$S_1 = zS_1 + z^2S_1 + z^2SS_1 + z^2RS_1 + Xz^3R^2$$

Rule 2 $\star \rangle$. The following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, y)\}$ and $s \cup \{(x, z)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence form a triangle:

$$S_2 \rightarrow S_2 \bullet | (S_2) | S(S_2) | S_2(R) | X \star R \rangle X$$

with corresponding DSV equations

$$S_2 = zS_2 + z^2S_2 + z^2SS_2 + z^2RS_2 + X^2z^3R$$

Rule 3 $\langle \star$. The following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, z)\}$ and $s \cup \{(y, z)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence form a triangle:

$$S_3 \rightarrow S_3 \bullet | (S_3) | S(S_3) | S_3(R) | X \langle X \langle R \star$$

with corresponding DSV equations

$$S_3 = zS_3 + z^2S_3 + z^2SS_3 + z^2RS_3 + X^2z^3R$$

Rule 4 $\star \rangle \rangle$. The following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, y)\}$, $s \cup \{(x, z)\}$ and $s \cup \{(x, w)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence the latter form a triangle:

$$S_4 \rightarrow S_4 \bullet | (S_4) | S(S_4) | S_4(R) | X \star R \rangle X \rangle X$$

with corresponding DSV equations

$$S_4 = zS_4 + z^2S_4 + z^2SS_4 + z^2RS_4 + X^3Rz^4$$

Rule 5 $\langle \langle \star$. For $x < y < z < w$, let $s_1 = (x, w)$, $s_2 = (y, w)$, $s_3 = (z, w)$. The following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, w)\}$, $s \cup \{(y, w)\}$ and $s \cup \{(z, w)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence the latter form a triangle:

$$S_5 \rightarrow S_5 \bullet | (S_5) | S(S_5) | S_5(R) | X \langle X \langle X \langle R \star$$

with corresponding DSV equations

$$S_5 = zS_5 + z^2S_5 + z^2SS_5 + z^2RS_5 + X^3z^4R$$

Rule 6 $\langle \star \rangle$. For $x < y < z < w$, the following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, y)\}$, $s \cup \{(y, z)\}$ and $s \cup \{(y, w)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence the latter form a triangle:

$$S_6 \rightarrow S_6 \bullet | (S_6) | S(S_6) | S_6(R) | X \langle X \langle R \star R \rangle$$

with corresponding DSV equations

$$S_6 = zS_6 + z^2S_6 + z^2SS_6 + z^2RS_6 + X^2z^4R^2$$

Rule 7 $\langle \langle \star \rangle$. For $x < y < z < w$, the following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, z)\}$, $s \cup \{(y, z)\}$ and $s \cup \{(z, w)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence the latter form a triangle:

$$S_7 \rightarrow S_7 \bullet | (S_7) | S(S_7) | S_7(R) | X \langle R \star R \rangle X$$

with corresponding DSV equations

$$S_7 = zS_7 + z^2S_7 + z^2SS_7 + z^2RS_7 + X^2z^4R^2$$

Rule 8 $\langle \star \rangle$ **bis**. The following productions generate all secondary structures s , such that for $x < y < z$, it is the case that $s \cup \{(x, z)\}$, $s \cup \{(x, y)\}$ and $s \cup \{(y, z)\}$ are also secondary structures, hence the latter form a triangle. This grammar is identical to that in rule 1 above, with the exception that S_1 is replaced by S_8 .

Let $S^\Delta(z)$ denote the generating function for the number of structures containing a unique triangle motif, where $triA(z)$ [resp. $triB(z)$] is the generating function for the collection of structures containing a unique

occurrence of type A [type B] triangle, as treated in rules 1-3 [resp. rules 4-8]. We obtain the following compact form for the DSV equations for the grammar G that generates all structures containing a triangle:

$$\begin{aligned}
S^\Delta &= \text{tri}A + \text{tri}B \\
\text{tri}A &= \text{tri}A \cdot z + X \cdot z \cdot \text{tri}A \cdot z + \\
&\quad \text{tri}A \cdot z \cdot R \cdot z + X \cdot z \cdot R \cdot z \cdot R \cdot z + \\
&\quad X \cdot z \cdot R \cdot z \cdot X \cdot z + X \cdot z \cdot X \cdot z \cdot R \cdot z \\
\text{tri}B &= \text{tri}B \cdot z + X \cdot z \cdot \text{tri}B \cdot z + \\
&\quad \text{tri}B \cdot z \cdot R \cdot z + X^3 z^4 R + X^3 z^4 R + \\
&\quad X^2 z^4 R^2 + X^2 z^4 R^2 + X z^3 R^2
\end{aligned}$$

Using Mathematica, we determine the following.

$$[z^n]S^\Delta(z) = 0.870311 \cdot 2.28879^n \cdot n^{-1/2}$$

By Theorem 2, the asymptotic number of secondary structures is $0.713121 \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot 2.28879^n$, and so we have the following result.

Theorem 5. The asymptotic average number of triangles per structure is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{[z^n]S^\Delta(z)}{[z^n]S(z)} &\sim \frac{0.870331 \cdot n^{-1/2} \cdot 2.28879^n}{0.713121 \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot 2.28879^n} \\
&\sim 1.220453 \cdot n
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Counting triples. In this subsection, we describe a grammar for two particular triples. Let G be the grammar having terminal symbols $\bullet, (,), [,]$, non-terminal symbols $S^\dagger, S^\ddagger, S, R, X, \theta$, start symbol S^\ddagger , and productions given in equation (5) below together with the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
S &\rightarrow \bullet | S \bullet | X (R) \\
R &\rightarrow \theta | R \bullet | X (R) \\
X &\rightarrow \lambda | R \\
\theta &\rightarrow \bullet \bullet \bullet
\end{aligned}$$

Triple with motif [] [] or [[]] . The following grammar generates all secondary structures s that have two special base pairs (i, j) and (x, y) , designated by [] , which are either sequential or nested. For each structure s , which contains a unique occurrence of the sequential motif [] [] or of the nested motif [[]] , we must count four possible triples: (1) $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, where $s_1 = s - \{(i, j), (x, y)\}$, $s_2 = s - \{(i, j)\}$, $s_3 = s - \{(x, y)\}$. (2) $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, where $s_1 = s$, $s_2 = s - \{(i, j)\}$, $s_3 = s - \{(x, y)\}$. (3) $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, where $s_1 = s - \{(i, j)\}$, $s_2 = s - \{(i, j), (x, y)\}$, $s_3 = s$. (4) $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, where $s_1 = s - \{(x, y)\}$, $s_2 = s - \{(i, j), (x, y)\}$, $s_3 = s$. For this reason, we multiply by 4 the asymptotic number of structures

generated by the following grammar G . The grammar G has terminal symbols $\bullet, (,), [,]$, nonterminal symbols $S^\ddagger, S^\dagger, S, R, X, \theta$, start symbol S^\ddagger , and the following production rules.

$$\begin{aligned}
S^\ddagger &\rightarrow S^\ddagger \bullet | (S^\ddagger) | S (S^\ddagger) | S^\ddagger (R) | \\
&\quad [S^\ddagger] | S [S^\ddagger] | S^\dagger [R] | S^\dagger (S^\dagger) \\
S^\dagger &\rightarrow S^\dagger \bullet | (S^\dagger) | S (S^\dagger) | S^\dagger (R) | \\
&\quad [R] | S [R]
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

When applying the Flajolet-Odlyzko Theorem in the current case, we have $\rho = 0.436911$ and $\alpha = -3/2$. A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{z \rightarrow \rho} S^\ddagger(z) &= 0.0177098 (1 - z/\rho)^{-3/2} \\
[z^n]S^\ddagger(z) &\sim 0.0199834 \cdot n^{1/2} \cdot 2.28879^n \\
\frac{[z^n]S^\ddagger(z)}{[z^n]S(z)} &\sim \frac{0.0199834 \cdot n^{1/2} \cdot 2.28879^n}{0.713121 \cdot n^{-3/2} \cdot 2.28879^n} \\
&\sim 0.0280225 \cdot n^2
\end{aligned}$$

As mentioned, the number of triples contributed in the current case is 4 times the last value. Thus the expected number of triples involving a structure containing [] [] or [[]] is $4 \cdot 0.0280224 \cdot n^2 = 0.1120896 \cdot n^2$.

Theorem 6. The asymptotic average number of triples per structure, for the triples described in this section, is

$$\frac{4[z^n]S^\ddagger(z)}{[z^n]S(z)} \sim 0.11209 \cdot n^2$$

From Theorems 5 and 6, we obtain an upper bound for the global clustering coefficient, defined in equation (1).

Theorem 7 (Bound on global clustering coefficient).

$$\mathfrak{C}_g(G) = \frac{3 \times \text{number of triangles}}{\text{number of connected triples}} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

and hence the family \mathcal{S}_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ of RNA secondary structures is not small-world.

4. Discussion

In this paper, we have used methods from algebraic combinatorics [7] to determine the asymptotic average degree and asymptotic clustering coefficient of the MS_2 network \mathcal{S}_n of RNA secondary structures. Since the clustering coefficient is not bounded away from zero, it follows that the family \mathcal{S}_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, of networks is not small-world. Our rigorous result differs from computer simulations involving a low energy ensemble of structures as studied in [1], [13], etc. In the journal version of this paper, we discuss the relation between

Type of nonterminal	Generating function
$A \rightarrow B \mid C$	$A(z) = B(z) + C(z)$
$A \rightarrow BC$	$A(z) = B(z)C(z)$
$A \rightarrow t$	$A(z) = z$
$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$	$A(z) = 1$

TABLE 1: Translation between context-free grammars and generating functions. Here, $G = (V, \Sigma, S, R)$ is a given context-free grammar, A, B, C are any nonterminal symbols in V , and t is a terminal symbol in Σ . The generating functions for the languages $L(A), L(B), L(C)$ are respectively denoted by $A(z), B(z), C(z)$.




Figure 1: (a) Consensus secondary structure of the type III hammerhead ribozyme from Peach Latent Mosaic Viroid (PLMVd) AJ005312.1/282-335 (isolate LS35, variant ls16b), taken from Rfam [9] family RF00008. (b) Network for size 7 homopolymer with $\theta = 3$, having 8 nodes and 8 red MS_1 edges (base pair addition or removal), 8 blue $MS_2 - MS_1$ edges (base pair shift), hence a total of 16 MS_2 edges. It follows that MS_1 degree is $\frac{16}{8} = 2$, while MS_2 is $\frac{32}{8} = 4$.

our result and such simulation results, we compute the exact clustering coefficient for \mathcal{S}_n , which involves 40 types of triples, and we extend results to a more general model in which the user can stipulate the probability that any two positions can form a base pair.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DBI-1262439 to PC and the French/Austrian RNALands project (ANR-14-CE34-0011 and FWF-I-1804-N28) to YP. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

References

[1] G. R. Bowman and V. S. Pande. Protein folded states are kinetic hubs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 107(24):10890–10895, June 2010.




Figure 2: Illustration of possible shift moves, where each subcaption indicates the terminal symbols involved in the corresponding production rule.

[2] P. Clote. Asymptotic connectivity for the network of RNA secondary structures. arXiv:1508.03815 [q-bio.BM], August 2015.

[3] P. Clote. Expected degree for RNA secondary structure networks. *J Comp Chem*, 36(2):103–17, Jan 2015.

[4] P. Clote and A. Bayegan. Network Properties of the Ensemble of RNA Structures. *PLoS. One.*, 10(10):e0139476, 2015.

[5] R. Cont and E. Tanimura. Small-world graphs: characterization and alternative constructions. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 40(4):939–965, 2008.

[6] P. Flajolet and A. M. Odlyzko. Singularity analysis of generating functions. *SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, 3:216–240, 1990.

[7] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. *Analytic Combinatorics*. Cambridge University, 2009. ISBN-13: 9780521898065.

[8] C. Flamm, W. Fontana, I.L. Hofacker, and P. Schuster. RNA folding at elementary step resolution. *RNA*, 6:325–338, 2000.

[9] P. P. Gardner, J. Daub, J. Tate, B. L. Moore, I. H. Osuch, S. Griffiths-Jones, R. D. Finn, E. P. Nawrocki, D. L. Kolbe, S. R. Eddy, and A. Bateman. Rfam: Wikipedia, clans and the “decimal” release. *Nucleic. Acids. Res.*, 39(Database):D141–D145, January 2011.

[10] W. A. Lorenz, Y. Ponty, and P. Clote. Asymptotics of RNA shapes. *J. Comput. Biol.*, 15(1):31–63, 2008.

[11] M. E. Newman, S. H. Strogatz, and D. J. Watts. Random graphs with arbitrary degree distributions and their applications. *Phys. Rev. E*, 64(2):026118, August 2001.

[12] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz. Collective dynamics of ‘small-world’ networks. *Nature*, 393(6684):440–442, June 1998.

[13] S. Wuchty. Small worlds in RNA structures. *Nucleic. Acids. Res.*, 31(3):1108–1117, February 2003.