

Parameters estimation approach for the MEA/hiPSC-CM assays

Julien Bouyssier, Mostafa Bendahmane, Yves Coudière, Jean-Frédéric Gerbeau, Julien Pedron, Philippe Zitoun, Nejib Zemzemi

To cite this version:

Julien Bouyssier, Mostafa Bendahmane, Yves Coudière, Jean-Frédéric Gerbeau, Julien Pedron, et al.. Parameters estimation approach for the MEA/hiPSC-CM assays. Journées scientifiques du LIRYC, Sep 2016, Pessac, France. hal-01409683

HAL Id: hal-01409683 <https://inria.hal.science/hal-01409683>

Submitted on 6 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

NOTOCORD

Parameters estimation approach for the MEA/hiPSC-CM assays

J. Bouyssier $^{1,\,2}$, M. Bendahmanne $^{1,\,2,\,3}$, Y. Coudière $^{1,\,2,\,3}$, J-F. Gerbeau 1 , J. Pedron $^{1,\,2}$, P. Zitoun 4 and N. Zemzemi $^{1, 2}$

¹INRIA, ²IHU Liryc, ³ Université de Bordeaux, ⁴NOTOCORD

1) Motivation and goals

- **drug effects** and their side effects
- **disease** in cardiac electrophysiological activity.

Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes (**hIPSC-CMs**) are a promising tool in regenerative medicine (repair damaged areas) because of pluripotency and ability to differentiate $[1]$. Computational modeling and simulation is a powerful tool to investigate [\[2\]](#page-1-1):

Our goal is to perform *in silico simulations* (quantify and predict affinities and effects of drugs on hIPSC-CMs) to be used in early stage of the development of new compounds. Here we provide an approach allowing to fit the drug model parameters to the experimental data.

• Source term added to model a 60-6well MicroElectrode Array **MEA** (terms in red): device used to measure the *field potential* generated from multiple cells. The electrodes are described using the circuit in Fig. [1,](#page-1-2) by computing the measured current *I*^{*k*}_{el}:

2) Tissue model

Modeling a layer of hIPSC-CMs: **bidomain model** (terms in blue) solved in the 2D computational domain Ω of Fig. [1,](#page-1-2) to compute the membrane potential V_M and the extracellular potential *ue*.

Figure 1: Left: domain Ω for the MEA model and equivalent circuit for the electrodes. Right: propagation of the depolarization front in the case of non-pacemaker cells stimulated in the left-bottom corner.

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\mathbf{w}}{dt} - \mathbf{g} \left(V_M, \mathbf{w} \right) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\
A_M \left(C_M \frac{\partial V_M}{\partial t} + I_{ion} \right) - \text{div} \left(\sigma_I \nabla V_M \right) - \text{div} \left(\sigma_E \nabla u_e \right) = A_M I_{stim} \text{ in } \Omega \\
-\text{div} \left((\sigma_I + \sigma_E) \nabla u_e \right) - \text{div} \left(\sigma_I \nabla V_M \right) = \frac{1}{z_{thick}} \sum_{e_k} \frac{I_{el}^k}{|e_k|} \chi_{e_k} \text{ in } \Omega\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

• Ionic current I_{ion} computed with:

Pore block model: use of a *conductance-block formulation* [\[2\]](#page-1-1). In the ionic model, the conductance of a targeted channel *s* is reduced by a scaling factor:

> $g_{block,s} = g_{control,s}$ $\left[1 + \left(\frac{[D]}{[C]}\right)\right]$ $\left[\frac{D}{IC_{50}}\right)^n\right]^{-1}$ with $n = 1$,

where $[D]$ is the drug concentration and the IC_{50} value is the dose able to block 50% of the channel activity.

• **Mexiletine**: action on I_{Na} (smaller depolarization phase)

• model proposed by Paci et al. [\[3\]](#page-1-3) in the case of *pacemaker cells*

• modified Paci model (with adult version of I_{Na}) in the case of *non-pacemaker cells*.

$$
\frac{dI_{el}^k}{dt} + \frac{I_{el}^k}{\tau} = \frac{C_{el}dU^k}{\tau dt} \qquad \text{with } U^k = \frac{1}{|e_k|} \int_{e_k} u_e, \text{ for } k = 0, ..., 8,
$$
 (2)

where $\tau = (R_i + R_{el})C_{el}$, R_i is the inner resistance, R_{el} and C_{el} are the resistance and the capacitance of the electrode. The measured **field potential** is then $U_{mes}^k = R_i I_{el}^k$.

• From given synthetical field potential measurements U_{mes}^k where a drug is blocking one ionic channel, **find the dose** [*D*]*mes* **which allowed to obtain these data**, knowing the concerned drug and its targeted channel.

• Define a **cost function** $J([D])$ minimizing the distance between the simulated and the synthetical field potential measurements:

 $J([D]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e_k} || R_i I_{el}^k([D]) - U_{mes}^k ||_{l^2([0,T])}^2$ (3)

3) Drug action: Mexiletine example

where $I_{el}^k([D])$ is solution of the tissue model $((1) + (2))$ $((1) + (2))$ $((1) + (2))$ $((1) + (2))$ $((1) + (2))$. Our goal is to find $[D]$ minimizing [\(3\)](#page-1-6).

with *P^k e* $=$ $\frac{1}{1}$ |*ek* | R *ek* p_e and with \mathbf{q} , p_M , p_e and λ^k states adjoint associated respectively to states \mathbf{w} , V_M , u_e and I_{el}^k and solution of [\(4\)](#page-1-7).

4) Parameters estimation: drug dose [*D*] **example**

• For this, define an **adjoint problem** associated to the tissue model:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} + {}^{t}(\partial_{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{g} (V_{M}, \mathbf{w}, [D]))\mathbf{q} - A_{M} {}^{t}(\partial_{\mathbf{w}}I_{ion}(V_{M}, \mathbf{w}, [D]))p_{M} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\
A_{M} \left(C_{M} \frac{\partial p_{M}}{\partial t} - \partial_{V_{M}}I_{ion}(V_{M}, \mathbf{w}, [D]) \right) p_{M} + \text{div} (\sigma_{I} \nabla p_{M}) \\
+ \text{div} (\sigma_{I} \nabla p_{e}) + {}^{t}(\partial_{V_{M}}\mathbf{g} (V_{M}, \mathbf{w}, [D]))\mathbf{q} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\
\text{div} ((\sigma_{I} + \sigma_{E})\nabla p_{e}) + \text{div} (\sigma_{I} \nabla p_{M}) = \sum_{e_{k}} \frac{C_{eI}}{\tau} \frac{1}{|e_{k}|} \frac{d\lambda^{k}}{dt} \chi_{e_{k}} \text{ in } \Omega \\
\frac{d\lambda^{k}}{dt} - \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\tau} = -\frac{1}{z_{thick}} p_{e}^{k} - R_{i}(R_{i}I_{el}^{k} - U_{m}^{k}) \text{ in } e_{k}, \text{ for } k = 0, ..., 8\n\end{cases}
$$

(4)

• The **cost function gradient** is given by:

 $\partial_{[D]}J([D]) =$ Ω \int_0^T 0 ${}^{t}(\partial_{[D]}\mathbf{g}\left(V_{M},\mathbf{w},\left[D\right]\right))\mathbf{q}$ – R Ω \int_0^T $\int_0^1 A_M \partial_{[D]} I_{ion}(V_M, \mathbf{w}, [D]) p_M$ (5)

• We use an optimization procedure based and gradient descent method.

Minimization of $J([D]_i)$ with iterations i

cells stimulated in the left-bottom corner.

5) Discussion and future work

We presented a proof of concept of an optimization approach able to estimate the drug dose of a compound when the characteristics of the drug are known. The robustness of the method was tested on drugs targeting *INa* channel using in silico experiments: with 10 % of gaussian noise on the observed field potential, the accuracy of the estimated drug dose is higher than 99%. Future developments would include estimating model parameters of drugs like Mexelitine or Dofetilide, drugs with several targeted channels and fitting of other parameters as IC_{50} , etc.

References

[1] K. Takahashi, S. Yamanaka.

- Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell (2006).
- [2] N. Zemzemi, M. Bernabeu, J. Saiz, J. Cooper, P. Pathmanathan, G. Mirams, J. Pitt-Francis, B. Rodriguez. Computational assessment of drug-induced effects on the electrocardiogram: from ion channel to body surface potentials. British journal of pharmacology (2013).
- [3] M. Paci, J. Hyttinen,K. Aalto-Setälä, S. Severi.

Computational models of ventricular-and atrial-like human induced pluripotent stem cell derived cardiomyocytes. Annals of biomedical engineering (2013).

LIRYC | Restoring the rhythm of life