

#### High order time-stepping methods for cardiac electrophysiology models

Charlie Douanla Lontsi, Yves Coudière, Charles Pierre

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Charlie Douanla Lontsi, Yves Coudière, Charles Pierre. High order time-stepping methods for cardiac electrophysiology models. IHU Liryc-Workshop 2016, Sep 2016, Bordeaux, France. hal-01406536

#### HAL Id: hal-01406536 https://inria.hal.science/hal-01406536v1

Submitted on 1 Dec 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





# High order time-stepping methods for cardiac electrophysiology models

C. Douanla Lontsi<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Yves Coudière<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, C. Pierre<sup>5</sup>

Carmen Research Team, Inria, <sup>2</sup>IHU Liryc, <sup>3</sup> Univ. Bordeaux, IMB, <sup>4</sup>CNRS, IMB, <sup>5</sup>CNRS, LMA Pau



### Problematic of the time numerical integration in cardiac electrophysiology

Ionic models:

- Stiff differential equations
- Large system
- Highly nonlinear

Numerical contraints:

 Stability + accuracy require fine grid Numerical consequences:

- High CPU cost
- Lack of precision
- Conditioning problems


#### Questions:

- How can we cope this situation?
- Can high order solver (s) be a solution?


**CPU** cost

#### The problem

#### EXAMPLE IONIC MODEL (TNNP)



#### FAST INWARD SODIUM CURRENT.



#### Behavior of the physiological parameters :

- Different time and space scales.
- Fast and slow variables.
- Stiff wave fronts.

#### Numerical consequences:

- Numerical instabilities.
- High computational cost.
- Significant loss of accuracy

#### State of the art

## STABILIZATION PRINCIPLE AND SOME STABILIZED SOLVERS

**Equation on gating variables:** 

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{W_{\infty}(V) - W}{\tau(V)}.$$

Forward Backward scheme: 
$$\frac{W^{n+1}-W^n}{\Delta t}=\frac{W_{\infty}(V^n)-W^{n+1}}{\tau(V^n)}$$

This scheme allows large  $\Delta t$ , linear part  $=\frac{-1}{\tau(V)}$ . Linear part known at time  $t_n\Rightarrow$  Stabilization. ORDER ONE SOLVERS:

- Forward backward Euler
- RL1: Rush Larsen (1978).

#### ORDER TWO SOLVER:

• RL2: Perego and Veneziani (2009).

#### HIGH ORDER METHODS:

- Exponential integrator of Adams type: Norsett (1969).
- Exponential Runge kutta and exponential multi-step (the last ten years).

#### HIGH-ORDER RUSH LARSEN METHODS

An innovative method

Consider the problem,

$$y' = a(t, y)y + b(t, y), \ y(0) = y_0,$$
 transformed in each time discretization interval  $(t_n, t_{n+1}]$  into,

$$y' = \alpha_n y + c_n(t, y),$$

with  $c_n(t,y) = (a(t,y) - \alpha_n)y + b(t,y)$  and  $\alpha_n$  a stabilizer. For  $t \in (t_n, t_{n+1}]$ , the exact solution of this problem satisfies the *variation of constant* formula

$$y(t) = e^{A(t)}(y_n + \int_{t_n}^t e^{-A(\tau)}c_n(\tau, y)d\tau),$$

with  $A(t) = \alpha_n(t - t_n)$ . If we set  $t = t_{n+1}$  and approximate  $c_n$  by a constant  $\beta_n$ , we obtain the following definition of  $RL_k$ 

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h\varphi_1(\alpha_n h)(\alpha_n y_n + \beta_n).$$

 $\varphi_1(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{z}$ ,  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  are set so that the convergence order k is ensured.

#### RUSH LARSEN ORDER 3

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{12}(23a_n - 16a_{n-1} + 5a_{n-2}),$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{1}{12}(23b_n - 16b_{n-1} + 5b_{n-2})$$

$$+ \frac{h}{12}(a_n b_{n-1} - a_{n-1}b_n).$$


#### Scheme properties

#### ADVANTAGES AND CONVERGENCE

- Explicit *k*-multi-step method:
- $y_n, y_{n-1}, \ldots, y_{n-k+1} \to y_{n+1}.$
- Stability: The same critical time-step as the implicit schemes.
- Easy to implement.
- Cost: one evaluation of the model at every time step (minimal).


**THEOREM.** The  $RL_k$  scheme is stable under perturbation and converges with an error of order k, under the assumptions that a(t,y) and b(t,y) in the previous problem are  $C^k$  functions and its solution y(t) is defined in [0,T).

# NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATION (Relative error for the BR model in Log/Log scale)



### COMPARISONS

CPU time plotted in Log/Log scale against the error for  $RL_k$ ,  $RK_4$  and CN



#### Discussion and conclusion

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

#### DISCUSSION:

- Ionic models are very stiff ⇒ classical explicit numerical solver must use small time-steps for stability ⇒ Large CPU time.
- High order  $RL_k$  remain stable for large time-steps. Their critical time-steps don't depend on the stiffness but on the stabilizer's choice.

#### Conclusion:

- We found that high order  $RL_k$  is a good alternative to improve the accuracy with neglibible additional cost w/r to RL1 scheme.
- High order  $RL_k$  allow the use of large time steps unlike classical explicit schemes. They are suitable for solving stiff ODE.
- High order schemes in cardiac electrophysiology may allow more reliable simulations of long lasting events.

#### References

[1] Coudiere, Y. and Douanla Lontsi, C. and Pierre, C. High order Rush Larsen solver for stiff ODEs HAL Preprint (2015).

[2] Rush and Larsen.

A practical algorithm for solving dynamic membrane equations.

IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 1978.

[3] Hochbruck. and Ostermann.

Exponential multistep method

Exponential multistep methods of Adams-type BIT Numer Math (2011).

[4] Beeler, G.W. and Reuter, H.

Reconstruction of the Action Potential of Ventricular Myocardial Fibers J. Physiol. (1977).

[5] Douanla Lontsi, C. and Coudière, Y. and Pierre, C. Efficient High Order Schemes for Stiff ODEs in Cardiac Electrophysiology CARI (2016).