

SLV: a software for real root isolation Elias Tsigaridas

▶ To cite this version:

Elias Tsigaridas. SLV: a software for real root isolation . ACM Communications in Computer Algebra, 2016, 50 (3), pp.117 - 120. 10.1145/3015306.3015317 . hal-01422209

HAL Id: hal-01422209 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01422209v1

Submitted on 24 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SLV: a software for real root isolation

Elias TSIGARIDAS

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, INRIA, Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris 6 (LIP6), Équipe POLSYS 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France elias.tsigaridas@inria.fr

The problem of isolating the real roots of a univariate polynomial with integer coefficients is an important problem in computational mathematics. Given a polynomial with integer coefficients, $f = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, the objective is to isolate the real roots of f, that is to compute intervals with rational endpoints that contain one and only one root of f. SLV is an open source software package written in C that provides functions for isolating the real roots of univariate polynomials with integer coefficients. It also provides functionality to approximate the isolated roots up to an arbitrary precision. Currently, it is realizes a subdivision algorithm based on Descartes' rule of sign, with modifications to improve its performance. SLV assumes that the input is a square-free polynomial. It performs all the operations with dyadic numbers, that is numbers of the form $a/2^k$ where a and k are integers. It builds upon a constant memory variant of Descartes' rule of sign [3]. To minimize the number of allocations in the memory we wrote a small wrapper to use the queue. h library of OpenBSD, in order to have access to a fast implementation of lists and queues. Even though queue.h is written in C, it is a library that follows the generic programming paradigm, and if it is programmed carefully, it could be used with various data sets.

Description The source code is at http://www-polsys.lip6.fr/~elias/soft.html

The solver takes as an input a file that contains the polynomial that we want to isolate its real roots. The input file contains the degree of polynomial and then its coefficients in ascending order with respect to the degree. For example the file test.dat that comes with SLV is as follows

```
> more test.dat
```

```
5 -120 600 -600 200 -25 1
```

and corresponds to the polynomial $-120 + 600 x - 600 x^2 + 200 x^3 - 25 x^4 + x^5$. To obtain a description of the functionality of SLV we type

> slv -h

The syntax is: slv [-h] [-f file] [-i prec] [-p] Details: -h : prints help message

```
-f fname : read the coeffs from the fname
-i prec : the output intervals have width 2<sup>(-prec)</sup>
-p : print roots (Default: no print)
```

Assuming that there is a file named test.dat, a sample run of the program is

> ./slv -f ../test.dat -i 20 -p

The output of SLV gives isolating intervals and various information about the isolation process.

- #bound It is an upper bound on the magnitude of the negative and the positive real roots. If the number is b, then the roots are in the interval $(0, 2^b)$. We need this bound to "put" all the (positive) real roots inside the interval (0, 1). If the bound is negative, then the real roots, if any, are already in (0, 1) and so there is no need to perform a (homothetic) transformation.
- #roots The number of negative, positive real roots, and their sum.
- #Nodes The total number of nodes of the subdivision tree of the algorithm.
- #depth The depth of the subdivision tree.
- #trans The total number of Taylor shifts performed by the algorithm, i.e., $x \mapsto x + 1$.

#homo The total number of homothetic transformations, i.e., $x \mapsto x/2^b$, for an integer b.

- #pos_h_1 The total numbers of hacks of the first type. This hack is as follows: To obtain an estimation of the number of roots of a polynomial f in an interval $I \subset (0, 1)$, we transform f to f_I , using Möbius transformation. The roots of f in I correspond to the roots of f_I in $(0, \infty)$. Before performing the transformation, which actually corresponds to a Taylor shift, we check if all the coefficients of f are positive. Then f does not have any positive real roots.
- #pos_h_2 The total number of hacks of the second type. As in the description of #pos_h_1 to obtain an estimation of the number of roots of a polynomial f in an interval $I \subset (0, 1)$, we transform f to f_I , using Möbius transformation. We only need f_I in order to obtain an estimation on the number of roots. To construct f_I we need to perform a Taylor shift. We construct the coefficients of f_I incrementally and we count the number of sign variations that occur. If at some point we obtain more that two sign variations, then we stop the process, as we know that we need to subdivide further the interval I.
- #half_h The total number of the $\frac{1}{2}$ -hacks. If in the interval (a, b) the polynomial admits two sign variations, then we check if the number $\frac{a+b}{2}$ isolates the two possible roots.

Experiments We perform various experiments on various data sets and we compared SLV with various available solvers. We used a linux machine having 8 cores of Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E3-1275 v3 @ 3.50GHz and 32 GB of RAM. We concentrate the experiments on polynomials with a lot of real roots. When the input consists of random polynomials, that usually have a small number of real roots, say equal to the logarithm or the square-root of the degree, then the other solvers are more efficient than SLV. This is also the case, if there are clusters of roots. We

ACM Communications in Computer Algebra, TBA



Figure 1: Graphs of timings for various experiments

refer to [1] for a detailed comparison of solvers and to [2] for recent theoretical and practical improvements for the case of clustered roots. We performed experiments with three solvers available at http://anewdsc.mpi-inf.mpg.de: ADsc, an implementation of approximate Descartes' algorithm, ANewDsc, an implementation of approximate Descartes' algorithm combined with Newton operator, and RS-15, a version of RS. We also tested RS-18, the version of RS in MAPLE 18. We refer to Figure 1 and Tables 1,2,3.

The next version The basic operation that SLV performs is the Taylor shift. The current implementation uses a suboptimal implementation of the Taylor shift that has arithmetic complexity $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(d^2)$. The Taylor shift is used in two cases. The first is when we need to subdivide an interval and the second when we transform an interval to $(0, \infty)$ to estimate, using Descartes' rule of sign the number of real roots in this interval. Actually, we only need to know if the number of sign variations is bigger than one. This is where the hack of the second type applies, as we construct the coefficients of the transformed polynomial incrementally. This translates to a huge gain in running times. To be able to construct the coefficients incrementally, we use the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(d^2)$ algorithm. If we use an optimal algorithm, of complexity $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(d)$ for performing the Taylor shift, then we are not able to

construct the coefficients incrementally and the hack of the second type does not apply. To have a gain we need to combine the two algorithms so that the hack of the second type to be applicable. This is ongoing work and the implementation is in FLINT. We call this version f-SLV.

To give an idea of the gain in running times using this combination of algorithms and the hack of the second type we have performed experiments withe current version of SLV and our experimental version of f-SLV. We can see the results of the experiments in the right of Fig. 1 and Table 1. For n = 13 the experimental version f-SLV is more than two times faster than SLV.

| dg | ADsc | AnewDsc | RS-15 | SLV | f-SLV | #roots | bitsize |
|-----------------|-------|---------|-------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| $2^7 = 128$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 698 |
| $2^8 = 256$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 84 | 1486 |
| $2^9 = 512$ | 7 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 120 | 3158 |
| $2^{10} = 1024$ | 77 | 87 | 76 | 26 | 74 | 216 | 6626 |
| $2^{11} = 2048$ | 1036 | 1743 | 966 | 324 | 586 | 326 | 14955 |
| $2^{12} = 4096$ | 18328 | 18065 | 18245 | 7940 | 6282 | 582 | 31828 |
| $2^{13} = 8192$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | 120155 | 52936 | 900 | 69784 |

Table 1: Timings for Katsura polynomials.

| dg | ADsc | AnewDsc | RS-15 | RS-18 | SLV |
|------|------|---------|-------|-------|-----|
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 200 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 |
| 300 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1.8 | 1 |
| 400 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 2.1 | 2 |
| 500 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 4.2 | 4 |
| 600 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 8.8 | 8 |
| 700 | 36 | 27 | 37 | 16.3 | 15 |
| 800 | 55 | 41 | 57 | 28.0 | 25 |
| 900 | 88 | 63 | 90 | 46.3 | 39 |
| 1000 | 123 | 104 | 123 | 71.1 | 58 |
| 1100 | 164 | 144 | 164 | 102.6 | 84 |
| 1200 | 213 | 196 | 213 | 146.0 | 119 |
| 1300 | 337 | 248 | 337 | 199.9 | 159 |
| 1400 | 452 | 316 | 453 | 278.1 | 219 |
| 1500 | 558 | 398 | 557 | 346.4 | 283 |
| 1600 | 708 | 589 | 709 | 422.6 | 366 |
| 1700 | 857 | 720 | 858 | 539.6 | 468 |
| 1800 | 1174 | 902 | 1179 | 682.1 | 588 |
| 1900 | 1398 | 1072 | 1400 | 829.5 | 740 |
| 2000 | 1652 | 1278 | 1656 | 998.6 | 932 |

Table 2: Hermite polynomials

| dg | ADsc | AnewDsc | RS-15 | RS-18 | SLV |
|------|------|---------|-------|-------|-----|
| 100 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 200 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 |
| 300 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 |
| 400 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 1.8 | 1 |
| 500 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 3.5 | 4 |
| 600 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 7.2 | 7 |
| 700 | 37 | 28 | 37 | 13.3 | 13 |
| 800 | 58 | 41 | 58 | 22.5 | 21 |
| 900 | 91 | 65 | 90 | 35.5 | 33 |
| 1000 | 125 | 106 | 124 | 53.8 | 51 |
| 1100 | 166 | 146 | 164 | 78.5 | 70 |
| 1200 | 214 | 197 | 214 | 110.5 | 99 |
| 1300 | 306 | 247 | 306 | 152.3 | 136 |
| 1400 | 453 | 319 | 454 | 201.3 | 180 |
| 1500 | 554 | 396 | 555 | 264.4 | 236 |
| 1600 | 707 | 592 | 710 | 343.1 | 306 |
| 1700 | 859 | 724 | 866 | 436.6 | 397 |
| 1800 | 1166 | 918 | 1168 | 544.7 | 488 |
| 1900 | 1379 | 1059 | 1389 | 679.3 | 611 |
| 2000 | 1641 | 1270 | 1630 | 838.4 | 762 |

Table 3: Laguerre polynomials

Acknowledgments. Partially supported by HPAC (ANR-11-BS02-013) and FP7 Marie Curie Career Integration Grant.

References

- M. Hemmer, E. P. Tsigaridas, Z. Zafeirakopoulos, I. Z. Emiris, M. I. Karavelas, and B. Mourrain. Experimental evaluation and crossbenchmarking of univariate real solvers. In H. Kai and H. Sekigawa, editors, *Proc. 3rd ACM Int'l Work. Symbolic Numeric Computation* (SNC), pages 45–54, New York, NY, USA, 2009. ACM.
- [2] A. Kobel, F. Rouillier, and M. Sagraloff. Computing real roots of real polynomials... and now for real! In Proc. ISSAC, 2016.

4

[3] F. Rouillier and Z. Zimmermann. Efficient isolation of polynomial's real roots. J. of Computational & Applied Math., 162(1):33-50, 2004.