

Modelling cardiac electrophysiology with structural heterogeneities and dynamical gap junctions

Anđela Davidović, Yves Coudière, Clair Poignard, Thomas Desplantez

▶ To cite this version:

Anđela Davidović, Yves Coudière, Clair Poignard, Thomas Desplantez. Modelling cardiac electrophysiology with structural heterogeneities and dynamical gap junctions. 2015 SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering, Mar 2015, Salt Lake City, United States. hal-01418697

HAL Id: hal-01418697 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01418697v1

Submitted on 16 Dec 2016 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Modelling cardiac electrophysiology with structural heterogeneities and dynamical gap junctions

Anđela Davidović^{1, 2, 3, 4} (andjela.davidovic@inria.fr), Yves Coudière^{1, 2, 3, 4}, Clair Poignard^{1, 2, 3}, Thomas Desplantez^{2, 4, 5} ¹INRIA Bordeaux Sud-Ouest, ²University Bordeaux, ³Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux (IMB), ⁴IHU-Liryc, ⁵ PTIB - hospital Xavier Arnozan



- \sim > 17 000 000 people die every year
- medical care millions of EUR

Multiscale Modelling



CE.		CAL	<u>-</u>	UD
$\frac{lv_m}{dt} =$	$-I_{ion}$	(v_m, l)	h) -	Istim

ODIT COATE OD

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = -g(v_m, h)$$

$$v_m = u_i - u_e$$

TISSUE SCALE - 2D or 3D

Bidomain model (Neu-Krassowska, 1993)

$$\partial_t h + g(v_m, h) = 0$$

$$\partial_t v_m + I_{ion}(v_m, h) = \nabla \cdot (\sigma^i \nabla u^i)$$

$$\partial_t v_m + I_{ion}(v_m, h) = -\nabla \cdot (\sigma^e \nabla u^e)$$

- generalised cable equation
- derived from microscopic equations
- anisotropic model



MAIN FACTORS

- Nonlinear ionic currents
- MICROSTRUCTURE
- Gap Junctions (resistors?)

Assumptions

- **Periodic** inclusions of size ε .
- Isotropic conductivity in the inclusions.
- No cells in the inclusions **passive** conductors.
- Standard transmission conditions.

MESOSCALE MODEL

$\partial_t h_{\varepsilon} + g(v_{\varepsilon}, h_{\varepsilon}) = 0,$	in $\Omega^B_arepsilon$
$\partial_t v_{\varepsilon} + I_{ion}(v_{\varepsilon}, h_{\varepsilon}) = \nabla \cdot (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^i \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^i),$	in $\Omega^B_arepsilon$
$\partial_t v_{\varepsilon} + I_{ion}(v_{\varepsilon}, h_{\varepsilon}) = -\nabla \cdot (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^e \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^e),$	in $\Omega^B_arepsilon$
$\nabla \cdot (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^d \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^d) = 0, \qquad \qquad \text{ in } \qquad $	Ω^D_{ε} .





MODIFIED BIDOMAIN

• Limit macroscale model

 $\partial_t h_0 + g(v_0, h_0) = 0,$ $|Y_B|(\partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, h_0)) = \nabla \cdot (\tilde{\sigma}_i \nabla u_0^i),$ $|Y_B|(\partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, h_0)) = -\nabla \cdot (\tilde{\sigma}_e \nabla u_0).$

 $\tilde{\sigma}_i = \sigma^i |Y_B| + A^i(\sigma_i, w^i),$ $\tilde{\sigma}_e = \sigma^e |Y_B| + \sigma^d |Y_D| + A(\sigma_e, \sigma_d, w)$

- UPDATED CONDUCTIVITIES!
- A_i , A matrices obtained by solving the cell problems on Y for w^i and w.
- volume fraction AND geometry

- ex
- Av Le
- Fo
- _____
- de

A word on homogenisation.

	Full problem depends on $\varepsilon \Rightarrow$ very
	expensive
	Averaging solution:
	Let $\varepsilon \to 0$
	$L_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} = f \to Lu = f$
•	Formal asymptotic expansion
	$u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = u_0(t,x,x/\varepsilon) + \varepsilon u_1(t,x,x/\varepsilon) +$
	\implies Cascade PDE systems
	Cell problems for new variables w - defin
	dependence of u_1 on u_0 - solved once.

• Homogenised problem - limit macroscale problem on u_0 that does not depend on ε . • A priori estimates ensure two scale convergence (Allaire, 1992) Difficulty - nonlinear ionic functions

Numerical results

• FreeFem++ and gnuplot• Mitchell Schaeffer ionic model • 2D simulations on rectangle, SBDF2 scheme • Circular and elliptical inclusions

Table : Modified conductivities. C - cirles, E - elipses.

Inclusions	Vol. fraction	$\sigma^*_{i_{11}}$	$\sigma^*_{i_{22}}$	$\sigma^*_{e_{11}}$	$\sigma^*_{e_{22}}$
none	0.0	1.74	0.19	3.9	1.97
С	0.18	1.29	0.18	3.28	2.45
С	0.2	1.26	0.17	3.29	2.53
С	0.4	1.07	0.15	3.42	3.53
С	0.7	0.69	0.09	5.08	7.89
E - long	0.18	0.31	0.19	0.75	2.61
E - short	0.2	1.13	0.18	2.82	2.58
E - medium	0.2	0.86	0.18	2.09	2.64
E - short	0.4	0.81	0.16	2.53	3.70



Figure : Propagation of v_m in heterogeneous tissue.





• • •

2004)

• Distributed around the cell perimeters. • Expressed in ventricles: Cx43, Cx45 and Cx40. • In recent models:









What about GAP JUNCTIONS?

Figure : Immunohistochemical analysis of Cx43. Left: Bar = $10\mu m$. (Beauchamp, 2004) Right: top view(A), lateral view(B). Bar = $5\mu m$. (Beauchamp, 2012)



Figure : Predicted configurations of connexons and gap junction channels for two different connexins. (Desplantez,

$$I_j = g_j V_j$$

with $g_i = const$ and V_i - transjunctional voltage.

NON LINEAR MODEL

ating variable:
$$g_j = g_j(t, V_j)$$

$$\frac{dg_j}{dt} = \frac{g_{\infty}(V_j) - g}{\tau_{\infty}(V_j)}$$

• Fit experimental data to find g_{∞}/g_0 and τ_{∞} .



Figure : Fitting the non-linear model to the experimental data for the homomeric Cx43 gap junction in rodent.

$$g_j = g_\infty + (g_0 - g_\infty)e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_\infty}}$$

Work in progress... and beyond

• Current work: including GJ model (i) as boundary condition (ii) rescaling propagation front

• Future work: • SCAR modelling • Late enhancement MRI