



HAL
open science

Iterative two-stage approach to estimate sources and their interactions

Brahim Belaoucha, Théodore Papadopoulo

► **To cite this version:**

Brahim Belaoucha, Théodore Papadopoulo. Iterative two-stage approach to estimate sources and their interactions . 20th International Conference on Biomagnetism (BIOMAG2016), Oct 2016, Seoul, South Korea. hal-01377967

HAL Id: hal-01377967

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01377967v1>

Submitted on 8 Oct 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

#Tu-P68: Iterative two-stage approach to estimate sources and their interactions (iSDR)


Abstract: Non-iterative two-stage approaches have been used to estimate source interactions. They first reconstruct sources and then compute the MAR model for the localized sources. They showed good results when working in high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) settings, but fail in detecting the true interactions when working in low SNR. Our framework is based on two steps. First, we estimate sources activations for a given MAR model. Then, we estimate the MAR model. We repeat the two steps until a stopping criterion is achieved.

1 FRAMEWORK



2 HYPOTHESIS


- Source activity depends on the activity of sources that are anatomically connected :
 - Detectable from dMRI (solid line)
 - Neighboring regions (dashed lines)



- Sources' dynamics follow a multi-variate autoregressive model of order p whose matrix entries are constant in a time window T .

$$S_t = \sum_{i=1}^p A_i S_{t-i} + \omega_t \quad \omega_t \text{ Noise in the source space}$$

3 iterative Source and Dynamics Reconstruction (iSDR)



• N : Number of sensors, P : Number of sources/patches

• p : Order of MAR model, A_v : $\text{Vec}([A_1; A_2; \dots; A_p])$

• $G \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times P}$: Lead field matrix

• $M_{p \rightarrow T} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (T-p+1)}$: MEG/EEG measurement

• $S_{1 \rightarrow T-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times (T-1)}$: Sources' activities

• S_v^* : $\text{Vec}(S_{1 \rightarrow T-1})$, S_v : $\text{Vec}(S_{p \rightarrow T})$, M_v : $\text{Vec}(M_{p \rightarrow T})$

$$J \in \mathbb{R}^{P(T-p) \times pP^2}: \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(S_1^T) & \text{diag}(S_2^T) & \dots & \text{diag}(S_p^T) \\ \text{diag}(S_2^T) & \text{diag}(S_3^T) & \dots & \text{diag}(S_{p+1}^T) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{diag}(S_{T-p-1}^T) & \text{diag}(S_{T-p}^T) & \dots & \text{diag}(S_{T-1}^T) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_d \in \mathbb{R}^{N(T-p) \times P(T-1)}: \begin{pmatrix} GA_1 & GA_2 & \dots & GA_p & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & GA_1 & \dots & GA_{p-1} & GA_p & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & GA_p \end{pmatrix}$$

S-STEP


$$U(S_{1 \rightarrow T-1}) = \|M_v - G_d S_v^*\|_2^2 + \lambda \|S_{1 \rightarrow T-1}\|_{21} \quad (1)$$

A-STEP

$$L(A_v) = \|S_v - J A_v\|_2^2 \quad (2)$$


Eq (1) is an extension to MxNE^[2] in which G is replaced by G_d (a matrix that changes at each iteration)

4 SYNTHETIC DATA



- iSDR^[1] is compared to MxNE^[2]
- Data was taken from Wakeman et al.^[3]
- Cortical regions were obtained using MNN parcellation^[4]
- MAR model of order 1 and with two non-zero eigenvalues of A: $0.93 \pm 0.24j$

5 RESULTS



6 CONCLUSIONS

iSDR improved the MxNE and could detect true activations and functional interactions.

The number of time samples, T , must be greater than the maximum number of connections per source multiplied by p .

A source that is detected to be inactive in one of the iterations can not be reactivated in this framework (Eq (2)).

The order of the MAR model should be investigated.

References

- [1] B. Belaoucha et al., "Multivariate Autoregressive Model Constrained by Anatomical Connectivity to Reconstruct Focal Sources," 38th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBC, 2016. [2] A. Gramfort et al., "Mixed-norm estimates for the M/EEG inverse problem using accelerated gradient methods," Physics in Medicine and Biology, vol.57, no.7, pp.1937-1961, 2012. [3] D. G. Wakeman et al., "A multi-subject, multi-model human neuroimaging dataset," Scientific Data, 2015. [4] B. Belaoucha et al., "Cortical Surface Parcellation via dMRI Using Mutual Nearest Neighbor Condition," IEEE 13th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), pp. 903-906, 2016.

Aknowledgments

This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (ERC Advanced Grant agreement No 694665 : CoBCoM - Computational Brain Connectivity Mapping) and French ANR contract VIBRATIONS