

## Space and time at the foundation of knowledge (as conditions of its possibility)

"What then are time and space ?

Are they real beings (existences) ?

Or, are they merely determinations or relations between things? And those relations, are they such that they would remain between things, even though they would never become objects of intuition?

Or, are they such that **they belong** only to the form of intuition, and consequently **to the subjective constitution of the mind**, without which these predicates of time and space could not be attached to any object?"



**Immanuel Kant** *Critique of pure reason* (1781)

### Neurobiological foundations of space and time

IF space and time are a priori and necessary forms of intuition from which knowledge becomes possible,

THEN one should be able to find some neurobiological substrate for these notions

(and THEREAFTER, eventually appreciate - the biological nature of knowledge and - how it is built upon interactions between an organism and its environment).

## The orienting reaction (OR) as a probe to search for the neural foundations of "space"

The OR : a set of coordinated and organized muscle contractions / relaxations which lead to orient rapidly one (several) sensory organ(s) toward an object (its location).

#### 1) ORs are relatively accurate

→ to the *physical location* of an object corresponds the *flow of neural activity from* the time when the object is detected *to* the time when the associated orienting reaction ends.

#### 2) ORs are accurate over a large range of different physical locations

→ different locations can be *ordered* along dimensions, e.g., the H and V extents. Both H and V dimensions are commonly considered as driven by a topographicallyorganized map of labeled lines (superior colliculus/optic tectum).

 $\rightarrow$  this map is an inferred entity as *one single target is aimed at a time* 













## Robustness : spatial accuracy is maintained even after experimental perturbations











































































## Behavior and neuroanatomy

In the monkey, a spatial deficit similar to that observed in the cat, is observed when one considers the microsaccades generated when a visual target is being fixated.

The difference between the feline and primate disorders could result from differences in the extent of fastigio-tectal projections.



# If the fixation offset corresponds to an altered encoding of foveal target, what is visual fixation ?

Fixation is classically considered as a state where "gaze" and "target" position / displacement –related signals match (zero motor error)

 $\rightarrow$  this line of thinking assumes an encoding of magnitudes such as *position / displacement* or *error* (signals of a spatial kind)

 $\rightarrow$  it does not explain what "zero *motor error*" means, neurophysiologically speaking









## Some evidences against a collicular origin of the dysmetria of gaze shifts

#### Quinet & Goffart JNP 2005

J Neurophysiol 93: 2343-2349, 2005. First published November 24, 2004; doi:10.1152/jn.00705.2004. Saccade Dysmetria in Head-Unrestrained Gaze Shifts After Muscimol Inactivation of the Caudal Fastigial Nucleus in the Monkey

#### **Ouinet & Goffart JNP 2007**

J Neurophysiol 98: 3269-3283, 2007. First published October 10, 2007; doi:10.1152/in.00741.2007.

Report

VERTICAL

pre-injection
post-injection

downward

upward

Head-Unrestrained Gaze Shifts After Muscimol Injection in the Caudal Fastigial Nucleus of the Monkey

#### Quinet & Goffart JNP 2009

J Neurophysiol 102: 320-336, 2009. First published May 13, 2009; doi:10.1152/jn.90716.2008.

Electrical Microstimulation of the Fastigial Oculomotor Region in the Head-Unrestrained Monkey











































