



HAL
open science

Exponential integrators for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with white noise dispersion

David Cohen, Guillaume Dujardin

► **To cite this version:**

David Cohen, Guillaume Dujardin. Exponential integrators for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with white noise dispersion. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 2017, pp.592-613. 10.1007/s40072-017-0098-1 . hal-01403036

HAL Id: hal-01403036

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01403036>

Submitted on 25 Nov 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EXPONENTIAL INTEGRATORS FOR NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH WHITE NOISE DISPERSION

DAVID COHEN* AND GUILLAUME DUJARDIN†

Abstract. This article deals with the numerical integration in time of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with power law nonlinearity and random dispersion. We introduce a new explicit exponential integrator for this purpose that integrates the noisy part of the equation exactly. We prove that this scheme is of mean-square order 1 and we draw consequences of this fact. We compare our exponential integrator with several other numerical methods from the literature. We finally propose a second exponential integrator, which is implicit and symmetric and, in contrast to the first one, preserves the L^2 -norm of the solution.

Key words. Stochastic partial differential equations; Nonlinear Schrödinger equation; White noise dispersion; Numerical methods; Geometric Numerical Integration; Exponential integrators; Mean-square convergence

AMS subject classifications. 65C30; 65C50; 65J08; 60H15; 60-08

1. Introduction. We consider the time discretisation of the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion

$$\begin{aligned}idu + c\Delta u \circ d\beta + |u|^{2\sigma} u dt &= 0 \\ u(0) &= u_0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where the unknown $u = u(x, t)$, with $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is a complex valued random process,

$\Delta u = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2}$ denotes the Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^d , c is a real number, σ is a positive integer, and $\beta = \beta(t)$ is a real valued standard Brownian motion. This stochastic partial differential equation is understood in the Stratonovich sense, using the \circ symbol for the Stratonovich product.

The existence of a unique global square integrable solution to (1.1) was shown in [13] for $\sigma < 2/d$ and in [14] for $d = 1$ and $\sigma = 2$, see also [3]. The existence and uniqueness of solutions to the one-dimensional cubic case of the above problem was also studied in [25]. Furthermore, as for the deterministic Schrödinger equation, the L^2 -norm, or mass, of the solution to (1.1) is a conserved quantity. This is not the case for the total energy of the problem.

We now review the literature on the numerical analysis of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion (1.1). The early work [17] studies the stability with respect to random dispersive fluctuations of the cubic Schrödinger equation. Furthermore, numerical experiments using a split step Fourier method are presented. The paper [25] presents a Lie-Trotter splitting integrator for the above problem (1.1). The mean-square order of convergence of this explicit numerical method is proven to be at least $1/2$ for a truncated Lipschitz nonlinearity [25, Sect. 5 and 6]. Furthermore, [25] conjectures that this splitting scheme should have order one, and supports this conjecture numerically. An analysis of asymptotic preserving properties of the Lie-Trotter splitting is carried out in [15] for a more general nonlinear dispersive equation. Very recently, the authors of [3] studied an implicit Crank-Nicolson scheme for the time integration of (1.1). They show that this scheme preserves the L^2 -norm and has mean-square order one of convergence in probability.

*Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden (david.cohen@umu.se). Department of Mathematics, University of Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria (david.cohen@uibk.ac.at)

†Inria Lille Nord-Europe and Laboratoire Paul Painlevé UMR CNRS 8524, 59650 Villeneuve d'Asq Cedex, France. guillaume.dujardin@inria.fr

In the present publication, we will consider exponential integrators for an efficient time discretisation of the nonlinear stochastic Schrödinger equation (1.1). Exponential integrators for the time integration of deterministic semi-linear problems of the form $\dot{y} = Ly + N(y)$, are nowadays widely used and studied, as witnessed by the recent review [21]. Applications of such numerical schemes to the deterministic (nonlinear) Schrödinger equation can be found in, for example, [20, 5, 4, 9, 16, 10, 7, 8, 6] and references therein. Furthermore, these numerical methods were investigated for stochastic parabolic partial differential equations in, for example, [23, 22, 24], more recently for the stochastic wave equations in [11, 26, 12, 2], where they are termed stochastic trigonometric methods, and lately to stochastic Schrödinger equations driven by Ito noise in [1].

The main result of this paper is a mean-square convergence result for an explicit and easy to implement exponential integrator for the time discretisation of (1.1). Indeed, we will show in Section 3 convergence of mean-square order one for this scheme as well as convergence in probability. Note that the proofs of the results presented here use similar techniques as the one used in [3].

In order to show the above convergence result, we begin the exposition by introducing some notations and recalling useful results in Section 2. After that, we present our explicit exponential integrator for the numerical approximation of the above stochastic Schrödinger equation and analyse its convergence in Section 3. Various numerical experiments illustrating the main properties of our numerical scheme will be presented in Section 4. In the last section, we discuss the preservation of the mass, or L^2 -norm, by symmetric exponential integrators.

2. Notation and useful results. We denote the classical Lebesgue space of complex functions by $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})$, endowed with its real vector space structure, and with the scalar product

$$(u, v) := \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \bar{v} dx.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we further denote by $H^s := H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})$ the Sobolev space of functions in L^2 such that their s first derivatives are in L^2 . The Fourier transform of a tempered distribution v is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(v)$ or \widehat{v} . With this, H^s is the Sobolev space of tempered distributions v such that $(1 + |\zeta|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{v} \in L^2$.

Next, we consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$ generated by a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion $\beta = \beta(t)$.

With the above definitions in hand, we can write the mild formulation of the stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.1) (with the constant $c = 1$ for ease of presentation) [25, 13, 3]

$$u(t) = S(t, 0)u_0 + i \int_0^t S(t, r)(|u(r)|^{2\sigma} u(r)) dr, \quad (2.1)$$

with the random propagator $S(t, r)$ expressed in the Fourier variables as

$$\mathcal{F}(S(t, r)v(r))(\zeta) = \exp(-i|\zeta|^2(\beta(t) - \beta(r)))\widehat{v}(r)(\zeta)$$

for $t \geq r \geq 0$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and v a tempered distribution.

We finally collect some results that we will use in the error analysis presented in Section 3:

- The random propagator $S(t, r)$ is an isometry in H^s for any s , see for example [3].
- There is a constant C such that, for $t \geq 0$, $h \in (0, 1)$ and $r \in (t, t + h)$ and for any \mathcal{F}_t -measurable function $v \in L^2(\Omega, H^{s+4})$, one has the bounds (see [3, Lemma 2.10 and equation

(2.46)]

$$\mathbb{E}[\|S(r,t)v - v\|_{H^s}^2] \leq Ch\mathbb{E}[\|v\|_{H^{s+2}}^2] \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|\mathbb{E}[(S(r,t) - I)v]\|_{H^s}^2 \leq Ch^2\mathbb{E}[\|v\|_{H^{s+4}}^2]. \quad (2.3)$$

• Without much loss of generality, we will truncate the nonlinearity in (1.1) in Section 3. Therefore, we recall the following estimates. Let f be a function from H^s to H^s , which sends H^{s+2} to itself and H^{s+4} to itself, with $f(0) = 0$, twice continuously differentiable on those spaces, with bounded derivatives of order 1 and 2. Consider u a solution on $[0, T]$ of

$$u(r) - u(t) = S(r,t)u(t) - u(t) + i \int_t^r S(r,\sigma)f(u(\sigma))d\sigma.$$

Then, there exists a constant C , which depends on f , such that (see [3, Equations (2.30) and (2.44)])

$$\mathbb{E}[\|u(r) - u(t)\|_{H^s}^2] \leq Ch \sup_{\sigma \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|u(\sigma)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2] \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|u(r) - u(t)\|_{H^s}^4] \leq Ch^2 \sup_{\sigma \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|u(\sigma)\|_{H^{s+2}}^4], \quad (2.5)$$

with h, r, t as in the above point (provided that the right-hand side is finite).

3. Exponential integrator and mean-square error analysis. This section presents an explicit time integrator for (1.1), and further states and proves a mean-square convergence result for this numerical method. As a by-product result, we also obtain convergence in probability of the exponential integrator.

3.1. Presentation of the exponential integrator. Let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon and an integer $N \geq 1$. We define the step size of the numerical method by $h = T/N$ and denote the discrete times by $t_n = nh$, for $n = 0, \dots, N$. Looking at the mild solution (2.1) of the problem (1.1) on the interval $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$, and discretising the integral (by freezing the integrand at the left-end point of this interval), one can iteratively define the following explicit exponential integrator

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= u(0) \\ u_{n+1} &= S(t_{n+1}, t_n)u_n + ihS(t_{n+1}, t_n)(|u_n|^{2\sigma}u_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

We thus obtain a finite sequence of numerical approximations $u_n \approx u(t_n)$ of the exact solution to the problem (1.1) at the discrete times $t_n = nh$.

3.2. Truncated Schrödinger equation. As in [3], we introduce a cut-off function in order to cope with the nonlinear part of the stochastic partial differential equation (1.1): Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\theta \geq 0$, $\text{supp}(\theta) \subset [0, 2]$ and $\theta \equiv 1$ on $[0, 1]$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x \geq 0$, we set $\theta_k(x) = \theta(\frac{x}{k})$. Finally, one defines $f_k(u) = \theta_k(\|u\|_{H^{s+4}}^2)|u|^{2\sigma}u$.

Observe that, for $s > d/2$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^*$, for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, f_k is a bounded Lipschitz function from H^s to H^s which sends H^{s+2} to H^{s+2} and H^{s+4} to H^{s+4} . It is twice differentiable on these spaces, with bounded and continuous derivatives of order 1 and 2. Thus one has a unique global adapted solution u^k to the truncated problem in $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{C}([0, T], H^s))$ if the initial value $u_0 \in H^s$, see [3]. Note that, with the assumptions above, $u^k \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{C}([0, T], H^s))$ as soon as $u_0 \in H^{s+2}$, and $u^k \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{C}([0, T], H^{s+4}))$ as soon as $u_0 \in H^{s+4}$.

The global solution $u^k \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{C}([0, T], H^s))$ to the truncated problem solves

$$u^k(t) = S(t, 0)u_0 + i \int_0^t S(t, r)f_k(u^k(r))dr, \quad (3.2)$$

and the exponential integrator takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_0^k &= u(0) \\ u_{n+1}^k &= S(t_{n+1}, t_n)u_n^k + ihS(t_{n+1}, t_n)f_k(u_n^k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Note that this method looks like the composition of two methods : The first is the explicit Euler equation applied to the differential equation $u' = f_k(u)$, the second is the exact solution of the linear stochastic Schrödinger equation.

3.3. Main result and convergence analysis. This subsection states and proves the main result of this paper on the mean-square convergence of the exponential integrator applied to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion (1.1).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let us fix $s > d/2$, the initial value $u_0 \in H^{s+4}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and an integer $k \geq 1$. Consider the unique adapted truncated solution of the random nonlinear Schrödinger equation $u^k(t)$ given by (3.2) with path a.s. in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], H^{s+4}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Further, consider the numerical solutions $\{u_n^k\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, given by the explicit exponential integrator (3.3) with step size h . One then has the following error estimate*

$$\forall h \in (0, 1), \quad \sup_{n|nh \leq T} \mathbb{E}[\|u_n^k - u^k(t_n)\|_{H^s}^2] \leq Ch^2$$

for the discrete times $t_n = nh$. Here, the constant C does not depend on n, h with $nh \leq T$ but may depend on $k, T, \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|u^k(t)\|_{s+2}^4], \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|u^k(t)\|_{s+4}^2]$.

Proof. For ease of presentation, we will ignore the index k refereeing to the cut-off in the notations of the numerical and exact solutions as well as in the nonlinear function f_k . But we keep in mind that the constants below may depend on this index. We denote by C such a constant, providing it does not depend on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ nor on $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $nh \leq T$.

In order to later apply a discrete Gronwall-type argument, we first look at the error between the exact and numerical solutions

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &:= u(t_{n+1}) - u_{n+1} = S(t_{n+1}, t_n)e_n + ihS(t_{n+1}, t_n)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u_n)) \\ &\quad - i \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (S(t_{n+1}, t_n)f(u(t_n)) - S(t_{n+1}, r)f(u(r))) dr \\ &= S(t_{n+1}, t_n)e_n + ihS(t_{n+1}, t_n)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u_n)) \\ &\quad - i \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (S(t_{n+1}, t_n) - S(t_{n+1}, r))f(u(t_n)) dr - i \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} S(t_{n+1}, r)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u(r))) dr \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

The so-called mean-square error thus reads

$$\mathbb{E}[\|e_{n+1}\|_s^2] = \sum_{j=1}^4 \mathbb{E}[\|I_j\|_s^2] + 2 \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{k=j+1}^4 \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Re}(I_j, I_k)_s], \quad (3.4)$$

with the H^s norm $\|\cdot\|_s^2 = \operatorname{Re}(\cdot, \cdot)_s = \|\cdot\|_{H^s}^2$.

We now proceed with the estimations of the above quantities. Before estimating the mixed terms in (3.4), we start with the first four terms. By isometry of the random propagator $S(t, r)$, one gets

$$\mathbb{E}[\|I_1\|_s^2] = \mathbb{E}[\|S(t_{n+1}, t_n)e_n\|_s^2] = \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2].$$

For the second term, we again use the isometry property of the free random propagator and further the fact that the function f is Lipschitz. This gives us

$$\mathbb{E}[\|I_2\|_s^2] = \mathbb{E}[\|hS(t_{n+1}, t_n)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u_n))\|_s^2] = h^2 \mathbb{E}[\|f(u(t_n)) - f(u_n)\|_s^2] \leq Ch^2 \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2].$$

Using the isometry property of $S(t, r)$, Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, the estimate (2.2) from Section 2, and the fact that the exact solution is bounded, we can bound the third term by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|I_3\|_s^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\|\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} S(t_{n+1}, t_n)(I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n)) \, dr\|_s^2] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} 1 \cdot \|S(t_{n+1}, t_n)(I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n))\|_s \, dr\right)^2] \\ &\leq h \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|(I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n))\|_s^2] \, dr \\ &= h \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|S(t_n, r)(S(r, t_n) - I)f(u(t_n))\|_s^2] \, dr \\ &\leq Ch^2 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|f(u(t_n))\|_{s+2}^2] \, dr \leq Ch^3 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+2}^2] \leq Ch^3. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the fourth term, we use the isometry property of the free random propagator, Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, the fact that f is Lipschitz, the estimate (2.4) on the time-variations of the exact solution, and the fact that the exact solution is bounded which is recalled in Section 2. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|I_4\|_s^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\|\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} S(t_{n+1}, r)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u(r))) \, dr\|_s^2] \\ &\leq Ch \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|f(u(t_n)) - f(u(r))\|_s^2] \, dr \leq Ch \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|u(t_n) - u(r)\|_s^2] \, dr \\ &\leq Ch^3 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+2}^2] \leq Ch^3. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we go on with deriving bounds for the mixed terms present in (3.4). Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, the above bounds for the moments of I_2 and I_3 , and the fact that for all real numbers a, b , we have $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$, we obtain the bound

$$|\mathbb{E}[(I_2, I_3)_s]| \leq (\mathbb{E}[\|I_2\|_s^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}[\|I_3\|_s^2])^{1/2} \leq Ch (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} h^{3/2} \leq C(h \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] + h^4).$$

Similarly, one has

$$|\mathbb{E}[(I_2, I_4)_s]| \leq C(h \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] + h^4)$$

and

$$|\mathbb{E}[(I_3, I_4)_s]| \leq Ch^3.$$

The term containing I_1 and I_2 can be estimated using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and the fact that the function f is Lipschitz:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_2)_s]| &= |\mathbb{E}[(S(t_{n+1}, t_n)e_n, ihS(t_{n+1}, t_n)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u_n)))]_s| \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} h (\mathbb{E}[\|f(u(t_n)) - f(u_n)\|_s^2])^{1/2} \leq Ch \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2]. \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms $|\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_3)_s]|$ and $|\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_4)_s]|$ demand more work. For the first one, we use the isometry of the random propagator $S(t, r)$ and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality to get:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_3)_s]| &= \left| \mathbb{E}[(S(t_{n+1}, t_n)e_n, \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} S(t_{n+1}, t_n)(I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n)) \, dr)_s] \right| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E}[(e_n, \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n)) \, dr)_s] \right| \\ &\leq h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[(e_n, (I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n)))_s]^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We next apply the law of total expectation and again Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_3)_s]| &\leq h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[(e_n, \mathbb{E}\{(I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n)) | \mathcal{F}_{t_n}\})_s]^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{E}\{(I - S(t_n, r))f(u(t_n)) | \mathcal{F}_{t_n}\}\|_s^2] \, dr \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (2.3) and the fact that the exact solution is bounded, one obtains the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_3)_s]| &\leq Ch^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} h \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|f(u(t_n))\|_{s+4}^2] \, dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ch^2 (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|f(u(t))\|_{s+4}^2] \right)^{1/2} \leq Ch^2 (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(h^3 + h\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2]). \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the last term $|\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_4)_s]|$, we use the mild formulation

$$\begin{aligned} u(r) - u(t_n) &= S(t_n, r)u(t_n) - u(t_n) + \mathbf{i} \int_{t_n}^r S(t_n, \theta) f(u(\theta)) \, d\theta \\ &= (S(t_n, r) - I)u(t_n) + \mathbf{i} \int_{t_n}^r S(t_n, \theta) f(u(\theta)) \, d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

and then Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and a Taylor expansions of $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(H^s, H^s)$ to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_4)_s]| &= \left| \mathbb{E}[(S(t_{n+1}, t_n)e_n, \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} S(t_{n+1}, r)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u(r))) \, dr)_s] \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbb{E}[(e_n, S(t_n, r)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u(r))))_s] \, dr \right| \\ &\leq Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[(e_n, S(t_n, r)(f(u(t_n)) - f(u(r))))_s]^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[(e_n, S(t_n, r)\{Df(u(t_n))(u(r) - u(t_n))\})_s]^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[(e_n, S(t_n, r)\{\int_0^1 D^2 f(\theta u(r) + (1 - \theta)u(t_n)) \, d\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (u(r) - u(t_n), u(r) - u(t_n))\})_s]^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[J_1]|^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2} + Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[J_2]|^2 \, dr \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It thus remains to bound the above two terms. In order to start to estimate the first term, we insert the mild solution and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[J_1]|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} &\leq h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[(e_n, S(t_n, r)Df(u(t_n)) \{ (S(t_n, r) - I)u(t_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i \int_{t_n}^r S(t_n, \theta)f(u(\theta)) d\theta \}_s]|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[(e_n, S(t_n, r)Df(u(t_n)) \{ (S(t_n, r) - I)u(t_n) \}_s]|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left| \mathbb{E}[(e_n, S(t_n, r)Df(u(t_n)) \{ \int_{t_n}^r S(t_n, \theta)f(u(\theta)) d\theta \}_s] \right|^2 dr \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can now apply Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, the fact that S, f, Df are bounded and the regularity estimate (2.3) from Section 2 to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[J_1]|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} &\leq Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] \mathbb{E}[\|(S(t_n, r) - I)u(t_n)\|_s^2] dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] \mathbb{E}[\|\int_{t_n}^r S(t_n, \theta)f(u(\theta)) d\theta\|_s^2] dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ch^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} h^{1/2} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+4}^2])^{1/2} \\ &\quad + Ch^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (r - t_n)^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ch^2 (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+4}^2])^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq C(h^3 + h\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2]) \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+4}^2])^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we again use Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality with the fact that S, Df and D^2f are bounded and the bound (2.5):

$$\begin{aligned} h^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} |\mathbb{E}[J_2]|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} &\leq Ch^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] \mathbb{E}[\|u(r) - u(t_n)\|_s^4] dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ch^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} h^{1/2} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+2}^4])^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ch^2 (\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2])^{1/2} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+2}^4])^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(h^3 + h\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2]) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+2}^4])^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, we arrive at

$$|\mathbb{E}[(I_1, I_4)_s]| \leq C(h^3 + h\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2]) \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+2}^4])^{1/2} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|u(t)\|_{s+4}^2])^{1/2} \right).$$

Collecting all the above bounds, the mean-square error (3.4) can thus be estimated by

$$\mathbb{E}[\|e_{n+1}\|_s^2] \leq (1 + K_1 h + K_2 h^2) \mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] + K_3 h^3 + K_4 h^4$$

and an application of a discrete Gronwall lemma gives the final bound

$$\mathbb{E}[\|e_n\|_s^2] = \mathbb{E}[\|u_n^k - u^k(t_n)\|_s^2] \leq Ch^2$$

which concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

Using the above mean-square convergence result and similar arguments as in [25, 18] or [3], one can also show that the exponential method (3.1) has order of convergence one in probability.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $T > 0$ and assume that $u_0 \in H^{s+4}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s > d/2$ is such that the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion (1.1) possesses a unique adapted solution u with paths a.s. in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], H^{s+4}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Let us apply the stochastic exponential integrator (3.1) to compute u_n with step size $h = T/N$. Then, one has*

$$\lim_{C \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{n=0, \dots, N} \|u(t_n) - u_n\|_s \geq Ch \right) = 0$$

uniformly in h , where we recall that $t_n = nh$.

4. Numerical experiments. This section presents various numerical experiments for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion (1.1). We will use the following numerical schemes:

1. The explicit exponential integrator (3.1);
2. The Lie-Trotter splitting

$$\begin{aligned} u_* &= S(t_{n+1}, t_n)u_n \\ u_{n+1} &= Y(h)u_* \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

from [25]. Here, $Y(h)u_*$ denotes the value at time h of flow associated to the problem $i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + |u|^{2\sigma}u = 0$ with initial datum u_* ;

3. The Strang splitting

$$\begin{aligned} u_* &= S(t_n + h/2, t_n)u_n \\ \widehat{u} &= Y(h)u_* \\ u_{n+1} &= S(t_{n+1}, t_n + h/2)\widehat{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where again $Y(h)$ is defined as above;

4. The implicit Crank-Nicolson scheme

$$i \frac{u_{n+1} - u_n}{h} + \frac{\chi_n}{\sqrt{h}} \Delta u_{n+1/2} + g(u_n, u_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

from [3]. Here, we have set $u_{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(u_n + u_{n+1})$, $\chi_n = \frac{\beta(t_{n+1}) - \beta(t_n)}{\sqrt{h}}$ and $g(u, v) = \frac{1}{\sigma+1} \left(\frac{|u|^{2\sigma+2} - |v|^{2\sigma+2}}{|u|^2 - |v|^2} \right) \left(\frac{u+v}{2} \right)$.

We will consider the stochastic partial differential equation (1.1) on the one and two dimensional torus with periodic boundary conditions. The spatial discretisation is done by a pseudospectral method with M Fourier modes in $1d$, and M^2 Fourier modes in $2d$.

4.1. Numerical experiments in 1d. This subsection presents convergence plots for the above mentioned numerical methods applied to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion (1.1); space-time evolution plots; experiments illustrating the influence of the power nonlinearity σ supporting a conjecture proposed in [3]; and finally illustrations of the preservation of the L^2 -norm along numerical solutions.




Figure 4.1: Mean-square errors for $c = 1$: Exponential integrator (\square), Lie-Trotter (\diamond), Crank-Nicolson ($*$), Strang (\circ). The dotted lines have slopes 1 and 2.

4.1.1. Convergence plots. In order to illustrate the mean-square convergence of the exponential integrator (3.3), we consider problem (1.1) on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ with parameters $c = \sigma = 1$. The initial datum is $u_0(x) = e^{-(x-\pi)^2}$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Furthermore, $M = 2^8$ Fourier modes are used for the spatial discretisation. The mean-square errors $\mathbb{E}[\|u(x, T_{\text{end}}) - u_N(x)\|_{L^2}^2]$ at time $T_{\text{end}} = 0.5$ are displayed in Figure 4.1 for various values of the time step $h = 2^{-\ell}$ for $\ell = 6, \dots, 17$. Here, we simulate the exact solution $u(x, t)$ with the exponential method, with a small time step $h_{\text{exact}} = 2^{-17}$. The expected values are approximated by computing averages over $M_s = 5000$ samples. We computed the estimate for the largest standard errors to be $5.78 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for the Crank-Nicolson scheme and around 10^{-6} for the other numerical schemes. These estimates are far from optimal but we observed that using a larger number of samples ($M_s = 10000$) does not improve significantly the behaviour of the convergence plots. This is also the case for the other convergence plots presented below. In Figure 4.1, we observe convergence of order 1 for the exponential integrator. This is in agreement with Theorem 3.1. The orders of convergence for the splitting schemes and for the Crank-Nicolson scheme are also seen to be 1.

Note that the explicit exponential method (3.3), as well as the Lie and Strang splitting methods (4.1)-(4.2) take full advantage of the exact integration of the stochastic linear part of the Schrödinger equation when one uses periodic boundary conditions and hence the spectral properties of the Laplace operator are exactly known. In contrast, the Crank-Nicolson scheme (4.3) does not integrate the stochastic part of the equation exactly. One can even argue that the error in the identity

$$\frac{1 + iX}{1 - iX} = e^{2iX} + \mathcal{O}(X^3),$$

which is the cornerstone of the error analysis of the linear part of the Crank-Nicolson scheme applied to a Schrödinger equation, is fully under control in the deterministic case (when $X = -\frac{h}{2}\xi^2$, and ξ is the Fourier variable), while it can be much higher in the stochastic case (when $X = -c\frac{\Delta W_t}{2}\xi^2$) even for small time steps. Once again, such an error corresponding directly to the stochastic part of the PDE is not present in the three other schemes (3.1), (4.1) and (4.2). This explains, in $1d$ as well as in higher dimension (see next section for numerical




Figure 4.2: Mean-square errors for $c = 0.25$: Exponential integrator (\square), Lie-Trotter (\diamond), Crank-Nicolson ($*$), Strang (\circ). The dotted lines have slopes 1 and 2.

examples in dimension 2), the relatively poor behaviour of the Crank-Nicolson scheme in this situation (see Fig 4.1) even if c is of order 1. On the other, as observed in Figure 4.2, the good convergence behaviour of the Crank-Nicolson is recovered for $c = 0.25$ (smaller noise intensity parameter). The other parameters are the same as in the previous numerical experiments.

4.1.2. Evolution plots. Figure 4.3 shows the evolution of $|u_n|^2$ along one sample of the numerical solution obtained by the exponential integrator (3.1) for the above problem with the discretisation parameters $h = 2^{-14}$ and $M = 2^8$. This illustrates, in the case $\sigma = 1$, the interplay and the balance between the random dispersion and the nonlinearity. In contrast, the qualitative behaviour is different for higher values of σ .

The article [3] conjectures that the power nonlinearity $\sigma = 4$ is the critical case for (1.1) in dimension one. We now present numerical experiments supporting this conjecture. Problem (1.1) with the initial value $u_0(x) = 2.3 \cdot e^{-14(x-\pi)^2}$ is integrated over the time interval $[0, 0.05]$ with discretisation parameters $h = 2^{-12}$ and $M = 2^{14}$. Figure 4.4 shows the space-time evolution for the power nonlinearity $\sigma = 3.9$ and $\sigma = 4$. Blow-up of the solution can be observed in the critical case $\sigma = 4$ thus numerically confirming the conjecture from [3]. Of course, this is only a rough result and one can think of more sophisticated techniques such as adaptive mesh refinement techniques to have a better understanding of the behaviour of the solution close to the blow-up.

4.1.3. Preservation of the L^2 -norm. It is known that the L^2 -norm of the solution to the SPDE (1.1) remains constant for all times [3]. Figure 4.5 illustrates the corresponding preservation properties of the above numerical integrators along one sample path. For this numerical experiment, we consider the parameters $c = 1, \sigma = 1, h = 2^{-5}, M = 2^8$ and the initial value $u_0(x) = e^{-10(x-\pi)^2}$ for $x \in [0, 2\pi]$. Exact preservation of the L^2 -norm for the splitting schemes and for the Crank-Nicolson scheme is observed, whereas a small drift is observed for the exponential integrator (3.1). In Section 5, we will propose a symmetric exponential integrator that preserves exactly the L^2 -norm.




Figure 4.3: Space-time evolution (up) and contour plot (bottom) for the exponential integrator (3.3).

4.2. Numerical experiments in 2d. This subsection presents convergence plots for (1.1) in two dimensions as well as experiments illustrating the influence of the power nonlinearity σ supporting a conjecture proposed in [3].

4.2.1. Convergence plots. We illustrate the mean-square convergence of the exponential integrator (3.1) in $2d$. To do so, we consider the problem (1.1) on $[0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$ with parameters $\sigma = 1$ and $c = 1$ or $c = 0.1$. The initial value is set to be $u_0(x, y) = e^{-((x-\pi/2)^2 + (y-\pi/2)^2)} e^{i10x} + e^{-0.5((x-3\pi/2)^2 + (y-3\pi/2)^2)} e^{-i10y}$ for $(x, y) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$. Furthermore, $M = 2^6$ Fourier modes are used in each directions for the spatial discretisation. The temporal errors at time $T_{\text{end}} = 0.5$ are displayed in Figure 4.6 for various values of the time step $h = 2^{-\ell}$ for $\ell = 15, \dots, 23$. Here, we simulate the exact solution $u(x, y, t)$ with a small time step $h_{\text{exact}} = 2^{-23}$. The expected values are approximated by computing averages over $M_s = 25$ samples (for these computationally expensive simulations). In this figure, we observe convergence of order 1 for the exponential integrator. This is in agreement with Theorem 3.1.




Figure 4.4: Space-time evolution for the exponential integrator: $\sigma = 3.9$ (up) and $\sigma = 4$ (bottom).

4.2.2. Evolution plots. Let us now consider the following parameters $c = 1$, $h = 2^{-11}$, $M = 2^7$ and the initial value $5 \cdot e^{-14((x-\pi/2)^2+(y-\pi/2)^2)} \cdot e^{i10x}$ for $(x, y) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$. Figure 4.7 displays snapshots of the numerical solutions for the Schrödinger equation with power non-linearity $\sigma = 1.9$ and $\sigma = 2$. Blow-up of the solution can be observed numerically in the conjectured critical case $\sigma = 4/d = 2$ from [3].

5. L^2 -preserving exponential integrators. As seen above, the proposed explicit exponential integrator unfortunately does not preserve the L^2 -norm. This can be fixed by considering symmetric exponential integrators using ideas from [9]. We thus propose the following symmetric exponential method for the numerical discretisation of nonlinear Schrödinger




Figure 4.5: Preservation of the L^2 -norm: Exponential integrator (\square), Lie-Trotter (\diamond), Crank-Nicolson ($*$), Strang (\circ).




Figure 4.6: Mean-square errors in $2d$ for $c = 1$ (left) and $c = 0.1$ (right): Exponential integrator (\square), Lie-Trotter (\diamond), Crank-Nicolson ($*$), Strang (\circ). The dotted lines have slopes 1 and 2.

equation with white noise dispersion (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_0 &= u(0) \\
 N_* &= N(S(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, t_n)u_n + \frac{h}{2}N_*) \\
 u_{n+1} &= S(t_{n+1}, t_n)u_n + hS(t_n + h, t_n + \frac{h}{2})N_*, \tag{5.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $N(u) = i|u|^2\sigma u$ is the nonlinearity.

This numerical method preserves the L^2 -norm as seen in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The exponential integrator (5.1) preserves the L^2 -norm, as does the exact solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion (1.1).*

Proof. This proof is an adaptation of the proof stating conditions for a Runge-Kutta methods to preserve quadratic invariants, see [19, Section IV.2.1] and further [9].




Figure 4.7: Snapshots of the evolution of the exponential integrator in $2d$: $\sigma = 1.9$ (up) and $\sigma = 2$ (bottom). Discretisation parameters: $h = 2^{-11}$ and $M = 2^7$. Note the scale on the z -axis on Figure 4.7f.

Let us compute the L^2 -norm of u_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1\|^2 &= \|S(t_1, t_0)u_0 + hS(t_0 + h, t_0 + \frac{h}{2})N_*\|^2 = \|u_0\|^2 + h^2\|N_*\|^2 \\ &\quad + h\left(S(t_1, t_0)u_0, S(t_0 + h, t_0 + \frac{h}{2})N_*\right) + h\left(S(t_0 + h, t_0 + \frac{h}{2})N_*, S(t_1, t_0)u_0\right) \end{aligned}$$

using the isometry of the random propagator $S(t, r)$. We next define $Y := S(t_0 + \frac{h}{2}, t_0)u_0 + \frac{h}{2}N_*$ so that $u_0 = S(t_0, t_0 + \frac{h}{2})(Y - \frac{h}{2}N_*)$. Inserting this quantity in the above equation and using the definition of $S(t, r)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1\|^2 &= \|u_0\|^2 + h^2\|N_*\|^2 - \frac{h^2}{2}\|N_*\|^2 - \frac{h^2}{2}\|N_*\|^2 + h\left((Y, N_*) + (N_*, Y)\right) \\ &= \|u_0\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since the last term in brackets is zero (this follows from the fact that $N_* = N(Y)$ and the fact that the L^2 -norm is a first integral). \square

5.1. Numerical experiments for the symmetric exponential integrator. Figure 5.1 illustrates the preservation of the L^2 -norm by the exponential methods (3.1) and (5.1) as well as strong convergence plots. The parameter values are the same as in the above numerical experiments. Exact preservation of the L^2 -norm, as stated in Proposition 5.1, is observed for the symmetric version. The convergence plot indicates the same order of convergence for the symmetric version of the scheme as for the original exponential integrator (3.1).

6. Conclusion. We introduced a new, explicit, exponential integrator (3.1) for the time integration of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with power-law nonlinearity and random




Figure 5.1: Preservation of the L^2 -norm (top) and mean-square errors (bottom) for the symmetric exponential integrator (5.1) (+) and for the exponential integrator (3.1) (\square). The dotted lines have slopes 1 and 2.

dispersion (1.1). We showed that this integrator has mean-square order one (Theorem 3.1). We compared it with other methods from the literature. In contrast to methods such as the Lie-Trotter splitting or the Crank-Nicolson method, it does not preserve the L^2 -norm exactly (Figure 4.5). However, it shares the same order and our numerical experiments show that it outperforms methods that do not integrate exactly the linear part of the equation, such as the Crank-Nicolson method, in terms of size of constant errors, for reasonably large noise intensity (Figure 4.1). Furthermore, we used this new scheme in Section 4.2 to support a conjecture on the critical power to get blow-up in finite time in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.1). Finally, we proposed another exponential integrator (5.1) which is symmetric and has the same numerical order as the one proposed initially. It however is implicit and hence has higher numerical cost.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ANTON AND D. COHEN, *Exponential integrators for stochastic Schrödinger equations driven by ito noise*, Submitted, (2016).
- [2] R. ANTON, D. COHEN, S. LARSSON, AND X. WANG, *Full discretisation of semi-linear stochastic wave equations driven by multiplicative noise*, To appear in SIAM J. Numer. Anal., (2016).
- [3] R. BELAOUAR, A. DE BOUARD, AND A. DEBUSSCHE, *Numerical analysis of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion*, Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput., 3 (2015), pp. 103–132.
- [4] H. BERLAND, A. L. ISLAS, AND C. M. SCHOBBER, *Conservation of phase space properties using exponential integrators on the cubic Schrödinger equation*, J. Comput. Phys., 225 (2007), pp. 284–299.
- [5] H. BERLAND, B. OWREN, AND B. SKAFLESTAD, *Solving the nonlinear Schrödinger equation using exponential integrators*, Modeling, Identification and Control, 27 (2006), pp. 201–218.
- [6] C. BESSE, G. DUJARDIN, AND I. LACROIX-VIOLET, *High order exponential integrators for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with application to rotating Bose-Einstein condensates*, preprint, (2015).
- [7] B. CANO AND A. GONZÁLEZ-PACHÓN, *Exponential time integration of solitary waves of cubic Schrödinger equation*, Appl. Numer. Math., 91 (2015), pp. 26–45.
- [8] ———, *Projected explicit Lawson methods for the integration of Schrödinger equation*, Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations, 31 (2015), pp. 78–104.
- [9] E. CELLEDONI, D. COHEN, AND B. OWREN, *Symmetric exponential integrators with an application to the cubic Schrödinger equation*, Found. Comput. Math., 8 (2008), pp. 303–317.
- [10] D. COHEN AND L. GAUCKLER, *Exponential integrators for nonlinear Schrödinger equations over long times*, BIT, 52 (2012), pp. 877–903.
- [11] D. COHEN, S. LARSSON, AND M. SIGG, *A trigonometric method for the linear stochastic wave equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 51 (2013), pp. 204–222.
- [12] D. COHEN AND L. QUER-SARDANYONS, *A fully discrete approximation of the one-dimensional stochastic wave equation*, IMA J NUMER ANAL, 36 (2016), pp. 400–420. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drv006>.
- [13] A. DE BOUARD AND A. DEBUSSCHE, *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion*, J. Funct. Anal., 259 (2010), pp. 1300–1321.
- [14] A. DEBUSSCHE AND Y. TSUTSUMI, *1D quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with white noise dispersion*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 96 (2011), pp. 363–376.
- [15] R. DUBOSCQ, *Analyse et simulations numériques d'équations de Schrödinger déterministes et stochastiques. Applications aux condensats de Bose-Einstein en rotation*, PhD thesis, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, 2013.
- [16] G. DUJARDIN, *Exponential Runge-Kutta methods for the Schrödinger equation*, Appl. Numer. Math., 59 (2009), pp. 1839–1857.
- [17] J. GARNIER, *Stabilization of dispersion-managed solitons in random optical fibers by strong dispersion management*, Optics Communications, 206 (2002), pp. 411–438.
- [18] M. GAZEAU, *Probability and pathwise order of convergence of a semidiscrete scheme for the stochastic Manakov equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52 (2014), pp. 533–553.
- [19] E. HAIRER, CH. LUBICH, AND G. WANNER, *Geometric numerical integration*, vol. 31 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010. Structure-preserving algorithms for ordinary differential equations, Reprint of the second (2006) edition.
- [20] M. HOCHBRUCK AND C. LUBICH, *Exponential integrators for quantum-classical molecular dynamics*, BIT, 39 (1999), pp. 620–645.
- [21] M. HOCHBRUCK AND A. OSTERMANN, *Exponential integrators*, Acta Numer., 19 (2010), pp. 209–286.
- [22] A. JENTZEN AND P. E. KLOEDEN, *The numerical approximation of stochastic partial differential equations*, Milan J. Math., 77 (2009), pp. 205–244.
- [23] G. J. LORD AND J. ROUGEMONT, *A numerical scheme for stochastic PDEs with Gevrey regularity*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 24 (2004), pp. 587–604.
- [24] G. J. LORD AND A. TAMBUE, *Stochastic exponential integrators for the finite element discretization of SPDEs for multiplicative and additive noise*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 33 (2013), pp. 515–543.
- [25] R. MARTY, *On a splitting scheme for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in a random medium*, Commun. Math. Sci., 4 (2006), pp. 679–705.
- [26] X. WANG, *An exponential integrator scheme for time discretization of nonlinear stochastic wave equation*, Journal of Scientific Computing, (2014), pp. 1–30.